ELEMENTS

OF THE

COMPARATIVE GRAMMAR

OF THE

INDO-GERMANIC LANGUAGES.

A CONCISE EXPOSITION

OF THE HISTORY

OF SANSKRIT, OLD IRANIAN (AVESTIC AND OLD PERSIAN), OLD ARMENIAN, OLD GREEK, LATIN, UMBRIAN-SAMNITIC, OLD IRISH, GOTHIC, OLD HIGH GERMAN, LITHUANIAN AND OLD BULGARIAN

BY

KARL BRUGMANN,

PROFESSOR OF COMPARATIVE PHILOLOGY IN THE UNIVERSITY OF LEIPZIG.

VOLUME I:

INTRODUCTION AND PHONOLOGY.

TRANSLATED FROM THE GERMAN

RV

JOSEPH WRIGHT, PH. D.

NEW-YORK.

B. WESTERMANN & CO., 812 BROADWAY.

1888.

PREFACE TO THE ORIGINAL EDITION.

Those who have impartially followed the development of comparative philology in the last twenty years will be aware of the great progress it has made in the interval. In both the scope and the nature of its work it has shewn all the elasticity and creative vigour of a science that is still young in spite of its seventy years. That its diverse and scattered details need to be once again brought together under one systematic arrangement will hardly be doubted by any competent judge. The first edition of Schleicher's excellent Compendium' appeared in 1861, and was since twice published in a revised form by the author, the second time shortly before his death. When it was edited finally in 1876 with very few changes indeed, the two editors were already of opinion that it required complete remodelling (see the preface p. IX). Thus I feel sure that the 'Elements', the first volume of which is now before the reader, meets a real need.

Time and competent criticism will decide whether it has solved the problem in any degree satisfactorily and whether it can claim to be of the same service to our science and its students as was Schleicher's book in its time. My task was attended by all manner of difficulties and I am fully conscious that in some respects it is inadequately discharged. I trust nevertheless that the result may be of some service, at least for the present.

I take this opportunity of drawing especial attention to one or two points in the plan and execution of my work.

It was not my object to collect all the various views, often widely divergent, which have been from time to time put forward by authorities, on questions relating to the history of the Indo-Germanic languages. As a rule I give only the views that I consider right or at least probable, after submitting them to repeated tests. A mere regard to the size of the book necessitated this course. The most important authorities will be found summarily enumerated in the introduction and at the beginning of the separate sections. To mention in each case who has treated the subject, and who was the first author of the view I have accepted, seemed to me unnecessary to the purpose of the book, and excluded by the small space at my disposal. I took what was good wherever I was sure I had found it; all parties among the different methods and schools of linguistic science are fairly represented in these pages. Hence though I may per-

haps have overlooked much that is useful, I still hope that approximately at any rate I have attained the aim I had set before me: to exhibit the present state of our knowledge in a concise form, giving prominence to all the more important points.

At the same time I have not confined myself to stating such results as seem certain to stand for all future time. I have spoken of many problems that are still unsolved, in order to give the reader not only a survey of what has been already accomplished, but also a glimpse of the work that still remains to be done. This was indispensable in view of the position in which the science of the Indg. languages at present stands. Thanks to the discovery of many fresh sources of information, and still more, I think, to the fertile combination, which the past ten years have brought about between minute investigation on the one hand and the philosophy of language on the other (the character of which I have endeavoured to sketch in my essay, 'Zum heutigen Stand der Sprachwissenschaft' p. 33 ff.), new problems to be solved have of late arisen on all sides, and that in such numbers that many decades of work will still be needed to master them, so far as we can reckon that they are to be solved at all. (1) Had I silently passed over all such unsettled questions in the history of the Indg. languages, the picture of the whole subject which I tried to draw would have been marred by many grievous blanks. But further I hoped to incite the reader to independent investigation, by referring to much that has not yet passed the stage of problem and hypothesis. In doing so I have taken care that what is uncertain should not preponderate over what is certain, and should everywhere be clearly distinguished from it. And if, instead of merely marking the phenomenon in question as unexplained, I have often hazarded a conjecture, at all events in something like the direction in which the solution of the riddle is to be sought, despite those who resent every 'probably' or 'perhaps' in scientific works, I may quote Goethe's words: 'The opinions you venture, are like the pawns you move forward on the chess-board; they may be taken, but they have introduced a game that will be won'. The conjectures I have admitted into this book should always be regarded only as challenges to more minute investigation.

As regards the arrangement of the material my aim is to let the different branches of language and the separate languages appear each as a unit complete in itself on the common background of the Indo-Germanic primitive community, yet in such a way that each single phenomenon appears separated as little as possible from the kindred examples in other languages. My method of exposition takes about the middle course between that of Bopp's Comparative Grammar on the one hand, which may

¹⁾ As regards exceptions and irregularities it has now become the effort of all scholars to aim at seeking for the reason of the exception, not occasionally only, but in every case, and systematically, and we consider our duty to science undischarged until we have found the answer to the 'why'. How many phenomena of language, which once were thought perfectly clear, so that a final judgment could be pronounced upon them, have by this means become unanswered problems!

be described as a connected picture sinking what belongs to the separate languages in the Indo-Germanic whole, and that of Schleicher's Compendium, on the other, which does little more than string together a number of separate grammars. It seeks to unite the excellences of both. This of course could not be done without some sacrifice of system. In the phonology I was obliged to add a series of chapters on combinatory sound-change after tracing the development of the primitive Indo-Germanic sounds one by one. Here I have had now and then to repeat in sum what had already been mentioned or to expand and supplement what before had been merely hinted at. I prefer to submit to this incongruity of arrangement, which Schleicher has avoided, than to disregard consciously the considerable didactic advantage that it affords; and in this point at least I hope to have on my side the academical teachers who lecture on comparative grammar.

In the transcription I have been as far as possible conservative. The reader will easily perceive why I was obliged to discard one or two symbols widely in use, e. g. the representation of the Sanskrit palatal and cerebral sibilants by c and s (or sh). I ought perhaps in general to have paid even more attention than I have done to the rule that the same sound in different languages should be represented by the same sign: e. g. Av. z, not y, on account of the Germanic (Ags.) z; Gothic w, not v, on account of the Old High German w. And in several other points the notation might certainly be improved, at least if it be thought desirable to sacrifice what is widely or universally in use in favour of less usual As regards the Aryan languages and Armenian especially, I gladly take this opportunity of expressing a wish, which, I think, most Indo-Germanic scholars share with me, that the Congress of Oriental scholars 1) should sanction by its authority as soon as it is practicable to do so, some suitable system of transcription for these languages, which should have regard to the needs both of special philologists and of comparative scholars, so that the vagaries of the prevailing usage in the matter may be at last brought within bounds. That the question of transcription is not yet ready for a final settlement is no valid objection.

My work has been least independent in dealing with the phonology of Iranian and Armenian. Here I could generally connect it very closely with the excellent works of Bartholomae and Hübschmann, especially with the former's Handbook of the Old Iranian Dialects, and the latter's Armenian Studies. I have only deviated in a very few points from Hübschmann's treatment of the history of the Armenian sounds. In the Keltic branch many will look for a more detailed account of the British dialects. I fully admit that comparative philology must devote more attention to these dialects than has hitherto been the case. But my knowledge in this department is too small for me to venture to set any

¹⁾ The attempt made in the fifth Congress (see 'Verhandlungen des fünften internationalen Orientalisten-Congresses', Berlin 1881, p. 89) has unfortunately led to no result.

dialect of the British group side by side with Irish. It was my intention two years ago to make myself so far familiar with Cymric as to be able to treat the chief features at least of this language along with the rest. My removal to Freiburg however at that time cut me off almost entirely from all Keltological literature, and I was thus obliged to abandon my design. As regards Irish also the want of a library 1) sufficiently furnished with philological literature caused me difficulties in many ways. I am hence all the more thankful to Prof. Thurneysen of Jena [now in Freiburg], who not only sent me information on many points of Keltic philology, but also at my request undertook the trouble of revising the proof-sheets relating to Keltic. Both have been a help to my work in many details, in far more places than has been indicated by a reference to his name. In particular it was such help only that made it possible in all cases to distinguish the British forms correctly according to dialect and period. It may also be remarked that almost all the addenda relating to Keltic p. 565 ff. [now incorporated with the text of this translation] were due to communications from Thurneysen. If I add lastly that my treatment of some of the phenomena of Keltic philology is not in harmony with the views of this scholar, it is in order to prevent misunderstanding, that he may not in any way be made responsible for mistakes that might be found in the Keltic parts of this book. I have to thank Dr. Holthausen of Heidelberg [now in Halle] for some notices relating to Germanic, which he placed at my disposal after an inspection of several proof- and the finally revised sheets and of which I have for the most part made use.

I have not been able consistently to take account of the scientific literature which has appeared this year. I especially lament that the second edition of G. Meyer's Greek Grammar, Braune's Old High German Grammar and the second edition of Leskien's Hand-book of the Old Bulgarian Language did not appear until after my printing had begun.

To facilitate reference, I shall add an index of words at the end of the whole work.

Its continuation and conclusion shall follow as quickly as time and strength permit.

Freiburg i. B., July 1886.

KARL BRUGMANN.

¹⁾ It is only since the founding of the chair for comparative philology that the University library here has systematically purchased works on this subject (apart of course from the classical languages and Germanic), so that the necessary material can only be procured very gradually.

TRANSLATOR'S PREFACE.

When Prof. Brugmann and Mr. Trübner proposed to me, two years ago, while I was still a student in Heidelberg, that I should translate the 'Grundriss der vergleichenden Grammatik der indo-germanischen Sprachen' into English as soon as it appeared, I gladly accepted the proposal, in the hope that I should thus be rendering valuable service both to English and American students of philology, especially to the former who would otherwise very possibly, if they did not happen to know German, have to remain an indefinite length of time without being able to enter into a systematic and scientific study of languages, based on firm and rigid principles.

If the work of translating the original has taken me longer than I at first anticipated it would, this is almost entirely due to the poverty-stricken state of our language as regards current philological technical terms. Some of the terms employed by me, e. g. slurred and broken accent, intersonantal, initiality, strong-grade etc., will no doubt sound strange at first reading. But those, who have either been trained at a German university or are familiar with German philological literature, will readily confess how difficult it sometimes is to find a very exact and appropriate English equivalent for many of the German grammatical technicalities. Time will of course show whether I have in each case hit upon the best term, but I have everywhere tried rather to represent as exactly as I could the meaning of the author than to produce an elegant English paraphrase, which could preserve neither the brevity nor the rigidly scientific form of the original.

Last spring Prof. Brugmann gave me in Leipzig a list of corrections, consisting partly of misprints not given at the end of the original work, and partly of a few slight mistakes which were mentioned in the reviews of the work. These as also the corrections and emendations at the end of the German edition have been worked into the body of the translation. I also received from the author a short time ago one or two other corrections of the original which will be found at the end of the present edition.

At the end of the volume will be found a short list of those abbreviations which would be likely to cause the reader any difficulty.

In conclusion I have to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. Brugmann and M. P. Giles, Fellow of Gonville and Cajus College Cambridge, for the great assistance they have rendered me by helping in the reading of the proof-sheets.

J. WRIGHT.

London, November 29, 1887.

CONTENTS OF THE FIRST VOLUME.

INTRODUCTION.

Pa_{ij}	50
DEFINITION OF THE SCIENCE OF THE INDO-GERMANIC LAN-	
GUAGES, AND THE DIVISION OF THE INDG. FAMILY OF	
LANGUAGES INTO ITS VARIOUS BRANCHES	1
Definition of the science of the Indg. languages (§ 1)	1
	2
Differentiation of dialects (§ 3)	2
	4
	5
	6
4. The Albanian branch (§ 7)	7
	8
6. The Keltic branch (§ 9)	9
7. The Germanic branch (§ 10)	0
8. The Baltic-Slavonic branch (§ 11)	1
Sketch of the Indg. phases of development to be discussed in this	
book (§ 12)	2
ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE INDG. LANGUAGES IN GENERAL.	
	3
	.3
botton principal to the relimitation time and composition (6 m)	
Meaning of the hyphens usual in the analysis of words (§ 14) 1	6
PHONOLOGY.	
THE SOUNDS OF THE INDG. PRIMITIVE LANGUAGE (§ 15) 1	9
PHONETIC ELUCIDATIONS	0
1. Voiced and voiceless sounds (§ 16)	0
	1
3. Sonants and consonants (§ 18)	

	Page
ON THE PRONUNCIATION OF THE LETTERS	. 22
The different kinds of alphabets and transcription (§ 19)	. 22
Sanskrit (§ 20)	. 23
Iranian (§ 21)	. 24
Armenian (§ 22)	25
Umbrian-Samuitic (§ 23) ,	. 26
Old Irish (§ 24)	. 27
Gothic (§ 25)	. 28
Lithuanian (§ 26)	. 28
Old Bulgarian (§ 27)	. 30
HISTORY OF THE SEPARATE PRIM. INDG. SOUNDS.	
THE VOWELS.	
A. The vowels as sonants	
The prim. Indg. vowel sounds (§ 28)	. 30
Indg. /	. 50
Prim. Indg. (§ 29)	
Aryan (§ 30) . ,	. 32
Armenian (§ 31)	. 32
Greek (§ 32)	
Italie (§ 33)	. 33
O.Irish (§ 34)	. 34
Germanic (§ 35)	. 35
Baltie-Slavonic (§ 36)	. 35
Indg. $\bar{\imath}$. 37
Prim. Indg. (§ 37)	
Aryan (§ 38)	. 38
Armenian (§ 39)	. 38
Greek (§ 40)	. 38
Italic (§ 41)	. 38
O.Irish (§ 42) :	
Germanic (§ 43)	
Baltic-Slavonic (§ 44)	. 39
Indg. μ	. 40
Prim. Indg. (§ 45)	. 40
Aryan (§ 46)	. 40
Armenian (§ 41)	. 40
Greek (§ 48)	. 41
Italic (§ 49)	. 41
O.Irish (§ 50)	. 42
Germanic (§ 51)	. 43
Baltic-Slavonic (§ 52)	. 43
Indg. $ ilde{u}$. 45
Prim. Indg. (§ 53)	. 45
Aryan (§ 54)	. 45

Conte	nt	s (of t	he	fir	st	vo.	lun	ıe.								XIII
																	Page
Armenian (\S 55) .	•	•		•	٠	•		٠	•		•						45
Greek (§ 56)	•	•		•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠						45
Italie (§ 57)	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•		•		•	•				46
O.Irish (§ 58)	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•		•	٠	•					46
Germanic (§ 59) .	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	47
Baltic-Slavonic (§ 60)																	47
Indg. e Prim. Indg. (§ 61) .															•		47
Prim. Indg. (§ 61) .																	47
Aryan (§ 62)																	49
Armenian (§ 63) .																٠	50
Greek (§ 64)																	50
Italie (§ 65)																	51
O.frish (§ 66)																	54
Germanic (§ 67) .																	56
Baltic-Slavonic (§ 68)																	59
Indg. ē																	61
Prim. Indg. (§ 69) .																	61
Aryan (§ 70)		Ī					·								Ċ		62
Armenian (§ 71)	•			Ĭ.	•			Ĭ.									62
Greek (§ 72)				Ċ	·	·			Ċ	·	·	Ċ		Ċ	Ċ	Ċ	63
Italie (§ 73)																	63
O.Irish (§ 74)																	64
Germanic (§ 75)																	64
Beltie-Slavonic (§ 76)	•	·	Ċ	•	Ċ					•		•			•		65
																	66
Indg. o	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	66
Aryan (§ 78)																	68
Armenian (§ 79)	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	70
Greek (§ 80)																	71
Italic (§ 81)	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		72
O.Irish (§ 82)	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	76
Germanic (§ 83)																	77
Baltie-Slavonic (§ 84)																	79
\ ·																	
Indg. \bar{o}	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	83 83
Prim. Indg. (§ 85) .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	83
Aryan (§ 86)	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	1	84
Armenian (§ 87) .	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
Greek (§ 88)																	84
Italie (§ 89)																	84
O.Irish (§ 90)	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	85
Germanic (§ 91)	•	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	85
Baltie-Slavonie (§ 92)																	86
Indg. a	•			•					•		•	•	•	•	•		87
Prim. Indg. (§ 93) .								٠			•	٠			•	٠	87
Aryan (§ 94)										•			٠	٠	٠	•	88

	Page
Armenian (§ 95)	89 90
Greek (§ 96)	91
Italic (§ 97)	93
O.Irish (§ 98)	94
Germanic (§ 99)	91
	96
Indg. \bar{a}	
Prim. Indg. (§ 101)	96
Aryan (§ 102)	96
Armenian (§ 103)	97
Greek (§ 104)	97 98
Italie (§ 105)	99
O.Irish (§ 106)	99
Baltie-Slavonic (§ 108)	99
Indg. 7 (§ 109—110)	100
Primitive Indg. vowel contraction	105
General remarks (§ 111)	105
I. Contraction of a-, e-, o-vowels with a-, e-, o-vowels (§ 112	
—115)	106
II. Contraction of a-, c-, o-vowels with i- and n-vowels (§ 116)	108
B. The vowels as consonants	109
General remarks (§ 117)	109
Indg. i	110
Prim. Indg. (§ 118—122)	110
Aryan (§ 123—127)	113
Armenian (§ 128)	117
Greek (§ 129—132)	117
Italie (§ 133—136)	120
O.Irish (§ 137—140)	124
0 . (0 + 14 + 14)	125
D. D. 100 100 100	
Faltic-Slavonic (§ 145—148)	130
Loss of i in the prim. Indg. period (§ 149-150)	135
Indg. u	137
Prim. Indg. (§ 151—156)	137
Aryan (§ 157-161)	140
Armenian (§ 162)	145
Greek (§ 163-167)	145
Italic (§ 168–172)	149
O.Irish (§ 173—176)	153
Germanie (§ 177—181)	-
	155
Baltic-Slavonic (§ 182—186)	155
Baltic-Slavonic (§ 182-186) Loss of μ in the prim. Indg. period (§ 187-188)	155 159

	Page
NASALS.	2 0.50
A. The nasals as consonants	162
Prim. Indg. period (§ 189—196)	162
Aryan (§ 197–200)	166
Armenian (§ 201—202)	169
Greek (§ 203 – 205)	169
Italic (§ 206—209)	173
O.Irish (§ 210—212)	177
Germanic (§ 213-215)	180
Baltic-Slavonic (§ 216—219)	183
Nasal changes in the prim. Indg. period (§ 220-221)	188
B. The nasals as sonants	190
Preliminary remarks (§ 222)	190
1. The short sonant nasals (§ 223-252)	190
General remarks (§ 223)	190
Prim. Indg. period (§ 224 - 227)	191
Aryan (§ 228—231)	194
Armenian (§ 232)	197
Greek (§ 233-236)	197
Italic (§ 237—241)	199
O.Irish (§ 242 – 243)	200
Germanic (§ 244—247) ,	201
Baltie-Slavonic (§ 248 –252)	204
2. The long sonant nasals (§ 253)	206
LIQUIDS.	
A. The liquids as consonants	207
Prim. Indg. period (§ 254—256)	207
Aryan (§ 257—261)	210
Armenian (§ 262—263)	213
Greek (§ 264—266)	214
Italic (§ 267—271)	216
O.Irish (§ 272 – 274)	219
Germanic (§ 275-277)	220
Baltic-Slavonic (§ 278—281)	222
Changes of liquids in the prim. Indg. period (§ 282)	225
B. The liquids as sonants	226
Preliminary remarks (§ 283)	226
1. The short sonant liquids (§ 284—305)	226
General remarks (§ 284)	226
Prim. Indg. period (§ 285-287)	227
Aryan (§ 288—290)	
Armenian (§ 291)	232
Greek (\$ 292—294)	233

	Managem Additionagement of the Addition of the Commission of the C			1	Pag
	Italie (§ 295 – 297)				-
	O.Irish (§ 298)				23(
	Germanic (§ 299-301)				237
	Baltie-Slavonic (§ 302-305)	٠			239
2.	The long sonant liquids (§ 306)			,	241
	3 1				
ABLAU	UT (VOWEL GRADATION).				
The	nature of ablant and the different grades of ablant in	ge	nei	aI	
	(§ 307-310)				244
1. 7	The e-series (§ 311—314)				247
	The \bar{e} -series (§ 315)				254
	The \bar{a} -series (§ 316)				255
	The $\bar{\sigma}$ -series (§ 317)				255
	The a-series (§ 318)				256
	The o-series (\$ 319)				258
'/• I	the observes (§ 515)	•	•	•	
EXPLO	OSIVES.				
		,,			
Gen	eral remarks: The nature of explosives (§ 320), place				
	culation (§ 321), form of articulation (§ 322), system				
	lndg, explosives § 323)	٠	٠	. :	264
A. 1	The explosives according to their place o	fε	ert	i -	
	culation				262
,					
1.	The labial explosives				262
	Indg. prim. period (§ 324—326)	•			262
	Aryan (§ 327-329)	•	٠		264
	Armenian (§ 330-332)	•	٠		265
	Greek (§ 333—335)	٠	•		266
	Italie (§ 336-338)		٠	. 2	267
	O.Irish (§ 339-341)			. 2	268
	Germanic (§ 342-344)			. 2	270
	D-14:- Cl 10 047 047			. 2	271
2.	The dental explosives				272
	D : T 3				272
	1 (0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				
	1 mm onion (2 900 900)				78
	Armeman (\$ 500-502)				75
	Greek (§ 363-365)				77
	Italie (§ 366—370)		٠		278
	O.Irish (§ 371–373)		٠		82
	Germanic (§ 374-376)	•		. 2	83
	Baltic-Slavonic (§ 377-379)				85
3.					
	The palatal explosives	-		. 9	SIL
	General remarks (§ 380)				86
	General remarks (§ 380) Prim. Indg. period (§ 381 – 383)				86 86 88

		Page
	a. The language-group with explosives	
•	Greek (§ 3×4—386)	
	Italie (\$ 387—389)	290
	Italie (§ 387—389)	292
	Germanic (§ 393-395)	298
ī	o. The language-group with spirants or affricatae .	
,	Arvan (\$ 396-407)	
	Aryan (§ 396-407)	300
	Albanian (\$ 411)	302
	Albanian (§ 411)	302
4 [The velar explosives	305
(General remarks (§ 417).	305
1	General remarks (§ 417) . Prim. Indg. period (§ 418—423) . The language-group with labialisation	306
٤	The same and a State of the same and the sam	
	Preliminary remark on the irregular appearance of labia-	
	lisation (§ 424	
	Greek (§ 425—429)	311
	Italic (§ 430-433)	318
		323
	Germanic (§ 439 – 444)	326
t	o. The language-group without labialisation	331
	Aryan (§ 445—454)	331
	Armeman (3 455—451)	336
	Albanian (§ 458—460)	337 337
	Baltic-Slavonic (§ 461—465)	
	Retrospect of the history of the velar explosives (\$ 466)	
1	interchange of the Palatal and velar explosives (§ 467)	.542
ויות פ	ne explosives after their form of articulation.	
Б. Д	de explosives after their form of articulation.	
Prin	n. Indg. period (§ 468-469)	344
Ary	an (470-482)	347
Arn	nenian (§ 483485)	357
Gre	ek (§ 486-497)	359
Ital	ek (§ 486 – 497)	365
$O.I_1$	rish (§ 512-526)	375
Ger	rish (§ 512-526)	
Bali	tic-Slavonic (§ 542 - 551)	
The	combination media asp. $+ t$, $s (\S 552)$.	403
The	Indg. tennes aspiratae (\$ 553)	405
THE SP	IRANTS.	
Gener	al remarks on the prim. Indg. spirants (§ 554)	407
Indg.		
	n. Indg. period (§ 555)	408

													Page
Aryan (§ 556—558)	•		٠	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	410
Armenian (§ 559-562)													416
Greek (§ 563-566)	٠	•	٠	٠	٠		٠	٠	•	•	•	•	418
Italic (§ 567-572)													424
O.Irish (§ 573 - 576)	•			•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	٠	•	430
Germanic (577-583)	٠		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	432
Baltic-Slavonic (§ 584-588)			٠	٠	•	٠	٠	•	٠	•	٠	٠	438
Prim. Indg. changes of s (§ 48	9)		•	•	٠	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	445
Indg. z.													
Prim. Indg. period (§ 590) .													447
Aryan (§ 591)													447
Armenian (§ 592)													449
Greek (§ 593)													449
Italie (§ 594)													450
O.Irish (§ 595)													451
Germanic (§ 596)													451
Baltic-Slavonic (§ 597)													452
Indg. j (§ 598)													452
OTHER COMBINATORY SOUND-	CH	ANG	¥E8	S.									
Preliminary remarks (§ 599) .			•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	453
CONTRACTION (HIATUS, ELISION	s).												
General remarks (§ 600)													453
Aryan (§ 601)													455
Armenian (§ 602)													
Greek (§ 603)													
Italie (§ 604)													
O.Irish (§ 605)													458
Germanic (§ 606)													459
Baltic-Slavonic (§ 607)													460
SHORTENING OF LONG VOWEL	s.												
General remarks (§ 608)													461
Aryan (§ 609)			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	461
Armenian (§ 610)			·	·	·	•	•	•					461
Greek (§ 611)				•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	461
Italie (§ 612)					•	•	•						
O.Irish (§ 613)	Ċ		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	464
Germanic (§ 614)	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	464
Baltic-Slavonic (§ 615)				•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	465
LENTHENING OF SHORT VOWE			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	409
Aryan (§ 616)													465

Solidade conduction (solitage provided graphics) resembled that is a first	Contents														-	
Armenian (§ 617)																Page
Greek (§ 618) .																
Italic (§ 619) .																
O.Irish (§ 620)																
Germanic (§ 621)		•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	467
Baltic-Slavonic (§	622)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	467
ANAPTYXIS FROM	CONSONA	NT	5.													
General remarks	(§ 623).															468
Aryan (§ 624) .																469
Armenian (§ 625)) . . .															470
Greek (§ 626) .																470
Italic (§ 627) .																470
Germanic (§ 628)																
Baltic-Slavonic ((629)														•	472
VOWEL ABSORPTION	ξ.															
General remarks	(\$ 630).															473
Aryan (§ 631) .																
Armenian (§ 632)																473
Italic (§ 633) .																
O.Irish (§ 634)																
Germanic (§ 635)																
Baltic-Slavonic (
PALATALISATION	AND LAB	[AL	ISA	TIO	ON	w	ITI	1 l	ΞP.	EN'	гн	ESI	s.			
General remarks	(\$ 637).															477
Aryan (§ 638) .																
Greek (§ 639) .																
O.Irish (§ 640)																
Germanic (§ 641																
Baltie-Slavonie (
LOSS OF SYLLAB	LE BY DI	SSII	MIL	ΑT	101	N (Şθ	343)							481
SANDHI (SATZPHO						•										
Sandhi in genera	al (8 644)															48
Prim. Indg. peri																
Aryan (§ 646—6																
Aryan (§ 640—6 Armenian (§ 651																
Greek (§ 652-6																
trreek to boz b	: 144 1			•										•	•	±30
																501
Italic (§ 655—65	i6)															
	66) 658) .															500

				Page
ACCENTUATION.				
Accentuation in general (§ 667-668)				527
Prim. Indg. period (\$ 669-671)				532
Aryan (§ $672-674$)				537
Armenian (§ 675)				539
Greek (§ 676-678)				540
Italie (§ 679 – 683)				545
O.Irish (§ 684—685)			-	550
Germanic (§ 686-689)				552
Baltic-Slavonie (§ 690-692)				556
ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS .				562

INTRODUCTION.

DEFINITION OF THE SCIENCE OF THE INDO-GER-MANIC LANGUAGES, AND THE DIVISION OF THE INDO-GERMANIC FAMILY INTO ITS VARIOUS BRANCHES.

§ 1. The science of the Indg. languages forms, like Indg. Mythology, a section of Indg. 'Philology', i. e. of that science, which has to investigate the intellectual development of the Indg. peoples from the time before their separation up to the present day. Its method accordingly is historical and its task is to investigate the whole development of the Indg. languages from the time when they were still one language down to the present day. Its unity is in no sense broken by the results furnished by the specialists in Sanskrit, Ancient and Modern Greek, Latin and the Romance languages, Keltic etc., for the sciences of the Indian languages, of Ancient and Mod. Greek etc. are integral components of the grand whole formed by that of the Indo-Germanic.

It is true that the so called comparative science of language has hitherto been almost exclusively confined to the older periods of the Indg. languages, but this is due to the division of labour which was involved in the method by which alone progress could be made, as well as to the limitations of human strength. Probably the same division of labour will still be necessary, but it implies no real opposition between the different parts of the science.

Compare the author's inaugural address 'Sprachwissenschaft und Philologie' (in his 'Zum heutigen Stand der Sprachwissenschaft', Strassburg 1885, p. 3 ff.).

- § 2. We are not at present in a position to determine finally what was the primitive home of the Indg. tribes. Only so much is clear, that these tribes in pre-historic times must have been far less widely diffused than they were at the beginning of the historic era. It was formerly usual to place this primitive home somewhere in Asia, whereas at present scholars lean rather to the opinion that the Asiatic members of the stock passed over from Europe. Cp. O. Schrader 'Sprachvergleichung und Urgeschichte', Jena 1883, p. 442 ff.
- § 3. It is impossible to suppose that a language should have gone through a long course of development, and be spoken by a people of any considerable numbers, without a certain amount of dialectical variation; and hence we cannot look upon the speech of the Indogermans, even while they still occupied a comparatively small territory and maintained a fairly close degree of intercourse with one another, as bearing in any strict sense, a uniform character. Local differences had no doubt already arisen, though actual instances of this have hardly as yet been established with perfect certainty. One such I have maintained, though only as a conjecture, in §§ 380, 417. In historical times there appear a multitude of dialects, diverging in a greater or less degree, the whole field of which can hardly be included in any one survey. We may take for granted that the differentiation of dialects about the year 2000 B. C. had gone so far, that a number of communities existed side by side, which could no longer, or only with difficulty understand one another. historic record of the various individual developments begins at very different periods. E. g. the Indian development is known to us from about the year 1500 B. C. onwards, the Latin from about 300 B. C., the Irish since the eighth century of the Christian era (with the exception of the Ogam inscriptions, ep. § 9), and the Lithuanian from the middle of the sixteenth century.

A number of separate developments, which sprung from the primitive Indg. language, have perished without leaving any traces of their existence. Of others we have only very scanty fragments left, on which it is scarcely, if at all, possible to found a grammar, as of Phrygian, Macedonian, Messapian, Gallic, and Burgundian. The remainder have come down to us with a more abundant supply of material.

The dialects belonging to this last class, are arranged into eight groups (branches of language): 1. Aryan, 2. Armenian, 3. Greek, 4. Albanian, 5. Italic, 6. Keltic, 7. Germanic, 8. Baltic-Slavonic. Each group is distinguished by the fact that its individual members show in common a considerable number of changes in sound, inflexion, syntax and vocabulary; e. g. the shifting of the prim. Indg. explosives, discussed in § 527 ff. (what is known as the first sound-shifting), is one of the numerous characteristics of the close relation existing between the Germanic dialects.

Of the dialects, which have come down to us only in scanty fragments, there are a certain number which can be assigned with certainty to one or other of these eight groups, e. g. the Burgundian to the Germanic, and the Gallic to the Keltic group, whilst others, e. g. the Macedonian, seem to belong to none of them.

It is in itself very possible that some of these eight chief members stand to each other in a closer relationship, and form a single group historically distinct from the rest. All attempts, however, to establish such a closer relationship, have hitherto proved futile. The Italo-Keltic hypothesis has perhaps the best prospect of attaining a greater degree of probability in the future.

Cp. Johannes Schmidt Die Verwandtschaftsverhältnisse der indogermanischen Sprachen, Weimar 1872. Leskien Die Declination im Slavisch-Litauischen und Germanischen, Leipzig 1876, introduction. Delbrück Einleitung in das Sprachstudium, Edition 2. 1884, p. 131 ff. C. Schrader op. cit. p. 66 ff. The Author, Zur Frage nach den Verwandtschaftsverhältnissen

der indogermanischen Sprachen, in Techmer's Internat. Zeitschr. für allg. Sprachwissenschaft I 226 ff.

§ 4. (1) The Aryan branch consists of the Indian and Iranian groups.

The oldest and most archaic dialect of the Indian group is the language of the Veda, the oldest portions of which (the hymns of the Rigveda) possibly go back as far as 1500 B.C. 1). Next comes Sanskrit in the stricter sense of the word (also called classical Sanskrit), the continuation of a dialect now lost, which existed side by side with the Vedic and differed but slightly from it in the formation of its sounds and inflexions. It became separated from the popular development as the literary language, and was stereotyped in a fixed and purely artificial form. The vulgar language, called Prākrit, as early as the third century B.C. differed very materially from Sanskrit and at that time was divided into at least three chief dialects. Through the influence of Buddhism, Prakrit was also raised to a literary language (Pāli). From the popular dialects of Prākrit have descended the numerous modern Indian languages and dialects, Hindi -{Hindustani), Bengali, Uriya, Maharatti, Guzerati, Sindhi, Penjabi and others.

In this work we shall only deal with the Vedic language and classical Sankrit.

Cp. Bopp Kritische Grammatik der Sanskrita-Sprache in kürzerer Fassung, Edit. 3., Berlin 1863. Benfey Vollständige Grammatik der Sanskritsprache, Leipzig 1852. The same Author's Kurze Sanskrit-Grammatik, Leipzig 1855. Whitney Sanskrit Grammar, Leipzig 1879 (with supplement I, Grammatisches aus dem Mahabharata, by A. Holtzmann, 1884; supplement II, The roots, verb-forms, and primary derivatives of the Sanskrit language, by Whitney, 1885).

The oldest recorded dialects of the Iranian group are Old Persian (West Iranian), the language of the Persian

¹⁾ Cp. A. Kaegi Der Rigveda, die älteste Literatur der Inder, Edition 2, Leipzig 1881.

cuneiform inscripitions dating from about 520 to 350 B.C., and Avestic, also called Zend and Old Bactrian (East Iranian), the language of the Avesta, the sacred book of the Zoroastrians, which has come down to us with corruptions of many kinds, deviating considerably from its original form. The various portions of the work were composed at very different periods 1). Some parts of the Avesta, including 17 hymns (gāþā f.), are written in a peculiar dialect, which is more archaic than the language of the other parts, and as distinct from which the latter is called Later Avestic or Zend in the stricter sense. Not one of the Modern Iranian languages is a direct continuation of Old Persian or Avestic. The Modern Persian dialects (Gilani etc.), Kurdic and probably also Ossetian (spoken in the neighbourhood of the Caucasus) are more closely related to the former, and the language of Afghanistan (Paštu) to the latter.

We shall only deal with the two Old Iranian languages.

Cp. Spiegel Die altpersischen Keilinschriften, Edition 2., Leipzig 1881. Justi Handbuch der Zendsprache, Leipzig 1864. Bartholomae Handbuch der altiranischen Dialekte, Leipzig 1883.

§ 5. (2) Armenian, which de Lagarde and Friedr. Müller assigned to the Iranian group, but Hübsehmann (Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIII 5 ff., 400 ff.) has proved to be an independent member of the Indg. family of languages, is known to us since the fifth century of our era. The literary language (O.Armenian), which had then become fixed, remained in this usage without any material changes down to modern times, and is separated by a great interval from the modern dialects. Historical investigations have hitherto been almost exclusively confined to O.Armenian. The term Armenian will always be used in the sense of O.Armenian.

Cp. Petermann, Grammatica linguae Armeniacae, Berlin 1837. The same Author, Brevis linguae armeniacae grammatica, litteratura, chrestomathia cum glossario, ed. II, Berlin 1872.

¹⁾ On the difficult question as to the age of the Avesta cp. Eduard Meyer Geschichte des Alterthums I (1884) p. 501 ff.

Hübschmann Armenische Studien I, Leipzig 1883 (of special importance for the phonology).

§ 6. (3) Greek had strongly marked variations in different localities long before Homer's time and in the historic era appears split up into numerous dialects.

These may be classified in the following manner: 1. Ionic-Attic, a. The district of Ionia, b. Attica. 2. Doric, a. Laconia with Tarentum and Heraclea, b. Messenia, c. Argolis and Aegina, d. Corinth with Corcyra, e. Megara with Byzantium, f. the Peloponnesian colonies of Sicily, g. Crete, h. Thera and Melos together with Cyrene, i. Rhodes with Gela and Acragas, k. the other Dor. islands in the Aegean, as Carpathus, Astypalaea etc. 3. North West Greek, a. Phocis, b. Locris, c. Aetolia, d. Acarnania, e. Phthiotis and the district of the Aenianes, f. Epirus, g. probably also Achaia. 4. Aeolic, a. Lesbos and Aeolian Asia Minor, b. North Thessaly, c. Boeotia. 5. Elean (belonging to North West Greek?). 6. Arcadian-Cyprian¹). 7. Pamphylian.

These dialects are found in their purest form on inscriptions. The literary language, especially that of the poets, is in many respects artificially constructed; even the language of the Homeric poems is in great measure an artificial dialect.

Towards the end of the fifth century B. C. there was formed on the basis of the Attic dialect a literary language common to all Greeks, which almost entirely excluded the use of the other dialects from the later prose literature of antiquity. Hence it is that we have to gather our knowledge of most of the non-Attic local dialects either entirely, or almost entirely, from inscriptions.

The language of mediaevel Greek literature is an artificial mixture of ancient Greek with forms of the then spoken popular language in varying degrees of modification. Modern Greek

¹⁾ Collitz (Die Verwandtschaftsverhältnisse der griech. Dialekte 1885) places this dialect in close relation with Aeolic and puts forward the hypothesis that 'the Thessalian dialect in point of language forms the transition-stage from Bœotian to Lesbian, from Lesbian to Cyprian-Arcadian and from Cyprian-Arcadian to Bœotian' (p. 9).

exhibits a rich development of dialects; as yet little has been done towards fixing the historical relation of the separate dialects to ancient Greek¹).

We shall confine our attention to the development of the O.Gr. dialects.

Cp. Ahrens De Graccae linguae dialectis, I. De dialectis Acolicis, Göttingen 1839, II. De dialecto Dorica, Göttingen 1843. R. Meister Die griechischen Dialekte, I. Asiatisch-Äolisch. Böotisch, Thessalisch, Göttingen 1882. G. Curtius Grundzüge der griechischen Etymologie, Edit. 5., Leipzig 1879. R. Kühner Ausführliche Grammatik der griechischen Sprache, 2 vols., Edit. 2., Hannover 1869—1870. G. Meyer Griechische Grammatik, Leipzig 1880 (Edit. 2. 1886). Delbrück Die Grundlagen der griechischen Syntax, Halle 1879. The Author, Griechische Grammatik, in Iw. Müller's Handbuch der klassischen Altertumswissenschaft II (1885) p. 1—126.

§ 7. (4) Albanian, the language of ancient Illyria, has only been known to us from monuments of any extent since the seventeenth century. The historical treatment of this language, which is beset with manifold difficulties — the greatest of which lies in clearly separating pure Albanian words from those borrowed from the Greek, Latin, Romance, Slavonic and Turkish languages —, is still in its infancy.

Cp. Gustav Meyer Albanesische Studien, Wien I 1883, II 1884 (in which the whole of the older literature has been collected together) and 'Der Einfluss des Lateinischen auf die alban. Formenlehre' in the Miscellanea di Filologia, dedicata alla memoria dei professori Caix e Canello p. 103 ff.²).

We shall only take this language into account in discussing

¹⁾ Considerations introductory to the study of the relation of Middle and Modern Greek to O.Greek and the researches on the subject are given by Krumbacher Beiträge zu einer Geschichte der griech. Sprache, in Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 481 ff.

²⁾ The treatise of the same scholar 'Über Sprache und Literatur der Albanesen' in his 'Essays und Studien zur Sprachgeschichte und Volkskunde', Berlin 1885, p. 49 ff. is strongly to be recommended as an introduction to this subject.

the development of the Indg. palatal and guttural explosives § 411 and § 458—460.

§ 8. (5) The Italic branch consists of Latin on the one hand and of the Umbrian-Samnitic dialects on the other.

Latin, with which the little known dialect of Falerii was closely related, is known to us from about 300 B. C. onwards. So long as the language was confined to Latium, there existed no dialectical differences of any importance. The contrast between the popular and the literary language, which had already arisen at the beginning of the archaic period of literature (from Livius Andronicus to Cicero), became still sharper in the classical period, and the further development of the former is almost entirely lost to our observation until the Middle Ages, when the popular Latin of the various provinces of the Roman empire meets us in a form more or less changed and with a rich development of dialects (Romance languages: Portuguese, Spanish, Catalanian, Provençal, French, Italian, Raetoromanic and Roumanian) 1).

We shall only consider the development of the Latin of antiquity.

Cp. Corssen Über Aussprache, Vocalismus und Betonung der lateinischen Sprache, 2 vols., Leipzig 1858. 1859, edit. 2., 1868. 1870. R. Kühner Ausführliche Grammatik der lateinischen Sprache, 2 vols., Hannover 1877. 1879. F. Stolz and J. G. Schmalz Lateinische Grammatik, in Iw. Müller's Handbuch der klass. Altertumsw. II (1885) p. 127—364.

The Umbrian-Samnitic dialects are known to a certain extent through inscriptions, which for the most part belong to the last centuries before our era, and through words quoted by Roman writers. We are best acquainted with Umbrian (Bréal Les tables Eugubines, Paris 1875, Bücheler Umbrica, Bonn 1883) and Oscan (Zvetaieff Sylloge inscriptionum Oscarum, Petersburg-Leipzig 1878). Of the Volscian, Picentine, Sabine,

¹⁾ Cp. Budinszky Die Ausbreitung der lat. Sprache über Italien und die Provinzen des römischen Reiches, Berlin 1881, Gröber in the Archiv für lat. Lexikographie I 35 ff., 204 ff.

Aequiculan, Vestinian, Marsian, Pelignian and Marrucinian dialects we have only very scanty remains (Zvetaieff Inscriptiones Italiae Mediae dialecticae, Leipzig 1884). All these dialects were forced into the background at an early period by the intrusion of Latin. The Sabines, who received citizenship in 267 B. C., seem to have been the first to become romanised. The slowest to give way was Oscan, which in the mountains did not perhaps become fully extinct for centuries after the Christian era.

Cp. further Bruppacher Osk. Lautlehre, Zürich 1869, Enderis Versuch einer Formeulehre der osk. Sprache, Zürich 1871.

§ 9. (6) The Keltic languages fall into three groups: Gallic, Britannic and Gaelic¹).

We know something of Gallic through Keltic names and words quoted by Greek and Latin authors, through inscriptions and coins. But the interpretation of the forms, mostly proper names, is in most cases so uncertain that from these remains linguistic research has hitherto gained comparatively little.

Britannic broke up into Cymric (or Welsh), Cornish and Bas Breton (or Armorican); the connexion between the last two is especially close. We are acquainted with Cymric and Bas Breton from the eighth or ninth century onward, at first through glosses; the oldest records of Cornish are somewhat later. The last named dialect became extinct at the end of the eighteenth or beginning of the nineteenth century, both the others are still living.

Irish-Gaelic, Scotch-Gaelic (which is also known as Gaelic in the stricter sense), and Manx (spoken in the Isle of Man) form the Gaelic division. All three of these are still living. The first two languages seem hardly to have differed from each other in the ninth century. The oldest monuments are the O.Gaelic Ogam inscriptions (Ogam is the native name for the

¹⁾ The first two languages have often been classed together as a special group, but without sufficient reason. See Thurneysen Keltoromanisches 1884 p. 7 ff.

Gaelic runes), which possibly date as far back as about 500 A.D. The literary record of Irish begins in the eighth century, at first with glosses, and then from the year 1100 onward we have many extensive manuscripts which contain sagas, ecclesiastical literature etc. Scotch-Gaelic literature begins somewhat later than that of Irish and in the older period is closely connected with Irish. Manx is only known to us during the last few centuries 1).

We shall consider chiefly Old Irish.

- Cp. J. C. Zeuss Grammatica Celtica (e monumentis vetustis tam Hibernicae linguae quam Britannicarum dialectorum Cambricae Cornicae Aremoricae comparatis Gallicae priscae reliquiis construxit J. C. Z.), Edit. 2. by H. Ebel, Berlin 1871. Windisch Kurzgefasste irische Grammatik, Leipzig 1879.
- § 10. (7) The Germanic branch is divided into Gothic, Norse, and West Germanic.

Gothic, the most archaic language of the Germanic group, is chiefly accessible to us through the biblical translation of the West Gothic bishop Ulfilas (311—381 A.D.). The language perished with the Gothic nation. (The East Goths, who dwelt in the Crimea, retained their language down to modern times; but of this branch of Gothic we possess only a few isolated words.)

Norse (or Scandinavian), which in certain special points closely coincides with Gothic²) and is therefore by some writers classed together with it as East Germanic as opposed to West Germanic, down to the Viking period (800-1000 A. D.) was practically a single language, but later on became broken up into four languages, Icelandic and Norwegian (West Norse) on the one hand, Swedish and Danish (East Norse) on the other. The earliest records are runic inscriptions, the oldest of which

¹⁾ Windisch's article «Keltische Sprachen» in Ersch und Gruber's A. Encykl. d. W. u. K. second section, XXXV p. 132 ff., serves as a good introduction to the Keltic languages generally, the monuments of these languages and Keltological literature.

²⁾ The most important of these coincidences are Goth. ddj = O.Icel. ggj from i (§ 142) and Goth. ggv = O.Icel. ggv from i (§ 179). Cp. Braune in Paul-Braune's Beitr. IX 546 f.

appear to belong to the fifth century. By O.Norse was, in former times, generally understood O.West Norse only; the term is now more properly applied to the whole development of the Scandinavian languages up to the sixteenth century.

The oldest representatives of West-Germanic are Anglo-Saxon, the continuation of which is Mod.English; O.Frisian; O.Saxon, now Low German; O.Low Franconian, now Dutch, Flemish, and the language of the German Lower Franconia; and O.High German, the present Upper- und Middle German dialects. The oldest records of these languages date from the eighth or ninth century, the oldest OHG, record belonging to about the period 740-745; but with Frisian we are acquainted only since the fourteenth century.

We shall limit ourselves chiefly to Gothic and Old High German.

Cp. J. Grimm Deutsche Grammatik, I², II², new reprint, Berlin 1870. 1878, III. IV Göttingen 1831, 1837. Rumpelt Deutsche Grammatik, mit Rücksicht auf vergleichende Sprachforschung, I (Lautlehre) Berlin 1860. A. Holtzmann Altdeutsche Grammatik I, 1 (Die specielle Lautlehre), 2 (Vergleichung der deutschen Laute unter einander), Leipzig 1870. 1875. W. Scherer Zur Geschichte der deutschen Sprache, edit. 2., Berlin 1878. Leo Meyer Die gothische Sprache, ihre Lautgestaltung insbesondere im Verhältniss zum Altindischen. Griechischen und Lateinischen, Berlin 1869. W. Braune Gotische Grammatik, edit. 2., Halle 1882. L. Wimmer Altnordische Grammatik, translated from the Danish by E. Sievers, Halle 1871. A. Noreen Altnordische Grammatik I (Altisl. und Altnorw. Gramm. unter Berücksichtigung des Urnordischen), Halle E. Sievers Angelsächsische Grammatik, Halle 1882 1884. (2. ed. 1886). W. Braune Althochdeutsche Grammatik, Halle 1886. O. Behaghel Die deutsche Sprache, Leipzig und Prag 1886.

§ 11. (7) The Baltic-Slavonic branch. The Baltic division consists of Prussian, Lithuanian, and Lettic. The former died out in the seventeenth century and we only possess very few imperfectly recorded specimens dating from the fifteenth and

sixteenth centuries. The two latter are still living and their oldest records belong to the sixteenth century.

We confine ourselves chiefly to Lithuanian.

Cp. Nesselmann Die Sprache der alten Preussen, Berlin 1845. The same author, Thesaurus linguae Prussicae, Berlin 1873. Schleicher Litauische Grammatik, Prag 1856. Kurch at Grammatik der littauischen Sprache, Halle 1876. Bielenstein Die lettische Sprache, nach ihren Lauten und Formen erklärend und vergleichend dargestellt, 2 Theile, Berlin 1863. 1864. The same author, Lettische Grammatik, Mitau 1863.

The Slavonic languages fall into a South-Eastern and a Western group. To te former belong Russian (a. Great Russian and White Russian, b. Little Russian), Bulgarian and Illyrian (a. Servian and Croatian, b. Slovenian), to the latter Czech (Czech in the stricter sense, Moravian and Slovakian), Sorabian or Wendish (Upper- and Lower Sorabian) and Lechish (Polish and Polabian or Elbe-Slavonian). All these languages, with the exception of Polabian, are still living. The most archaic, and for Indg. grammar the most important, is the language in which the Slavonic apostles Cyril and Methodius (ninth century) wrote. In our investigations we accordingly consider this language of the first importance. It is called O.Slovenian by Miklosich, but by Schleicher, Schaffarik and others with more justness O.Bulgarian. It became the ecclesiastical language of the Greek church, and that form of it, which was modified through the influence of other Slavonic languages, especially of Russian, is generally known by the name of Church Slavonic.

Cp. Miklosich Vergleichende Grammatik der slavischen Sprachen 4 vols. Vienna, I² 1879, II 1875, III² 1876, IV 1874. Schleicher Die Formenlehre der kirchenslavischen Sprache erklärend und vergleichend dargestellt, Bonn 1852. Leskien Handbuch der altbulgarischen (altkirchenslawischen) Sprache, Weimar 1871, edit. 2., 1886.

 \S 12. In accordance with what has been said in $\S\S$ 4-11, we shall speak exclusively or at all events especially of the oldest periods of those languages whose developments are

known to us through records extending over a great length of time. The term 'science of the Indg. languages', as has been already stated in § 1, does not demand such a restriction. This division of labour is wholly due to the course which the science has hitherto taken, and is fully justified by the present state of the science. Bopp in his Vergleichende Grammatik (3 vols., edit. 3, Berlin 1868—1871) and Schleicher in his Compendium der vergleichenden Grammatik der indogermanischen Sprachen edit. 4, Weimar 1876) also limit themselves similarly as we have done.

When we speak of primitive Indg. forms: of prim. Ar.; of prim. Gr. or of prim. Ital. etc., we generally mean those forms which were in use towards the close of the primitive period of these languages. But we also often mean such forms as belonged to an earlier period of this stage, and which had already undergone a change towards its termination. For instance, we therefore speak equally well of prim. Germ. *fanoxo and of prim. Germ. *fāχō (Goth. fāha, s. § 214), of prim. Gr. *ποτσι and prim. Gr. *ποσσι (Att. ποσι, s. § 490), as of Lat. sequentur and Lat. secuntur (§ 431). Forms, put down by us as prim. Ind., prim. Ar. etc., are therefore not to be indiscriminately regarded as belonging to the same period. Again, if we, for example, uniformly write χ , not h, in prim. Germ. forms where we put h for Gothic words, it must not be implied that χ had not in certain cases already become h in prim. Germanic (cp. § 529).

ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE INDO-GERMANIC LANGUAGES IN GENERAL. ROOT AND SUFFIXES.')

§ 13. The Indg. languages belong to the inflexional class. The inflexion of words has not existed from the very beginning, but has been gradually developed and perfected.

¹⁾ With what follows compare Delbrück Einleitung in das Sprachstudium² (Leipzig 1884) p. 61 ff. and Paul Principien der Sprachgeschichte (Halle 1880) p. 154 ff.

We have to presuppose a period in which suffixal elements were not yet attached to words. The word-forms of this period are called roots, and the space of time prior to inflexion, is called the root-period. It dates much further back than that stage of development whose word-forms we are able to deduce by a comparison of the separate Indg. groups of languages. This stage is usually simply called the Indg. parent-language.

What we understand by word-formation and inflexion arose by composition, that is, by the following process: a group of words which formed a syntactical complex was fused into a unity, in which the whole was in some way isolated in relation to its elements1). This word-fusion from the beginning onwards occurred in the same way, just as afterwards, in the age of separate languages (partly even in historical periods) the final members of compounds became suffixes, e. g. Goth -k in mik (Mod. HG. mich) from prehistoric *me ge (cp. Gr. ¿μέγε beside έμέ), French -ment in fièrement from fera mente, MHG. and Mod.HG. -heit in schanheit, originally meaning 'schöne Beschaffenheit' (beautiful state or condition), where heit is still an independent word in MHG. and OHG., Mod. Irish -mhar in buadhmhar 'victorious' = O.Ir. mār mōr Cymr. mawr 'magnus' (Zimmer Kelt. Studien II p. 22 ff). The formation of suffixes is not a work which belongs to any special prehistoric period and which was concluded at any definite point of time. But when once this process had begun, it was performed anew through all periods of the history of the Indg. languages and will probably also be repeated again in the future, so long as our languages continue to develop.

^{1) &}quot;With respect both to meaning and form either the whole may pass through a development in which the separate parts do not participate when used independently, or vice versa the separate parts may pass through a development in which the whole does not participate, or it may happen that the separate parts cease to be employed independently, while they are preserved in combination, or lastly the mode of combination may vanish from living use and only remain preserved in certain set forms". Paul in the above work p. 165.

Suffixes are divided into word-forming suffixes or inflexional suffixes in the stricter sense, to which on the one hand belong case endings (e. g. -m in Indg. *έkuom = Lat. equom, Skr. áśvam), and on the other, personal endings (e. g. -mi in Indg. *éimi = Gr. είμι, Skr. émi), and stem-forming suffixes (e. g. -ter- in Indg. *pətéres = Gr. πατέρες, Skr. pitáras, -sko- in Indg. *gynskónti = Gr. βάσιοντι βάσιοντι, Skr. gáchanti). It is impossible to draw a sharp line between the two species of suffixes, since many an element, which was originally only stem-forming, has come to be treated on the same level with word-forming suffixes. Cp. e. g. Lat. legiminī, whose final part minī, felt by the Romans as a personal ending (like -mur etc.), contains the stem-forming suffix -meno- (cp. Gr. λεγόμενοι); properly legiminī estis = λεγόμενοι ἐστε.

The derivation as well as the original value of those suffixal elements, which in the Indg. prim. period were already no longer felt to be the members of a compositum, is for the most part very doubtful. Of the conjectures which have been put forth regarding these suffixes, there are only a few to which one can allow a certain amount of probability. To these belongs especially the hypothesis, that a part of the personal endings were originally independent substantival pronouns, cp. the -m of the 1. sg. impf. Indg. *ébherom (Skr. ábharam, Gr. έφερον) with the pronominal stem *-me (Lat. mē, Goth. mi-k).

There are in the Indg. languages many words of which we can not prove that they either contain or ever did contain a suffix. These, therefore, apparently represent the form they had in the pre-inflexional period, e. g. Gr. $\mu \hat{\epsilon}$, Goth. mi(-k) epf. *me; Skr. $m\hat{\iota}$, Gr. $m\hat{\iota}$, Lat. nu(-dius), O.Ir. nu no, OHG. nu no, Lit. $n\hat{u}(-gi)$ 'now'. In other cases, root-words had disappeared in those compositions which we call inflected words. We must guard against fancying that, towards the close of the primitive period, or even later, elements like es, which we abstract as root from such forms as Indog. *\(\tilde{e}sti\), (Gr. \(\tilde{e}\sigma\tilde{v}\), Skr. \(\tilde{a}sti\)), had an independent existence and a meaning without any definite syntactical relation.

§ 14. According to the analogy of such forms as Fr. vis- $\dot{\alpha}$ -vis, rouge-gorge, it has become usual to indicate component parts of inflected words by hyphens, e. g. Gr. $\epsilon \tilde{l}$ - μl , $\pi \alpha$ - $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \varrho$ - ϵl , in the same manner Indg. * $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{l}$ -mi, *p- $t\acute{e}r$ -es, * $bh\acute{e}r$ -o- \dot{l} -l. It is thus intended to give a clear idea as to what parts of an inflected word once had an independent existence.

With regard to this mode of proceeding the following remarks are to be made.

- 1. We have seen in § 13, that as the first foundations of derivation and inflexion were laid by the fusion of independent elements, so this process has been continually repeated up to the present time. But the great majority of inflexional forms do not directly depend upon it. No sooner did the first inflexional compounds make their appearance, than they served as the models upon which other words were formed after their analogy, just in the same manner as most of the Mod. HG. composita in -heit, -bar, -lich etc. can no longer be conceived as proper syntactical compounds, but only as imitations of model forms, made at an earlier period. Composita, the formation of which we are able to trace in the younger stages of the separate languages, often exhibit manifold shiftings and deviations. The reason of these latter is, that all feeling for the mode of composition of the model forms became extinct. Thus, for instance, according to the analogy of Mid.HG. miltekeit from milter-heit (cp. miltec-līch) etc. have arisen such forms as Mod.HG. frömmigkeit. eitelkeit etc., by the abstraction of a suffix -keit. Such shiftings may also have taken place in the oldest stages of the inflexional period, so that, strictly speaking, we are never sure in the case of a suffix which has come down to us from the Indg. parent language, whether it ever existed as an independent word exactly in the same shape as we extract it from the body of a word, or whether it originally consisted of elements which passed into this shape by regular phonetic changes.
- 2. It very frequently happens, that two or more suffixes become fused into a unity in those periods of a language which we are able to control. E. g. Mod.HG. -ner in bild-ner, harf-ner

etc. is due to the -ner in such forms as $g\ddot{a}rtn$ -er (Mid. HG. garten-ære), which is a derivative of garten; Gr. $-ai\nu\omega$ in $\varkappa \varepsilon \varrho \delta$ - $-ai\nu\omega$, $\lambda \varepsilon \nu \pi$ - $ai\nu\omega$ etc. to the $-ai\nu\omega$ in such forms as $\tau \varepsilon \varkappa \tau ai\nu\omega$ from $\tau \varepsilon \varkappa \tau \alpha \nu - \mu \omega$ (to $\tau \varepsilon \varkappa \tau \omega \nu$). In like manner many of the prim. Indog. suffixes, which we are wont to regard as a unity, e. g. the -ter- in *pə-tér-es (Gr. $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon \varepsilon$), may have been fused together out of several suffixal elements. Our inability to analyse a prim. Indg. element proves nothing for its primitive unity.

3. It is theoretically correct when we say that the root of a word is found after we have removed all formative syllables from it. But in the first place we do not know what shape Indg. words had towards the end of the root-period, and this applies especially to the fact that we are unable to say whether the language at this stage possessed only monosyllabic words, or only words of more than one syllable, or both categories. Secondly the analysis of elements, which were directly annexed to the ends of roots, is of a most doubtful nature. And lastly we are unable to determine what phonetic changes inflexional compounds had undergone from the beginning up to the dissolution of the primitive community. Hence it must not be supposed that the roots, which we in ordinary practice abstract from words, are at all to be relied upon as representing the word-forms of the root-period. We are utterly unable to determine e. g. whether the complex *ano- in Gr. ανε-μο-ς, Lat. ani-mu-s, Skr. 3. sg. áni-ti 'breathes' (cp. § 110) represents a unitary word of the root-period, or whether it is to be resolved into *an-o-, that is, whether -o- was a suffix, and thus originally an independent element.

Such being the state of things, we shall retain the terms 'root' and 'suffix' in this work for such parts of a word as seq-and -e-, -tai in Indg. *séqetai (Skr. sácatē, Gr. ɛ̃nɛται). We do not however assert that the elements, to which we give these names, ever existed as independent words. We merely indicate by means of hyphens (1) what was probably felt at any particular period as the nucleus (so to speak) of a whole system of word-forms (seq-), and (2) what was regarded as the formative

Brugmann, Elements.

element (-e- and -tai in *séq-e-tai, *bhéudh-e-tai, *bhér-e-tai etc.), shared in common by a greater or less number of different words. The elements -e- and -o- in cases as Gr. επ-ε-ται (Indg. *seq-e-) and fut. τενέω τενῶ from *τεν-ε-σω (Indg. *ten-o-, cp. Skr. fut. tan-i-ṣyámi) may nevertheless originally have been parts of roots (dissilabile root-words); but on account of forms like πεύθεται, φέρεται, ἀγεται and νεμέω, φθερέω, βαλέω on the one hand and of forms like εψομαι and τείνω (from *τενιω, §§ 131. 618) on the other, it becomes highly probable that they simply were for the perception of language (sprachempfindung) that which grammarians call "suffix" 1).

^{1) &#}x27;A certain analysis of forms takes place in the formation of associated concepts which refer to the system of word-formation and inflexion, whereby categories arise which are analogous to the grammatical notions of root, stem, and suffix. But it must always be borne in mind, firstly, that the mind remains entirely unconscious of these categories as such; secondly that they have nothing to do with the original mode of the formation of words, but purely accommodate themselves to the sound-series (Lautreihe) which regularly goes through a number of forms in the language for the time being, so that e.g. in Mod. Germ. tug-, hirt- appear as nominal stems, trag- and brenn- as verbal and present stems, trug- and brannt- as the preterite stems of tragen and brennen; thirdly that the elements arising from analysis are never felt as something entitled to an independent existence, but only as something which is possible in certain modes of combination'. Paul Principien p. 64.

PHONOLOGY.

§ 15. By a comparison of the eight Indo-Germanic dialects (§ 3) we are in a position to arrive at the number and nature of the sounds possessed by the Indg. parent language. These were as follow:—

Vowels. In the function of sonants: $i \ \overline{\imath}$, $u \ \overline{u}$, $e \ \overline{e}$, $o \ \overline{o}$, $a \ \overline{a}$, ∂ . In the function of consonants: \underline{i} , \underline{u} .

Nasals. In the function of consonants: n (velar), \bar{n} (palatal), n (dental), m (labial). In the function of sonants: \bar{p} \bar{p} , \bar{q} \bar{q} , \bar{q} .

Liquids. In the function of consonants: r, l. In the function of sonants: r, \bar{l} , \bar{l} , \bar{l} .

Explosives:

To these is probably further to be added the spiritus lenis, a laryngeal explosive, e. g. Indg. *esti 'is', Gr. εστι. In the following paragraphs we shall indicate this sound only in Greek words.

Spirants: s, z, j, v.

Phonetic Elucidations 1).

§ 16. 1. Voiced and voiceless sounds. Speech-sounds²) are produced by the breath, expelled from the lungs, undergoing a checking which gives rise to an acoustic effect. This checking takes place partly in the larynx, partly in the organs above the larynx (mouth, or nose), and partly in both at the same time. When the checking in the larynx takes place in such a manner that the vocal cords (which in a state of rest are far apart) are so far brought together as to come into (rhythmical) vibration, a musical clang arises which is called voice. All sounds, which are spoken with voice, are called voiced, and all those without voice voiceless. In the Indg. prim. language the vowels, nasals, liquids, of the explosives the mediae b, d, \hat{q} , g and the mediae aspiratae bh, dh, $\hat{q}h$, gh, and the spirants z, j, v were voiced; on the other hand the tenues p, t, \hat{k}, q and the tenues aspiratae $ph, th, \hat{k}h, qh$ and the spirant s were voiceless.

The voiceless vowels (the h-sounds), nasals and liquids³)

¹⁾ Cp. Ed. Sievers Grundzüge der Phonetik, zur Einführung in das Studium der Lautlehre der indogermanischen Sprachen, 2. Edit. Leipzig 1881, 3. Edit. Leipzig 1885.

²⁾ It is not without considerable justification that the expression 'speech-sound' ('Sprachlaut') has of late been found fault with, so far as it is used to express the smallest elements of language in general, because among the so called explosives there are moments of perfect absence of sound which are also to be taken into consideration. And these moments form an element of a syllable just as much as the moments of sound, while in the analysis of a syllable they can no more be left out of consideration than the pauses in music can be regarded as not existing. Cp. § 320. If in this work we have not attached that amount of importance to the latest principles of phonetics — viz. that in the classification of speech-elements, their genesis, not their acoustic effect, should form the chief principle — which some phoneticians might wish to see, it is out of consideration that we do not yet possess a system and terminology, based on these views, which might be applied without considerable difficulties to the historical results of the science of languages.

³⁾ Cp. Hoffory Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIII 533 ff., 541 ff., 554 ff., XXV 425 f., 'Prof. Sievers und die Principien der Sprachphysiologie' 24 ff.

in the course of the individual developments were added to the respective voiced sounds of the primitive period.

- 2. Sonorous sounds and noised sounds. mouth and nose on the one hand, serve to modify the clangs formed in the larynx, on the other hand 'noises', which are independent of the activity of the larynx, can be produced in these organs through the current of breath undergoing a checking and friction. In uttering t, s, e. g. a noise is made on the inner side of the upper teeth, or on their sockets. Voice and noise formed in the mouth and nose (Ansatzrohrgeräusch) can be combined, e. g. in d and z (Fr. zéro, Russ. zoloto 'gold'). sounds, which are formed with noise, whether they be voiceless or voiced, are called noised sounds, whereas those, in which the formation of voice takes place in the larynx, while the mouth and nose merely serve as resonance chambers, are called sonorous sounds. The Indg. explosives and spirants were noised sounds, and the Indg. vowels and nasals, sonorous sounds. The r- and l-sounds were and are in the historic periods of the Indg. languages partly sonorous and partly spirantal in their formation. And it is not improbable, that the spirantal pronunciation, where it occurs in historic times, had everywhere first come into being during the course of the separate history of the respective Indg. languages.
- § 18. 3. Sonants and Consonants. Every syllable contains one sound, which is either alone or at least principally the bearer of the accent, e. g. the bearers of this accent in the word hóff-núng are o and u. Such sounds are called sonants. The other elements of a syllable are to a certain extent only adjuncts to the sonant which forms the nucleus of the syllable, and are therefore called consonants. Every syllable must contain one sonant and can contain only one, whereas it can have several consonants (Mod. German strúmpfs) or none at all (Lat. imperative $\bar{\tau}^1$). One set of sounds can be used both as sonants and as consonants, especially the vowels —

¹⁾ By this classification we omit to take account of the spiritus lenis, which strictly speaking ought to be reckoned among the consonants.

In our representation of pre-historic forms we consequently mark i and u also as consonants when they form the second component of a diphthong, e. g. Indg. 3. sg. *éiti = Gr. ɛlos Skr. éti, *bhéudhetai = Gr. πεύθεται Skr. bódhatē. The vowels i and u had here the same function as e. g. r in the 3. sg. *bhérti from rt. bher- 'bear' (Skr. bhárti, Lat. fert) and n in the 3. sg. *bhebhóndhe from rt. bhendh- 'bind' (Skr. babándha, Goth. band). Cp. § 308.

Rem. A few phonetic elucidations on the explosives and spirants will be found in § 320 ff. and § 554.

On the pronunciation of the letters.

§ 19. The various Indg. languages and dialects were and still are written in various alphabets by the respective peoples and their subdivisions. Sometimes different kinds of alphabets were even employed in different districts lying within the sphere of the same dialect, or also in the same district for different purposes, e. g. in Oscan (inscriptions in the Oscan-Samnitic, Latin, and Greek alphabets) and in Servian (the Cyrillic alphabet is employed by the followers of the Greek Church, and the Latin by the Roman catholics). It also frequently happened that one alphabet was permanently given up in favour of another, cp.

¹⁾ Skr. pitrá stands in the same relation to pitršu as hánvā i. e. hányā does to hánušu (stem hánu- 'jaw-bone') and as ávyā i. e. áviā does to ávišu (stem ávi- 'sheep').

e. g. the change from the runic to the Latin alphabet among the Germanic races.

It has become usual in works on Indg. grammar to employ native characters only in the case of Greek, Latin, and those younger developments which were and still are written in the Latin alphabet, but for the rest to make use of a transcription, based on the Latin alphabet. Now the Latin system of letters is insufficient for the transliteration of most foreign alphabets, and when this is the case, it is usual either to add a diacritic sign to the Latin letter, or to borrow a letter from other known alphabets.

The exposition of the history of the various sounds will furnish further information as to the living value of the letters. With regard to the transcription which we have adopted for the various languages, we simply make such observations here as will enable the reader to pronounce the words correctly or at least approximately so; in the case of dead languages it is, of course, often impossible to determine precisely the value of a letter.

§ 20. Sanskrit. The alphabet is as follows:

 $a \ \overline{a} \ i \ \overline{\imath} \ u \ \overline{u} \ \overline{r} \ \overline{l} \ \overline{e} \ \overline{a} i \ \overline{o} \ \overline{a} u \ h \ \underline{-} \ k \ kh \ g \ gh \ n \ c \ ch \ j \ jh \\ \overline{n} \ t \ th \ d \ dh \ n \ t \ th \ d \ dh \ n \ p \ ph \ b \ bh \ m \ y \ r \ l \ v \ \mathring{s} \ \mathring{s} \ s \ h.$

r, \bar{r} , l are sonants (s. § 18). r, \bar{r} like consonantal r are cerebral (cp. t, th etc. below), i. e. similar to English r; l and l are dental.

h (visarga) is our spiritus asper.

We indicate the nasal pronunciation of the sonants by $\bar{\tau}$, e. g. $a\hat{s}\hat{u}$ - \hat{s} 'thread, beam of light', acc. $sv\hat{a}d\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}s$ -am 'suaviorem', r,\bar{r} = nasalised r,\bar{r} , e. g. in $trh\hat{a}$ -ti 'he shatters', $n\bar{r}$ - \hat{s} -ca 'viros-que'.

The voiceless aspirate explosives kh, ch, th, th, ph and the voiced aspirate explosives gh, jh, dh, dh, bh are to be pronounced as explosives followed by an h, but cp. however Whitney's Skr. Gram. § 37.

The palatals c, ch, j, jh are generally pronounced as (compound) tsh-sounds, e. g. the initial sounds of ca and jana-s creature like the initial sounds of Italian cento and gente or those

of English *church* and *judge*. But they were simple sounds, similar to Mod.HG. k and g before palatal vowels, e. g. in kind, gift.

The cerebrals (cacuminals) t, th, d; dh are uttered with the tip of the tongue turned up and drawn back into the dome of the palate.

n is the guttural, \hat{n} the palatal, and n the cerebral masal. y = i, v = u or spirantal v, s. §§ 18. 127. 161.

s is like Mod.HG. dental s, cp. ásti and Mod.HG. ist. On the other hand \dot{s} and \ddot{s} are sh-sounds; \dot{s} is the palatal and \ddot{s} the cerebral sh-sound; \dot{s} is thus the softened \ddot{s} (sz) found in Slavonic and Lithuanian.

h is pronounced like our spiritus asper; it was however a voiced sound, the character of which is doubtful, cp. Whitney's Skr. Gram. § 65.

§ 21. Iranian.

1. Avestic.

The vowels are: a i u e ? o $\bar{a} \bar{\tau} \bar{u} \bar{e} \bar{?} \bar{\sigma} \hat{a}$.

e, \bar{e} represent the open and e, \bar{e} the close e-vowel, \hat{a} a dull \bar{a} sound approaching to \bar{o} . a is the nasal vowel of a and \bar{a} (§ 200). $y = \dot{k}$ or spirantal, v = u or spirantal (§§ 18. 127. 161).

Diphthongs: $ae \delta i$, $ao \epsilon u$, $\bar{a}i \bar{a}u$. ae, ao may be pronounced like the ai, au in the Middle German pronunciation of kaiser, haus etc. (cp. Bœot. ae and Ion. ao § 96, Lat. ae § 97).

Liquid: r. Nasals: n guttural, n is palatalised n (§ 200), n dental, m labial; the value of \hat{n} and \tilde{n} is doubtful (§ 558, 3).

Explosives: k c t p, g j d b. c and j are like Skr. c and j (§ 20).

Spirants: x = Mod. HG. ch in dach, to which the corresponding voiced sound is γ . \acute{x} , a palatalised sound, seems to have been similar to the Mod. HG. ch in ich. s = our voiceless s in nest, z = Fr. z. $p = \text{Mod. Gr. } \theta$ and our th in thin, $d = \text{Mod. Gr. } \delta$ and our th in then. f, w = our f, v. \check{s} is our sh, \check{z} the corresponding voiced sound = Fr. j. $\check{s} = \text{Skr. } \check{s}$. The pronunciation of \check{s} , \check{p} , \check{d} cannot be more exactly defined.

h is our spiritus asper (not = Skr. h).

2. Old Persian.

Vowels. a i u, \bar{a} $\bar{\imath}$ \bar{u} . y = i or spirantal (§ 127), v = u or spirantal (§ 161). y and v were not pronounced in words ending in -iy, $\bar{\imath}y$, -uv, - $\bar{u}v$. Final -hy is to be pronounced as -hi. Diphthongs: ai au, $\bar{a}i$ $\bar{u}u$.

Liquids: r, l.

Nasals: n, m.

Explosives: $k \ c \ t \ p, \ g \ j \ d \ b$ as in Avestic. d had also the value of d (§ 402).

Spirants: x, s, z, p, f, \tilde{s} as in Avestic. The value of \tilde{s} is not clear (§ 261).

h = Av. h.

Difficulties are caused by iy, uv, $\bar{\imath}y$, $\bar{u}v$, which in certain special cases represent y and v, cp. §§ 125. 159. 558, 3.

Rem. The peculiar character of Old Persian cuneiform letters makes it, in some respects, difficult to decipher the words rightly. A syllable consisting of a consonant and a short vowel (a, i, u) was represented by one sign (da, di, du). Medially and finally the letters a, i, u, which initially expressed both a, i, u and also $\bar{a}, \bar{\imath}, \bar{u}$, were added to the corresponding sign to express length of vowel, e. g. $da + a = d\bar{a}, di + i = d\bar{\imath}$. A consonant which was not followed by a vowel, was also always represented by a syllabic sign, e. g. $pa + a + ra + sa + ma = p\bar{a}rsam$ (acc. sg. 'a Persian'). Consequently in order to express a final consonant +a, an extra letter a was added at the end. Therefore, e. g. -ma + a $(-m\bar{a})$ might mean both $-m\bar{a}$ and -ma. The letters i, i were usually added again to the signs for consonant i, i, or i, so that e. g. i if i is i and i if i is i if i is i in and i is i in and i in and i in i is i in and i in i

§ 22. Armenian1).

Vowels. a, u, i, o \bar{o} , e \bar{e} . e is an indefinite vowel somewhat resembling German \ddot{o} . Pronounce y, v as \dot{i} , u (§ 18). Diphthongs: ea, ai, oi, au, iu.

Liquids: r, \dot{r} ; l, λ . The difference in the pronunciation has not been determined. Nasals: n, m.

¹⁾ In the transcription of this language we entirely follow Hübschmann. Cp. his treatise 'Die Umschreibung der iranischen Sprachen und des Armenischen' (1882) p. 31 ff.

Explosives: k t p, g d b. k t p are aspirate tenues.

The Affricatae \check{c} \check{c} \check{j} , c c \check{j} may be pronounced as $t\check{s}$ $th\check{s}$ $d\check{z}$, ts ths dz.

Spirants. x is a deep guttural ch like the ch in Scot. loch. s and z, the latter = Fr. z. \check{s} and \check{z} , the former a voiceless, the latter a voiced sh-sound.

h = our spiritus asper.

§ 23. Umbrian-Samnitic. The monuments of this dialect-group are almost exclusively inscriptions, which are written partly in the native, partly in the Latin and also occasionally in the Greek alphabet. We reproduce the native writing by spaced Roman type, whereas those words which have come down to us written in the Latin alphabet are printed in italics.

The Umbrian native alphabet does not possess any special letters for o, g and d, but represents them by u, k and t.

The c, in monuments written in Latin characters, must always be pronounced as k.

The sibilant (§§ 387. 502), which arose from k before palatal vowels and which we represent by 's and 's (s), may be pronounced like Skr. \dot{s} . The precise pronunciation of this sound, given by d in the native alphabet, and by 'S (or S) in the Latin, is not known.

The modification of d (§ 369), which on the tables written in Latin is represented by rs, and also occasionally simply by r or s, has the sign q in the native alphabet. It was probably a strongly spirantal sh-kind of r, similar to Czech r. We transcribe this Umbrian symbol with r, and it may be pronounced like Czech r.

Pronounce z as ts.

h occurs in both kinds of writing as sign of vowel lengthening, e. g. kumnahkle read kumnākle, spahmu read spāmu. On tables written in Latin this sign also appears between a double vowel which in itself already indicates vowel length, e. g. spahamu beside spahmu.

¹⁾ More will be found on the pronunciation of these sounds in Hübschmann's work quoted above p. 35 rem. 3.

Oscan í is a close e, in the function both of a sonant, e. g. ist 'est', and a consonant, e. g. in the diphthong aí; ú is a close o.

Pronounce z as ts, e. g. húrz, az, keenzstur (nzs = ntss, cf. kvaísstur), Vezkeí. But z is a voiced s (Fr. z), e. g. egmazum.

In Umbrian-Samnitie we uniformly omit the mark of length over vowels. We write e. g. matrer 'matris', although \bar{a} was undoubtedly spoken (§ 105). This plan has been adopted because the cases are too numerous in which the quantity of the vowel can not be determined with certainty.

§ 24. Old Irish writing, a variety of Latin, presents many diphthongs and triphthongs which were in reality only monophthongs and diphthongs. E. g. the *i* in *eich* 'of a horse' and in *tuaith* 'to the people' only marks the *i*-timbre of the following consonant. Hence some write *e'ch tua'th*. S. § 640.

c is everywhere to be pronounced as k. On the pronunciation of c and t compare moreover § 212 rem. and §§ 513. 658.

ch, th, ph denote the guttural, interdental, labial voiceless spirants $(\chi, p, f,)$, s. § 514. th occasionally also stands for the voiced interdental spirant d. g, d, b are both voiced mediae (§ 519) and voiced spirants g, d, b (§ 522), g and d are even also used for the voiceless spirants g and g (§ 514). In Middle Irish gh, gh, gh took the place of g, gh, gh as signs for the voiced spirants; after the manner of Modern Irish we pronounce gh and gh before or after a palatal vowel like our gh and before or after other vowels as gh.

 \dot{s} is h. \dot{f} is silent. mh is a nasalised labial spirant.

Rem. In the Britannic dialects u has the sound of \ddot{u} , in the greater part of Wales that of i. So far as Cymric is specially concerned, it may be remarked that y denotes partly a vowel, similar to that in the English word fur, and partly = Cymr. u; w is partly a sonantal vowel us and partly u; ch, th, ff (ph) are voiceless, and dd, f voiced spirants; m, n, r, l are voiced, mh, nh, rh, ll voiceless. These values apply in the first instance to the living language only.

§ 25. Gothic.

ai is a short open e, and ai a short open o. On the other hand \acute{ai} and \acute{au} are to be pronounced as diphthongs. Antevocalic ai and au (e. g. saian and staua) were probably the long vowels to ai and $a\acute{u}$, i. e. open \bar{e} and open \bar{o} (§§ 142. 179). Gothic writing leaves both ai, \acute{ai} , ai and $a\acute{u}$, \acute{au} , au undistinguished.

 $ei = \bar{\imath}$. \bar{e} and \bar{o} were close. $v = \underline{v}$, $j = \underline{i}$ (§ 18).

The guttural nasal (n) was generally (after the analogy of Greek) expressed by g before homogranic explosives, e. g. laggs 'long', drigkan 'to drink', seldom by gg (drightarrow) or n (bringan 'to bring').

q (e. g. riqis 'darkness') = Lat. qu.

d initially and medially after n, l, r, z was a voiced explosive, whereas medially after vowels it was the interdental spirant d. b initially and medially after consonants was a voiced explosive, whereas medially after vowels it was the labial spirant b. A corresponding difference is also to be assumed for g. S. §§ 530. 538 and cp. also §§ 531. 539.

p is a voiceless interdental, f a bilabial spirant, z = Fr. z.

Pronounce initial h before vowels (e. g. haban 'to have')
like our spiritus asper, in other cases (e. g. hláifs 'loaf, bread',
raihts 'right', táuh 'I led') it is a spirant like German ch.

§ 26. Lithuanian.

Vowels: $a \ e \ i \ u$, $\bar{a} \ \bar{e} \ y \ \bar{u}$, $\dot{e} \ o$. e and \bar{e} are to be pronounced very open. $y = \bar{\imath}$. \dot{e} and o are very close and always long (hence we omit the sign of vowel length). The vowels $q \ \underline{e} \ \underline{i} \ \underline{u}$ do not differ in pronunciation from $a \ e \ i \ u$; initially and medially they are always to be pronounced long, finally they are partly long, partly short. The little hook under a vowel indicates that the vowel was originally followed by a nasal, this sign has therefore only an etymological value (§ 218).

Diphthongs: ai ei au, āi ēi āu, ui oi ë å. The o in oi is to be pronounced long; this diphthong occurs in locatives as toi (from the feminine pronominal stem to-'this'), where it is usually

written -oj (toj). Pronounce \ddot{e} as $\bar{\iota}\underline{e}$ with a very open \underline{e} or as $\bar{\iota}\underline{a}$. Pronounce \mathring{u} as $\bar{\iota}\underline{o}$ with a very open \underline{o} or as $\bar{\iota}\underline{a}$.

When the accent is on a short vowel, it is represented by 'e. g. plktas 'bad'. In cases where 'and are placed over simple vowels to indicate the accent, these vowels are always to be read long, e. g. $v\'{arpa}$ 'ear of corn', $g\~{eras}$ 'good'. In like manner $\'{ai}$ $\'{au}$ $\'{ei}$ are also to be read as $\~{ai}$, $\~{au}$, $\~{ei}$. More will be found on the accent signs and in § 691. j is to be pronounced as $\'{ei}$, and v as a spirant (English v) 1).

Liquids: r, l. On the difference between l and l see 'Palatalisation of Consonants' below.

Nasals: n, m. n is to be pronounced as n (guttural nasal) before k and g, e. g. in ranka 'hand'.

Explosives: $k \ t \ p$ (voiceless), $g \ d \ b$ (voiced).

Spirants: s, z (= Fr. z), sz (= our sh), \check{z} (voiced sh, Fr. $\check{\jmath}$).

c = ts, cz = English tsh.

Palatalisation of Consonants. All consonants (with the exception of j) are liable to palatalisation. This uniformly takes place before palatal vowels (e, \dot{e}, i, y) : the t, n, r, \check{z} in světe, kúne, múre, bérže (voc. sg. of the stems světa- 'world', kúna- 'body', múra-'wall', bérža- 'birch') are therefore not to be pronounced the same as in světas, kúnas, múras, béržas (nom. sg. of the same stems). When palatalised pronunciation takes place before non-palatal vowels, it is indicated by an i placed after the consonant, but this i must neither be read as syllabic i nor as i, e. g. $kia\tilde{u}l\dot{e}$ 'pig', $pi\acute{o}viau$ 'I cut' (past t.), $pi\acute{a}usiu$ 'I shall cut', $n\grave{e}sziu$ 'I shall carry'. We express the non-palatalised l by l; it is the 'guttural' l, which in those districts bordering on Poland, has a great resemblance to Polish l, and often sounds like u, s. § 280. l is the palatalised liquid; we therefore write galu 'I can', not $galu^2$).

¹⁾ This fixing of the pronunciation of v is on the authority of Schleicher, who had Prussian Lithuanian in mind. It is pronounced ψ in one part of Russian Lithuania.

²⁾ In this mode of writing we have followed Juszkiewicz.

§ 27. Old Church Slavonic (Old Bulgarian).

ch like German ch in ach, š like English sh, ž like Fr. j, z like Fr. z.

c = ts, $\check{c} = tsh$ (Lith. cz).

Rem. We use in, ir to denote the prim. Baltic-Slavonic representation of Indg. v, v. See §§ 248. 302. Pronounce the i as a sound lying between i and e.

HISTORY OF THE SEPARATE PRIMITIVE INDO-GERMANIC SOUNDS 1).

THE VOWELS2).

A. THE VOWELS AS SONANTS.

§ 28. The Indo-Germanic parent-language had $i\bar{\imath}$, $u\bar{\imath}$, $e\bar{e}$, $o\bar{o}$, $a\bar{a}$, $o\bar{o}$.

e, o (both long and short) were probably pronounced open. Hence some scholars write them \hat{a} , \hat{a} . On the representation

¹⁾ Cp. § 599.

²⁾ Amelung die Bildung der Tempusstämme durch Vocalsteigerung im Deutschen (1871); Ztschr. f. deutsch. Altert. XVIII 161 ff., Kuhn's Ztschr. XXII 369. — Osthoff in Paul-Braune's Beitr. III 1 ff., Morph. Unt. I 207 ff., IV 1 ff., Zur Gesch. des Perf. (1884). — The Author in Curtius' Stud. IX 361 ff., Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIV 1 ff., XXVII 201 ff., Morph. Unt. III 91 ff., Zum heutigen Stand der Sprachwissensch. 98 ff. — Fick in Bezzenberger's Beitr. II 193 ff., III 157 ff., IV 167 ff., IX 313 ff., Gött. gel. Anz. 1880 p. 420 ff., 1881 p. 1425 ff. — Collitz in Bezzenberg. Beitr. II 291 ff., III 177 ff., X 1 ff. — Ferd. Masing Das Verhältniss der griechischen Vocalabstufung zur sanskritischen (1878). — Verner in Morph. Unt. I 116 ff. — G. Meyer Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIV 226 ff. — Paul in Paul-Braune's Beitr. VI 108 ff. — Kluge Beitr. zur Gesch. d.

of these vowels cp. the Author in Curtius' Stud. IX 367, Morph. Unt. II p. III, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 201 ff.

The value of o can not be more precisely defined. It may be pronounced as \ddot{a} , that is, an a somewhat approaching the sound of e.

The regular representation of these prim. Indg. vowels in the individual developments is as follows:

Idg.	Ar.	Arm.	Greek	Lat. (in accented syllables).	O. Irish (in accented syllables).	Toutonic . Germanic	Baltic-Slavonic	
								O. Bulg.
i	i	i	Ł	i, e	i, e	i, e	i	ĭ
ī	ī	i (?)	7	ī	ī	Got. ei i. e. ī, OHG. ī	y i. e.	i
u	и	. 21	v (Boeo-tian etc. u)	16	и, о	и, о	и	ŭ
$iar{i}$	ũ	u (?)	\bar{v} (Boeo-tian etc. \bar{u})	\bar{u}	$i\overline{t}$	$ar{u}$	$i\bar{\iota}$	\mathcal{Y}
е	а	e, i	£	e	е	e, i	e	e
ē	ā	i	η	ē	ī	Got. \bar{e} , OHG. \bar{a}	ė	ě
0	a, \bar{a}	o, (u)	0	0	0	α	а	0
ō	ā	u	ω	ō	ā	Got. ō, OHG. uo	ů	α
α	а	a	α	a	α	а	α	0
\vec{a}	ã	α	ā	\bar{a}	\bar{a}	Got. ō, OHG. uo	ō	а
ð	i	а	α	α	a	а	а	0

german. Conjugation 1 ff. — De Saussure Mémoire sur le système primitif des voyelles dans les langues indo-européennes (1879). — Mahlow Die langen Vocale A, E, O in den eur. Sprachen (1879). — Möller in Paul-Braune's Beitr. VII 482 ff. — Bezzenberger in his Beitr. V 312 ff. Fröhde Bezzenberger's Beitr. V 265 ff., VI 161 ff., VII 97 ff. — J. Schmidt in Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV 1 ff. — Bartholomae Ar. Forsch. I 25 ff. — Bloomfield American Journal of Philology I 281 ff. — W. Schulze Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 420 ff. — Curtius Zur Kritik der neuesten Sprachforschung 90 ff. — Delbrück die neueste Sprachf. 30 ff. — Hübschmann Das indogerm. Vocalsystem (1885). — Bremer in Paul-Braune's Beitr. XI 262 ff.

Indg. i.

§ 29. Indg. *i- weak present-stem of rt. ei- 'go'; 1. pers. pl. Skr. i-más, Gr. i-μεν. Indg. *μid- weak perfect stem of rt. μεἰd- 'see, know': 1. pers. pl. Skr. vid-má, Hom. Γίδ-μεν, Goth. vit-um. Indg. *diμ- 'sky': loc. sing. Skr. div-i, Gr. ΔιΓ-i. Indg. *i- pronoun of the 3. pers.: Skr. neut. i-d-ám, Lat. i-s i-d, Goth. i-s 'he' i-t-a 'it'. Indg. -i- in reduplicated syllables, e. g. pres. Skr. ti-ṣṭhāmi (3. pers. sing. tiṣṭhati), Gr. i-στημι, Lat. ṣi-stō from rt. stā- 'stand', Skr. bi-bhēmi, OHG. bi-bēm from rt. bhei- 'tremble at, be in fear'.

Nominal suffixes Indg. -i-, -ti-, -ni-, e. g. Skr. ávi-š 'ovis', Gr. ὅι-ς οἶς, Lat. ovi-s, O.Ir. dat. pl. tri-b 'tribus', Goth. dat. pl. gasti-m 'to guests', Lith. akì-s 'eye', O.Bulg. patĭ 'way' fr. *patĭ-s (§ 588, 7). Superlative suffix Indg. -is-to-, e. g. Skr. svád-iṣṭha-s Gr. ἥδ-ιστο-ς Goth. sut-ista- 'suavissimus', cp. also Lat. mag-is-ter. Locative suffix Indg. -i, e. g. Skr. div-i, Gr. Διf-i, Lat. rūr-e. Personal ending Indg. -mi, e. g. Skr. ás-mi, Gr. εἰμὶ fr. *ἐσ-μι (§ 565), Lith. es-mì, O.Bulg. jes-mī.

- § 31. Armenian e-lik 'he left': Gr. $\check{\varepsilon}$ - $\lambda\iota\pi\varepsilon$, from rt. leig-e-git 'he found' (g from u, § 162): Skr. \acute{a} -vid-a-t, from rt. ueid-tiv 'day': Skr. instr. $d\acute{v}$ a 'by day'. Stem eri- 'three' = Indg. *tri- (for the initial forms cp. §§ 263. 483), instr. eri-vk: cp. Skr. t-ri-bhi $\check{\varepsilon}$.
- i, except in final syllables, disappeared e. g. gt-ane-m 'I find', lk-ane-m 'I leave'. Cp. § 632.
- § 32. Greek. $\pi\iota\theta$ - $\acute{\epsilon}$ - $\sigma\theta$ $\alpha\iota$ 'to obey': Lat. fid- $\check{\epsilon}$ s, from rt. bheidh-. $\delta\iota$ 'two' (from * $\delta\mathcal{F}\iota$ -, § 166) in $\delta\acute{\epsilon}$ - ς , $\delta\acute{\epsilon}$ - $\pi\iota$ - σ ϵ : Skr. dvi-, Lat. bi-, Ags. tvi-, OHG. zvi-, Indg. *dvi-. $\acute{\epsilon}$ $\pi\iota$ 'on': Skr. $\acute{\alpha}pi$.

The ϵ in Elean $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \rho$ (= Att. $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \rho$) beside $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$ and in Thessal. $\varkappa \rho \epsilon \nu \nu \epsilon' \mu \epsilon \nu$ (inf., cp. Lesb. $\varkappa \rho \iota' \nu \gamma \nu$, Att. $\varkappa \rho \iota' \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$), $\Upsilon \beta \rho \iota' \delta \tau \bar{\alpha} \varsigma$ (= Att. $\Upsilon \beta \rho \iota' \delta \tau \gamma \varsigma$) seems to have arisen through the proximity of the ρ .

§ 33. Italic. Lat. qui-s, Umbr. Osc. pi-s: Gr. τi - ς . Lat. mi-nu- \bar{o} mi-nor, Osc. mi-nstreis 'minoris': Skr. mi- $n\acute{o}$ -mi Gr. μu - $v\acute{v}$ - ω μu - $v\acute{v}$ - $\theta \omega$ 'lessen', Goth. adv. mi-ns 'minus', O.Bulg. $m\breve{v}$ - $nij\breve{v}$ 'minor', from rt. $me\dot{v}$ -. Lat. tri- in tri-bus tri-plex, Umbr. tri-plex 'triplis': Skr. tri-, Gr. $\tau \varrho v$ -.

Already at an early period Lat. i had a tendency towards e close (open i or i pingue), hence e. g. the spelling tempestatebus (C. I. L. 32) for tempes-tāti-bus (cp. Skr. ariṣṭátāti-bhyas dat., abl. pl. of ariṣṭá-tāti- 'unimpairedness'). This was especially so before vowels (where i had partly arisen from i, see § 135), hence the inscriptional forms fileai, Oveo for filiai, Ovio. This intermediate sound was also represented by ei, e. g. fileiai.

- e purum arose from i:
- 1. Before r = Indg. s (§ 569). $ser\bar{o}$ from *si-s \bar{o} : Gr. $i\eta\mu$, original form *si-s \bar{e} -mi, from rt. $s\bar{e}$ 'throw, sow'. cineris fr. *cinis-is, ep. cinis-culu-s.
- 2. Finally. mare fr. *mari, leve fr. *levi, cp. mari-a mari-timus, levi-bus levi-ter, and Gr. neut. ἴδοι 'acquainted with', Skr. bhūri 'multum'. Loc. sing. rūr-e: cp. Skr. div-i. ante fr. *anti cp. anti-stō, Gr. ἀντί, Skr. únti.

Rem. 1. On the other hand the e in the suffix of the acc. case sing. of ei-stems as ignem (Skr. agni-m, Lith. igni), mortem (Skr. mrti-m, O.Bulg. si-mrti), facilem (cp. facili-a), was borrowed from such forms as ped-em (-em = Indg. -m, §§ 224, 238), as sit-m, parti-m etc. show. The e in $j\bar{u}$ -dex, in-dex beside -dic-is etc. (from rt. $dei\bar{k}$ - 'direct', Skr. $di\bar{s}$ - 'order, direction', Gr. $\delta(x-\eta)$ and the e in comes by the side of comitis etc. (stem com-i-t- 'accompanying', from rt. ei- 'go') has also probably arisen through the influence of analogy; cp. opi-fex beside $faci\bar{o}$, super-stes beside status etc.

The combination -ri-, between consonants in unaccented syllables (according to the principle of accentuation in primitive Latin, § 680), became x, which passed into x. in-certu-s fr. *in-

cri-to-s = Gr. \mathring{a} -z ϱ t σ - ς , s \mathring{e} -cern $\bar{\varrho}$ fr. *s \bar{e} -crin $\bar{\varrho}$. $\mathring{a}bs$ -trig $\bar{\varrho}$ (cp. Gr. $\tau \varrho t \beta \omega$); later also uncompounded cern $\bar{\varrho}$, terg $\bar{\varrho}$, cp. § 65 rem. 2. In the same manner, perhaps, also arose the forms con-testor testor test \bar{u} mentu-m (test- from *terst-, cp. § 269) beside Osc. tristaamentud abl. 'testamento'. The process was the same as that whereby * \mathring{a} gro-s (Gr. \mathring{a} $\gamma \varrho \acute{o}$ - ς) became *agrs *agers and lastly ager (§ 623 rem. 1. 655, 9). This process may be dated back to the Italic primitive period. Cp. also § 633.

Rem. 2. ācri-bus ācri-tās etc. are new forms, made by analogy.

The orthographical fluctuation in Umbrian between i and e, as neut. piř-e pirs-e, peř-e pers-e 'quid, quodcunque'; imper. aha-tripursatu, ah-trepuřatu 'abs-tripodato'; acc. sing. of eistems in -i-m and -e-m, and the Oscan representation of this sound in its native alphabet by i, e. g. pid 'quid', slagi-m acc. sing. of the stem slagi- 'locus', show that i was pronounced open in both dialects.

§ 34. Old Irish. fiss 'knowledge' from prim. Ir. *uissu-s, that is, *uid+tu-s, root. ueid-. fid 'tree' from prim. Kelt. *uidu-s (Gall. Vidu-casses): OHG. witu 'wood'. Gen. sing. fir fr. *firī from Indg. stem *ui-ro- 'man': Lat. vir, Goth. vair fr. *uira-z (§ 35).

Cp. also Gall. Ambi-gatus (Liv.), 'Αμβι-δοανοί (Ptol.): Gr. ἀμφί; Gall. tri- 'three' in tri-garanus, O.Ir. dat. pl. tri-b 'tribus'.

i became e (by assimilation), when an a or o stood in the following syllable e. g. fer nom. sg. fr. original *uiro-s, fedo feda gen. sg. to fid.

In syllables with secondary accent i, so far as it did not entirely disappear (§§ 634. 657), became an irrational vowel, whose quality regulated itself after the timbre of the following consonants. E. g. beside the simple fiss stood the compound cubus 'conscientia' fr. *con-f(i)uss, that is, the u-timbre of the ss (presupposed through the older form *uissu-s) had caused the preceding irrational vowel to become u in the compound, whilst it left the accented i in the simple fiss unchanged. The u in fiuss beside fiss merely indicates the u-timbre of the ss.

§ 35. Germanic. Goth. viduvō OHG. wituwa OS. widowa Ags. widewe 'widow': Skr. vidhávā-, Lat. vidua, O.Ir. fedb, O.Bulg. vĭdova. Pret. 1. pers. pl. Goth. bitum OHG. bizzum O.Icel. bitom 'we bit': Skr. bi-bhidimá, Lat. fidimus. Goth. fisks OHG. and OS. fisk O.Icel. fiskr 'fish': Lat. piscis. Pronominal stem hi- 'this', Goth. dat. sing. hi-mma 'huic' adv. hi-drē 'huc', Engl. hither: Lat. ci- in ci-ter ci-trā, Lith. szì-s O.Bulg. sĭ 'hic' (§ 84. rem. 1), Indg. *ki-.

In Gothic i, before h and r, became ai, that is, open e. maihstus 'dung': OHG. mist 'dung', Ags. mist 'misty vapour', Skr. mih- Gr. όμίχλη O.Bulg. migla Lith. miglà 'fog'. vair (pl. vairōs) 'man': Lat. vir, O.Ir. fer, Indg. *yi-ro-s.

In High German, the Indg. and Prim. Germanic i became e through the influence of a following a, e or o (cp. Irish). OHG. wehsal 'change': Dutch wissel O.Icel. vīxl 'change', prim. Germ. stem *wixsla-, Lat. vic- vic-ēs 'change', Gr. feixo 'yield'. lecchōn 'to lick': Ags. liccean 'to lick', Gr. lick' 'I lick'. wer 'man': Goth. vair. wessa 'I knew': Goth. vissa 'I knew', pret. of OHG. wizzan Goth. vitan 'to know'. To this rule there are a number of exceptions of which some are difficult to explain. It may be assumed that they have arisen through form-association, e. g. in -zigan 'pulled together' by the side of pret. pl. zigum opt. zigi from rt. *deik- 'show', and in wissa (= wessa) by the side of wissum, wissi. Cp. Paul's article in Paul and Braune's Beitr. VI 82 ff.

Rem. Some scholars assume that i, already in prim. Germanic, passed into e through the influence of an a or o of the following syllable, e. g. in OHG. wehsal. The difficulties, however, opposed to this theory seem to me greater than those presented by the hypothesis given above.

§ 36. Baltic-Slavonic. Lith. pìkis (gen. pìkio) O.Bulg. pĭklŭ 'pitch': Lat. pix, Gr. πίσσα (from *πικια, § 489) 'pitch'. Lith. limpù (pret. lipaŭ) 'I stick' (intr.), O.Bulg. pri-lĭna from *-lĭpna (§ 545) 'I stick to, remain hanging': Skr. limpáti 'he smears', Gr. λίπος (neut.) 'fat'. Lith. deszinē 'right hand', O.Bulg. desĕnŭ 'dexter': Skr. dákṣṣina- 'dexter'. Lith. tri-sè, O.Bulg. trĭ-chŭ: Skr. tri-ṣū, Gr. τρι-σί, loc. pl. from tri- 'three'.

In one portion of the Lithuanian dialects i is at the present day pronounced very open; hence such frequent spellings as $lepau\ lepo = lipa\bar{u}\ lipo\ 1$. and 3. pers. sing. pret. of limpu.

Slavonic $\bar{\imath}$ must, already in prim. Slav., have been a reduced sound, with a quality approaching e.

In Modern Slavonic developments i became a full vowel in closed syllables (such syllables often arose through the falling out of an \tilde{i} or \tilde{u} in the following syllable), Russ. e, Serv. a: e. g. Russ. den Serv. dan = O.Bulg. dini 'day'; Russ. čest Serv. čast = O.Bulg. čisti 'honour'. On the other hand final i as a sonantal vowel disappeared in every case; e. g. Russ. Serv. dam = O.Bulg. da-mi Lith. du'-mi 'I give' (Balt.-Slav. original form * $d\bar{o}dmi$ from rt. $d\bar{o}$ -, Gr. $\delta'\delta\omega\mu\iota$, § 547). Medial $\bar{\iota}$ also, as a rule, disappeared in open syllables, e. g. Russ. vdová = O.Bulg. vidova 'widow'; Serv. dne = O.Bulg. din-e gen. sing. of din-'day'. All these modifications can be traced as far back as the period of Old Church Slavonic. On the one hand they follow from such double spellings as trechu trichu, patemi patimi (instr. sing.), patechii patichii loc. pl. from pati 'way', denī dīnī 'day', vesī vīsī 'entire', levū līvū 'lion' — these forms can only be understood by assuming that the final -i and -i were no longer syllabic (because e did not become t e. g. in patimi Instr. pl.) 1 — on the other hand from such double spellings as vsi visi gen. 'vici' (cp. Skr. víš- 'clan'), psati pisati 'to write' (cp. Skr. piś- 'adorn', rt. peik- 'cut, cut out'). The same laws hold for i in the combinations in, in, ir from Indg. un, um, rr (§§ 252. 305). E. g. pres. O.Bulg. ming 'I press, tread under foot': Lith. minit 'I tread', original form *mnn-ō, rt. men-; thence Russ. mnu. O.Bulg. timinica 'prison' from timinu 'dark', adj. to tima 'darkness' from *tmm-ā rt. tem-; thence Russ. and also already O.Bulg. temnica. O.Bulg. tira 'tero' original form *tyr-ám, thence Russ. tru. The analogous treatment of ii § 52 may also be compared with these modifications of 7.

¹⁾ Cp. also such spellings as legăko (i. e. legko) for lĭgŭko 'leve, facile' (§ 52).

 \tilde{t} before j became i in the period of Old Church Slavonic. prija- $zn\tilde{t}$ and prija- $zn\tilde{t}$ 'love, 'good will': Skr. priya- 'dear', Goth. $frij\bar{o}n$ 'to love', Indg. adj. *prijo- 'dear'. Gen. pl. $trij\tilde{t}$ and $trij\tilde{t}$: Lith. $trij\tilde{t}$ 'trium'. \tilde{t} in the combination - $\tilde{t}j$ - from Indg. - $e\tilde{t}$ - (§ 68) underwent the same treatment, e. g. $v\tilde{t}ja$ and vija = Lith. veju 'I turn, wind', Skr. vayami, Indg. * $uei\bar{o}$. The change of $\tilde{t}t$ to $\tilde{t}t$ before $\tilde{t}t$ went parallel to this modification, e. g. $dobr\tilde{t}t\tilde{t}\tilde{t}$ and $dobryj\tilde{t}$ 'the good man' (§ 84).

Rem. In the Zographos gospel ŭ frequently is found for ĭ before non-palatal vowels; e. g. rŭdova 'widow'. Cp. § 252 rem. and § 305 rem.

Indg. 7.

§ 37. Indg. * $g\bar{\imath}$ -uo-s 'living': Skr. $j\bar{\imath}v\acute{u}$ -s, Lat. $v\bar{\imath}vo$ -s, Lith. $g\acute{y}va$ -s O.Bulg. $\check{z}iv\breve{u}$. Indg. * $p\bar{\imath}$ -uo-, * $p\bar{\imath}$ -ue-i-. Skr. $p\acute{\tau}$ -va-i- 'swelling (partic.), plump, fat', Gr. $\pi \check{\imath}$ - $(\mathcal{F})o$ -s $\pi \acute{t}$ - $(\mathcal{F})\omega v$ 'fat, plump. Indg. * $s\bar{\imath}$ -me-i-: Skr. $s\bar{\imath}$ - $m\acute{a}n$ - 'parting of the hair on the top of the head', Gr. \acute{t} - $u\acute{a}$ (st. \acute{t} -uav- τ -) 'a leathern strap, rein', $\acute{\tau}$ -uov- $u\acute{a}$ 'rope of a draw-well', O.Icel. $s\bar{\imath}$ -me $s\bar{\imath}$ -mi 'cord, rope'.

Nominal suffix-form Indg. -ī-: Skr. naptī-š 'daughter, granddaughter', vidúšī 'ίδυῖα', Lat. vic-tr-ī-c-s, Goth. frijōndi 'femalefriend' from prim. Germanic *frijond-ī (§ 660, 2), Lith. vilkusi O.Bulg. vlŭkŭši from prim. Baltic-Slav. *wılkusī (§§ 303. 664), fem. particip. pret. act. of Lith. velkù O.Bulg. vlěką I draw, pull' (rt. yelg-). Nominal suffix -mo-, forming adjectives denoting origin or material: Lat. haed-īnu-s, Goth. gáit-eins 'kid's', Lat. su-īnu-s, O.Bulg. sv-inŭ 'pig's', Goth. sv-ein (neut.) 'a pig' (cp. gáitein neut. 'a young he-goat'), Gr. κορακ-ῖνο-ς 'a young raven'. Indg. -ī the ending of the Nom. Acc. dual of ei-stems: Skr. pátī to nom. sing. páti-š 'master', O.Ir. fāith (original form *uātī) to nom. sing. fāith (original form *uāti-s) 'poet', Lith. nakti O.Bulg. nošti (prim. Baltic-Slav. *noktī, § 664, 3) to nom. sing. Lith. nakti-s O.Bulg. nošti 'night'. Weak form of the optative suffix -v-: Skr. dviš-v-máhi 1. pers. pl. Mid. of dvέ ξ -mi 'I hate', Gr. εἰδεῖμεν from *εἰδεσ-i-μεν to η̈σεα 'I knew' from $\mathring{\eta}$ - $\mathcal{F}\varepsilon \iota \delta$ - $\varepsilon \sigma$ - α , Lat. s- $\bar{\iota}$ -mus, Goth. vil-ei-ma = Lat. vel- $\bar{\iota}$ -mus,

OHG. 1. pers. pl. s- $\bar{\imath}$ -m s- $\bar{\imath}$ -n 'we may be' = Lat. s $\bar{\imath}$ mus, O.Bulg. jad-i-m $\bar{\imath}$ from jad- ($\check{e}d$ -) 'eat' (\S 76), cp. Lat. ed- $\bar{\imath}$ -mus.

Rem. Of all the prim. Indg. vowels $\bar{\imath}$ is the one which has been least changed in the development of the separate languages.

- § 38. Aryan. Skr. $v\bar{\imath}$ -rá-s Av. $v\bar{\imath}$ -ra-'man, hero': Umbr. veiro-'vir' (cp. § 41), secondary form of Indg. *ui-ro-s (§ 34), denoted originally, 'endowed with strength', and is related to Lat. $v\bar{\imath}$ -s. Skr. $j\bar{\imath}$ -rá- Avest. $-j\bar{\imath}$ -ra-'active', imper. 2. pers. sing. Skr. $j\bar{\imath}va$ O.Pers. $j\bar{\imath}v\bar{a}$ (read $j\bar{\imath}va$) 'live'. Skr. $b\bar{\imath}hat$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -m Avest. ber^czait - $\bar{\imath}$ -m 'magnam, altam', epf. * $bhr\bar{\jmath}h$ - $v\bar{\imath}$ -m.
- § 39. Armenian. I do not know any certain examples for the representation of $\bar{\iota}$. See, however, siun 'pillar' = Gr. $\varkappa t \omega \nu$ and cin 'milvus' = Gr. $l\varkappa \tau \tilde{\iota} \nu o c$ in Hübschmann's Arm. Stud. I 49. 53.
- § 40. Greek. \tilde{t} -g 'strength': Lat. $v\bar{\imath}$ -s. $\tilde{\imath}$ 6-g 'poison' fr. * $\mathcal{F}\bar{\imath}$ 00-g: Lat. $v\bar{\imath}$ ru-s, O.Ir. $f\bar{\imath}$. $\dot{\varrho}$ 7 ϱ 0g 'frost': Lat. $fr\bar{\imath}$ gus (§ 570). πt - $v\omega$ 'I drink'; imper. $\pi \tilde{\imath}$ - $\theta \iota$: Skr. $p\bar{\imath}$ - $t\acute{u}$ -s 'drunk, having drunk' $p\bar{\imath}$ - $t\acute{\iota}$ -s 'draught (of liquids)', O.Bulg. pi-ti 'to drink' pi-vo (neut.) 'potus, beer'.
- § 41. Italic. Lat. $v\bar{\imath}vo$ -s, Osc. bivus nom. pl. 'vivi'. Lat. in- $cl\bar{\imath}$ - $n\bar{o}$, ac- $cl\bar{\imath}$ -ni-s: Gr. $\varkappa\lambda\bar{\imath}$ - $v\eta$ $\varkappa\lambda\bar{\imath}$ - $\tau\dot{v}$ - ς , Skr. abhi- $\dot{s}r\bar{\imath}$ - $n\bar{a}$ -ti 'leads on, unites with'. Lat. s- $\bar{\imath}$ -mus, Umbr. 2. pers. sing. sir 'sis', Osc. fefac-i-d 'fecerit' opt. of redupl. perf. (cp. Skr. 3. pers. sing. opt. pf. mid. va-vr-t-t-d from var-t- 'roll, turn'); the -i- $(-\bar{\imath}$ -) in the Umbr. and Osc. forms, as well as in Lat. sim $s\bar{\imath}s$ (O.Lat. siem $si\bar{e}s$), has been taken over from the 1. and 2. pers. pl. Osc. li-mu 'famem': Gr. $\lambda\bar{\imath}$ - $\mu\acute{o}$ - ς .

In Latin ei was also written for this $\bar{\imath}$, e. g. veivos, faxseis. It was not, however, pronounced as a diphthong, but as a long (probably open) i. The old diphthong ei in $deic\bar{\iota}$ (cp. $\delta\epsilon(\nu-\nu\bar{\nu}-\mu\iota)$), $feid\bar{\iota}$ (cp. $\pi\epsilon(\theta\omega)$) etc. had become $\bar{\imath}$, but the spelling ei for this $\bar{\imath}$ was still retained. At this period the sign ei began to be used for the old $\bar{\imath}$ which had fallen together with $e\dot{\imath}$ (cp. also the late Greek spelling $\epsilon\iota$ for original $\bar{\imath}$, as $\pio\lambda\epsilon(\tau\eta\epsilon)$). Cf. § 65.

The i in the Umbr. and Osc. words sir and bivus, limu (quoted above) is to be pronounced long. This quantity can be directly proved in Umbr. persnih mu persnihimu, i. e. persnīmu (§ 23) 'precamino', depon. 3. sing. imper. from a verbal stem persnī-, cp. Lat. fīnī-re. It also follows from the spelling ei (on tables in Latin alphabet) in sei beside sir si = Lat. sīs, in veiro beside viro 'viros' (cp. Skr. $vīr\acute{a}$ -s § 38), probably also in pers-ei beside pers-i 'quid', the ending of which was a demonstrative particle corresponding to the $-\bar{\iota}$ in Gr. $o\acute{v}ro\sigma-\acute{\iota}$. This spelling ei is to be put on a like footing with the ei in Lat. veivos and similar forms (see above), and points to an open pronunciation of the $\bar{\iota}$. The same quality of the $\bar{\iota}$ -sound follows for Oscan from fusíd 'foret, esset' (opt. of the s-aorist, cp. Skr. 3. sing. mid. $ma-s-\bar{\iota}-t\acute{u}$ from man- 'think'), assuming that the vowel in the end syllable had not been shortened and then become $\acute{\iota}$.

§ 42. Old Irish. $l\bar{\iota}$ Cymr. lliv 'color, splendor': Lat. $l\bar{\iota}vor$. It is less certain that the $\bar{\iota}$ in $cr\bar{\iota}thid$ 'emax' = Indg. $\bar{\iota}$: Skr. $kr\bar{\iota}$ - $\eta \dot{a}$ -ti 'buys'.

For the treatment of 7 in unaccented syllables see §§ 613. 634. 657, 2. 6.

§ 43. Germanic. Goth. skeirs (st. skei-ra-) O.Sax. skīr O.Icel. skīrr 'clear, bright', prim. Germ. *skī-ra-, related to Gr. σμά 'shadow' or to Lat. dē-scī-scō 'I withdraw, depart from'. Goth. freidjan 'to spare', OHG. vrīten 'to foster, pamper', O.Icel. frīda 'to adorn, decorate': cp. Skr. prī-tá-s 'dear, pleased, joyful, satisfied'. Goth. sv-ein OHG. OS. swīn Ags. swīn O.Icel. svīn 'pig': O.Bulg. sv-inŭ I.at. su-īnu-s 'pig's (adj.), belonging to a pig'. 1. pers. pl. opt. pf. Goth. vēs-ei-ma OHG. wār-ī-m O.Sax. wār-i-n, O.Icel. vær-i-m 'we might be': cp. Skr. 3. sing. opt. pf. mid. vavṛt-ī-tá (from vart-).

It must be observed that ei in Goth. always signifies the monophthong $\bar{\imath}$ (§ 25).

§ 44. Baltic-Slavonic. Lith. $v\acute{y}$ -ti O.Bulg. $v\acute{i}$ -ti 'to wind, turn': Gr. $\ilin_{t}\ \ilin_{t}\ \ilin$

Observe that y is the Lith. symbol. for $\bar{\imath}$ (§ 26).

Indg. u.

§ 45. Indg. *jug-ó-m 'yoke': Skr. yugá-m, Gr. ζυγό-ν, Lat. jugu-m, Goth. juk, O.Bulg. igo fr. *jŭgo. Indg. weak stemform *ĥu-n- 'dog' (strong stemform *ĥu-on-, Skr. acc. sing. śván-am): Skr. gen. sing. śú-n-as, Gr. gen. sing. zv-v-óς, O.Cymric nom. pl. cūn cwn, Goth. hun-d-s, Lith. gen. sing. szu-ñ-s fr. *szu-n-es (§ 664, 2). Indg. *nu 'now': Skt. nú, Gr. vv, Lat. nu-diu-s, O.Ir. nu no, OHG. nu no, Lith. nù-gi 'now then'.

Weak nominal suffixes Indg. -u-, -tu-, -nu-: Skr. svād-ú-ṣ, Gr. ἡδ-v-ş 'sweet', Lat. fruc-tu-s, O.Ir. fiuss fiss 'knowledge' from *uissu-s, Goth. su-nu-s Lith. sū-nù-s O.Bulg. sy-nŭ (from *sy-nŭ-s, § 665, 4) 'son'. Weak form of the suffix of the pf. part. act. Indg. -us-: Skr. vid-úṣ-ī Gr. lòvĩa from *Fιδ-νσ-μα (§ 131) fem. 'knowing', Goth. bēr-us-jōs 'parents' (properly 'those who have brought forth'), Lith. vilk-us-i O.Bulg. vlŭk-ŭši fem., to Lith. velkù O.Bulg. vlěką 'I draw'.

§ 46. Aryan. Skr. putrá- Avest. pupra- O.Pers. puša- (§ 261) 'son': Osc. puklo- 'son'. Skr. úpa Avest. upa O.Pers. upā (read upa) prep. 'to': Gr. ὕπο, Lat. s-ub. Skr. su- Avest. hu- O.Pers. u- prefix = 'well, good, very': O.Ir. su- so-. Suffix of the loc. pl. Ar. -su: Skr. áśvē-ṣu Avest. aspaṣ-ṣu 'in equis', O.Pers. mādai-ṣuv-ā 'in Medis' (-ā is postposition): O.Lith. kny-go-su (Mod. knỹgo-se is formed by analogy) 'in libro' from knỹ-gos pl. 'liber', O.Bulg. raka-chữ 'in manibus' from raka 'manus'.

§ 47. Armenian. dustr 'daughter': Skr. duhitár- Gr. θυγάτης Goth. daúhtar (§ 51) Lith. duktế O.Bulg. dŭšti 'daughter'. surb 'clean': Skr. subhrá-s 'clear, bright'. nu 'daughter-in-law': Skr. snušá Gr. ννό-ς OHG. snur O.Bulg. snücha 'daughter-in-law'.

u in non-final syllables was dropped: dster gen. of dustr, srboy gen. of surb, verb srbem 'I clean'. Cp. § 632.

§ 48. Greek. $\varkappa \lambda v \cdot \tau \acute{o} \cdot \varsigma$ 'celebrated': Skr. $\acute{s}ru \cdot t \acute{a} \cdot s$ 'heard, celebrated', Lat. $in \cdot clu \cdot t u \cdot s$, O.Ir. cloth from * $clu \cdot t o \cdot s$ (§ 50) 'celebrated', OHG. $Hlud \cdot r \ddot{i} ch$ $Hlud \cdot olf$ $Hlot \cdot hari$ (§ 51). $\acute{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \varrho$ 'over': Skr. $up \acute{a}ri$ 'above, over', Lat. $s \cdot up e r$, Goth. uf a r 'over'. Weak present suffix $\cdot nu \cdot in \tau \acute{a} \cdot vv \cdot \tau \alpha i$: Skr. $ta \cdot nu \cdot t \ddot{e}$, from root ten 'stretch', Lat. $mi \cdot nu \cdot \ddot{o}$.

In prim. Gr. and probably also for some time after the introduction of the letter Y, u was spoken by all Greeks. At an early period one part of the dialects changed u into \ddot{u} which in the christian era was further changed into i. Another part, the Bootians, Laconians, and probably also the Arcadians, Cyprians, Pamphylians, Chalcidians and Lesbians, retained u until far into historical times, e. g. Boot. $\lambda u y o v o f - g cov = \breve{u} cov = \Delta tt$. $\lambda u y v v o f - g cov = \delta co$

The spiritus asper in $\mathring{v}\delta\omega\varrho$ (Skr. $ud\acute{a}n$ - 'water'), $\mathring{v}\pi\varrho$ (Skr. $\mathring{u}pa\ \mathring{u}pari$) etc. seems to point to a transition from initial u- to $\mathring{u}u$ in prim. Greek. Cp. $\mathring{v}\mu\iota\iota_{\mathcal{G}}:$ Skr. $yu\check{s}ma$ -. It is not improbable that v became ι through the dissimilating influence of a following v, as $\pi\iota vv\tau\dot{\varrho}-\varsigma$ from * πv -vv- $\tau\dot{\varrho}-\varsigma$, Skr. pu- $n\acute{a}$ -mi 'I clean, clear up'.

§ 49. Italic. Lat. rubro- (nom. ruber) Umbr. rufro- 'red': Skr. $rudhir\acute{a}$ -s Gr. $\mathring{\epsilon}_{0}v\theta_{0}\acute{o}$ - ς O.Bulg. $r\breve{u}dr\breve{u}$ 'red'. Lat. $du\bar{o}$ Umbr. neut. tuva 'two': Skr. ved. $duv\acute{a}$ Gr. $\delta\acute{v}\omega$ 'two'. Osc. pu-klo-Pelig. Mars. pu-clo- 'son' Lat. pu-tu-s 'boy': Skr. pu- $tr\acute{a}$ -s 'son'.

In Latin, before labials and perhaps also before l, a frequent sound, lying between u und i, made its appearance for Indg. u. libet, older lubet: Skr. lubh- 'to feel a strong desire'. fimu-s fimu-m, probably related to Gr. $\theta v'$ - μo -v. limpa (limpidus), older form

lumpa to Osc. Diumpaís 'Nymphis', prim. Italic * $dump\bar{a}$ -1). The relation between silua silva and Gr. $i'\lambda\eta$ is uncertain (cp. Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 158 f., W. Meyer Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII 163 f.). This i occurs especially often for the -u- of u-(eu-)stems, e. g. lacri-ma, older form lacru-ma, laci-bus flucti-bus flucti-vagus mani-festus beside lacu-bus manu-festus. Compare the change of a to the same u: i-sound (§ 97) in unaccented syllables before the same consonants.

Rem. It has been conjectured (Osthoff in the above named work pages 125, 158) that the i in libet, fimus, limpa, silua arose from u phonetically only in unaccented syllables, in such combinations as $qu\bar{t}$ libet, cónlubet, in fumō (cp. $\bar{\iota}$ licō from *in slocō). But should not the historical relation of loebertatem leiber to Osc. Lúvfreís 'Liberi' Falisc. loferta 'liberta' be such that in Lat. *loub- (= prim. Italic *louf-, § 65 page 52) the consonantal u before b underwent precisely the same change as the sonantal u of lubet, so that *loub first became *loub, then loub, and lastly $l\bar{\iota}b$ -? In that case the change of lubet (with u in the chief accented syllable) to libet could scarcely be questioned. For the change of loeb- to $l\bar{\iota}b$ - cp. § 81 rem. 2. I cannot resolve to separate Lat. $l\bar{\iota}ber$ $l\bar{\iota}berta$ from Osc. Lúvfreís and Falisc. loferta, although I do not fail to recognise the overwhelming difficulties which present themselves (s. Danielsson in Pauli's Ital. Stud. 4, 156 ff.).

The spelling with o shows that u in Umbr. had, in certain cases or everywhere, an open sound: sopam 'suppam, supinam', somo summum', trifo 'tribum'. For Umbr. i- from ju- see § 133.

In Osc., u after d, t, n, s underwent a change which was represented by iu. Diumpaís 'Nymphis', Lat. lumpa (see above); eitiuvam beside eituam 'pecuniam' (suffix $-u(u)\bar{a}$ -). tiurri'turrim' (a borrowed word?). Niumsieís Nuvuodinus gen. 'Numisii', to Lat. numeru-s. Siuttiis 'Suttius'. Cp. Bœot. $lov = ov \S 48$.

§ 50. O. Irish. suth neut. 'birth, fruit' fr. *su-tu: Skr. su-tά-s Gr. νίν'-ς νίό-ς 'son'. sruth neut. 'river' fr. *sru-tu: Skr. sru-tά-s Gr. ǫν-τό-ς 'flowing', Lith. sru-tà 'dung-water'. clu-ni-m 'I hear': Skr. śru- Gr. κλν-, rt. kley-.

¹⁾ The spellings of the Lat. word as lumpha, limpha, lympha are due to the supposed derivation from νύμφη, which even gave rise to nymphaticus (Löwe Archiv. f. Lat. Lexikogr. I 25).

Compare also Gall. *Druid-es*, O.Ir. *drui* (pl. *druid*) perhaps to, Skr. *dru-* Gr. *Jov-* 'wood'; Gall. *catu-* 'combat' in *Catu-rīg-es* etc. (O.Ir. *cath*, gen. *catha*): OHG. *hadu-* 'war'.

u became o through the assimilating influence of a or o in the following syllable (cp. the similar change of i to e § 34). cloth from *clu-to-s: Gr. $z\lambda v$ - τo - ς etc. (§ 48). srotho srotha gen. of sruth. bond bonn 'solea' fr. *bundo-s: Lat. fundu-s Skr. budh- $n\acute{a}$ -s 'bottom' (§ 469, 8). Compare West Germanic and Norse o from u § 51.

u became o in monosyllabic words. nu no = Skr. nu etc. (§ 45). su- so- 'well' = Skr. su-. du- do- 'bad' = Skr. du-. Goth. tuz-. In this case too it is probable that the change from u to o was caused by the vowels of the following syllables.

For the fate of u in other than chief accented syllables cp. §§ 634. 657.

§ 51. Germanic. 1. pers. pl. pf. Goth. ana-budum 'we bade', OHG. butum O.Icel. budom 'we offered': Skr. bu-budh-imá 'we watched, observed', Gr. πέ-πνσται πνθ-έσθαι, Lith. bùd-inti 'to rouse', O.Bulg. bŭd-ěti 'to wake', from rt. bheudh- 'wake, observe'. Goth. ga-kusts (st. ga-kusti-) OHG. kust 'test, choice': Skr. júšti-š 'show of affection, favour'; kustu-s 'trial': Lat. gustu-s 'taste' from rt. ĝeus- 'choose, γενέσθαι'.

Before h and r Indg. u appears in Goth. as $a\acute{u}$. $a\acute{u}hsa$ (st. $a\acute{u}hsin$ -) 'ox': Skr. $uk\check{s}\acute{a}$ (st. $uk\check{s}\acute{a}n$ -) 'bull, ox'. $da\acute{u}r$ (st. $da\acute{u}ra$ -) neut. 'door': Skr. $d\acute{u}r$ - (concerning the d- see § 480) Arm. $du\acute{r}n$ Gr. $\theta\acute{v}o\bar{a}$ Lith. pl. $d\grave{u}rys$ 'door'.

In West Germanic and Norse u became o through the influence of an a or o of the following syllable. Part. passive OHG. botan (Mod. High Germ. ge-boten) O.Sax. bodan Ags. boden O.Icel. bodenn = Goth. budans, cp. Skr. part. budhāná-s. OHG. tor = Goth. daúr (prim. Germ. *đura-m) beside turi 'door'. Cp. §§ 247. 299.

Rem. Some scholars look upon this umlaut also as prim. Germ. (cp. § 35 rem.).

§ 52. Baltic-Slavonic. Lith. krùvina-s O.Bulg. krŭvinŭ 'bloody': Lat. cruentus. Lith. pl. musaï (stem musa-) 'mould

on sour milk', O.Bulg. mŭchŭ 'moss': Lat. mus-cu-s, OHG. mos (prim. Germ. stem *musa-) 'moss'. Lith. sū-nù-s O.Bulg. sy-nŭ 'son': Skr. sū-nù-š 'son'.

In some Lith, dialects u is pronounced very open, so that it can be regarded as a closed o, e. g. $suka\tilde{u}$ suko (1, and 3, pers. sing. pret. of suku 'I turn') appear as $s\tilde{o}ka\tilde{u}$, $s\tilde{o}k\tilde{o}$.

Slavonic \tilde{u} must, already in prim. Slav., have been a reduced sound, approaching the quality of o.

ŭ, in Modern Slavonic developments, became a full vowel in closed syllables (such syllables often arose through the falling out of an \tilde{i} or \tilde{u} in the following syllable), Russ. o, Serv. α , e. g. Russ. son Serv. san = O.Bulg. sŭnŭ from *sŭpnŭ (§ 545) 'sleep': Gr. $i'\pi$ - ν o- ς ; Russ. $do\check{c}' = O.Bulg. d\check{u}\check{s}ti$ 'daughter': Lith. $dukt\tilde{e}$. On the other hand final \tilde{u} disappeared, e. g. Russ. syn O.Bulg. synй, loc. pl. Russ. rybach Serv. ribah = O.Bulg. rybachй (from ryba- fem. 'fish'), cp. Skr. loc. pl. $\acute{as}v\bar{a}$ -su (from $\acute{as}v\bar{a}$ - 'mare'). Medial \ddot{u} also, as a rule, disappeared in open syllables, e.g. Russ. Serv. sna = O.Bulg. sŭna (gen. sing. of sŭnŭ), Russ. spat = O.Bulg. sŭpati 'to sleep', legka = O.Bulg. ligŭka nom. fem. of ligu-ku 'easy' (further formation of an old u-stem by means of the suffix -ko-). All these modifications can be traced as far back as the period of old Church Slavonic. On the one hand they follow from such double spellings as krovi kriivi 'blood', domochi domichi (loc. pl. from the stem domi- 'house' = Lat. domu-); these forms can only be understood by assuming that the final i and ii were no longer syllabic; on the other hand from such double spellings as rekšu rekŭšu dat. sing. of the stem rekŭše-, that is, *rek-ŭs-jo- according to §§ 84 and 147 (part. pf. act. of reką 'I say'), leguko beside liguko neut. to liguku. With these modifications of \breve{u} , compare the parallel treatment of $\breve{\imath}$ § 36.

Prim. Slav. įŭ became įĭ (cp. įi from įy § 60 and įe from įo § 84). O.Bulg. žĭvą 'I chew' from *zįŭvą: OHG. chiuwu (§§ 143. 147). igo 'yoke' from *įĭgo (§ 145) and this fr. *įŭgo: Skr. yugá-m, Lat. jugu-m.

Rem. In the Zographos gospel $\tilde{\imath}$ for \tilde{u} occurs occasionally before palatal vowels, e. g. $b\tilde{u}l\acute{e}ti=b\tilde{u}d\acute{e}ti$ 'to wake', $d\tilde{u}vu$ m., but $d\tilde{\imath}v\check{e}$ fem. neutr. 'two'.

Indg. ū.

§ 53. Indg. * $dh\bar{u}$ - $m\acute{o}$ -s 'ebullition, smoke': Skr. $dh\bar{u}m\acute{a}$ -s, Gr. $\theta\bar{v}\mu\acute{o}$ -s ('courage, passion'), Lat. $f\bar{u}mu$ -s, Lith. pl. $d\acute{a}mai$, O.Bulg. $dym\breve{u}$. Indg. * $bh\bar{u}$ -, weak form of the the rt. bhey- 'be, become' (§ 312): Skr. $bh\bar{u}$ - $t\acute{a}$ - 'become, been' $\acute{a}bh\bar{u}$ -tam 'ye two were' $bh\bar{u}$ - $t\acute{\iota}$ -s 'good condition, well-being', Gr. $\varphi\bar{v}$ - $\tau a\lambda u\acute{\eta}$ 'a planted place' 2. pers. du. $\check{\epsilon}\varphi\bar{v}$ - $\tau o\nu$, Lith. inf. $b\acute{u}$ -ti O.Bulg. by-ti 'to be'. Indg. * $m\bar{u}s$ - 'mouse': Skr. $m\check{u}s$ -, Gr. $\mu\bar{v}s$, Lat. $m\bar{u}s$ $m\bar{u}r$ -is; OHG. $m\bar{u}s$ and O.Bulg. $my\check{s}\check{\iota}$ fr. * $mych\check{\iota}$ (§ 588, 2), that is, originally * $m\bar{u}s$ -is, show the change of the monosyllabic stem into the $e\dot{\iota}$ -declension.

Indg. $-\bar{u}$ as ending of the nom. acc. du. of ey-stems: Skr. $s\bar{u}n\acute{u}$ O.Bulg. syny from Indg. stem $*s\bar{u}$ -ney- $*s\bar{u}$ -nu-'son', Lith. $zmoy\grave{u}$ fr. $*zmoy\~u$ (§ 664, 3) to $zmoy\~u$ -s 'man', O.Ir. mug fr. $*moy\~u$ (§ 657, 2) from stem moyu- 'servant'.

- § 54. Aryan. Skr. $d\bar{u}$ - $r\dot{a}$ Avest. O.Pers. $d\bar{u}$ -ra- 'far' from rt. deu- 'withdraw' (Gr. Hom. $\delta\varepsilon\dot{\nu}\varepsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ 'fall short of'). Skr. $\dot{\varepsilon}\dot{u}$ -ra- 'hero', Avest. $s\bar{u}$ -ra- 'strong': Gr. \ddot{a} - $z\bar{v}\varrho\varrho\varsigma$ 'weak, invalid'. Skr. $n\bar{u}$ Avest. $n\bar{u}$ 'now': Gr. $\nu\tilde{\nu}$ - ν O.Bulg. ny- $n\check{e}$ 'now'.
- § 55. Armenian. I do not know any sure examples for the regular representation of Indg. \bar{u} . The following comparison of words may, however, be taken into consideration. ku, gen. ku-oy, 'dung' to Skr. $g\bar{u}tha$ 'excrement', Avest. $g\bar{u}pa$ 'dirt'. mukn, gen. mkan, 'mouse, muscle', to Skr. $m\tilde{u}$ \$ikā- $m\tilde{u}$ \$akā- 'rat, mouse,' O.Bulg. my\$ika 'mouse', Lat. $m\tilde{u}$ \$sculu-s (cp. Hübschmann Zeitschr. d. deutsch. morgenl. Ges. XXXV 170, Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 217 f.). Pl. srun-k' (-k is the sign of the plural) 'shins, shanks', to Lat. $cr\bar{u}r$ -a (Hübschmann, as above, page 177). Compare also Hübschmann Armen. Stud. I 62.
- § 56. Greek. $\partial \varphi \rho \tilde{v} \tilde{v}$ $\partial \varphi \rho \tilde{v} \tilde{v}$ 'eye-brow': Skr. $bhr\tilde{u}$ - \tilde{s} O.Icel. $br\bar{u}$ -n Ags. $br\bar{u}$ 'eye-brow'. $\beta ov \lambda \bar{v} \tau \acute{o}$ 'the time for unyoking oxen': Lat. so- $l\bar{u}$ -tu-s, O.Icel. $l\bar{u}$ dr' 'bruised, crushed, deafened,

tired out' (cp. $\lambda \dot{\nu} \tau o \gamma o \dot{\nu} \nu \alpha \tau \alpha$). $\sigma \tau \tilde{\nu} - \lambda o - \varsigma$ 'pillar': Skr. $sth \bar{u} - r \dot{a} - sth \bar{u} - l \dot{a} - 'coarse$, thick, massive'.

The change from \bar{u} to \bar{u} went parallel with that of u to \bar{u} (§ 48). The dialects, which retained u, retained also \bar{u} : Becot. $E\ddot{v}$ - $\theta ov \mu o - \varsigma = \text{Att. } E\ddot{v} - \theta \bar{v} \mu o - \varsigma$, Lac. $\mu ov \sigma \delta \delta s \iota$ (which according to Hesychius means $\lambda \alpha \lambda s \tilde{\iota}$) = Att. * $\mu \bar{v} \theta \iota \zeta \epsilon \iota$.

It is doubtful whether \bar{v} became $\bar{\iota}$ through the dissimilating influence of a following v (cp. § 48). $\varphi \bar{\iota} - \tau v$ (neut.) 'twig, sprout' has been brought together with Skr. gerund $bh\bar{\iota} - tv - \tilde{\iota}$ and Lat. $fn - tu - \bar{\iota}$. It may, however, be connected with Lat. $f\bar{\iota}\bar{\iota}$ and O.Ir. bin 'fio, sum', the original form of which was $bhu - ii\dot{\iota}$ (§§ 170. 175). It is still more uncertain whether $\bar{\iota}h\dot{\nu} - \zeta$ has come from $\bar{\iota}\bar{\iota}h\nu - \zeta$ (Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 188 ff.).

§ 57. Italic. O.Lat. pf. $f\bar{u}$ - \bar{t} $f\bar{u}$ -imus (Inscript. fuueit), Osc. Fuutreí 'deae creatrici': Skr. $bh\bar{u}$ - $t\acute{a}$ - etc. (§ 53). Lat. $s\bar{u}$ - $s\bar{u}$ -bus: Skr. $s\bar{u}$ - $kar\acute{a}$ - 'pig, boar', Gr. \bar{v} - ς \bar{v} -v, OHG. $s\bar{u}$ (Mod.HG. sau) from prim. West-Germ. * $s\bar{u}$ -z (§ 583). Lat. $c\bar{u}pa$ 'vat, recess for the dead': Skr. $k\acute{u}pa$ -s 'pit, hole'.

It is quite possible that in Umbr. the i in pir $(\pi \tilde{v} \varrho)$ beside abl. pure Osc. purasiaí (loc. sing. of the stem $purasi\bar{a}$ - 'igniaria'), in sim (Gr. $\tilde{v}v$, Lat. suem) and sif (acc. pl.) beside surum sorsum 'suillum', and in frif acc. pl. 'fruges', represents an older \bar{u} which may have been pronounced \bar{u} . (cp. O.Bulg. y fr. \bar{u} beside \bar{u} fr. u, §§ 52. 60.). It is however impossible to form a correct judgment owing to the quantities not being marked, and the scantiness of the material. Cp. Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 16, Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 432.

§ 58. Old Irish. $r\bar{u}n$ (fem.) 'secret': OHG. $r\bar{u}na$ O.Icel. $r\bar{u}n$ f. 'secret, rune'. $d\bar{u}n$ (neut.) 'castle', Gall. - $d\bar{u}num$ in names of towns (Lupo- $d\bar{u}num$ and others): O.Sax. Ags. masc. $t\bar{u}n$ OHG. masc. $z\bar{u}n$ O.Icel. neut. $t\bar{u}n$ 'hedge, enclosure'. Pron. $t\bar{u}$ 'thou': Avest. $t\bar{u}$ Gr. $\tau\dot{v}$ -v- η Lat. $t\bar{u}$ O.Icel. $p\bar{u}$ O.Bulg. ty.

For such forms as acc. sing. $r\bar{u}in$ beside nom. sing. $r\bar{u}n$ see § 640.

For \bar{u} in unaccented syllables cp. §§ 613. 634. 657.

§ 59. Germanic. In Goth., where u and \bar{u} in the native alphabet were not kept apart, \bar{u} can scarcely ever be established without the help of the other languages. Goth. $f\bar{u}ls$ OHG. Ags. $f\bar{u}l$ O.Icel. $f\bar{u}ll$ 'foul': Lith. pl. $p\hat{u}lei$ 'matter', Gr. $\pi\hat{v}\theta\omega$ 'I make to rot, putrefy', Lat. $p\bar{u}s$ $p\bar{u}ris$ 'matter, spittle' $p\bar{u}$ - $te\bar{o}$ 'I smell bad, stink'. Goth. $r\bar{u}ms$ OHG. O.Sax. m. $r\bar{u}m$, O.Icel. neut. $r\bar{u}m$ 'room, open place', from the same root as Lat. $r\bar{u}s$ $r\bar{u}ris$ 'open field, country', Avest. ravah- 'free'. OHG. $\bar{u}tar$ Ags. $\bar{u}der$ 'udder': Skr. udhar 'udder'. OHG. $hl\bar{u}t$ Ags. O.Sax. $hl\bar{u}d$ 'loud' from rt. $ullet level{level} level{le$

§ 60. Baltic-Slavonic. Lith. tila-s adj. 'so many a', originally a substantive denoting 'a mass', O.Bulg. $tyl\check{u}$ 'nape', originally 'intumescence': Skr. $t\bar{u}la$ - neut. 'panicle, tuft, cotton', Gr. $\tau\acute{v}\lambda\eta$ 'a swelling or lump, callosity', from rt. tey- 'tumere'. Lith. $s\acute{u}ra$ -s 'saline', O.Bulg. $syr\check{u}$ 'raw': OHG. $s\bar{u}r$ O.Icel. $s\bar{u}rr$ 'sour, bitter'. Lith. $j\check{u}s$ 'ye': Avest. $y\bar{u}s$ $y\bar{u}sem$ 'ye'. O.Bulg. svekry 'mother-in-law': Skr. $svasr\mathring{u}-s$ 'mother-in-law'.

The change of \bar{u} to y belonged to the prim. Slav. period. Prim Slav. iy became ii (cp. ii from $i\bar{u}$ § 52). O.Bulg. iii fr. *siiti (§ 147), and this fr. *siyti: Lith. siiti 'to sew', Skr. $sy\bar{u}ti$ -i fem. 'sewing, sack'. Compare also kraji instr. pl. of kraji 'edge' but raby instr. pl. of $rab\bar{u}$ 'servant'.

Indg. e.

§ 61. Indg. pres. stem *bhero- *bhere- 'bear': Skr. bhárāmi, Arm. berem, Gr. φέρω, Lat. ferō, O.Ir. berim, Goth. baíra, O.Bulg. berą. Indg. *és-ti 'is': Skr. ásti, Gr. ἔστι, Lat. est, O.Ir. is (proclitic), Goth. ist, Lith. esti (now archaic), O.Bulg. jestĭ. Indg. e in the root syllable of neuters in -es-, e. g. Indg. *régos 'vapour, darkness': Skr. rájas, Arm. erek, Gr. ἔρεβος, Goth. riqiz-a-; Indg. *nébhos, 'cloud, atmosphere': Skr. nábhas, Gr. νέφος, Lith. debes-ì-s (the origin of the d- instead of n- is not clear), O.Bulg. nebo, comp. also with l-suffix Gr. νεφέλη Lat. nebula O.Ir. nēl (prim. kelt. stem *neblo-, § 526) OHG. nebul 'cloud, fog'. Indg. *qe 'and': Skr. ca, Gr. τὲ, Lat. que. Augment

of the past tenses of the Indicative (originally an independent particle) Indg. é: 3. pers. sing. Skr. á-dṛṣat Gr. ε-δρακε (rt. derĥ-see'), Arm. e-liħ Gr. ε-λιπε (rt. leiq- 'leave'), Goth. i-ddja Skr. á-yāt 'he went' (for Goth. ddj see § 142).

-e Indg. ending of voc. sing. of o-stems: Skr. vrka Gr. λύχε Lat. lupe 'wolf', O.Ir. maice maic from prim. kelt. *makue 'son', Lith. vilkè O.Bulg. vluće 'wolf'. The 'thematic vowel' Indg. -e-(by the side of -o-): e. g. 2. pers. pl. Skr. bhár-a-tha, Gr. αέρ-ε-τε, Lat. veh-i-tis, Goth. bair-i-ħ, O.Bulg. ber-e-te. Nominal suffix Indg. -es-: gen. sing. Skr. nábh-as-as Gr. νέφ-ε(σ)-ος Lat. gen-er-is O.Bulg. neb-es-e, Goth. stem rig-iz-a- (a further formation by means of -a-), Lith. nom. sing. deb-es-i-s (a further formation by means of -i-). Nominal suffix Indg. -ter-: Nom. pl. Skr. vi-túr-as 'fathers', Arm. ds-ter-k 'daughters', Gr. πα-τέρ-ες, gen. sing. Lith. mo-ter-s O.Bulg. ma-ter-e. Ending of the nom. pl. Indg. -es: Skr. άšmān-as from ášman- 'stone', Gr. άzμον-ες, O.Lat. turbin-es, Lith. akmens from *akmen-es (§ 664, 2) O.Bulg. kamen-e from *kamen-es (§ 665, 4) 'stones'. Ending of the 3. pers. sing. indic. pf. act. Indg. -e, e. g. *de-dork-e (from rt. derk- 'see'): Skr. dadárś-a, Gr. δέδορχ-ε, O.Ir. ad-con-dairc from prim. Kelt. *-dorke.

Indg. tautosyllabic ei ¹). Skr. (ved.) bhédāmi 'I cleave', Goth. beita 'I bite', original form *bhéidō; Arm. lizem Gr. λείχω 'I lick', original form *léighō; Lat. fīdō Gr. πείθω, orig. form *bhéidhō; O.Ir. tiagim 'I go', Gr. στείχω 'I go', Goth. steiga 'I climb', orig. form *stéighō; Lith. geidžiù 'I have a desire' for older *geidu = O.Bulg. židą 'I wait, expect', orig. form *ghéidhō. Indg. *éi-ti 'he goes': Skr. éti, Gr. εἶσι, Lat. it, Lith. eĩti eĩt, cp. O.Bulg. i-dą 'I go'. Indg. *ĝhei-men-: Skr. héman- 'winter', Arm. jiun 'snow', from *jivn, *jiman (§ 202), Gr. χεῖμα χειμών.

¹⁾ We call a sound-group tautosyllabic, when its elements belong to the same syllable, i. e. are uttered with the same expiration-impulse; heterosyllabic, when the last element or elements are produced by a fresh expiration-impulse. $e\dot{i}$ e. g. is tautosyllabic before consonants (*bhei/dhō = Gr. $\pi\epsilon t\theta\omega$) and in a pause (*uoi/kei/ = Gr. oĭzɛi). It is, as a rule, heterosyllabic before sonants (*gm/te/ies = Skr. gátayas, Gr. βάσεις from *βασε(t)εε).

Indog. tautosyllabic eu. Skr. bōdhāmi, Gr. πεύθομαι, Goth. ana-biuda, cpf. *bhêudhō 'I watch, observe'; Lat. dūcō 'I lead', Goth. tiuha I draw'; O.Bulg. skuba 'I pull, tear', Goth. af-skiuba 'I shove off', Skr. kṣōbhatē 'falls in motion, wavers'. Indg. *ĥleu-men- *ĥleu-mu-to-: Skr. śrōmata- neut. 'the act of hearing' Avest. sraoman- neut. 'hearing', Goth. hliuma masc. (gen. hliumins) 'hearing' OHG. hliumunt 'renown'. Gr. ὁεῦμα 'that which flows', O.Ir. sruaim (dat. pl. sruamannaib) 'stream', cpf. *sreu-men-. Gr. voc. Zsῦ, Indg. *dieu.

§ 62. Aryan. Indg. e became a in the prim. Aryan period. This change however did not take place until after the vowel had changed the preceding q, g, gh into c, j, jh (§ 445). Skr. Av. ca O.Pers. cā (read ca) 'and': Lat. que. Skr. páñca Av. panca 'five': Arm. hing, Gr. πέντε, Lat. quēnque, O.Ir. cōic from prim. Kelt. *kuenkue (cp. § 212), Goth. fimf, Indg. *pénqe 'five'. Skr. śrávas- neut. 'renown', Av. sravah- neut. 'word, prayer': Gr. κλέξ-ος 'renown', O.Bulg. slovo 'word' (§ 68), Indg. *kléu-os (stem *kleu-es-). Present stem Ar. pat-a- 'fly, fall' Skr. 3. sing. pres. act. páta-ti av. 3. sing. pret. act. a-pata-ħ O.Pers. 3. sing. pret. mid. a-pata-tā (read -ta): Gr. πέτε-ται, Lat. peti-t. Skr. pári Av. pairi O.Pers. pariy (read pari) 'round, about': Gr. πέφι.

Indg. tautosyllabic ei, ey became ai, ay in prim. Aryan, thence Skr. ē, ō, Av. ae, ōi, ao ēu, O.Pers. ai, au (cp. §§ 126. 160). Skr. έ-ti Av. aeiti (= *ae-ti, § 638) O.Pers. ai-tiy (read aiti) 'he goes': Gr. εἶσι. Skr. bḗdhatē Av. baoāaitē: Gr. πεύθεται, Indg. *bhéydhetai from rt. bheydh- 'guard, watch, observe'. O.Pers. dauštar- 'friend', Skr. jōšṭár- 'one who desires' from rt. geys- 'choose': cp. Gr. γενστήριο-ν 'a thing to taste with, a cup'.

Rem. Av. final ae became \vec{e} , see §§ 78, 94. Here would have to be mentioned loc. sing. $asp\vec{e}$ beside $asp\vec{o}i$ and aspae-ca (- $ca=Gr. \tau \hat{e}$, Lat. -que) = Skr. asve (from Indg. stem *ekvo- 'horse'), if -ai, the prim. Arending of o-stems, arose from Indg. -ei (cp. oixei). This, however, can also be traced back to Indg. -oi. Cp. § 116 and the accidence.

For Av. e, i, e, o from prim. Iran., prim. Ar. $\alpha = \text{Indg. } e$ see § 94.

§ 63. Armenian. cer 'old man': Gr. γέρων 'old man', Skr. járant- 'fragile, old man'. eλn (gen. eλin) 'stag': Gr. ἔλαφος (orig. form *ely-bho-s) 'stag', Cymric elain 'cerva', Lith. éln-i-s O.Bulg. jelen-ĭ 'stag', cpf. *elen-. evtn 'seven': Gr. ἕπτά, Lat. septem, O.Ir. secht n- (§§ 211, 243), Goth. sibun, Lith. septynì, O.Bulg. sedmĭ, Skr. saptá. ev 'and, also': Gr. ἕπι 'on, at', Skr. ápi 'also', cpf. *épi.

e became i before nasals. hin (gen. hnoy) 'old': Gr. $\&vo-\varsigma$ 'old', Lat. sen-ior, O.Ir. sen 'old', Goth. sin-ista 'the oldest (man)', Lith. $\&vec{sena}$ -s Skr. $\&vec{sena}$ -s 'old', Indg. $\&vec{sena}$ -s. $\&vec{cin}$ 'birth': Gr. \evec{yevo} -s. \evec{hing} 'five': Gr. $\evec{\piévo}$ -s. \evec{cin} 'birth': Gr. \evec{yevo} -s. \evec{hing} 'five': Gr. $\evec{\piévo}$ -s.

Occasionally a is found instead of e, e. g. tasn 'ten': Gr. $\delta \acute{e} \times a$, Lat. decem. The circumstances under which a occurs for e have not been ascertained.

Indg. tautosyllabic ei appears as ē in final syllables, in other syllables as i. dizem 'I accumulate', orig. form *dhéighō (cp. Gr. τεῖχος 'wall', Av. pairi-daeza- 'fence'), aor. 1.sing. dizi, 3. sing. e-dēz. lizem, lizanem 'I lick': Gr. λείχω. jiun 'snow': Gr. χειμών.

Indg. tautosyllabic eu appears as oi in final, as u in non-final syllables. lois, gen. lusoy, 'light': Gr. $\lambda \varepsilon \nu \varkappa \delta - \varepsilon$ 'white', Goth. liuh-ap 'light'. unain 'empty' from *oin-ain: Gr. $\varepsilon \tilde{v} - \nu \iota - \varepsilon$ 'bereft'. eu probably in the first place became ou; cp. § 79 and nor (gen. noroy) 'new' as compared with Gr. $\nu \varepsilon f \circ - \varepsilon$, $\nu \varepsilon (f) a \varrho \delta - \varepsilon$.

§ 64. Greek. Éπεται 'he is together with, follows': Lat. sequitur, O.Ir. sechur 'sequor', Lith. sekù 'I follow', Skr. sácatē 'he is together with, follows', Indg. 3. sing. pres. mid. *séq-e-tai. 1. sing. ἔω ω̄: Lat. erō (used as future), Skr. ásāni, conj. to Indg. *és-mi 'I am.' γένος neut. 'sex, race': Lat. genus, Skr. jánas, Indg. *ĝénos. $\pi\lambda \acute{\epsilon}(F)\omega$ 'I sail, swim': O.Bulg. plòva 'I flow, sail' (§ 68), Skr. plávatē 'he swims, sails', Indg. 1. sing. act. *pléu-ō. ἐγω΄ 'I': Arm. es, Lat. ego, Goth. ik, Skr. ahám. Comparative suffix $-\tau ε ρ ο - : πό - \tau ε ρ ο - ς$, ώμό $-\tau ε ρ ο - ς$: Skr. i-tara-s 'other', Lat. i-teru-m, O.Ir. luathi-ther 'quicker', O.Bulg. je-terū 'quidam'.

λείπω 'I leave': Goth. leihva 'I lend', cpf. *leiq-ō. ζεῦγος neut. 'yoke': Lat. jūger-o- (cf. dat. abl. jūger-ibus) 'acre'. ζενατῆρες

'straps of the yoke': Skr. $y\bar{o}kt\acute{a}r$ - 'he who puts the harness on'.

In the Elean dialect ε was pronounced very open, as is seen through the representation of this sound on inscriptions by α , e. g. $\sigma \varkappa \varepsilon v \acute{\alpha} \omega v$ gen. pl. beside $\sigma \varkappa \varepsilon \acute{\nu} \varepsilon \alpha$, $\varepsilon v \acute{\sigma} \alpha \beta \acute{\varepsilon} \omega = \operatorname{Att.} \varepsilon v \acute{\sigma} \varepsilon \beta \sigma \widetilde{\varepsilon}$.

In the Locrian dialect εφ became αφ, e. g. πατάφα = πατέφα, ανφόταφος = άμφότεφος.

In the Doric dialects, as well as in Lesbian, Thessalian, Pamphylian and Cyprian ε became ι before vowels, especially before o and a, e. g. Lacon. $\theta\iota\delta_{\mathcal{S}} = \theta\varepsilon\delta_{\mathcal{S}}$, Cypr. $F\dot{\varepsilon}\pi\iota_{\mathcal{L}}\alpha = \text{Ion}$. $\check{\varepsilon}\pi\varepsilon\alpha$, whilst ε in this case at least, was pronounced close in the Boeot. and Ionic-Attic dialects. This is shown by the representation of this short vowel by means of ε , $\varepsilon\iota$, ι in Boeot. (e. g. $\theta\varepsilon\circ\theta\varepsilon\iota\circ-\theta\iota\circ$) and by ε , $\varepsilon\iota$ in Ionic-Attic (e. g. $\dot{\varepsilon}av\tau\delta v$ and $\dot{\varepsilon}\iota av\tau\delta v$ from $\sigma\varepsilon(\mathcal{F})'av\tau\circ v$, $\dot{\varepsilon}vv\varepsilon\alpha$ and $\varepsilon vv\varepsilon\iota\alpha$ from $\dot{\varepsilon}\dot{\varepsilon}v-v\varepsilon(\mathcal{F})\alpha$).

The Indg. tautosyllabic ei in $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$ etc. was still a diphthong in Ionic and Attic at the beginning of the V. century B. C.; but during this century it became a close \bar{e} and in the III. cent. B.C. $\bar{\imath}$, though the spelling $\epsilon \iota$ was retained. This change also took place in the other dialects, earliest in Boeot., where $\bar{\imath}$ appears on inscriptions already in the V. cent. B. C. and $\epsilon \iota$ was dislodged from the written language.

In Cret. εv became ov by the assimilation of the ε to the second component of the diphthong, e. g. $\psi o v \delta i \alpha = \psi \varepsilon v \delta i \alpha$ $\psi \varepsilon v \delta \tilde{\eta}$. e o for e u in Ionic can be traced back to the V. cent. B. C., e. g. $\varphi \varepsilon \delta \gamma \varepsilon v$ (cp. a o from $a u \S 96$).

§ 65. Italic. Lat. Falisc. Umbr. est, Volsc. estu 'esto', Osc. estud 'esto': Gr. ἔστω. Lat. decem, Umbr. desen-duf (i. e. desenduf see §§ 23. 387) 'duodecim', Osc. dekkvíarím 'decurialem': Gr. δέκα, O.Ir. deich n- fr. prim. Kelt. *deken (§ 66), Goth. taihun, Lith. dēszimt, O.Bulg. desetī, Skr. dáša. Lat. en (en manom 'in mortuum') en-do, in in-du, Umbr. imper. en-etu 'inito', Osc. em-bratur 'imperator', Pelign. abl. pl. em-pratois 'imperatis, iussu': Gr. ἐν, Goth. in. e in the perfect reduplication, O.Lat. pe-pugī, Umbr. pe-purkurent 'rogaverint', Osc. fe-facid 'fecerit': Gr. πε-φνάσα Skr. ba-bhūva from rt. bheu- 'to be, be-

come', O.Ir. ce-chan 'cecini' (cp. Lat. canō, ce-cinī), Goth. rai-rō̄ρ (to pres. rēda 'I advise'). Lat. pecu, Umbr. pequo 'pecua': Goth. faihu, Skr. páśu, cpf. *péĥu 'cattle'. Lat. Umbr. et: Gr. ἔτι. Lat. mediu-s, Osc. mefiai loc. from st. mefiā- 'media': Gr. μέσσο-ς μέσο-ς 'medius', O.Ir. medōn 'the middle', Goth. midjis 'medius', O.Bulg. mešda 'medium, boundary', Skr. múdhya-s 'medius', Indg. *médhjo-s 'medius'.

Heterosyllabic and tautosyllabic Indg. eu became common Italic ou:

- 1. O.Lat. tovos 'tuus', Umbr. gen. tover 'tui', Osc. dat. tuvai 'tuae', prim. Italie *touo-s: Gr. $\tau \varepsilon(\mathcal{F})$ ó- ς . O.Lat. sovo-s 'suus', Osc. abl. súvad 'sua', prim. It. *souo-s: Gr. ε ó ς from * $\sigma \varepsilon \mathcal{F}$ ó- ς . Lat. novem (for *noven, formed after the analogy of septem, decem, ep. § 233), Umbr. nuvis 'novies': Goth. niun, Skr. náva, Indg. *néuu 'nine'. Lat. novo-s, Osc. acc. Núvellum 'Novellum nom. pl. Núvlanús 'Nolani', Mars. dat. pl. Novesede 'Novensilibus', prim. It. *nouo-s 'new': Gr. $v \varepsilon \mathcal{F}$ o- ς . Lat. plovēbat, perplovere: Gr. $\pi \lambda \varepsilon(\mathcal{F})$ - ω . For -u- from -ou- in unaccented syllables, as $d\varepsilon nu\bar{\sigma}$ from $d\varepsilon nov\bar{\sigma}$, see § 172, 1.
- 2. Lat. doucō dūcō 'I lead': Goth. tiuha, cpf. *deukō. ūrō 'I burn': Gr. ενω ενω 'I singe', Skr. ὁṣāmi 'I burn', Indg. *eusō. Cp. also O.Lat. Polouces (l = ll), later Pollūcēs = Πολυδεύκης. Umbr. totam 'civitatem', Osc. τωξτο 'civitas' tovtad 'civitate' túντίκε 'publicus', Marrue. tovtai totai 'civitati': Goth. piuda 'people', cpf. *teutā-. Osc. gen. Lúνfreís, Falisc. loferta 'liberta': Gr. ἐλεύθερος; concerning Lat. loebertatem līber see § 49 rem. On the history of this tautosyllabic It. ou, which fell together with Indg. ou, cp. § 81 page 77.

Rem. 1. The nature of the transmission and the historical facts of the language, as exhibited above, exclude any importance being attached to the form *Leucesie* in the Saliar hymn; cp. also Jordan Krit. Beitr. zur Gesch. der lat. Sprache 1879, p. 31 ff.

e remained unchanged in Latin:

1. Before r. $fer\bar{v}$, $c\bar{o}nfer\bar{v}$, $vert\bar{v}$, $convert\bar{v}$, alter, alterius with $r = \operatorname{Indg.} r$; the form $l\bar{e}vir$ 'brother-in-law' arose through popular etymological association with vir, cp. Gr. $\delta\bar{\alpha}\eta\rho$ acc. $\delta\bar{\alpha}\epsilon\rho\alpha$, Lith. $d\bar{e}ver-\bar{v}$ -s. $ger\bar{v}$, $inger\bar{v}$, sceleris with r from s (cp. § 569).

- 2. Finally. lupe: λύκε. age agite: ἄγε ἄγετε. quīnque: πέντε.
- 3. As a rule before double consonants: scelestu-s, ob-sessu-s (ob-sideō), au-sper (au-spic-is).

Change of e to i:

- 1. According to prim. Lat. accentuation in unaccented syllables (§ 680) followed by a single consonant (except r). colligō : $leg\bar{o} \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$. ob-sideō : $sede\bar{o} \ \acute{\epsilon} \acute{o}o\varsigma$. oppidum : $Pedum \ \pi \acute{\epsilon} \acute{o}ov$. $pr\bar{o}$ -tinus : tenus. $agite \ agit\bar{o} : \acute{a}\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \tau \omega$. $agimin\bar{\imath} : \dot{a}\gamma \acute{o}\mu svoi$.
- Rem. 2. The *i* instead of *e* in $plic\bar{o}$ (Gr. $\pi 2\acute{e}\omega$), O.Lat. $spici\bar{o}$ (rt. $spe\hat{k}$ -) miniscor (rt. men-), and others, is to be explained from the fact that the form, which regularly arose in composition, made itself independent $(com\text{-}plic\bar{o}, c\bar{o}n\text{-}spici\bar{o}, re\text{-}miniscor)$.

Rem. 3. juven-em with -en- instead of -in- was, perhaps, influenced by juventūs juvencu-s etc. ap-petō, ex-petō for *ap-pitō, *ex-pitō re-formed from analogy with the simple form petō, or else formed at a time when the activity of the law had died out according to which colligō etc. arose; cp. exquaerō beside exquīrō, exaequō beside inīquo-s, conclausu-s beside conclūsu-s etc.

For Lat. -o- from -ue- see § 172, 3.

Tautosyllabic ei seems to have still been a diphthong in Lat. at the period of the oldest monuments, cp. the spellings deivos deiva, deico, feido and others. The diphthong, however,

¹⁾ Concerning the long vowel in quinque, dignu-s, lignu-m, and tignu-m see § 619.

at an early date became an open $\bar{\imath}$, and the sign ei now became also employed for the representation of open $\bar{\imath}$ -vowels (or close \bar{e} -vowels) of other origin (see §§ 41. 73).

In Umbrian and Oscan Indg. e was mostly represented by e. Besides the examples already mentioned, the following may be compared: Umbr. petur-pursus 'quadrupedibus', Osc. petora 'τέτταρα', Umbr. co-vertu 'convertito', destram-e 'in dextram', Osc. dat. Genetaí 'Genitae' (to Lat. genitor). Beside these occurs also i, Osc. í, e. g. Umbr. ti'sit 'decet', Osc. íst 'est' (beside estud 'esto'), nistrus 'propiores' (beside nesimum 'proximum'). Owing to the scarcity of the material it is impossible to decide whether the change to i (open) took place under certain conditions, unknown to us, or whether e had everywhere the same close pronunciation in Umbrian-Oscan, and that we have here to do with a mere irregularity of the graphic representation of the sound.

Tautosyllabic $e_{\bar{i}}$ was represented in Oscan by eí, $e_{\bar{i}}$ and (rarely) ií. deíkum deicum inf. 'dicere'. deívaí dat. 'divae', deivatud imper. 'iurato', diíviiai dat. 'divinae' (a derivative like Umbr. deveia 'divina'). tereí loc. 'in terra', cp. Gr. oizei. The spelling ií points to a passage of the diphthong into open $\bar{\imath}$. In Umbrian $e_{\bar{i}}$ certainly became closed \bar{e} . etu eetu 'ito'. deveia 'divina'. destre loc. 'in dextro', cp. Osc. loc. tereí.

§ 66. Old Irish. e was often retained as such in chiefaccented syllables. con-dercar 'conspicitur': Gr. δέρχομαι 'I see', from rt. derk-. celim 'I hide': OHG. hilu 'I hide', cpf. *kelō. Gen. meda 'of mead', Skr. mádhu 'sweetness, honey', Gr. μέθν 'wine', OHG. metu 'mead', O.Bulg. medŭ 'honey', Indg. *médhu. ech, Gall. epo- (in Epo-rēdia name of a town): Lat. equo-s Goth. aihva- (in aihva-tundi 'thorn bush') Skr. áśva-s Indg. *ekuo-s 'horse'. tech teg 'house' from *tegos: Gr. τέγος. cethir 'four': Gr. τέτταρες. Cp. further Gall. πεμπέ-δονλα 'quinquefolium': Gr. πέντε, Indg. *pénqe.

In such cases as: gen. eich 'equi' from prim. Kelt. *ekuī (-i still in Ogam inscriptions, as maqui 'filii', and in Gall. Segomari etc.): Lat. equī; 3. sing. pres. as-beir 'he brings forward,

says' from *berit, prim. Indg. *bheret; deich n- 'decem' from *deken, Indg. *dekm (§§ 242. 243) the i after the e only indicates the palatal timbre of the following consonant, which had been produced through the palatal vowel of the next following syllable. In a corresponding manner the u-(o-)timbre of the following consonants is represented by u and o in the dat. sing. neurt, eoch from *nertō, *ekuō (nom. nert, ech, stem nerto-'power, might', echo-'horse'; with nerto- cp. Gall. Nerto-marus, Cob-nertus etc.). See § 634. Under certain conditions chiefaccented e became i before a following palatal vowel or u. tige fr. *tegesos, gen. sg. to tech 'house'. as-bir 'thou sayest' fr. *biri(s), prim. f. *bhere-s. mid 'mead' fr. *medu, to gen. sg. meda, s. above. as-biur 'I say' fr. *biru, prim. f. *bherō.

e in other than chief-accented syllables, in case it did not entirely vanish, (§§ 634. 657), became an irrational vowel, the quality of which adjusted itself mostly to the timbre of the following consonants.

- i. as-bérid 'you say' = Gr. $q \neq \varrho \in \tau \epsilon$. is 'est' always proclitic = Gr. $\vec{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$; the accented form would be *eis.
- a. as-'ex' in as-bérid, as-rénaim 'reddo' and others beside és- (O.Gall. ex-, Cymr. eh-: Lat. ex, Gr. ¿ξ) in és-rechtaid 'exlex' és-orgun 'a striking, killing' etc.
- u. (ni) épur (fr. *éd-bur) beside as-biur 'dico' fr. *beru, prim. Kelt. *ber $\bar{v} = q \acute{\epsilon} \rho \omega$. cóm-mus 'proportion, weight, worth' to méss 'measure, judgment' fr. *messu-s (*med+tu-s, to Goth. mitan 'to measure').

In forms like só-nirti adj. pl. 'strong, brave', só-nirte 'strength, power' compar. só-nortu 'stronger' beside nért er had first become r. S. § 634: In the later orthography só-nairti só-nairte beside só-nirt só-nirte a merely indicates the timbre of the preceding consonant. S. § 640.

Indg. and prim. Keltic tautosyllabic $e\bar{\chi}$ became \bar{e} , whence ia, if a, o, or u followed. ad- $f\bar{e}ded$ 'narrabat', ad-fiadat 'narrant': Gr. $f\epsilon i\delta o\mu a\iota$, rt. $\mu e\bar{\chi}d$ -. Fut. 2. pers. pl. for- $t\bar{e}sid$ 1. pers. pl. for-tiasam, to 1. sg. pres. for-tiagaim 'I help': Gr. $\sigma\tau\epsilon i\chi\omega$ $\sigma\tau\epsilon i\xi\omega$, Goth. steiga. Voc. $d\bar{e}$ 'O God' from $*d\bar{e}(\psi)e$ (Lat. deive), nom.

dia from * $d\bar{e}(u)o$ -s (Lat. deivos). On the 2. sing. for- $t\bar{e}is$ cp. § 640. $\bar{e}=$ Indg. $e\bar{i}$ is to be held for prim. Kelt. Cp. e. g. Gall. $r\bar{e}da$ 'waggon' Epo- $r\bar{e}dia$, O.Ir. $r\bar{e}id$ 'passable, free, even, smooth' Cymr. rhwydd 'prosper, expeditus' (st. * $r\bar{e}di$ -), O.Ir. riadaim 'I drive, ride', rt. $re\bar{i}dh$ -: OHG. $r\bar{i}tan$ 'to move oneself away, drive, ride' and be-reiti 'ready, equipped' (the latter with rt. form $ro\bar{i}dh$ -).

Indg. tauto- and heterosyllabic ey. The Irish and British branch concurrently point to oy. This, before consonants, became in O.Ir. ō, whence probably in the first instance ua, when a, o followed; in British u. O.Ir. tuath Cymr. Bret. tut Corn. tus 'people' from *tōtā: Goth. piuda, cpf. *teytā. O.Ir. lōche (gen. lōchet) 'lightning', luach 'white' in luach-tē 'whitehot': Goth. liuhap 'light', Gr. λευκό-ς 'white'. O.Ir. dat. pl. srua-mannaib, nom. sing. sruaim 'stream': Gr. ὁεῦ-μα, Indg. stem *srey-men-. In like manner we have ō from antesonantal oy in O.Ir. nōi n-'nine' (beside Cymr. naw Corn. naw Bret. nav nao), fr. *noyen, Indg. *néyy (cp. §§ 65. 174. 233); whereas ū in O.Ir. clū 'renown' = Gr. κλέβ-ος and in nūe 'new' beside O.Bret. nowid, to Skr. návya-s (cp. §§ 139. 154).

Rem. If the change from \bar{o} to ua in O.Ir. was occasioned by an a or o in the following syllable, then such forms as nom. sruaim fr. * $sr\bar{o}min$, dat. tuaith from * $t\bar{o}t\bar{t}$ cannot have been formed according to law, but are merely due to a levelling with the forms of other cases.

ey is still found in Gall. in forms like Teuto-matus, Leucetius (Zeuss-Ebel G. C. 34 f.); beside these already also Toutus Tourious etc. (as quoted above). In like manner also Nivella, Nivisium, the i of which is also probably a direct continuation of e, but also already Novesium and Novio-dūnum 'New-castle' (as quoted above 56). The question is probably here a difference of dialect within the Gallic branch itself.

- \S 67. Germanic. Indg. e became i in prim. Germ. in the following cases:
- 1. before nasal + consonant. Goth. O.Sax. Ags. bindan OHG. bintan O.Icel. binda 'to bind', 1. sing. Indg. * $bh\acute{e}ndh\bar{o}$: Skr. $b\acute{u}ndhana-m$ 'a binding' fut. $bandhi\check{s}y\acute{a}-ti$ 'he will bind', Lat. of-fendimen-tu-m 'chin-cloth'.

- Rem. 1. That this i made its appearance already in prim. Germ., is confirmed by the fact that the verb Goth. rinna OHG. rinnu I run', whose orig. form *ri-nu- \bar{o} contains Indg. i, and which belongs to Skr. ri- $n\bar{a}$ -ti lets flow, lets run', ri- $n\bar{v}$ - $t\bar{e}$ begins to flow' (Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV. 45), passed over into the series binda:band in its tense-formation in every Germ. dialect (pret. Goth. OHG. etc. rann). This could only have taken place, if the i in bindan etc. had already arisen.
 - 2. In hetero- and tautosyllabic ei.
- a. Nom. pl. Goth. preis OHG. drī O.Icel. prīr 'three' from *prii(i)z *preiez : O.Bulg. trĭje (§ 68), Skr. tráyas, Gr. τρεῖς from *τρε(μ)ες, Indg. *tréj-es. Goth. gasteis OHG. gesti O.Icel. gester gestir 'guests' from *zastii(i)z, *zasteiez: O.Bulg. gostīje, cpf. *ghostei-es, cp. Skr. ávay-as (nom. sing. ávi-ṣ). Goth. satjan O.Sax. settian O.Icel. setja infin. 'to cause to sit, to set', 1. sing. Goth. satja from *satiiō, *sodéiō, causat. from rt. sed-'sit': cp. Skr. sādáyā-mi 'I make to sit, set', Gr. qoβέω 'I make to flee, scare' from *φοβεω.
- b. Goth. steigan OHG. $st\bar{\imath}gan$ O.Icel. $st\bar{\imath}ga$ 'to climb', prim. Germ. 1. $sing. *st\bar{\imath}z\bar{\sigma}$ from $*stijz\bar{\sigma}: Gr. \sigma\tau\epsilon'\chi\omega$, Indg. $*st\acute{e}jgh\bar{\sigma}.$
- Rem. 2. The great antiquity of this $\bar{\imath}$ is confirmed by the fact, that the present: Goth. *peiha* OHG. $d\bar{\imath}hu$ 'I extend', which in prim. Germ. had arisen from " $\bar{\imath}hinz\bar{o}$, older " $\bar{\jmath}enz\bar{o}$ (= Lith. $tenk\bar{\imath}$ 'I reach out with something') (§ 214), gave occasion for the formation of the pret. form: Goth. $\bar{\jmath}hih$ OHG. $d\bar{\imath}h$ etc. (after the analogy of preterites from such roots as steigh-). This was only possible, if the $\bar{\imath}$ in * $st\bar{\imath}z\bar{o}$ (goth. steiga) was already there.
- 3. When the following syllable contained a pre-Germanic i(i) or $\bar{\imath}$. From 3. sing. *esti (= $\check{\epsilon}\sigma\iota$) *isti : Goth. OHG. O.Sax. ist, Ags is. From 3. sing. *bhereti (O.Bulg. beret $\bar{\imath}$, Skr. bhárati) *bered $\bar{\imath}$, thence *berid $\bar{\imath}$: Goth. baíri $\bar{\jmath}$, OHG. birit. Prim. Germ. *liz $\bar{\jmath}$ ana-n 'to lie' : O.Icel. liggja O.Sax. liggian OHG. likkan : Gr. $\lambda\check{\epsilon}\chi_{OS}$ 'bed' rt. legh-. Prim. Germ. *ir $\bar{\jmath}$ ānaz 'earthen' (OHG. $ird\bar{\imath}n$) beside *er $\bar{\jmath}$ ō 'earth' (OHG. erda) : Gr. $\check{\epsilon}\varrho$ -a $\check{\jmath}$ ε 'on to the earth'.
- Rem. 3. We have also here a proof of the great antiquity of the i. The verb * $bidiana^{-i}n$ 'to beg' (Goth. bidjan O.Sax. biddian O.Icel. bidja), which belongs to Gr. $\pi\epsilon i\theta \omega$ T prevail upon, persuade' rt. bheidh-, would not have had a in the pret. of all Germ. dialects (3. sg. *bad(i) Goth. bap etc.), had not prim. Germ. *liziana-n, *sitiana-n (rt. sed-) been pronounced with i.

- 4. Finally, e. g. 2. sing. imper. *fari (Goth. far) from *fare cp. Gr. ἄγε. Such an -i, like Indg. i (see 3) had influence upon a preceding e; hence 2. pers. pl. *fariđi (Goth. fariþ OHG. dial. ferit): Gr. ἄγετε; acc. *mi-ki (Goth. O.Icel. mik OHG. mih 'me'): Gr. ἐμέ-γε, etc. Cp. Kögel in Paul u. Br. Beitr. VIII 135 f.
- 5. In isolated cases, where no reason for the change has yet been discovered, especially in unaccented syllables: e. g. Nominal suffix prim. Germ. -iz- = Skr. -as- Gr. -εσ- Lat. -es- (Goth. ag-iz-a- 'fear'). Cp. Paul's article in Paul-Braune's Beitr. VI 85, Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 419.

The West Germanic dialects in general stopped at this point. An e, preserved in uninterrupted continuity from the Indg. prim. language, is retained e. g. in OHG. beran 'to bear' = prim. Germ. *berana-n (Gr. $q \neq oeiv$) and reht 'right' = prim. Germ. *rexta-z (Gr. oeiv).

Rem. 4. The change of e to i through the influence of a following u is questionable. E. g. in OHG. 1. pers. sg. biru, nimu, to beran, neman. situ m. 'custom', probably to Gr. ἔθος 'custom'. sibun 'seven': Lat. septem. hiruz 'stag' fr. prim. Germ. *zerut- (with anaptyctic u, s. § 628): Gr. πέρας 'horn'. See Paul in Paul-Braune's Beitr. VI 78 f., Brate in Bezzenb. Beitr. XI 185, Braune Ahd. Gramm. p. 19.

The i also of the root syllable in biris, birit etc. might only have been produced in West Germanic through the following i.

The Norse dialects have also such an old e, e. g. O.Icel. bera = OHG. beran.

On the other hand every e, which had remained in prim. Germ., became i in Goth. Then this i, through the influence of a special Gothic law, which also concerned i = Indg. i (§ 35), became e (ai) again before r and h. niman 'to take', as opposed to OHG. neman O.Icel. $nema = \text{Gr. } v \neq \mu \leq \nu$. ga - vigan 'to stir, move', as opposed to OHG. wegan O.Icel. vega 'to move oneself' = Lat. vehere. fill (neut.) 'hide' (in pruts - fill 'leprosy'), but OHG. fel Ags. fell O.Icel fight 'hide' from *fella-*felna-(§ 214) = Lat. pelli - gelli - gelli 'hide' from *fella-*felna-(§ 214) = Lat. felli - gelli - gelli 'but fella- fella-*fel

rihtian OHG. rihtan 'to judge' may serve as evidence to show that bairan and raihts had not an e which had been preserved in uninterrupted continuity through the influence of r and h. For it is scarcely possible to separate the ai in these forms from that in airpa 'earth' and raihts 'right'.

Indg. tautosyllabic ey appears in Goth. as in. kiusa 'I choose, test, select': Gr. γεύομαι, cpf. *ĝέys-ō. ana-biuda 'I bid, command': Gr. πεύθομαι, cpf. *bhéydhō. piuda 'people': Osc. tovtad 'civitate', O.Ir. tuath 'people', cpf. *teytā-. In High German it became, before a-, e-, o- vowels, in the oldest period eo, then io, in all other cases iu. deota diota 'people', but diutisc 'popularis' (Goth. piudiskō adv. 'εθνικῶς'). reozan riozan 'to weep' = Goth. *riutan: Skr. rɔ́dana-m 'weeping' (noun), rt. reyd-. It is probable that the pronunciation ey still existed generally in prim. Germ. and that iy only arose at that time when there was an i in the following syllable, e. g. *piydiska-z (OHG. diutisc) beside *peydō (OHG. deota).

§ 68. Baltic-Slavonic. Lith. vežù O.Bulg. vezą 'I drive': Gr. Pamphyl. Fέχω Lat. vehō Goth. ga-viga Skr. váhāmi, Indg. *ψėĝhō 'I move, drive'. Lith. velkù O.Bulg. vlěką from *velką (§ 281) 'I draw, drag': Gr. ἕλωω (cp. § 164 rem.), cpf. *ψėlqō. Lith. keturì O.Bulg. četyrije 'four': Gr. τέτταρες Umbr. petur- O.Ir. cethir Skr. catvár-as. Nominal suffix -ter-, gen. pl. Lith. mo-ter-ũ O.Bulg. ma-ter-ũ 'matrum': cp. Gr. μη-τέρ-ες. Voc. sing. vilkè O.Bulg. vlũče 'wolf': Gr. λύκε, Lat. lupe. Personal suffix of the 2. pers. pl. Lith. es-te ẽsa-te O.Bulg. jes-te 'estis': cp. Gr. ἐστὲ.

In Lith. e is mostly pronounced very open and in some southern and eastern dialects it regularly became a, when initial, e. g. $as\grave{u}=es\grave{u}$ 'I am' (§ 666, 2).

Indg. hetero- and tautosyllabic eu apparently became ou, whence Balt. au (cp. § 84), already in the period of the Baltic-Slavonic prim. community.

a. Heterosyllabic: Lith. av, O.Bulg. ov. Lith. tava-s 'tuus', sava-s 'suus': Gr. $\tau_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{F})\acute{o}$ - ς , $\acute{\epsilon}(\mathcal{F})\acute{o}$ - ς . O.Bulg. $nov\breve{u}$ 'new': Gr. $v\acute{\epsilon}\mathcal{F}o$ - ς . O.Bulg. plovq 'I flow, sail': Gr. $\pi\lambda\acute{\epsilon}(\mathcal{F})\omega$. O.Bulg. nom.

pl. synove 'sons' from *sūney-es: ep. Gr. $\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon}(F)$ - $\epsilon\varepsilon$, Goth. sunjus 'sons' from *suniys prim. Germ. *suney-ez (§ 179), Skr. sūnáv-as. — Lith. devynì Lett. devini 'nine', Pruss. neuūnts 'the ninth', O.Bulg. devetĭ 'nine' are to be explained as being formed from analogy with Lith. deszimt O.Bulg. desetī 'ten'; we may observe also that the initial d- instead of n- cannot well be explained otherwise than as being borrowed from the word for ten.

Rem. 1. It is doubtful whether the d- of the Lith. and Lett. word for 'nine' was due to Slavonic influence and ney- was the right form in prim. Baltic, which was retained in Pruss., or whether already in prim. Baltic-Slavonic dey- took the place of noy- and the n- of the Pruss. form was borrowed from Germ. (cp. Bopp. Vergleich. Gramm. I ³ 76, Fick Wörterb. II ³ 588. 744, Brückner Litu-Slav. Studien I 80, Osthoff Morph. Unt. I 93).

b. Tautosyllabic: Lith. au, O.Bulg. u (fr. ou § 185). Inf. Lith. pláu-ti 'to rinse' O.Bulg. plu-ti (1. sing. pres. plova), supine Lith. pláu-tu O.Bulg. plu-tü: cp. Gr. ἔπλεν-σα, Skr. inf. plótu-m (3. sing. pres. mid. pláv-a-tē 'he swims'). Lith. raudmi (an archaic pres. form, now raudóju) 'I lament, complain': Skr. ródi-mi 'I lament, weep', Indg. *réud-mi or *réud-mi. Lith. raugiù 'I belch': cp. Gr. ἐρεύγομαι. Lith. naũje-s 'new': Goth. niuji-s 'new'. O.Bulg. inf. sluti 'to be called', supine slutǔ: Skr. inf. śrótu-m 'to hear', to O.Bulg. slovo (stem sloves-) 'word': Gr. κλέ-Fog Skr. śrávas (neut.) 'renown'.

Heterosyllabic Indg. prim. Baltic-Slav. eż became ži in prim. Slav. and this ž was, in the period of Old Church Slavonic, lengthened to i (cp. § 36 page 37). O.Bulg. viją viją: Lith. vejù 'I turn, wind', Skr. váyāmi 'I weave', Indg. *véjō. Nom. pl. gostčje, gostije 'guests' (nom. sing. gostč) from *gostej-e(s): cp. Skr. ávay-as 'oves'. trije trije 'three': Skr. tráy-as, Indg. *tréż-es.

Indg. tautosyllabic ei appears in Lith. as ei and \ddot{e} , in Slavonic as i. The course of development of the latter was *ei, *ii (*ii), $\bar{\imath}$, cp. the change of heterosyllabic ei. Lith. 1. sing. eim 'I go', 3. sing. eiti (Pruss. $\bar{e}it$): Gr. eitu eito; supine eitu O.Bulg. $it\bar{u}$: Skr. inf. $\acute{e}tum$ from Indg. *ei-tu-m, rt. ei-. Lith. szlei-va-szlei-vi-s 'having crooked legs' (Lett. $sl\acute{e}i$ -ja 'stripe', $sl\acute{e}i$ -ju 'I lean against'): Gr. $z\lambda ei$ - $\tau\dot{v}$ -s, rt. $\acute{k}le\dot{i}$ -. Lith. $v\acute{e}ida$ -s 'face' O.Bulg. $vid\check{u}$

'looks' (noun), Lith, véizdžiu 'I see' (for the zep. § 547 rem.), O.Bulg. vižda 'I look' from *μτdia (§ 147): Gr. Γείδομαι, Γείδος. Lith. geidžiù 'I long for', Pruss 3. pl. geide 'they wait', O.Bulg. žida 'I wait'. Lith. deivỹ-s'idol' deivẽ (fem.) 'ghost' beside dễva-s (Lett. dîws) 'god', Pruss. deiwa-s 'god': Lat. deivos dīwus. Lith. žë-mà (Lett. fîma) O.Bulg. zi-ma 'winter': Gr. χεῖ-μα, χει-μών. Lith. dë-nà (Lett. dîna) 'day', Pruss. dei-na-n acc. sing. 'day' from a stem *dei-n- (*dei-en-) beside *di-n- (O.Bulg. gen. dǐ-n-e 'day's'). Lith. snēga (Lett. snīg) 'it snows': Gr. νείφει, rt. sneigh-. Lith. lēkù 'I leave' (Lett. lîku 'I lay'): Gr. λείπω, rt. leiq-. O.Bulg. piša 'I write' from *pīs-ia (§ 147): Gr. πείχω 'I scratch' rt. peik-.

en, em before explosives and spirants became e in Slavonic e. g. svetŭ 'holy', zeba 'dilacero, frigeo'. S. § 219.

Indg. ē.

§ 69. Indg. $dh\bar{e}$ - 'set, lay': Skr. fut. $dh\bar{a}$ -syámi dhána-(neut.) 'position', Gr. fut. $\theta\eta'$ - $\sigma\omega$, Goth. ga- $d\bar{e}ps$ (st. ga- $d\bar{e}di$ -) 'deed, position', Lith. fut. $d\acute{e}$ -siu inf. $d\acute{e}$ -ti, O.Bulg. aor. $d\acute{e}$ - $ch\bar{u}$ inf. $d\acute{e}$ -ti. Indg. $pl\bar{e}$ - 'fill': Skr. part. $pr\bar{a}$ - $t\acute{a}$ -, Arm. li (gen. lioy) full' from * $pl\bar{e}$ -io-s, Gr. $\pi\lambda\eta'$ - $\varrho\eta\varsigma$ 'full' aor. $i\pi\lambda\eta$ - $\sigma\alpha$, Lat. $pl\bar{e}$ -nu-s im- $pl\bar{e}$ -tu-s, O.Ir. $l\bar{\iota}n$ 'numerus, pars', O.Icel. fleire fleiri 'more' (from st. * $fl\bar{e}$ -iz-en-, cp. § 614).

Optative suffix Indg. -iįē- -iē-: 2. pers. sing. Skr. s-iyá-s s-yá-s,

The tautosyllabic diphthongs $\bar{e}\underline{i}$ and $\bar{e}\underline{u}$ probably did not frequently occur in the prim. language. E. g. Imperfect stem Indg. $\bar{e}\underline{i}$ - from $e\underline{i}$ - 'go': 2. sing. Skr. $\bar{u}i\xi$, Indg. * $\bar{e}\underline{i}$ -s. * $pl\bar{e}\underline{i}st\acute{o}$ - 'most': Gr. $\pi\lambda\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\sigma\tau\sigma$ - ς O.Icel. flestr (§§ 116, 611, 614). Nom. sing. Indg. * $d\underline{i}\acute{e}\underline{u}$ -s 'sky': skr. $dy\bar{u}u\dot{\xi}$, Gr. $Z\epsilon\dot{u}\varsigma$ from $Z\eta u\varsigma$ (§ 611).

§ 70. Aryan. 3. sing. pret. Skr. \acute{a} - $dh\bar{a}$ -t Avest. $d\bar{a}$ -p O.Pers. a- $d\bar{a}$ from rt. $dh\bar{e}$ -'put'. Skr. $v\acute{a}$ -ti Avest. $v\bar{a}iti$ 'it blows': Gr. $\ddot{a}(f)\eta$ - σi 'it blows', OHG. $w\bar{a}an$ 'to blow', Lith. $v\acute{e}$ -je-s 'wind', O.Bulg. $v\acute{e}$ -je-ti 'it blows', rt. $v\acute{e}$ -.

The change from \bar{e} to \bar{a} took place in the prim. Aryan period, after the vowel (like Indg. e) had palatalised the preceding q-sounds, e. g. Skr. $-j\bar{a}ni$ - \bar{s} 'wife, spouse': Goth. $q\bar{e}ns$ (st. $q\bar{e}ni$ -) 'wife', Indg. * $g\bar{e}ni$ - (§ 445).

For Avest. \bar{e} , \bar{o} , \hat{a} from prim. Iran. prim. Aryan $\bar{a}=$ Indg. \bar{e} see § 102.

§ 71. Armenian. mi 'that not': Skr. $m\acute{a}$, Gr. Dor. $\mu\acute{\eta}$. mit (Gen. mti) 'sense': Gr. $\mu\~{\eta}\delta\sigma_{\varsigma}$ 'advice' $\mu\acute{\eta}\delta\sigma_{\mu}$ 'I contrive', O.Ir. $ro\ m\bar{\iota}dar$ 'iudicavi' (cp. § 314). li 'full', aor. 3. sing. e-li-c 'he filled', Indg. $pl\~{e}$ - (§ 69). This i disappeared in nonfinal syllables. Besides gen. mt-i cp. further gen. amsoy 'of the month' beside nom. amis (-s- from -ns- § 202): Gr. Lesb. gen.

¹⁾ Skr. uccau f is not a certain example for Indg. $-\bar{e}$, since it might also represent Indg. $-\bar{o}$. The \bar{e} -type must, at all events, be claimed for the prim. Indg. language. s. §§ 114. 115.

μῆνν-ος (cp. § 72); l-nu-m 'I fill': Lat. 3. pl. ex-plē-nu-nt, O.Ir. lī-naim 'I fill'.

The representation by \bar{e} in αλυ \bar{e} s 'fox' beside Gr. ἀλώπηξ, Skr. $l\bar{o}p\bar{a}\dot{s}a$ - is uncertain (Hübschmann Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVI 603).

§ 72. Greek. $i\eta u i$ from * σi - σi -u i throw, jerk, send off' \bar{i}_i - μa 'a throw': Lat. $s\bar{e}$ -men, O.Ir. $s\bar{i}$ -l 'seed', Goth. mana- $s\bar{e}ls$ 'mankind, world', Lith. $s\acute{e}ju$ O.Bulg. $s\acute{e}ja$ 'I sow' $s\acute{e}$ -me 'seed', rt. $s\bar{e}$ - 'throw, sow'. $\mu \acute{\eta} v$, gen. $\mu \imath v$ - $\acute{o}\varsigma$ Lesb. $\mu \~{\eta} v v$ - $\sigma \varsigma$, 'month' (concerning nom. sing. $\mu \epsilon \acute{\iota} \varsigma$ cp. § 611) $\mu \acute{\eta} v \eta$ 'moon': Skr. $m\bar{a}s$ -'moon', Arm. amis (gen. amsoy) 'month', Lat. $m\bar{e}ns$ -i-s (cp. however, § 612), O.Ir. $m\bar{\iota}$ (gen. $m\bar{\iota} s$) 'month' (cp. §§ 212. 574), Goth. $m\bar{e}na$ 'moon', Lith. $m\acute{e}n\~{u}$ (gen. $m\acute{e}nes$ -io) 'moon', O.Bulg. $m\check{e}s\acute{e}c\check{\iota}$ 'moon' (cp. §§ 220. 221). $\acute{\eta} \beta \bar{a}$ $\acute{\eta} \beta \eta$ 'youth': Lith. $j\acute{e}gi\grave{u}$ 'I am able'. Nom. $\delta v\sigma$ - $\mu \epsilon v \eta \varsigma$ 'evil disposed, hostile': Skr. dur- $-man\bar{u}s$ 'downcast, sad', cpf. *dus- $-men\bar{e}s$.

This η , which was probably pronounced open in prim. Greek, and which is marked as open \bar{e} in Lesb. by the spelling $\alpha (al\mu i\sigma \epsilon \omega v : \text{Lat. } s\bar{e}mi$ - half; $Al\sigma io \delta o_{\mathcal{G}} = \text{Dor. Att. } H\sigma io \delta o_{\mathcal{G}}$ Boeot. $Ei\sigma io \delta o_{\mathcal{G}}$), became \bar{a} in Elean, e. g. $\mu \dot{a}$, $\epsilon i\bar{a}$, $\pi a\tau \dot{a}\varrho$, whereas in Boeot. and Thessal. it became closed \bar{e} which, after the introduction of the Ionic alphabet, was represented by $\epsilon \iota$, e. g. Boeot. $\mu \epsilon i \nu a$, $\pi a\tau \epsilon i \varrho$, Thess. $\nu i \sigma \tau \epsilon \varrho o$ - $\mu \epsilon \iota \nu \nu i \bar{a}$; the latter pronunciation can also be established for the Ionic dialects (§ 104).

§ 73. Italic. Lat. $f\bar{e}$ -mina 'woman' $f\bar{e}$ - $l\bar{o}$ 'I suck', Umbr. feliuf filiu 'lactantes' fel. (abbreviation) 'filius': Arm. di-em 'I suck' (aor. di-eç-i), Gr. $\theta\eta$ - $\sigma\alpha\tau$ o 'he sucked' $\theta\eta$ - $\lambda\eta$ 'teat, nipple', O.Ir. $d\bar{\iota}$ -nu 'lamb', OHG. $t\bar{\iota}$ an 'to suckle', Lith. $d\bar{e}l\bar{e}$ 'leech' pirm- $d\bar{e}l\tilde{\jmath}$ s' 'first-birth', O.Bulg. $d\bar{e}$ - $t\bar{e}$ 'infans', Skr. $dh\bar{\iota}$ - $tr\bar{\iota}$ 'wetnurse'. Lat. $pl\bar{e}$ -nu-s, Umbr. plener 'plenis': Gr. $\pi\lambda\eta$ - $\rho\eta\varsigma$. Lat. $m\bar{e}ns$ -i-s, Umbr. menzne 'mense' (i. e. mentsne from *mensne, § 209), se-menies 'semenstribus': Gr. $\mu\eta'\nu$; for the Lat. \bar{e} cp. § 612. Lat. $r\bar{e}$ -s, Umbr. re-per 'pro re' abl. ri 're': Skr. $r\dot{a}$ -s 'wealth, treasure, kingdom'. Lat. $r\bar{e}x$ $r\bar{e}g$ -is, Osc. regature i 'rectori', Marruc. regen[a dat. 'reginae': O.Ir. $r\bar{\iota}$ (gen. $r\bar{\iota}g$) Skr. $r\dot{a}jan$ -'king'. Lat. $l\bar{e}x$ $l\bar{e}g$ -is, $l\bar{e}g\bar{a}tu$ -s, Osc. ligud 'lege' ligatú's dat. 'legatis', prim. Ital. stem $l\bar{e}g$ -. Lat. $d\bar{i}e\bar{s}$ diem, $d\bar{i}e$ -cula, Osc.

zi-colois 'diebus' (to which probably also d]iíkúlús pl. 'dies'): ep. Skr. acc. dyám 'sky, day', Gr. $Z\tilde{\eta}v$ (§ 188).

The fluctuation of the spelling e, i in Umbr. and e, i, i, e in Osc. points to a close \bar{e} . Since orig. long e is represented on the tab. Bant. by i, and on the cippus Abell. by i, while the new \bar{e} , which arose from the lengthening of \breve{e} on Ital. soil, is represented by e, we must conclude that the latter had a more open sound in Osc.

That Indg. \bar{e} had a close pronunciation also in Lat. follows from such spellings as pleib[es, leigibus] on inscriptions (see §§ 41, 65).

The $\bar{\iota}$, which arose from \bar{e} in Lat. $f\bar{\imath}lius$ ($f\bar{e}$ -), $susp\bar{\imath}ci\bar{o}$ ($sp\bar{e}c$ -), $conv\bar{\imath}ciu$ -m ($v\bar{e}c$ -, rt. ueq- in $voc\bar{a}re$) is by Osthoff, perhaps rightly, assigned to the influence of the following i ($\dot{\imath}$); cp. also $d\bar{e}$ - $l\bar{\imath}ni\bar{o}$ beside $d\bar{e}$ - $l\bar{e}ni\bar{o}$, sub- $t\bar{\imath}lia$.

§ 74. Old Irish. In accented stem-syllables $\bar{\iota}$. $f\bar{\imath}r$ 'true': Lat. $v\bar{e}ru$ -s, Goth. tuz- $v\bar{e}rjan$ 'to doubt', O.Bulg. $v\check{e}ra$ 'faith'. $m\bar{\imath}l$ 'animal': Gr. $\mu \bar{\eta} \lambda o$ - ν (Boeot. Thess. $\mu \bar{\epsilon} \bar{\iota} \lambda o$ - ν).

The other Kelt. dialects also present $\bar{\imath}$ (i), e. g. O.Cymr. O.Bret. -ri= O.Ir. $r\bar{\imath}$ 'rex', O.Corn. O.Bret. guir= O.Ir. $f\bar{\imath}r$ 'verus' and so also Gall. $\bar{\imath}$ in $r\bar{\imath}x$ 'rex' (Catu- $r\bar{\imath}ges$ etc.). The great antiquity of this sound-change would also be supported by the Germ. * $r\bar{\imath}k$ - 'ruler' (Goth. reiks), if this, as it is believed to be, was borrowed from Kelt. $r\bar{\imath}g$ - in prim. Germ. times.

Rem. The form Dubno-rex beside Dumno-rix (Zeuss-Ebel G. C. 20) is due to the influence of Lat. $r\bar{\epsilon}x$.

Concerning the treatment of \bar{e} in secondary accented syllables see §§ 613. 634. 657.

§ 75. Germanic. Prim. Germ. open \bar{e} . Goth. \bar{e} , which was pronounced very close, as follows most clearly from the pretty frequent spelling ei, e. g. qeins beside $q\bar{e}ns$ 'wife' (occasionally also i, e. g. spidists beside $sp\bar{e}dists$ 'the last'). German \bar{a} . This change to \bar{a} had its beginning in High German, where it is first found in Bavarian (about 170 A.D). From thence it was gradually extended to North Germany. \bar{a} was

established in Franconian in the seventh century, but in Saxon not until several centuries later. See Bremer in Paul and Braune's Beitr. XI p. 1 ff. \bar{a} is found in Norse already in the oldest runic monuments, e. g. $-mari_{\bar{\kappa}} = \text{Goth.} -m\bar{e}rs$ (váila-mērs 'of good report'). I must leave the difficult question undecided as to whether Ags. \bar{e} and O.Fris. \bar{e} represent the retention of the prim. Germ. e-quality, or have passed through the intermediate stage \bar{a} . Bremer and Siebs (in the above named work p. 12 ff. and 226 ff.) accept the first theory.

Rem. 1. \bar{e} , in unaccented syllables, seems not to have undergone the change to \bar{a} , but to have retained its quality; unaccented prim. Germ. \bar{e} appears in OHG. OS. as e, and in ON. as e, i. See Bremer's article in the above named work p. 29 ff.

Goth. mana-sēps 'mankind, world', Ags. sæd O.Fris. sēd OHG. sāt OS. sād 'seed' : Lat. sē-men O.Bulg. sĕ-mē 'seed', rt. sē-. Goth. ga-dēps Ags. dæd OHG. tāt OS. dād 'deed' : Gr. θή-σω, Lith. dé-siu 'I shall place' rt. dhē-. Goth. ga-rēdan 'to reflect', Ags. rædan O.Fris. rēda OHG. rātan OS. rādan O.Icel. rāđa 'to advise' : Lat. rē-rī 'to think' rē-bar. Goth. nēpla OHG. nādala 'needle', OHG. nāan 'to sew' : Gr. νῆμα 'yarn, νῆτρο-ν 'distaff', Lat. nēmen 'yarn, thread' nētu-s 'spun', rt. snē-nē- (§ 589, 3). OHG. sāmi- 'half' sāmi-quek : Gr. ἡμι-βιος Lat. sēmi-vīvos 'half-alive', Skr. sāmí adv. 'half', Indg. *sēmi.

Concerning Goth. ai fr. Indg. \bar{e} in saia 'I sow' fr. rt. $s\bar{e}$ -, see § 142.

Rem. 2. Side by side with prim. Germ. open \bar{e} there existed also a close \bar{e} , which appears in Goth. also as \bar{e} , in OHG. as ea, ia (OS. \bar{e} . O.Icel. \bar{e}), as Goth. $h\bar{e}r$ OHG. hear hiar OS. $h\bar{e}r$ O.Icel. $h\bar{e}r$ 'here', Goth. $f\bar{e}ra$ 'side, country, district', OHG. feara fiara 'side, part', OHG. heaz hiaz O.Icel. $h\bar{e}t$ I was called', OHG. leaz liaz O.Icel. $l\bar{e}t$ 'I left'. The origin of this prim. Germ. \bar{e} has not yet been satisfactorily explained. (cp. the chapter on Germ. strong preterites in the accidence). The sound can scarcely be traced back in any case to an Indg. \bar{e} .

§ 76. Baltic-Slavonic. Lith. spēju spēti 'to have leisure, or time for a thing, to be quick enough', O.Bulg. spēja spēti 'to progress, to have success': Lat. spē-s spēr-āre, Goth. compar. spēdiza 'later' OHG. spāti 'late'. Lith. dēdē 'uncle', O.Bulg. dēdŭ 'grandfather': Gr. τήθη 'grandmother' τηθίς 'aunt'. O.Bulg.

měra 'measure': Lat. mētior 'I measure', Goth. mēl 'time' (O.Icel. māl 'measure, time', OHG. māl 'mark, sign'), Skr. mātrā 'measure'. Verbal stems in Lith. -é- O.Bulg. -ě-, e. g. Lith. sédě-ti O.Bulg. sědě-ti 'to sit': cp. Lat. sědē- sědēre.

Throughout the whole domain of Lithuanian \dot{e} is pronounced very close, and in some places can hardly be distinguished from y (7).

In Slavonic the sound-combinations $k\check{e}$, $g\check{e}$, $ch\check{e}$, $j\check{e}$ passed into \check{ca} , $(d)\check{za}$, \check{sa} , $j\bar{a}$ (ep. §§ 461—464. 588). O.Bulg. inf. $kri\check{e}ati$ 'to scream' fr. * $krik\check{e}ti:krik\check{u}$ 'scream, cry'. $b\check{e}\check{z}ati$ 'to flee' fr. * $b\check{e}g\check{e}ti:b\check{e}g\check{u}$ 'flight'. $sly\check{s}ati$ 'to hear' fr. * $slych\check{e}ti:$ ep. $sluch\check{u}$ 'a hearing'. stojati 'to stand' fr. * $stoj\check{e}ti$.

In like manner initial \check{e} - became $j\bar{a}$ -. $jast\bar{i}$ 'eats': Lith. $\check{e}st(i)$ 'eats like an animal', cp. Lat. $\bar{e}st$. $\check{e}d$ - became first $*j\check{e}d$ -, as $jest\bar{i}$ fr. $*est\bar{i}$ = Gr. $\check{e}\sigma\iota\iota$ (§ 666, 1), then jad- as stojati fr. $*stoj\check{e}ti$.

Final -ě became -i. Nom. mati 'mother', = Lith. $mot\tilde{e}$ 'wife' Skr. $m\bar{a}t\tilde{a}$ 'mother', cpf. * $m\bar{a}t\tilde{e}$. cp. §§ 84. 665, 3.

Indg. o.

§ 77. Indg. 3. sg. pf. act. Indg. *de-dórk-e fr. rt. derk-'see': Skr. dadárša, Gr. oblogze; Lat. memordit momordit 'he bit', Skr. mamarda 'he rubbed, ground' fr. rt. merd-; Goth. band Skr. babándha 'he bound' fr. rt. bhendh-; Gr. γέγονε Skr. jajána fr. rt. gen- 'gignere'. 1. sg. pres. act. causat. Indg. *dorkéjō 'let see' fr. rt. derk-: Skr. daršáyāmi 'let see', Goth. ga-tarhja 'mark'; Gr. οχέω 'let go, ride', mid. 'go, ride, swim', Goth. vagja 'move', O.Bulg. vožą fr. *vozia (§ 147) 'let go', Skr. vāháyāmi 'let go, run' fr. rt. veĝh-; Lat. torreō fr. *torsejō (§ 134) 'cause to dry up', Skr. taršáyāmi 'let thirst, languish', OHG. derran O.Icel. perra 'to dry, wither' fr. prim. Germ. *parzijana-n (cp. Goth. ga-pairsan to wither) fr. rt. ters. Indg. o in the root syllable of nominal stems, and the -o- which serves to form nominal stems: Arm. suffix -a-vor (properly 'bearing, bringing', cp. Mod.HG. -bar) in lus-a-vor 'clear' (§§ 332. 485). Gr. λευνο-φόρος, cpf. *bhoro-s; Gr. Acc. οχο-ν 'waggon, carriage' O.Bulg. acc. νοεŭ 'waggon, carriage,

cpf. *wogho-m; Lat. procu-s 'wooer' to precor 'I beg'; Goth. dags fr. *duza-z 'day' (the flexional -a- retained in Norse runic inscriptions: dagar), Lith. isz-daga-s 'burnt out place' daga-s m. (beside dagà f.) 'harvest' properly 'hot season, time', Skr. dāha-s 'burning, fire-brand' ni-dāghá-s 'heat, hot season, summer', Indg. *dhogho-s fr. rt. dhegh- 'burn'; Skr. tāna-s Gr. τόνο-ς 'thread, string' from rt. ten- 'stretch out, extend': Skr. vika-s 'wolf', Gr. lúzo-z. Lat. equo-s lupu-s, O.Ir. fer 'man' fr. *uiro-s (in Gall. still the prim. form of the ending, e.g. tarro-s 'bull'), Goth. Acc. pl. vulfa-ns 'lupos', Lith. vilka-s 'wolf', O.Bulg. dat. du. vlŭko-ma to nom. sg. vlŭkŭ 'wolf'. Indg. pronominal stem *to- 'this, the', nom. acc. neut. Skr. tά-d, Gr. τό, Lat. istu-d, Goth. pu-t-a, O.Bulg. to, Indg. *tó-d. The so-called thematic vowel -o-, e. g. Indg. pres. stem *bhero- fr. rt. bher-'carry, bear': 3. pl. Skr. bhára-nti 1. pl. bhárā-mas, Gr. Dor. φέρο-ντι φέρο-μες, Lat. vīvo-nt feru-nt, Goth. 3. pl. baira-nd 1. pl. baira-m. Lith. 1. pl. vēža-me (vežù 'I ride'). O.Bulg. 3. pl. vezatī fr. *vezo-ntī (§ 219) aor. 1. pl. vezo-mū (1. sg. pres. vezq 'ride'), -os Indg. suffix of the gen. sing. (beside -es in O.Bulg. kamen-e Lat. patr-is, § 81. rem. 1): Gr. πατο-ός, O.Lat. patr-us, O.Ir. athar fr. *pater-os or *patr-os.

Indg. *οἶτό *οἶτόψ 'eight': Skr. αṣ̞τά αṣ̞τάμ, Arm. ut, Gr. ἀντώ, Lat. octō, O.Ir. ocht Goth. ahtáu, Lith. asztůnì O.Bulg. osmĭ. Indg. *poti-s 'master' *potnī 'mistress': Skr. pátnī 'mistress', Gr. πόσι-ς 'husband' πότνια 'lady, mistress', Lat. poti-s 'capable, able', Goth. brūp-faps 'bridegroom', Lith. pàts 'husband', vēsz-patis 'sovereign master'. Skr. ákṣi neut. 'eye', Arm. uṣ-k pl. 'eyes', Gr. ŏσσε du. 'eyes' fr. *ok² je (§ 489), ὄνομαι 'I shall see', Lat. oculu-s, Lith. akì-s 'eye', O.Bulg. oko neut. 'eye'. Indg. *pró 'before, in front, forth': Skr. prá, Gr. πρό, O.Ir. ro, Goth. fra-, Lith. pra-O.Bulg. pro-. Personal ending of the 3. sg. mid. Indg. -to: Skr. ábhara-ta, Gr. ἐφέρε-το.

Indg. tautosyllabic oj. 3. sg. pf. Indg. *uojd-e 'ne knows': Skr. véda, Gr. Foios, Goth. váit; O.Bulg. věmĭ fr. *věd-mĭ 'I know' (the pf. personal endings have been replaced by the pres.) also represents the stem *uojd-; 3. sg. pf. Indg. *le-lójq-e 'nas

left': Skr. riréca, Gr. λέλοιπε, Goth. láihv. Indg. pres. causat. * uoidéjo- fr. rt. ueid- 'see, know' : Skr. vēdáyāmi 'I let know. communicate', OHG. weizen (prim. Germ. *uaitijana-n 'to cause to know, prove'; O.Bulg. běditi 'to compel', Goth. báidjan OHG. peittan beitan 'to compel', cpf. 1. sg. pres. *bhoidhéiō. Gr. λοιπό-ς 'remaining', Lith, leka-s 'remaining single, odd' at-leka-s and at-laika-s 'remnant, remainder' O.Bulg. ot u-leku 'remnant' fr. rt. leig-. Av. kaenā- fem. 'penalty, punishment', Gr. ποινή 'ransom, reward, penalty', O.Bulg. cěna 'pretium' (Lith. kaina according to Mikuckij in Geitler Fonolog. 38. 110), cpf. *qoinā- 'ransom' fr. rt. gei-. Indg. nom. pl. masc *toi fr. stem to- 'this, the': Skr. té, Gr. voi, Lat. istī (cp. poploe populī), Goth. pái, Lith. tē O.Bulg. ti. The gen. sg. of ei-stems in Indg. -ois: Skr. ávēš (ávi-š 'ovis'), Goth. anstáis (ansts 'favour'), Lith. akēs (ak)-s 'eye') O.Bulg. pati (pati 'way'). The optative of the thematic tenses with Indg. -oi-, 2. sg. *bhéroi-s (indic. 2. sg. *bhére-si 'thou bearest'): Skr. bhárēš, Gr. φέροις, Goth. baíráis, O.Bulg. beri, Lith. 3. sg. (permissive) te-sukē (sukù 'I turn').

Indg. tautosyllabie ou. 3. sg. pf. Indg. *bhe-bhóudh-e 'has waked, marked': Skr. bubōdha, Goth. báuþ; Gr. Hom. εἰλήλουθε (with the added Attic reduplication) beside ἐλεύσομαι 'I shall come'. Pres. causat. Indg. *bhoudhéiō 'I cause to awake, wake, remind': Skr. hōdháyāmi, O.Bulg. inf. buditi; Goth. káusja 'I taste, test', Skr. jōšáyatē mid. 'likes, finds pleasure in', Indg. 1. sg. *gouséiō. The Gen. sg. of ey-stems in Indg. -ous: Skr. sūnōš (sūnú-š 'son'), Osc. castrovs (castru- 'fundus'), Goth. sunáus (sunu-s 'son'), Lith. sūnaūs (sūnù-s 'son') O.Bulg. synu (synū 'son').

§ 78. Aryan. Indg. o, in closed syllables and finally became a in the prim. Ar. period. 3. sg. pf. Skr. tatákša Av. tatáša 'has made, created' fr. rt. teks- (cp. § 401), prim. f. *tetókse. Skr. aštá Av. ašta 'eight': Gr. οὐτώ. Skr. bhára-nti Av. bara-inti: Gr. Dor. φέφο-ντι. Skr. ábhara-m O.Pers. abara-m: Gr. ἔφεφο-ν. Skr. opt. mid. bhárē-ta Av. barae-ta: Gr. φέφοι-το.

Indg. tautosyllabie $o\underline{i}$, $o\underline{u}$ became in prim. Ar. $a\underline{i}$, $a\underline{u}$, thence Skr. \overline{e} , \overline{o} , Av. $a\underline{e}$ $\overline{o}i$, ao $\overline{e}u$, O.Pers. ai, au (cp. §§ 126. 160).

1. oi. Prim. Ar. perfect stem *uaid- = Indg. *uoid- fr.

69

rt. yeid- 'see, know': Skr. 3. sg. véda 2. sg. véttha Av. Gab. vaedā voisthā: Gr. οἶδε οἶσθα. Prim. Ar. *ai-ya- = Indg. *oiμο-, Av. aeva- O.Pers. aiva- 'unus': Gr. ol(F)o-ς. Prim. Ar. optative stem *bhara; = Indg. *bheroi- fr. rt. bher- 'bear': Skr. 1. pl. bhárēma 3. sg. bhárēt, Av. 1. pl. baraema 3. sg. barōib: Gr. $\varphi \dot{\epsilon} \rho o \iota \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu = \varphi \dot{\epsilon} \rho o \iota$. Final $a \dot{\epsilon} e$ became $\bar{\epsilon}$ in Av. : $t \bar{\epsilon} e$ nom. pl. 'hi' beside tae-ca tōi Skr. tế Gr. voi, Indg. *toi 'the. these'. cp. O.Pers. tyaiy (read tyai) 'qui' fr. stem *tio-.

2. ou. Prim. Ar. causative stem *bhaudhája- = Indg. *bhoudhéio- fr. rt. bheudh- 'wake': 3. sg. Skr. bōdháya-ti Av. baodayeiti, cp. O.Bulg. inf. buditi. Prim. Ar. -auš = Indg. -ous, the ending of the Gen. sg. of ey-stems, Skr. sūnoš 'filii', Av. vayaoš 'aëris', O.Pers. kūrauš 'Cyri'.

In Aryan $\alpha = \text{Indg. } o$ can still be distinguished from $\alpha =$ Indg. e (§ 62), in so far as q-sounds were not palatalised before it, e. g. prim. Ar. fem. *kainā- (Av. kaenā- 'penalty') fr. Indg. *goi-nā- (cp. Gr. ποινή) beside prim. Ar. pres. 3. sg. mid. *cai--atai (Skr. cáyatē) fr. Indg. *qei-e-tai. See § 445 ff.

Indg. o in open syllables probably became \bar{a} in the prim. Ar. period. Acc. sg. Skr. påd-am Av. påd-em 'pedem': Gr. $\pi \dot{o}\delta$ -α. 3. sg. pf. Skr. $j\alpha$ - $j\dot{\alpha}n$ -α 'genuit' : Gr. $\gamma \dot{\epsilon}$ - $\gamma o \nu$ - ϵ ; Av. $d\alpha$ $d\bar{a}r$ -a = Skr. da- $dh\dot{a}r$ -a 'held fast', fr. rt. dher- (Lith. deriù'I hire'). Causat. Skr. dhāráyāmi Av. dārayēmi O.Pers. dārayāmīy (read -mi) fr. the same rt. dher-; Skr. bhāráyāmi Av. bārayemi fr. rt. bher - 'bear' : Gr. φορέω; Skr. sādáyāmi Av. hāđayēmi O.Pers. hādayāmīy (read -mi) fr. rt. sed- 'sit': Skr. jánu 'knee': Gr. yóvv. 1. pl. Skr. bhárā-Goth. satia. masi Av. barā-mahi 'ferimus', O.Pers. pahyā-mahy (read -mahi) 'dicimur' : Gr. Dor. φέρο-μες. Acc. sg. Skr. ášmān-am O.Pers, asmān-am 'stone': Gr. ἄκμον-α 'anvil', cp. Goth. ahman 'spirit': Skr. tákšān-am 'carpenter' Av. tašān-em 'creator' : Gr. τέκτον-α; Skr. svásār-am 'sister': O.Ir. siair ep. Goth. svistar; Skr. dátār-am Av. dātār-em 'giver' : Gr. δώτορα; nom. pl. Skr. nápāt-as Αν. napāt-ō 'descendants, grand-children': Gr. νέποδες (concerning δ instead of τ see § 327). Participial suffix Skr. Av. -āna-(Skr. dádh-āna- Av. dap-āna- fr. rt. dhē- 'set, do', Skr. juš-āná- fr. rt. \hat{g} eus- 'taste'): Goth. -ana- (baúr-ans, gib-ans etc.). perhaps also Gr. -ovo- (e. g. $K\varrho$ -óvo- ς = Skr. kr-āná-s 'efficient, active', see Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 374, and on the other hand Froehde Bezzenb. Beitr. VII 322).

Rem. Some scholars, especially Collitz and Joh. Schmidt, dispute the validity of this sound-law and assume that Indg. o was in every case regularly represented by a in Aryan. I too am still for from considering my theory given above as mathematically certain (cp. Morph. Unt. III 129). But the difficulties, which it leaves unexplained, seem to me to be less and easier to dispose of than those which the hypothesis of Collitz and Schmidt presents. Nor does Collitz's latest treatment of the graded declensions (though containing certain acute ideas which further the subject) convince me of the validity of his standpoint, since among other things it leaves the distribution of e and o in the Germanic declension (almins, almin: alman, almans) unexplained. Cp. the author's articles in Stud. IX p. 367 ff. p. 380 ff. and Morph. Unt. III 102 ff., Collitz Bezzenberger's Beit. III p. 291 ff., X p. 2 ff., Joh. Schmidt Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV p. 2 ff., Osthoff Morph. Unt. I p. 207 ff., IV p. XV and pp. 226. 303. 368 ff., Hübschmann Das Indg. Vocalsystem 1885.

Concerning Av. e, i, e, o fr. prim. Iran. prim. Ar. $a = \text{Indg. } o \text{ see } \S 94.$

§ 79. Armenian. ost 'branch': Gr. οζος Goth. asts 'bough', cpf. *ozdo-s. orb 'orphan': Gr. ομφο- in the pl. compound ομφο-βόται (ἐπίτροποι ομφανών, Hesych.), Lat. orbu-s. The nominal suffix -o-: mardo- 'man', gen. mard-o-y, cp. Gr. -o-ιο, Indg. *-o-sio.

o is often weakened to u, a process which may be regarded as regular for the combination of o with a following nasal + consonant. -sun in ere-sun 'thirty' etc.: Gr. -zοντα. hun 'ford, way': Lat. pons 'bridge', O.Bul. pqtĭ 'way'.

Beside o (u) appears also a, without the special conditions for this representation being ascertained. $a\check{c}-l$ 'eyes': Gr. $\check{o}\sigma\sigma\varepsilon$, Lat. oculu-s. at-ea-m 'I hate': Lat. od-iu-m.')

Indg. tauto-syllabic oi appears as ai in ait-nu-m 'I swell' ait (gen. aiti) 'cheek': Gr. $oi\delta\acute{a}\omega$ $oi\delta\acute{e}\omega$ 'I swell' $oi\delta os$ 'tumour', OHG. eiz 'ulcer'. By the side of this perhaps also as \bar{e} , which became i in non-final syllables, e. g. $m\bar{e}g$, Gen. migi 'mist': Skr. $m\bar{e}gh\acute{a}$ - 'cloud'; gini 'wine': Gr. oivo-s. It is not certain

¹⁾ Cp. now also Bartholomae Ar. Forsch. II 46.

whether \bar{e} , i in these words (cp. Hübschmann Armen. Stud. I p. 60) do not rather represent Indg. $e\dot{i}$ (cp. § 63).

Indg. tautosyllabic ou seems to occur as oi (thence u in non-final syllables) in poit, gen. putoy, ' $\sigma\pi\sigma\nu\delta\eta$ ', putam ' $\sigma\pi\sigma\nu\delta\alpha\zeta\omega$ '. oi (u), however, can here and in other cases (Hübschmann Stud. I p. 62) be also regarded as representing Indg. eu (cp. § 63).

§ 80. Greek. Pf. κέκλοφε (instead of *κεκλοπε after the analogy of τέτρος ε and others): Goth. hlar, cpf. *qe-qlop-e 'has stolen' fr. rt. qlep-. Causat. σορέω 'I drive away, scare': Skr. tyājáyāmi 'I bid one leave a thing', to σέρομαι 'I step back before something, am afraid, reverence'; Skr. tyájāmi 'betake myself away, stand back from a thing'. $\lambda \acute{o} \chi o - \varsigma$ 'bed, couch, ambush' α-λοχος (fr. *α-λοχος, § 564) 'bed-mate, wife': O.Bulg. sa-logŭ 'consors tori', fr. rt. legh-. δύς-ποφο-ς 'hard to pass': Skr. duš-pāra-, fr. rt. per-. όλεό-ς όλεή 'a dragging, furrow': Lith. úž-valka-s 'over-coat, bed-cover', cpf. *yolgo-s fr. rt. *yelg- (cp. § 164 rem.). δόμο-ς 'house': Lat. domu-s O.Bulg. domŭ 'house'. ἀ-οσσητήο 'helper' (§ 489): Lat. sociu-s. φονά φοή 'stream', prim. f. *srou-ā fr. rt. sreu-. $q\theta \delta \eta$ 'consumption, decline' fr. * $q\theta \delta q - \bar{\alpha}$ fr. rt. $q\theta \epsilon l$ - in $\varphi\theta i$ -σι-ς. δοτέο-ν 'bone': Lat. os ossis, Skr. ásthi (neut.) 'bone'. όζω 'I smell': Lat. odor. 2. du. impf. act. έφέρε-τον: Skr. ábhara-tam, cpf. *é-bhere-tom; 3. sg. impf. mid. ἐφέρε-το: Skr. ábhara-ta, cpf. *é-bhere-to, fr. rt. bher- 'bear'.

In Aeolic (Lesb., Thessal., Bœot.), Arcadian-Cyprian and Pamphylian o either became fully u or a sound approaching it (written v), e. g. Thess. $\vec{\alpha}\pi\vec{v} = \vec{\alpha}\pi\vec{o}$, Arcad. $\vec{\alpha}\lambda\lambda v = \vec{\alpha}\lambda\lambda o$, Pamph. gen. $Kov\rho\alpha\sigma i\omega v - v\varsigma = -\omega v - o\varsigma$. o certainly did not undergo this sound-change in every sound-combination and wordposition; the limits and conditions, however, under which it took place, cannot be precisely determined owing to the scarcity of monuments.

Tautosyllabie οι. πέποιθε 'trusts': Goth. bάip 'has waited for' (pres. beida), cpf. * $bhe-bh\acute{o}idh-e$. $οιν\acute{o}-ξ$ $οιν\acute{\eta}$: Lat. oino-ε $\bar{u}nu-ε$, O.Ir. oen, Goth. $\acute{a}ins$, Pruss. acc. aina-n, cpf. *oi-no-ε 'unus'. Loc. pl. λν'χοι-σι: O.Bulg. $νl\breve{u}c\acute{e}-ch\breve{u}$, Skr. $νf'k\bar{e}-\check{s}u$, Indg. *vlgoi-εu, stem *vlgo-εu0. Wolf'.

ομ became οξ in Bœotian, e. g. ἀριστό-θοενο-ς inscript. of Tanagra (to θοίνη 'feast' fr. rt. dhet-, Skr. dhi-nό-mi 'I satiate'), thence \bar{u} in the third century B. C., $Θ \bar{v} ν a \varrho \chi o - \varsigma$, $F \bar{v} z i \bar{\alpha}$ (= $F ο ι z i \bar{\alpha}$) and in the youngest Bœot. inscriptions beside \bar{v} also $\varepsilon \iota$, that is, \bar{v} or a sound closely approaching it, e. g. pl. $ποιόμεν ε \iota = ποιούμενοι$ (cp. τοi: Skr. $t \bar{\epsilon}$, Goth. $p \bar{\alpha} i$). The change to \bar{v} took place in the other dialects (but not before the second century A. D.) and led to the Mid. and Mod. Gr. $\bar{\iota}$.

Tautosyllabic ov. Hom. ελλήλονθε 'has come' beside fut. ελεύσομαι. σπουδή 'zeal' beside pres. σπεύδω. This diphthong became \bar{u} in Ionic and Attic in the fifth century B. C., but the spelling ov was retained. The diphthongic pronunciation may have remained longer in the other dialects. The representation in Cypr. is noteworthy: $o\text{-}vo = o\dot{v}$, $a\text{-}ro\text{-}u\text{-}ra\text{-}i = \mathring{a}\varrho o\dot{v}\varrho \alpha\iota$.

§ 81. Italic. In the prim. Italic period o appears, with the exception of certain reductions in final syllables, to have been still unchanged in every sound-combination.

Lat. totondit 'has shorn' pf. to *tendō = Gr. $\tau \acute{\epsilon}\nu \delta \omega$ $\tau \acute{\epsilon}\nu \theta \omega$ 'I gnaw at'. Causat. $noce\bar{o}$ 'I injure' (Skr. $n\bar{a} \dot{s} \acute{a} y \bar{a} m i$ 'I make to disappear, destroy') to nex necis. procu-s 'wooer' to precor. Abl. $pond\bar{o}$ 'by weight' to pendo.

The o in unaccented syllables, which in older Latin still remains unchanged (e. g. $f\bar{\imath}lio$ -s, $d\bar{o}no$ -m, opos), became u, except before r=s (§ 569) and after u and u: $f\bar{\imath}liu$ -s, $d\bar{o}nu$ -m, opus, vehunt, $tetul\bar{\imath}$, con- $tul\bar{\imath}$, $s\bar{e}dul\bar{o}$ (fr. $s\bar{e}$ - $dol\bar{o}$). o was retained before r=s, e. g. in temporis fr. *tempoz-is (a new form, in place of *tempez-is, after the analogy of the Nom. acc. tempos), and after u, u, e. g. in $v\bar{\imath}vos$, $v\bar{\imath}vom$, $v\bar{\imath}vont$, equos, sequontur, mortuos. The forms $v\bar{\imath}vus$, $v\bar{\imath}vum$, $v\bar{\imath}vunt$, mortuus etc. (like locus, legunt), do not occur before the eighth century A. U. C., by which process qu (ku), qu (gu) passed into c, g: ecus (gen. $equ\bar{\imath}$), relincunt (1. pl. relinquimus), ungunt (1. pl. unguimus) etc. (cp. Bersu Die Gutturalen und ihre Verbindung mit v im Lat., Berlin 1885, p. 53 ff.).

u fr. o occurs also in chief accented syllables, especially before nasals. uncu-s: Gr. ὄγνο-ς 'bow, hook'. uncia older oncia 'ounce'

to Gr. $\rovenightarrow gammas$, bulk'. hunc fr. older honc. unguis to Gr. $\rovenightarrow vvvve.$ umbō 'boss of a shield' and umbil-īcu-s, to Gr. $\rovenightarrow umbo$ fr. *or(c)so-s, mulctu-s fr. *moleto-s, whose or, ol arose from Indg. $\rovenightarrow vvv$, § 295.

Final -o, like -a (§ 97), became e. Imper. sequere: Gr. $\mathcal{E}\pi\mathcal{E}(\sigma)o$. Nom. olle (ille seems to be a new form after iste, ipse) fr. *ol-se (§ 571), iste a new form for *is-se (with t transferred from such forms as istum ist \bar{o}): the second component of these compounds is identical with Skr. sá, Gr. δ (Indg. *so), cp. the accidence.

Also in other cases o in unaccented syllables seems occasionally to have become e, i, that is, an irrational vowel, which appears after i and in closed syllables as e, and in other cases as i. $\bar{\imath}lic\bar{o}$ fr. *in sloc \bar{o} . hospes hospitis fr. *hos(i)-pit- (§ 633) beside potis sum (com-pos -potis). anni-culus to anno-, auri-fex to auro-, socie-tās to socio-, novi-tās (Gr. $v\epsilon\acute{o}$ - $\tau\eta_{\bar{s}}$) to novo-legi-mus = Gr. $\lambda\acute{e}\gamma$ 0- $\mu\epsilon\nu$. It, of course, still remains to be determined in what relations this change stands to the u in $f\bar{\imath}lius$ etc. and for what reasons here the one, there the other course of variation was introduced; cp. § 680. The question might also be raised as to whether Indg. e does not occur in anni-culus, auri-fex, socie-tās, novi-tās and in legi-mus: anni-culus etc. like oìzé- $\tau\eta_{\bar{s}}$, legi-mus, new formation after legi-tis = Gr. $\lambda\acute{e}\gamma\epsilon$ - $\tau\epsilon$.

Rem. 1. The genitive suffix -es -is $(sal\bar{u}'-es \ sal\bar{u}t-is)$ has not arisen from -os -us $(sen\bar{u}'u-os, homin-us)$. -es and -os were both old inherited forms (see p. 67).

Thurneysen Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII p. 154 ff. assumes the transition of ov to av, e. g. $cave\bar{o}$: Gr. $\varkappa o(F) \not\in \omega$ 'I mark'; cavo-s: Gr. $\varkappa oo_{\ell}$ 'excavations'; $lav\bar{o}$: Gr. λoo_{ℓ} 'he washed'. Cp. however, Hübschmann Das Idg. Vocalsystem p. 190.

The fluctuation of the orthography between o and u in the Umbrian-Samnitic dialects points to a close pronunciation of the o. Since the Umbr. u was the sign both for o and u (§ 23), the tables written in the national alphabet contribute nothing towards determining the quality of the o-sound; the tables written

in Lat. have both o and u. In Oscan ú u, o u. Umbr. purka porca 'porcas': Lat. porcu-s, Gr. πόσιο-ς, O.Ir. orc, OHG. farh farah (§ 277), Lith. parsza-s, epf. *porko-s 'pig, sucking-pig'. Umbr. uvef ovi acc. 'oves': Lat. ovi-s, Gr. όι-ς, Goth. acēpi neut. 'flock of sheep', Lith. avì-s, O.Bulg. ovĭ-ca, epf. *oui-s 'sheep'. Umbr. curnac-o 'cornicem': Lat. cornīx Gr. κοριώνη 'erow', prim. st. *kor-en- *kor-n-. Osc. pútíad putiiad 'possit' putíans 'possint': Lat. potis, Gr. πόσι-ς. Umbr. pur-e 'quod', Osc. púd pod 'quod': Lat. Faliscan quo-d, Gr. πο-, Goth. hva-, Indg. *qo-. Nominal suffix -o- in Umbr. puplu-m poplom 'populum', Osc. húrtú-m 'hortum, templum', dolo-m dolu-m 'dolum', Volse. piho-m 'pium': Lat. dolo-m dolu-m, Falisc. vootu-m 'votum'.

The diphthongs of and ou.

Tautosyllabic o_i in chief accented stem syllables became o_{e} , \bar{u} in Lat. oino-s oeno-s $\bar{u}no$ -s: Gr. olvo-s, epf. *oi-no-s 'one'. moini-cipio-m moenia $m\bar{u}nia$: Goth. ga-mains 'common', Lith. maina-s 'exchange', Gr. Sicel. $\mu o\tilde{i}$ - τo -s 'requital'.

oe, $\bar{\imath}$ arose in final syllables. Nom. pl. poploe (Saliar hymn), ploirumē (C. I. L. I $\exists 2$), plūrimī: Gr. τ oi, $\lambda \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} oi$, Goth. pái, Indg. -oi, *toi fr. stem to- 'the, this'. Cp. instr. pl. *-ois, -oes (oloes) $-\bar{\imath}s$ (equīs) fr. *- $\bar{o}i\dot{s}$ (§ 612). In like manner also $\bar{\imath}$ in the monosyllabic nom. pl. $h\bar{\imath}$ quī, instr. pl. $h\bar{\imath}s$ quīs. quī and quīs may have originated from an unaccented position (sī qui, áli-quī etc.) and $h\bar{\imath}$ and $h\bar{\imath}s$ may be due partly to assimilation to $qu\bar{\imath}$, $qu\bar{\imath}s$, and partly to assimilation to the polysyllabic forms illī, illīs. Cp. also the nom. sg. $qu\bar{\imath}$ fr. O.Lat. quoi (qoi = quoi on the Dvenos-inscrp., cp. Bersu Die Gutturalen, Berlin 1885, p. 40) i. e. *quo + particle i ($\bar{\imath}$).

Rem. 2. où is also said to have become $\bar{\imath}$ in chief accented syllables, when preceded by v or l: $v\bar{\imath}dit$ οι $\partial_{\bar{\imath}}$, $v\bar{\imath}nn-m$ οινος, $v\bar{\imath}cn-s$ οίνο-ε, $l\bar{\imath}qnit$ λε-λοιπε, $l\bar{\imath}ber$ loebertatem and others. This sound-change cannot, however, be accepted as definitely proved, since almost all examples under this category can also be easily explained otherwise. See Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV p. 129, Zur Gesch. des Perf. p. 191, Danielsson in Pauli's Altit. Stud. 4. 156 f.

Rem. 3. Why feres, which I (against Thurneysen Bezzenberger's Beitr. VIII 269) consider equal to Gr. $q \neq q o c$ Goth. bairais, with \bar{e} and not $\bar{\imath}$? It is possible that \bar{e} arose regularly in the 1. sg. (O.Lat. ferem)

and 3. pl., and then from these two forms was extended to the other persons. I leave it undecided as to whether -em, -ent were the continuation of prim. Lat. *-oi-m, *oi-nt (ep. Gr. 1. sg. Att. τρέφοιτ, 3. pl. Delph. ταρ-έχοιτ, Goth. 3. pl. buirúin-u), or that of Indg. *-oin, *-oi-yt, which, according to Lat. sound-laws (§ 237). must, first of all, have become *-o(i)em. *-o(i)ent. It is also conceivable that capies and audies are regularly formed, but that *ferīs arose with the same contrast as that in which socie-tās and novi-tās stand to each other. In that case *ferīs has been transformed to ferēs after the analogy of capiēs; but then mediī, mediīs (μέσοι μέσοις) must also be regarded as modifications of *mediē, *mediēs after novī, novīs.

In Umbrian u = oi whereas the Oscan-Sabell. monuments still present the diphthong, as to which it is certainly questionable, whether the written diphthong still existed everywhere as such in the language itself. Umbr. unu 'unum', Osc. úín[itú 'unita'. Osc. múíníkad abl. 'communi': O.Lat. comoinis. Umbr. kuraia 'curet', Pelignian coisatens 'curaverunt': Lat. coirō coerō cūro (the forms coraveront C. I. L. I 73 and conraverunt l. c. 1419 are very singular; in the latter \bar{u} was certainly meant), prim. Ital. stem. fem. *kojsā-. Cp. also the Osc. instr. pl. Núvlanúís 'Nolanis' zicolois 'diebus', Pelignian suois 'suis'. Umbr. veskles ·is 'vasculis': Lat. oloes illīs: Umbr., like Lat., indicates here a special manner of treating oj in final syllables.

Tautosyllabic Indg. ou, fell together with Indg. eu (§ 65) and is still only traceable in Osc. as diphthong: Gen. sg. castrovs fr. stem castru-'fundus' (§ 77). In Umbr. \bar{o} : gen. trifor 'tribus'; rofu 'rubos': Goth. ráuþs, cpf. *roudho-s. \bar{u} arose in Lat., e. g. gen. sg. fructūs; pf. fūdit: cp. Goth. gáut 'poured out' (pres. giutan 'to pour out'). By side of it also \bar{o} : $r\bar{o}bu$ -s = Umbr. $r\bar{o}fo$ -, gen. $dom\bar{o}s$ = $dom\bar{u}s$ (Augustus wrote domos); cp. also the inscrip. forms with (long) o, losna (= $l\bar{u}na$ fr. *loue- $sn\bar{a}$, § 570), poplicod (beside poublicom $p\bar{u}blicus$), nondinum (beside noundinum $n\bar{u}ndinum$). It remains doubtful how this duality \bar{u} and \bar{o} in Lat. is to be estimated. It was hardly a mere graphic duality.

Rem. 4. In a number of Ital. words containing ou, it cannot be decided, whether this diphthong represents prim. Indg. eu (§ 65) or ou, e. g. in those containing louk- as Osc. gen. Lúvkanateís 'Lucanatis' Luvkis Luvikis 'Lucius', Lat. Loucina Luucius etc., fr. rt. leuk- 'illumine' (Gr. λευκός λούσσου).

§ 82. Old Irish. In chief accented syllables o. ocht 'eight': Gr. ἀντώ. roth 'wheel': Lat. rota 'wheel', OHG. rad 'wheel', Lith. rāta-s 'wheel'. orc 'pig': Gr. πόονο-ς. gorim 'I heat, warm, burn': O.Bulg. gorčti 'to burn', Lith. gãra-s 'steam', fr. rt. gher-. Prep. co n- 'with': Lat. cum, Umbr. Osc. com. It passes into u with the palatalisation of the following consonant: suide 'seat' fr. *sodio- = Lat. soliu-m (l = d, § 369), rt. sed- 'sit' (§ 640). Cp. also mug 'slave' fr. *mogu-s (Goth. magu-s 'boy, servant'), ru- beside ro- (Indg. *pro 'before, in front', p. 67), cum- beside com- con- (co n-, see above).

In secondary accented syllables o, so far as it did not entirely disappear (§§ 634. 657), became an irrational vowel, whose sound quality mostly regulated itself according to the timbre of the following consonant.

- a. 1. pl. as-béram 'dicimus', 3. pl. as-bérat : Gr. $\varphi \not\in \varphi o \mu \epsilon \nu$, (Doric) $\varphi \not\in \varphi o \nu \tau \iota$, $\xi \varphi \epsilon \varphi o \nu$, Goth. baîram, baîrand; -berat may be middle = Gr. $\varphi \not\in \varphi o \nu \tau o$, or it is, as Thurneysen thinks, to be regarded as the regular continuation of *beront, Indg. *bheront (t in the combination nt had not the same development as t in other cases in Irish, and we have no evidence that original t in the final combination -nt was dropped in Irish).
- i. Gen. biid 'victus' fr. *bi(u)otī, to nom. biad fr. *bi(u)oto-m: Gr. βίοτο-ς Lith. gyvatà O.Bulg. životŭ 'life'.
 - u. Dat. biud (to the above named biad) fr. *bi(u)otō.

In forms like *cómarbus* 'cohereditas' beside *órpe* 'hereditas' (Goth. *arbi* neut. 'inheritance') or had first of all become γ . S. § 634.

In the 3. sg. $ad\text{-}c\acute{o}n\text{-}dairc$ (Gr. $\delta\acute{\epsilon}\delta o\varrho \varkappa \epsilon$), $r\acute{e}raig$ 'dixerit' (O.Icel. rak, rt. $re\^{g}$ -) a only indicates the timbre of the preceding consonant. See § 640.

Cp. Gall. o in tarvo-s 'bull' : Gr. $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \rho o - \varsigma$; $\nu \varepsilon \mu \eta \tau o - \nu$ neut. 'sanctuary' (O.Ir. $nemed\ n$ -) : cp. Gr. $\zeta v \gamma \acute{o} - \nu$; epo- 'horse' in $Epo-r\bar{e}dia$ = Lat. equo-.

In chief accented syllables Indg. oi became og (written og and oi). oen 'unus': Lat. oino-s ūnus. cloen 'oblique, unjust, bad': Goth. hláins 'hill', fr. rt. klej- 'lean, incline'. Before pala-

talised consonants of for of, e. g. cloine 'obliqueness, injustice'. Old of may, therefore, have been retained here.

Rem. Beside oe, oi was also written ae, ai, e. g. aen 'unus' beside oen; clain nom. pl. of cloen; maini 'dona, pretiosa' (to Lat. moenia, mūnia).

In final syllables of became $\bar{\imath}$, which disappeared and only left its traces in the palatalisation of the preceding consonant. Nom. pl. eich 'equi' fr. *ekuī, cp. Gr. ' $\pi\pi\sigma\iota$, Lat. poploe populī (§ 657, 4). In Gall. inscrip. the nom. pl. still ends in -oi: Tanotaliknoi.

Indg. ou fell together with eu (§ 66). It became ō, whence later ua. ruad 'red', Cymr. rhudd Corn. rudh Bret. ruz: Goth. ráups (stem ráuda-), cpf. *roudho-s; cp. Gall. Roudu-s Anderoudu-s.

§ 83. Germanic. Pf. 3. sg. Goth. varp OHG. ward O.Icel. varđ 'he took a direction, became' : Skr. vavárta, cpf. "ue-uort-e, fr. rt. uert- 'turn'. Causat. Got. us-vakjan 'to awake', OHG. wecchan: Skr. vājáyati 'he urges on, drives on', cpf. 1. sg. *uoĝéjō, fr. rt. ueg- 'be active, strong'. Causat. Goth. fra-vardjan OHG. far-warttan 'to let perish, destroy' : Skr. vartáyati 'lets something take a turning or course', cpf. 1. sg. *yortéjō, fr. rt. uert-. OHG. OS. O.Icel. band (neut.) 'band, cord', prim. f. *bhondho-m, fr. rt. bhendh- 'bind', cp. Skr. 3. sg. pf. babándha. Goth. gasts OHG. OS. gast, O.Icel. gestr 'guest' Norse Runes qastik: Lat. hosti-s 'stranger, enemy', O.Bulg. gosti 'guest', cpf. *ahosti-s. Goth. asts OHG. ast (masc.) 'branch': Gr. o'coc, epf. *ozdo-s. Goth. pat-a, OHG. daz, O.Icel. pat 'that, the': Gr. $r\acute{o}$, cpf. * $t\acute{o}$ -d.

Rem. 1. Indg. o fell indiscriminately together with Indg. a (§ 99) and Indg. o (§§ 109, 110) already in prim. Germ. At this period a was used for the three vowels in chief accented syllables, in other syllables frequently or always o. The latter quality was still retained in Westgerm. in historical times: e. g. in the dat. pl. OHG. worto-m wort-um OS. wordo-n wordu-n, Ags. wordu-m fr. stem orig. *yrdho- or *uy-to- 'word' (but Goth. vaúrda-m). See Paul's article in Paul-Braune's Beitr. IV p. 358 ff. and VI p. 186 ff. Since it has not been definitely settled for many syllables, whether o or a was spoken in prim. Germ., we write the prim. Germ. forms throughout with a according to the Goth. notation, an inexactness, which is of little importance for this comparative grammar.

Indg. tautosyllabie oj. 3. sg. Goth. váit OHG. weiz OS. wēt Ags. wāt O.Icel. veit 'knows': Gr. οἶδε. Goth. láihv OHG. lēh Ags. lāh 'he lent': Gr. λέλοιπε. Causat. Goth. láisjan (for regular *láizjan, § 581) OHG. lērran lēran, OS. lērian 'to teach', beside Goth. leisan 'to learn', rt. leis-. Goth. áins OHG. ein OS. ēn Ags. ān O.Icel. einn 'one': Lat. oino-s ūnu-s. 2. sg. opt. Goth. baíráis: Gr. φέροις. Gen. sg. Goth. anstáis (fr. anstifavour'): ep. Lith. akēs (fr. aki- 'eye'), Indg. ending -ois.

Indg. tautosyllabic ou. Pf. 3. sg. Goth. báup OHG. bōt OS. bōd Ags. beád O.Icel. bauð 'bade': Skr. bubódha, Indg. *bhebhoudh-e. Goth. ráups (st. ráuda-) OHG. rōt OS. rōd Ags. reád O.Icel. rauðr 'red': Gall. Roudu-s O.Ir. ruad 'red', cpf. *roudho-s. Gen. sg. Goth. sunáus (fr. sunu- 'son'): Lith. sūnaūs (fr. sūnu- 'son'), Indg. ending -ous.

In the eighth century a became e in OHG., caused by a consonantal or sonantal i in the following syllable. nerian i. e. nerian = Goth. nasjan (for regular *nazjan, § 581) 'to rescue', causat. to ga-nesan = Goth. ga-nisan 'to become healed', fr. rt. nes-, Gr. véoma. Nom. pl. gesti (sg. gast) 'guests' = Goth. gasteis (sg. gasts, st. gasti-). This umlaut, however, was hindered by r, l, h + Consonant (see Braune in Paul-Braune's Beitr. IV p. 540 ff.), e. g. far-warttan 'destroy' (p. 77) dat. nahti-m 'noctibus' (st. nahti- = Lat. nocti- Lith. nahti-). But the e, which arose from this i-umlaut, differed from the e which arose out of prim. Germ. i, e (§§ 35, 67) not only in OHG. but also in MHG. and even in NHG.: the latter, also written e by way of distinction, was open, the former close (J. Franck Zeitschr. f. deutsch. Altert. XXV 218 f., W. Braune Lit. Centralbl. 1884. column 124 f., Luick Paul-Braune's Beitr. XI 492 f.).

Prim. Germ. ai became ei (weiz, ein) except before r, h, w where it became \bar{e} : $l\bar{e}rran$, $l\bar{e}h$.

Prim. Germ. au remained partly as diphthong, au and later ou, e. g. pf. scaub scoub = Goth. $sk\acute{a}ut$ 'pushed' (pres. Goth. $sk\acute{u}uba$), boug = Goth. $b\acute{a}ug$ 'bent' (pres. Goth. biuga), and partly became \bar{o} viz. before dental consonants and r, l, h (= Goth. h), e. g. $b\bar{o}t$, $r\bar{o}t$, pf. $dr\bar{o}z$ = Goth. $pr\acute{a}ut$ 'troubled' (pres. Goth.

printa), $tr\bar{o}ran$ 'to make to drop, spill' = Goth. $dr\'{a}usjan$ (for regular *drausjan, § 581) 'make to fall, fell, throw' (causat. to Goth. driusan 'to fall'), pf. $z\bar{o}h$ 'drew' = Goth. $t\'{a}uh$ 'led' (pres. Goth. tiuha).

Quite independent of this change of ai and au to \bar{e} and \bar{o} , there was an older (prim. Westgerm.) reduction of the same diphthongs to \bar{e} and \bar{o} , which took place in unaccented syllables. Final \bar{e} and \bar{o} were shortened according to § 661, 6. OHG. blinte nom. pl. mase. 'blind', blintem dat. (instr.) pl. 'blind' = Goth. blindái, blindáim, prim. Germ. *blindai, *blinai-mi. berēs 2. sg. opt. = Goth. baíráis (p. 78). taye dat. loc. sg. (to tag 'day') = prim. Germ. *daʒai, cp. Gr. loc. oĭzoı and dat. oĭzop (§ 659, 2. 3). suno gen. sg. (to sunu 'son') = Goth. sunáus (p. 78).

Here belongs also OHG. $d\bar{e}$ 'the', $d\bar{e}m$ 'the' = Goth. $p\acute{a}in$, $p\acute{a}im$, since the pronoun regularly suffered the change in those cases, where it was unaccented.

The forms $dia\ die = d\bar{e}$ and $deam\ diem = d\bar{e}m$ show that this $\bar{e} = \text{prim}$. Germ. ai was equivalent to the \bar{e} discussed in § 75 rem. 2.

Rem. 2. These OHG. (Westgerm.) sound-laws in like manner held good for Germ. $\alpha = \text{Indg. } a$ and Indg. a. See § 99 and § 109.

§ 84. Baltic-Slavonic. Indg. o was o in the Baltic-Slav. unitary period, and generally remained o in Slav., whilst it became a in Baltic.

Lith. tāka-s 'path', O.Bulg. tokŭ 'course', to Lith. tekù O.Bulg. teka 'I run'. O.Bulg. raz-dorŭ 'rent, gap': Gr. δορά 'skin, hide', fr. rt. der- 'tear, flay'. O.Bulg. causat. ložiti 'ponere': Goth. lagjan 'to lay', fr. rt. legh- 'lay, make a bed'; topiti 'to heat': Skr. tāpáyāmi 'I heat', fr. rt. tep-. Lith. naktì-s, O.Bulg. noštĭ 'night': Lat. nox noctis, Goth. nahts 'night'. Lith. avì-s O.Bulg. ovĭ-ca 'sheep': Lat. ovi-s. Nominal suffix -o-: Lith. nom. sg. vilka-s 'wolf', dat. pl. vilká-ms O.Bulg. vlŭko-mŭ, prim. Balt.-Slav. st. *ψlko- (§ 302) 'wolf': Gr. λύχο-ς. The thematic vowel -o-: Lith. 1. pl. pres. nēsza-me 'we carry', O.Bulg. 1. pl. aor. neso-mŭ 'we carried': Gr. φέρο-μεν.

om, on before consonants (except \underline{i}) generally appear as a in Slavonic. zabu 'tooth: Gr. $\gamma \delta \mu q o \cdot g$ 'plug, bolt', rt. gembh. $blad\overline{u}$ 'error' beside bleda 'erro', rt. bhlendh. o became u (\overline{u}) in final syllables before final nasal and before -ns. Thus Indg. *-o-m (in the acc. sg. of -o-stems and in the 1. sg. pret.) became *-u-n (§ 217) - \overline{u} (§ 219), as $vl\overline{u}k\overline{u}$ 'lupum', $nes\overline{u}$ 'I carried'; Indg. *-o-ns in the acc. pl. (cp. Gr. Cret. $z\delta\varrho\mu\sigma\nu g$) became *-uns whence further the -y of historical times, as $vl\overline{u}ky$ 'lupos' (§ 219). Final - \overline{u} = Indg. *-om was lengthened to y before j, e. g. $dobr\overline{u}$ - $j\overline{i}$ and dobry- $j\overline{i}$ 'the good (man)' ($dobr\overline{u}$ is the accusative form, used as nom., see the accidence), cp. § 36 p. 37.

In the same language *io* became *ie*. Nom. acc. sg. neut. *je* 'it': Gr. ő 'quod', Skr. yá-d 'quod', cpf. **io-d*. Dat. pl. *konje-mŭ* fr. st. *konje-* fr. **konjo-* 'horse', *mąže-mŭ* fr. st. *mąže-* fr. **mąg-ie-* (§ 147), **mąg-jo-* 'man', cp. dat. pl. *vlŭko-mŭ*.

In like manner i influenced a following a in Lithuanian: a, in this combination and after consonants which have been palatalised by i, is pronounced as an open e in many dialects, e. g. kraŭjes 'blood', pl. $krauje\~i = kra\~ijas$, $krauja\~i$; $sv\~eczes$ 'guest', pl. $svecze\~i = sv\~eczias$, $sveczia\~i$; dat. sg. j'em 'him', instr. pl. $je\~is = j\'em$, $ja\~is$.

Rem. 1. Lith. ji-s 'he' is not equal to Gr. δ - ς Skr. $y\acute{\alpha}$ -s, but stands to these in the same relation as Gr. τί-ς, Lat. qui-s stand to Skr. kά-s Goth. hva-s. Also szì-s 'this' (beside gen. sziō) is not fr. *kio-s, but like O.Bulg. sī 'this' = orig. * $\hat{k}i$ -s (O.Bulg. gen. sego, dat. semu etc. for regular *šeyo, *šemu etc. fr. *sieyo etc. after the analogy of the nom. si and acc. $s\bar{\imath}$). After the same manner as $j\hat{\imath}s$ stands to gen. $j\tilde{\imath}o$, dat. $j\acute{e}m$ etc. are also to be explained nominatives sg. like medis (gen. medžio) 'tree', whose -iinstead of -ii- is on the same level as the -i- in geras-is (definite form to gera-s 'good'), and those like gaidys (Gen. gaidžio 'cock', whose y arose from iži (§ 147). Indg. i was likewise contained in the final syllables of O.Bulg. nom. and Acc. konji 'horse' (st. konje-), Goth. nom. as harji-s 'army, host' (st. harja-) and hairdeis fr. *zirāiji-s 'shepherd' (st. prim. Germ. *xirdija-), O.Lat. Cornēlis Cornēlim, alis alim beside Cornēlius, alius Osc. Kiípiís 'Cipius', Umbr. Trutitis 'Truttidius'. To these may possibly be added the Greek proper names in -ις beside -ιος -ιας, as Δαμις Δεῖνις etc. Cp. §§ 120. 143. 219 rem 1. 660 rem. 3 and the accidence.

Indg. tautosyllabic oż appears in Lith. as ë and ai, in Slav. as ě. Lith. sněga-s 'snow' (beside snaigalà 1) 'snow flake' snaigýti 'to snow a little') Pruss. snaygis O.Bulg. sněgŭ 'snow': Goth. snáivs 'snow', cpf. *snoigho-s, fr. rt. sneigh-. Lith. at-lëka-s and āt-laika-s 'remnant, rest' laikýti 'to hold', O.Bulg. otŭ-lekŭ 'remnant, rest': Gr. λοιπό-ς, fr. rt. leig-. Lith. laipinti 'to let rise'. O.Bulg. pri-lepŭ 'salve, grease': Gr. ἀλοιφή 'salve', fr. rt. leinstick, glue'. Lith. maiszala-s 'medley, compound' maiszáti 'to 'mix', Pruss. maysota-n 'mingled', O.Bulg. pri-měsŭ 'admixtiv' měsiti 'to mix', from rt. meiĥ- (Gr. μείξις), cp. § 414 rem. Lith. 3. sg. opt. te-sukē (sukù 'I turn'), O.Bulg. 2. pl. opt. berete (berq 'fero') : Gr. φέροι, φέροιτε. Lith. kema-s 'farm, village' kaimýna-s 'neighbour' : Goth. háims 'village', cpf. *goi-mo-s (cp. O.Bulg. po-koji 'rest, repose'), fr. rt. qei-. Pruss. waisei waisse 'thou knowest', O.Bulg. věmi fr. *věd-mi and věd-ě 'I know': Gr. οἶδε, Indg. pf. stem woid-. Lith. pëmữ 'shepherd boy': Gr. ποιμήν. Lith. staigà 'sudden' staigýti-s 'hasten': Gr. στοῖχο-ς 'row', fr. rt. steigh- 'rise'.

Rem. 2. It is undetermined after what law \ddot{e} and ai interchange in Lith. I conjecture that originally ai regularly remained only when the following consonants had a palatal timbre, which had been caused by an \dot{e} - or i-vowel in the following syllable; in other cases $a\dot{e}$ became $a\dot{e}$, then open \bar{e} , and later \ddot{e} . Cp. e. g. $kaim\acute{y}nas$ with $k\tilde{e}ma$ -s, $p\acute{a}$ -saiti-s (masc.) 'a binding thong' with $s\tilde{e}ta$ -s 'cord' (§ 100), and the numerous verbs in -yti as $laik\acute{y}$, $laik\acute{u}a\ddot{u}$, $laik\acute{u}a\ddot{u}$, $laik\acute{y}siu$). In this case $ka\bar{\imath}ma$ -s (another form of $k\tilde{e}ma$ -s) would be a new formation after $kaim\acute{y}nas$, and $laika\ddot{u}$ after $laikia\ddot{u}$ etc.; in the case of nouns like $\tilde{u}t$ -laika-s 'remnant', $mu\tilde{\imath}na$ -s 'exchange', the verbs in -yti and the like which exist side by side with them would come into consideration, etc. Only slurred ('geschliffen') ai ($a\tilde{\imath}$) seems to have undergone the change to \tilde{e} , whereas \acute{ai} ($d\acute{u}ikta$ -s 'place, thing', pa- $l\acute{u}ida$ -s 'loose, slack') remained also before consonants having a labial timbre. Cp. \ddot{e} and $e\dot{i}$ = Indg. $e\dot{i}$ § 68 rem. 2.

Slav. $\check{e} = \operatorname{Indg.} o\check{i}$ and $= \operatorname{Indg.} a\check{i}$ (§ 100) are to be distinguished from $\check{e} = \operatorname{Indg.} \bar{e}$ (§ 76) in historical times from the fact that before them k, g, ch do not appear changed into \check{c} , $(d)\check{z}$, \check{s} , but into c, (d)z, s. Loc. pl. $vl\check{u}c\check{e}ch\check{u}$ ($vl\check{u}k\check{u}$ 'wolf'), $bo(d)z\check{e}ch\check{u}$ ($bog\check{u}$ 'god') with the Indg. ending *-oisu, cp. Gr.

¹⁾ Kurschat in Lith.-Germ. Dict. accents it thus snaīgata.

Brugmann, Elements.

λύποισι Skr. výkēšu. Instr. sg. tacěmi, instr. pl. tacěmi, dat. pl. tacěmi fr. taki 'talis'. Opt. 2. pl. rĭcěte from reką 'I say', ep. Gr. φέροιτε. Cp. §§ 461. 462. 463. 464. 588.

Final $\check{e} = \text{Indg. } o\check{i}$ a \check{i} mostly became i. Nom. pl. ti: Lith. $t\tilde{e}$, Gr. $\tau o'$, stem to- 'the'; in like manner $vl\check{u}ci$: Gr. $\lambda\acute{v}\varkappa o\iota$. Gen. sg. pati (pati 'way'): Lith. $ak\tilde{e}s$ (aki-s 'eye'), Goth. anstais (ansti- 'favour'), Indg. *-ois. Opt. 2. 3. sg. beri: Gr. $q\acute{e}\varrho o\iota\varsigma$, $q\acute{e}\varrho o\iota$, cpf. * $bh\acute{e}rois$, * $bh\acute{e}roit$. In the same way - \check{e} = Indg. - \bar{e} became -i in the nom. mati 'mother' = Lith. $mot\tilde{e}$, § 76.

Rem. 3. It remains undetermined why the loc. sg. had -č, not -i, vlūcě (cp. Gr. očzoi, OHG. tage fr. *đazai orig. *dhoghoi). Cp. dat. loc. sg. racé, nom. acc. du. race (§ 100) of raka 'hand', and 1. sg. vėd-ė 'I know' with the personal ending of the Skr. pf. mid. tutud-é fr. tud-'push'. Cp. Leskien Die Declin. im Slav.-Lit. u. Germ. p. 53, Mahlow Die langen Vocale A E O p. 103 f., and Osthoff Zur Gesch. des Perf. p. 194.

Initial \check{e} - = Indg. oi- ai- likewise became i-. $in\check{u}$ 'unus': Lith. v- $\check{e}na$ -s (§ 666, 1), Pruss. aina-n acc., Lat. oino-s $\bar{u}nu$ -s. iskati 'to seek': Lith. j- $\check{e}szk\acute{o}ti$ 'to seek', OHG. $eisc\bar{o}n$ 'to seek', a verb whose root is probably to be set down as ais-, not eis-(Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. p. 133); iskati certainly incurs the suspicion of being a Germanic borrowed word, see § 414 rem. Also here a difference is shown in comparison with Indg. \bar{e} . For this latter, when initial, became ja-, see §§ 76. 666, 1. Cp. further § 666 rem. 2.

Just as *io became je (see above), so also *ioi became *iei and further (by § 68) ji. Loc. pl. jichŭ (fr. st. je- 'he' fr. *io-), konjichŭ (fr. st. konje- 'horse' fr. *konjo-) as opposed to těchŭ, vlŭcěchŭ. Opt. 2. pl. dějite (děją 'I put'), as opposed to berěte.

Indg. tautosyllabic où as well as the où (§ 68) which arose from eù in the Balt.-Slav. unitary period, appears in Lith. as au, and in Slav. as u. Gen. sg. Lith. sānaūs, O.Bulg. synu: Goth. sunāus, Indg. *-ous. Lith. raudà 'red colour', O.Bulg. ruda 'metal': Goth. rāuþs 'red', rt. reudh-. Lith. naudà 'profit, wealth, property': OHG. nōz O.Icel. naut (neut.) 'domesticated animal' to Goth. niutan 'to obtain, enjoy', rt. neud-. Lith. raudà 'lamentation': OHG. rōz (masc.) 'weeping' to riozan 'to weep', rt. reud-

Indg. ō.

§ 85. Indg. $j\bar{o}s$ - 'gird': Av. $y\bar{a}sta$ - 'girded', Gr. $\zeta\omega\sigma\tau\dot{o}$ - ς "girded' $\zeta\omega\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\varrho$ 'girdle', Lith. $j\dot{u}'sta$ 'girdle' O.Bulg. po- $jas\ddot{u}$ 'girdle'. Indg. $d\bar{o}$ - 'give': Skr. fut. $d\bar{a}$ - $sy\dot{a}mi$ 'dabo' $d\dot{a}$ -na-m "donum', Arm. tu-r 'gift', Gr. $\delta\omega$ - $\sigma\omega$ $\delta\omega$ - ϱo - ν , Lat. $d\bar{v}$ -nu-m, $d\bar{o}s$ $d\bar{v}tis$, Lith. fut. $d\dot{u}'$ -siu 'dabo' inf. $d\dot{u}'$ -ti 'dare', O.Bulg. da-ti 'dare' da- $r\ddot{u}$ 'donum'.

The abl. sg. of -o-stems in Indg. -ōd: Skr. vṛkād (vṛka-s 'wolf'), Gr. Locr. Cret. ω̄ 'unde', Lat. Gnaivōd Gnaeō. 1. sg. of stems with thematic vowel in Indg. -ō: Av. manya 'I think' fr. prim. Ar. *manyā (§ 649, 1), Skr. bhárā-mi 'fero' (-mi was here newly added), Gr. qέρω, Lat. ferō, O.Ir. as-biur 'effero, dico' fr. prim. Kelt. *berō, Goth. baira 'fero' fr. prim. Germ. *berō, Lith. refl. sukå'-s 'I turn myself'. Nom. sg. in Indg. -ō(r): Skr. svásā 'soror', Gr. διότωο 'giver', Lat. datōr dator, O.Ir. siur Lith. sesā 'soror'.

The diphthongs $\bar{o}i$ and $\bar{o}u$ were probably not very often tautosyllabic in the Indg. prim. period. Dat. sg. of -o-stems in $-\bar{o}i$: Av. haomāi (haoma-, a plant), Gr. ἵππω, Osc. Abellanúi 'Abellano' Lat. equō, Lith. viἶkui 'lupo'. Instr. pl. of the same stems in $-\bar{o}is$: Skr. áśvāiṣ, Gr. ἵπποις fr. *ἵππωις (§ 611), Pelign. enatois 'gnatis, filiis', Lat. oloes illīs fr. prim. Ital. $-\bar{o}is$ (§ 612), Lith. vilkais: Skr. vṛkāiṣ (§ 615). Nom. sg. Indg. *gōu-s 'bullock': Skr. gāuṣ, Gr. βοῦς (§ 611). Loc. sg. Indg. *sūnōu (nom. *sūnú-s 'son'): Skr. sūnāu, O.Bulg. synu, Umbr. manuv-e 'in manu' (v was a glide as in tuva 'duo' and others, § 169), probably also Goth. sunáu, Lat. (dat.) ūsū. Indg. *oktōu 'eight' (beside *oktō): Skr. aṣṭāû, Goth. ahtáu; *duōu 'two' (beside *duō): Skr. dvāû, O.Ir. dau dō, older dāu (§ 645 final 1).

§ 86. Aryan. Skr. āśú-ṣ Av. āsu-š 'quick' : Gr. ἀπύ-ς, Lat. ōc-ior. Skr. dádā-ti Av. dađāiti 'dat' : Gr. δίδω-σι. Nom. acc. du. in *-ō (cp. § 645 final 1): Vedic hástā Av. Gāp. zastā O.Pers. dastā 'both hands' : Gr. Ἰππω, Lat. ambō. Imper. in *-tōd : Skr. vedic bháratād : Gr. φερέτω, Lat. estōd estō.

Concerning Av. \bar{e} , \bar{o} , \hat{a} = prim. Iran. prim. Ar. \bar{a} fr. Indg. \bar{o} see § 102.

§ 87. Armenian. The regular representation seems to have been u. e-tu 'I gave': Skr. $\acute{a}d\bar{a}m$. tur 'gift': Gr. $\delta\tilde{\omega}\varrho_{0}$ -r, rt. $d\bar{o}$ -. hum 'raw': Gr. $\mathring{\omega}\mu\acute{o}$ - ς Skr. $\bar{a}m\acute{a}$ -s 'raw'; the initial h-has no etymological value. $\check{s}un$ 'dog' fr. Indg. * $\hat{k}\mu\bar{o}n$, Lith. szup (§ 92 rem.).

§ 88. Greek. $\gamma r\omega$ 'perceive, know' $\tilde{\epsilon}\gamma r\omega - \gamma r\omega - \tau \delta - \varepsilon$ $\gamma r\tilde{\omega} - \mu \alpha$: Skr. $j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ - 'perceive, know' fut. 3. sg. $j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ -syáti partie. $j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ -tá-s, Lat. $gn\tilde{o}$ -sc \tilde{o} $n\tilde{o}$ -tu-s, OHG. ein-chnuadil 'insignis, egregius' be-cnuodelen 'to give a countersign', O.Bulg. inf. zna-tā 'know', zna-mē 'sign'. Aeol. $\pi \alpha' - r\omega$ 'I drink' pf. $\pi \tilde{\epsilon}\pi \omega - r\omega$ $\tilde{\alpha}\mu - \pi\omega\tau\iota - \varepsilon$ 'ebb-tide': Skr. páti 'he drinks'. pána-m 'drink', Lat. $p\tilde{o}tu$ -s $p\tilde{o}ti\tilde{o}$ $p\tilde{o}tor$, Lith. $p\tilde{u}'ta$ (fem.) 'drinking-bout'. Acc. $\beta \tilde{\alpha}r$ 'bovem': Skr. gám 'bovem', cpf. *góm, to nom. *gōu-s (§ 85). Derivative verbal stems in $-\tilde{o}$ -, $\dot{\nu}\pi r\dot{\omega} - \sigma\omega$ $\dot{\nu}\pi r\omega - \tau \dot{\epsilon}or$: Lat. $\alpha egr\tilde{\sigma}$ -tu-s, Lith. $sapn\tilde{u}'$ -ti 'to dream'.

In the Thessalian dialect ω passed into $\overline{\alpha}$ (ov): e. g. Edovxe = $E \delta \omega - \varkappa E$, $\gamma v o \dot{v} \mu \overline{\alpha} = \gamma v \dot{\omega} - \mu \eta$, $A \varrho \delta \sigma \tau o v v = A \varrho \delta \sigma \tau o v$.

§ 89. Italic. Lat. ō. The fluctuation of the orthography between o and u in the Umbrian-Samn. dialects points to a close & (cp. short o § 81): in Umbr. u, o, u, in Osc. ú, u (also uu, a proof for the length of the vowel), o, u. Lat. do-nu-m, Falisc. donum, Umbr. řunu, Osc. dúnúm, d]uunated ('donavit'), Mars. dunom, Vestin. duno: Gr. δω-ço-v. Lat. pō-tu-s, Umbr. puni poni abl. 'posca' : Gr. πέπω-κα. Lat. flos floris, Flora, Osc. Fluusaí dat. 'Florae', Sabin. Flusare 'Florali' : MHG. bluost (fem.) 'blossom, bloom' Ags. blosstma (masc.) 'flower', st. *bhlos-. Umbr. ar-fertur-e ars-fertur-e dat. 'adfertori, flamini', Osc. regaturei dat. 'rectori' kenzsur censtur nom. 'censores'. Umbr. armune dat. 'alimoni', Akerunia- Acersonia- Ose. Akudunnia-'Aquilonia-', Pelign. Semunu gen. 'Semonum', Lat. suffix forms -tor-, -mon-. Abl. sg. of -o-stems: Lat. Gnaivod, Umbr. poplu 'populo' somo 'summo', Osc. Búvaianúd 'Boviano' preivatud 'privato', Volsc. vinu 'vino': Gr. Locr. Cret. & 'unde'. Umbr.-Osc. nom. pl. of the same stems in -ōs: Umbr. prinuvatus prinvatur 'legati' screihtor 'scripti', Osc. Núvlanús.

'Nolani': Skr. vfkās Goth. vulfōs 'lupi'. Imperatives in -tōd: Lat. estōd, Umbr. fertu 'ferto', Osc. lí kítu d licitud 'liceto', Pel. petieđu 'petito' (?), Volsc. estu 'esto': Gr. ἔστω.

The \bar{u} instead of the expected \bar{o} in Lat. datūr-u-s quaest $\bar{u}r$ -a, $f\bar{u}r$ = Gr. $q\omega\varrho$ 'thief', $h\bar{u}$ -c beside $qu\bar{o}$ (are they to be compared with $f\bar{u}g$ -u-s beside equ-o-s? § 81) and others (Corssen Aussprache II 2 p. 193 f.) is unexplained.

§ 90. Old Irish. In chief accented syllables \bar{a} . $gn\bar{a}th$ 'known, accustomed': Gr. $\gamma\nu\omega\tau\delta$ - ς . $bl\bar{a}th$ 'bloom, blossom': OHG. blnot 'bloom, blossom', fr. st. $bhl\bar{e}$ - $bhl\bar{o}$ -, ep. Goth. uf- $bl\bar{e}san$ - $baibl\bar{o}s$ 'to blow up, make to swell', Lat. $fl\bar{o}s$. $l\bar{a}r$ 'floor, ground': MHG. vluor Ags. $fl\bar{o}r$ 'floor', to Gr. $\pi\lambda\eta$ - $\pi\lambda\omega$ - Lat. $pl\bar{e}$ - $pl\bar{o}$ - 'fill, swell, overflow'. $d\bar{a}$ 'two': Gr. $\delta\omega$ - $\delta\varepsilon\iota\alpha$, Skr. Ved. $dv\bar{a}$, Indg. * $du\bar{o}$ 'two' (O.Ir. dau do = Indg. * $du\bar{o}u$, see § 85). Cp. also O.Cymr. di-auc 'segnem' (properly 'not quick'): Gr. $\omega \varkappa v'$ - ς ; O.Cymr. au = \bar{a} (Zeuss-Ebel G. C. 93).

Rem. As to whether $\bar{\alpha}$ was also spoken in Gallic depends, so far as I see, upon the view taken of proper names in $-gn\bar{\alpha}to-s$. Zeuss-Ebel (p. 16) identify this word with O.Ir. $gn\bar{\alpha}th$, whereas Thurneysen identifies it with Lat. $gn\bar{\alpha}tu-s$ (Cintugn $\bar{\alpha}tus$ 'first-born', Keltoroman. p. 2).

In final syllables \bar{o} passed into \bar{u} , and became shortened.

1. sg. pres. no guidiu 'I beg' biu 'I am': cp. Gr. $\varkappa \lambda \alpha l \omega$ etc.

Nom. sg. of n-stems, air-mitiu 'reverentia' (acc. air-mitin n-):

Lat. mentiō. Dat. (instr.) sg. of -io-stems, cēliu 'socio': Lat. modo (adv.). Voc. pl. a firu 'o viri' fr. prim. Kelt. *uirōs: Goth. $v \alpha i r \bar{o}s$, Osc. Núvlanús 'Nolani'. In as-biur 'effero, dico' fr. *biru *berō, fiur 'viro' fr. *viru *virō this vowel is still reflected only in the labial timbre of the consonant which preceded the once present $u = \bar{o}$. Cp. on \bar{o} in unaccented syllables also \$\frac{8}{5}\$ 613. 634. 657, 2. 6. 7.

§ 91. Germanic. In prim. Germ. \bar{o} . Goth. $f\bar{o}du$ -s OHG. $f\bar{o}t$ float fluat fluot OS. Ags. $f\bar{o}d$ O.Icel. $f\bar{o}d$ 'flood, tide': Gr. $\pi\lambda\omega$ - $\tau\dot{o}$ - ς 'swimming', Lat. $pl\bar{o}r\bar{a}re$ (cp. Hom. $\delta\dot{\alpha}\rho\nu$ $\pi\lambda\dot{\omega}\epsilon\nu$ τ 122). Goth. $f\bar{o}dr$ neut. 'sheath', OHG. $f\bar{o}tar$ fuatar fuotar 'case': Gr. $\pi\tilde{\omega}$ - $\mu\alpha$ 'lid, cover', Skr. $p\dot{a}$ - $tr\alpha$ -m 'vessel, receptacle'. Goth. $f\bar{o}tu$ -s OHG. $f\bar{o}z$ foaz fuaz fuoz OS. Ags. $f\bar{o}t$ O.Icel. $f\bar{o}tr$ 'foot': Gr. Dor.

nom. sg. $\pi\omega_{\varsigma}$ 'foot'. Goth. $sai-s\bar{o}$ pf. 'sowed': Gr. $a\varphi\epsilon\omega za$, $a\nu-\epsilon\omega\nu\tau\alpha$, Heracl. $a\nu-\epsilon\omega\bar{o}\theta\alpha$, pf. of $a\varphi i\eta u$ $a\nu i\eta u$ fr. rt. $s\bar{e}$ - 'throw, sow'. OHG. spuot OS. $sp\bar{o}d$ 'success, speed' fr. $sp\bar{e}$ -: $sp\bar{o}$ -, cp. the ablaut grade $sp\bar{e}$ - in Goth. $sp\bar{e}$ -diza 'later' Lith. $sp\bar{e}$ -ti 'to be at leisure' (§ 76). O.Icel. $\bar{o}ss$ (masc.) 'estuary': Lat. $\bar{o}s$ $\bar{o}ris$, $\bar{o}stiu-m$. Nom. pl. Goth. $vulf\bar{o}s$ 'lupi': Osc. Núvlanús 'Nolani'.

Indg. \bar{o} and \bar{a} fell together in \bar{o} in the prim. Germ. period, and were therefore similarly treated in all Germ. dialects. Cp. § 107.

Goth. \bar{v} was a close sound approaching closely to \bar{u} , hence it is occasionally also written u.

In OHG. \bar{o} is only retained in the oldest monuments. This became first of all oa, ua, and then later uo, which, from about the year 900, became the universally predominant form. This change of \bar{o} to oa etc. did not take place in unaccented final syllables.

Prim. Germ. final $-\bar{o}$ became -a in Goth. and -u in HG.: Goth. baira OHG. $biru = \text{Gr. } \varphi \not\in \varrho w$. Prim. Germ. $-\bar{o}n$ became $-\bar{o}$ in Goth. and -o in OHG.: Goth. nom. $rapj\bar{o}$ 'number, account', OHG. bano 'cock'. See §§ 659—661.

§ 92. Baltic-Slavonic. Slav. \bar{a} , Lith. (Lett.) \hat{u} . Lith. $d\hat{u}'-ti$ O.Bulg. da-ti 'to give': Lat. $d\bar{o}s$ $d\bar{o}tis$. Lith. $j\hat{u}'s-ta$ O.Bulg. $po-jas-n\bar{\imath}$ 'girdle': Gr. $\zeta\omega\sigma-\tau\delta-\varsigma$ $\zeta\omega'-\nu\eta$. Lith. $n\tilde{u}$ 'of, from', O.Bulg. na 'on, up': Gr. $a'\nu\omega$. Nom. acc. du. masc. of -o-stems: Lith. $ger\hat{u}'-ju$ 'the two good (men)' (Nom. sg. $ger\hat{a}s-is$), O.Bulg. $vl\tilde{u}ka$ (nom. sg. $vl\tilde{u}k\tilde{u}$ 'wolf'): Gr. $\lambda v'z\omega$. Lith. $a'd\check{z}iu$ 'I smell, scent': Gr. $a'\delta$ - in pf. $a'\delta-\omega\delta a$, $a'\delta-\omega\delta \gamma \varsigma$. Lett. $a'\delta$ - 'relation, brother'.

In Lithuanian this vowel, when it had the broken ('gestossen') accent, became u in final syllables. gerù 'ἀγαθω' beside gerù'-ju 'τω ἀγαθω'. sukù 'I turn' beside the refl. sukù'-si sukù'-s cp. § 664, 3.

In both sections of this branch final *- $\bar{o}m$, *- $\bar{o}n$ became *- $\bar{u}n$, whence Lith. -u, O.Bulg. -y. Lith. gen. pl. $d\bar{e}v\bar{u}$ (which properly ought to be written $d\bar{e}v\bar{u}$), in dialects still $d\bar{e}vup$ (fr. $d\bar{e}va$ -s 'god'), orig. form * $de\bar{i}u\bar{o}m$, Skr. Ved. $d\bar{e}vam$, cp. § 218.

O.Bulg. nom. sg. kamy 'stone' (st. kamen-) fr. *- $m\bar{o}n$: ep. Gr. $\check{a}\varkappa$ - $\mu\omega\nu$ 'anvil', OHG. hano (prim. Germ. * $\chi an\bar{o}n$) 'cock', Goth. $ra\bar{p}j\bar{o}$ (prim. Germ. * $ra\bar{p}i\bar{o}n$) 'number, account'. The participle bery 'ferens' can quite as well be considered equal to Gr. $\varphi \varepsilon \varphi \omega \nu$ as to Skr. $bh\acute{a}ran$ fr. *bheront-s. (§§ 84. 219).

Rem. Lith. $sz\tilde{u}$ 'dog', $akm\tilde{u}$ 'stone' have the same formation as Lat. $hom\bar{o}$, Goth. guma fr. prim. Germ. * $\overline{z}um\bar{o}$, Skr. $a\check{s}m\bar{u}$, cp. also Pruss. smoy = Lith. $\check{z}m\tilde{u}$ 'man'. On the other hand the dial. form szum (beside $sz\tilde{u}$) is a formation in $-\bar{o}n$ (cp. Armen. $\check{s}un$ Gr. $z\check{u}\omega r$).

Indg. a.

§ 93. Indg. *áĝō 'I drive, lead' (rt. aĝ-): Skr. ájāmi) Arm. acem, Gr. ἄγω, Lat. agō, O.Ir. agim, O.Icel. inf. aka. Indg. *aĝro-s 'field, acre': Skr. ájra-s, Gr. ἀγοό-ς, Lat. ager, Goth. akrs. Skr. ákṣ̄a-s Gr. ἄξων Lat. axi-s OHG. ahsa Lith. asɛì-s O.Bulg. osĕ 'axle'. Indg. *alio- 'alius': Armen. ail, Gr. ἄλλο-ς, Lat. aliu-s, O.Ir. aile, Goth. alji-s. Skr. άρα Gr. ἄπο Lat. ab Goth. af 'of, from, by'. Skr. mádati 'undulates (of water), is drunk, drinks immoderately', Gr. μαδάω 'I am wet', Lat. madeō 'I drop with wet'. Voc. sg. of ā-stems in Indg. -a: Skr. ámba 'O mother', Gr. νύμαὰ δέσποτα, O.Bulg. ženo 'O wife'. Suffix of the instr. sg. -a: Skr. gerunds in -y-a and -ty-a, e. g. ā-gám-y-a ā-gá-ty-a 'by approaching', Gr. πεδ-ά (§ 311), παο-ά, ἄμ-α, Lat. ped-e.

Indg. tautosyllabic ai. Skr. édha-s 'fire-wood', Gr. aiθω 'I burn', Lat. aedēs (originally 'fire-place, hearth'), aestu-s 'heat, ebullition', O.Ir. aed 'fire', OHG. MHG. eit Ags. ād 'a burning pile', rt. aidh- 'glow'. Skr. sé-tu-š 'fettering, binding' sē-tár- 'one who puts in fetters', Lat. sae-ta 'strong hair, bristle', OHG. sei-d (neut.) 'cord, knot', Lith. sē-ta-s 'cord', pá-sai-ti-s (masc.) 'a binding thong', O.Bulg. sĕ-tī (fem.) 'cord', rt. sai- 'bind'. 3. sg. mid. in Indg. -tai: Skr. bháratē, Gr. φέφεται (rt. bher-ferre'); this orig. form -tai is not, however, quite certain, since *-toi would also necessarily become Skr. -tē Gr. -ται (§ 109).

Indg. tautosyllabic au. Skr. ójas- (neut.) ōjmán- (masc.) 'power, strength', Lat. augeō augus-tu-s augmen augmentu-m, O.Ir. ōg 'integer', Goth. áuka 'I add, augment', Lith. áugu 'I grow'

augmå (gen. augmeñs) 'growth, excrescence', rt. aug-. Gr. παύ-σω 'I shall check', Lat. pau-cu-s, cp. Goth. pl. fav-ái 'few'.

§ 94. Aryan. Skr. άρα Av. apa O.Pers. apa- 'away, off': Gr. ἄπο. Skr. άἐman- Av. O.Pers. asman- 'stone, thunder-bolt, sky': Gr. ἄπμον 'anvil, thunder-bolt'. Skr. άνατι 'notices, favours', Av. avah- (neut.) 'protection': Lat. aveō. Skr. yajnά-Av. yasna- (masc.) 'veneration of the gods, offering': Gr. άγνό-ς, rt. ½aĝ-.

Indg. and prim. Ar. tautosyllabic $a\underline{i}$, $a\underline{u}$ became \overline{e} , \overline{o} in Skr., $a\underline{e}$ $\overline{o}i$, ao $\overline{e}u$ in Av. and ai, au in O.Pers. (cp. §§ 126. 160):

1. $a\dot{i}$. Skr. $\acute{e}dha$ -s 'fire-wood', Av. aesma- (masc.) (Av. -sm-fr. - $\ddot{d}m$ - is remarkable, cp. however § 403): Gr. $a\ddot{i}\theta\omega$. Skr. $s\acute{e}tu$ -s 'string, bridge', Av. haetu-s 'bridge, way': Lat. saeta, rt. sai- 'bind'.

Avestic had ē for ae, when final. Dat. sg. Skr. gáv-ē Av. gav-ōi gav-ē 'bovi': Gr. ἴδμεν-αι. 3. sg. mid. Skr. bháratē: Gr. φέρεται; Av. vaenaitē O.Pers. vainataiy 'seems'. Nom. Acc. du. of ā-stems, Skr. ubhē Av. uwē 'both': O.Bulg. ženě (žena 'wife').

2. au. Skr. ójas- Av. aojah- (neut.) 'strength, power' Lat. augus-tu-s, rt. aug-. —

Aryan a corresponds, in addition to Indg. a, also to Indg. e (§ 62) and o (§ 78), further to Indg. sonant nasal (§§ 228—231) and occasionally to Indg. a (§ 109a). To these was added further in prim. Iran. a new a in the combination $ar = \operatorname{Skr.} ur ir$, Indg. a (§ 290). Here may be discussed a few changes which prim. Iran. a, irrespective of its origin, underwent in Av.

1. a became e before m, n or v, and the e-quality seems to have been most clearly marked in final syllables, since it is here written most consistently. nemah- (neut.) 'reverence': Skr. prim. Ar. námas-, Indg. *nemes-, rt. nem-. te-m acc. 'the': Skr. prim. Ar. tá-m, Indg. *tó-m, Gr. τό-ν. bare-m 'I brought': O.Pers. (a-)bara-m Skr. (á-)bhara-m, Indg. *(é-)bhero-m, Gr. (έ-)φερο-ν. dasema- 'decimus': Skr. dašamá- Indg. *dekmmó-(§ 231). 3. pl. pres. bare-nti, impf. bare-n: Skr. bhára-nti,

(ú-)bhara-n, Indg. *bhéro-nti, *(é-)bhero-nt, Gr. Dor. φ é φ 0- ν 11, (ć-) φ 6 φ 0- ν 1. te ν 1 $\bar{\nu}$ 5 $\bar{\nu}$ 6. (fem.) 'strength': Skr. tá ν 1 $\bar{\nu}$ 5 $\bar{\nu}$ 7. rt. te ν 9. e- ν 1 $\bar{\nu}$ 6 cm. hnown': Skr. á- ν 1 $\bar{\nu}$ 7. g. Indg. ν 9. (§ 232), cp. also Gr. á- ν 1 $\bar{\nu}$ 7. $\bar{\nu}$ 8.

e, which arose from a before m, n or v, passed into i after y, c, j, \check{z} , but the orthography is also here inconsequent. yi-m 'quem': Skr. $y\acute{a}$ -m, Gr. \acute{o} -v. $yim\acute{a}$ -, name of a hero: Skr. $yam\acute{a}$ -. haci-mna- part. of haca- $it\bar{e}$ 'accompanies', cp. Skr. $s\acute{a}ca$ - $m\bar{a}na$ -. $v\bar{a}c$ -im acc. of $v\bar{a}x\check{s}$ 'word': Skr. $v\acute{a}c$ -am; -am = Indg. -m(m) (§ 231 rem.). jimap 3. sg. conj. aor. fr. rt. gem- 'go', cp. Skr. $g\acute{a}mat$ for regular * $j\acute{a}mat$ (§ 451 rem.). $snac\check{z}int$ - 'snowing' to $snae\check{z}aiti$ 'snows'.

Rem. The Gāpā dialect had also \bar{e} for e and i before m n r, especially in final syllables. $\bar{e}mavant$ - 'vigorous': late Av. amavant- Skr. ámavant-. Acc. $kehrp-\bar{e}m$: late Av. kehrp-em 'figure, body', Skr. $k\acute{r}p-am$. $y\bar{e}m$ beside yim: Skr. $y\acute{a}-m$. $x\check{s}anm\bar{e}n-\bar{e}$ dat. fr. $x\check{s}an-man$ -'grief, affliction', cp. Skr. $v\acute{a}rtman-\bar{e}$. \bar{e} -visti- 'want of acquaintance' beside late Av. e-vista-(see above).

- a became ę after y before i, ī, ē, y, nh (fr. Ar. si, § 125), c or j in the following syllable. The orthography is also here inconsistent, since a appears also beside ę. 3. sg. act. kārayeiti, 3. pl. act. kārayeinti, 3. sg. mid. kārayetē of kārayēmi, Causat. fr. kar- 'make'. yesnya- 'adorable' beside yasna- 'offering, price': Skr. yajnā- Gr. άγνό-ς. Gāp. gen. masc. yeḥyā 'cuius' = Skr. yásya, late Av. yeḥhā (fem.) 'cuius': Skr. yásyās. ipyejah- 'need, danger': Skr. tyájas-. Cp. ē fr. ā § 102.
- 3. a became o before u, \bar{u} or v in the following syllable, especially after labial sounds, and before r + consonant. The spelling with o is also in this case not consistent; since beside it a also occurs. pouru- \check{s} (fr. *poru- \check{s} , \S 638) 'multus' beside nom. pl. parav- \bar{o} : Skr. pur \check{u} - \check{s} , pur \check{a} -as (\S 290). vohu 'bonum': Skr. vásu. mošu 'soon, quick': Skr. makš \check{u} . Gāp. ver zyot \bar{u} 3. sg. imper. of ver zyōmi 'I work'. a \check{s} -bourva- 'having much food': ep. Skr. Ved. \check{u} -bharva- 'much consuming'. cor p 3. sg. aor. 'made.: ep. Skr. ákar fr. *a-kart, prim. Ar. *a-cart. Cp. \bar{o} fr. \bar{a} \S 102.
 - § 95. Armenian. aseλn (gen. asλan) 'needle': Gr. ἄκρο-ς

'point', Lat. acu-s 'needle', Lith. asz-t-rù-s O.Bulg. os-t-rŭ 'sharp'. argel 'hinderance': Gr. ἀρκέω 'I ward or keep off', Lat. arceō.

taigr, gen. taiger (g fr. u § 162): Skr. $d\bar{e}v\acute{a}r$ -, Gr. $\delta\bar{a}\acute{\eta}\varrho$ (§ 96), Lat. $l\bar{e}vir$ (§§ 65. 368), Ags. $t\bar{a}cor$ OHG. zeihhur (with remarkable guttural), Lith. $d\bar{e}ver$ -i-s O.Bulg. $d\check{e}ver$ -i-, Indg. prim. f. * $daju\acute{e}r$ - * $daju\acute{g}$ - 'husband's brother, brother-in-law' (§ 155 rem. .

§ 96. Greek δάzον: Lat. dacruma lacruma O.Bret. dacr Goth. tagr 'tear'. κακκό-ς (Hesych.) 'little finger', κακό-ς 'bad' compar. κακίων: Av. kasu- 'small' compar. kasyå. μακρό-ς 'long': Av. masah- 'greatness' O.Pers. maþišta- 'uppermost', Lat. macer, OHG. magar 'lean'. ἄγχω 'I press tight', ἄγχι 'near': Skr. áhas-'tightness, oppression', Av. ązah- 'tightness, anxiety', Lat. angō angor, Goth. aggv-u-s 'close, tight', Lith. añkszta-s 'close, tight', O.Bulg. ązũ-kũ 'tight, close', rt. angh- 'straiten'.

Tautosyllabic αι and αν. λαιό-ς: Lat. laevo-s, O.Bulg. lěvŭ, cpf. *lajuo-s 'left'; here also OHG. slēo 'powerless', prim. Germ. *slajua-z? αλών 'time, life-time', αλξεί αλεί 'always': Lat. αενο-m 'time, life-time', Goth. άἰνς (st. άἰνα-) 'time'. ναί 'yea, verily': Lat. nae. ναυλό-ς 'stalk': Lat. cauli-s 'stalk' caulae 'holes, cavities', Lith. kάula-s 'bone'. δεδαν-μένος, to δαίω (fr. *δαξιω, § 131) 'I kindle'. αν 'again' (αν-τε, αν-τις): Lat. αυ-t, αυt-em.

In Bœotian $a\underline{i}$ became $a\underline{e}$ (e. g. $A\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\chi\rho\omega\nu\delta\bar{a}\varsigma$, $A\nu\sigma\alpha\nu/a\varepsilon$, inscript. of Tanagra), for which η (e. g. $\dot{\eta}\dot{i}=alF\epsilon l$) appears from about 400 B. C. and on the latest inscriptions $\epsilon\iota$ i. e. close \bar{e} (e. g. $E\tilde{\iota}\mu\nu\nu$). The same $\epsilon\iota=a\iota$ in Thessalian about 220 B. C., e. g. 3. sg. conj. mid. $\beta\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\epsilon\iota$ - $\tau\epsilon\iota$ = Dor. $\delta\dot{\eta}\lambda\eta$ - $\tau\alpha\iota$ 'velit'. Later, but probably not before the second century A. D., $\alpha\iota$ passed into \bar{e} also elsewhere in Greece.

In Ionic-Attic $\bar{\alpha}$ fr. $\alpha(f)$ before e-, i- and a- sounds. $\delta\bar{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}\varrho$ fr. * $\delta\alpha F\eta\varrho$: Skr. $d\bar{e}v\acute{a}r$ -. $\dot{a}\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}i$ ($\dot{a}\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}i$) = $\alpha F\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}i$. Hom. $\dot{a}\dot{\epsilon}i\sigma\sigma\omega$ Att. $\ddot{\epsilon}\tau\tau\omega$ fr. * $F\alpha -F\iota\nu -\iota\omega$ (a reduplicated form like $\delta\alpha -\delta\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\omega$, $\pi\alpha -g\dot{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\omega$). $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}=\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha i(F)\bar{\alpha}$ (cp. the Lat. borrowed word $ol\bar{\epsilon}va$). Cp. § 131.

ao for au can be established for Ionic from the fifth century B. C. onwards, e. g. $\alpha \delta \tau \delta v = \alpha \delta \tau \delta v$. Cp. eo fr. eu § 64.

§ 97. Italic. Lat. agō, Umbr. aitu Osc. actud 'agito': Gr. ἄγω. Lat. amb-itu-s, Umbr. ambr-etuto 'circumeunto' (concerning -b- cp. § 209), Osc. amfr-et 'ambiunt': Gr. ἀμφί ἀμφίς. 'circum'. Lat. albu-s, Umbr. alfu 'alba', Osc. Alafaternum 'Alfaternorum': Gr. ἀλφό-ς 'white leprosy', cpf. *albho-. Lat. caper capra, Umbr. cabriner gen. 'caprini': Gr. κάπρο-ς 'wild-boar', O.Icel. hafr 'buck'. Lat. ante, Osc. ant 'ante': Gr. ἀντί 'opposite', Skr. ánti 'opposite, before'. Lat. am-āru-s 'bitter': Skr. am-lá-s am-bla-s (§ 199) 'sour, sourness'.

In Latin, a (we here also take into account $a = \text{Indg. } \delta$. § 109 d, and ar, al which arose from \bar{r} , \bar{l} , § 306) was changed in syllables which were unaccented, in prim. Latin (§ 680):

- 1. to a sound lying between u and i before labials and l in open syllables. man-cupium and man-cipium beside $capi\bar{o}$ capulu-m. $\bar{\imath}n\text{-}suli\bar{o}$ and $\bar{\imath}n\text{-}sili\bar{o}$ beside $sali\bar{o}$: Gr. $\tilde{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$ I spring. leap'. Cp. § 49 p. 42. The orthography fluctuated greatly, and it is questionable whether this fluctuation is not partly to be attributed to the fact that the sound was a different one, when followed by i, cp. e. g. $ac\text{-}cipi\bar{o}$ beside $oc\text{-}cup\bar{o}$. sur-ruptus for sur-reptus fr. $rapi\bar{o}$ was certainly formed by analogy (see 3).
- 2. to u before l + consonant (except ll). $ex-sult\bar{v}$ beside $salt\bar{v}$, $in-culc\bar{a}re$ beside $calc\bar{a}re$.
- 3. to e in closed syllables (exceptions under 2), before r and finally. ac-ceptus parti-ceps beside capiō man-cupium, cōn-fectus arti-fex beside faciō cōnficiō. ac-centus corni-cen beside canō ac-cinō corni-cin-is. obstetrīx beside stator cōn-stituō. impertiō beside partiō. Instr. sg. of consonantal stems, as ped-e, aer-e, cp. Gr. π e δ -á, $\tilde{\alpha}\mu$ -a (cp. § 93 p. 87); perhaps also inde = Gr. $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\theta\alpha$. red-dere beside dare.
- 4. to i in open syllables (exceptions under 1. 3. 5.) and before ng. ad- $ig\bar{o}$ beside $ag\bar{o}$. $concin\bar{o}$ beside $can\bar{o}$. Juppiter beside pater. $c\bar{o}n$ - $stitu\bar{o}$ beside $statu\bar{o}$. sisti-te = Gr. fora-re. $\bar{i}n$ -situs beside satus. serite fr. *si-sa-te (§ 33) = Gr. *fare, the older form of fere (§ 109c). red-ditus beside datus. at- $ting\bar{o}$ beside $tang\bar{o}$.

5. -au- became -u-: ē-luō ē-luācru-s beside lavācru-m and (1).Lat.) lavere, s. § 172, 1. -ua- probably also became -u-: con-cutiō con-cussus beside quatiō quassus 1).

Before the christian era tautosyllabic $a\underline{i}$ became \overline{e} in Latin (having passed through the intermediate stage $a\underline{e}$). This change occurs earliest in the popular language. The various stages of the change cannot be precisely fixed chronologically, since the orthography inconsistently retained ai and ae long after the monophthongic pronunciation was established; ai is still found on inscriptions under the Empire. $aid\overline{\iota}li$ -s $aed\overline{e}s$: Gr. $a\widetilde{\iota}\theta\omega$ (cp. § 93). caecus Caicilius Caecilius $C\overline{e}cilius$: O.Ir. caech 'blind', Goth. $h\acute{a}ihs$ 'blind'. haedus $\overline{e}dus$: Goth. $g\acute{a}its$ 'goat'. $l\overline{e}vir$ (popularly connected with vir, s. § 65): Gr. $\delta\overline{a}\acute{\eta}\varrho$ (§ 96), Skr. $d\overline{e}v\acute{a}r$ -, Indg. * $dai\underline{u}\acute{e}r$ - 'husband's brother'.

ai became $\bar{\imath}$ in prim. Lat. secondary accented syllables. in-quiro beside quaero quaistor quaestor. ex- $\bar{\imath}$ stumo beside aestumo. Cp. also mēnsīs fr. *mensais (Osc. diumpaís 'lumpis, nymphis'), the -ais of which arose from -āis (§ 612). Concerning ex-quaero and the like cp. § 65 rem. 3.

Tautosyllabic ay. $aur\bar{o}r$ -a fr. *auz- $\bar{o}z$ - \bar{a} (§ 569): Gr. $a\gamma\chi$ - $av\varrho o$ - ς 'near the morning' $a\nu\varrho o v$ 'morning' from stem * $a\nu\varrho o$ - ς , O.Icel. austr OHG. OS. $\bar{o}star$ adv. 'eastwards', Lith. ausz- $r\dot{a}$ day-break'. auri-s: Goth. $aus\bar{o}$ (Gen. ausins) Lith. ausi-s 'ear'. This ay became $a\varrho$ (cp. ae fr. ai) in the popular language and later $a\varrho$ or the pure monophthong a, as the orthography o shows, e. g. auricula Unaccented ay before auz appears, in the time of the Emperors, as a, e. g. auz au

To the proportion $in-qu\bar{\imath}r\bar{o}$: $quaer\bar{o}$ correspond $in-cl\bar{u}d\bar{o}$: $claud\bar{o}$ and $d\bar{e}-fr\bar{u}d\bar{o}$: fraudor. Concerning con-clausu-s and like forms cp. § 65 rem. 3.

Tautosyllabic $a\underline{i}$ and $a\underline{u}$ remained diphthongic in Oscan, whilst in Umbrian they became respectively \bar{e} and \bar{o} .

¹⁾ Cp. Thurneysen Über Herkunft und Bildung der lat. Verba auf -io p. 51. Otherwise Osthoff Zur Gesch. des Perf. 585 and Bersu Die Guturalen und ihre Verbindung mit v im Lat. 132.

Osc. svaí svae Umbr. sve 'si' (Volsc. sepis 'siquis'), probably = Gr. αl . Osc. Aíifineís (or Aíifneís) gen. 'Aedini', to Lat. $\alpha ed\bar{e}s$ (Osc. aídil ' $\alpha edilis$ ' was a borrowed word, as is shown by the d).

Osc. avti, Umbr. ote: Lat. aut, Gr. ατ, αττε. Umbr. oht. (abbreviation) uhtretie 'auctoritate': Lat. auctor. Cp. also Osc. τανρομ 'taurum', Umbr. toru 'tauros': Lat. taurus.

§ 98. Old Irish. anim 'soul' anāl 'breath': Gr. ἄνε-μο-ç 'wind', Lat. ani-mu-s ani-ma, Skr. áni-ti 'breathes', Goth. us-anam 'to exhale'. canim 'I sing': Lat. canō, Goth. hana 'cock'. aile 'alius': Gr. ἄλλο-ς, Lat. aliu-s. alim 'I nourish, bring up': Lat. alō, Goth. ala 'I grow up'. Cp. also Cymr. am = Gr. ἀμφί; Gall. ad- in Ad-magetobriga and others, O.Ir. ad- in ad-glādur 'I address' and other words = Lat. ad.

In forms like aile 'alius', gen. maicc fr. *makuī (Ogam inscrip. still maqui) 'filii' (nom. macc fr. prim. Kelt. *makuō-s), the i following a denotes the palatal timbre of the following consonant. In like manner u denotes the u-timbre of the same consonant, e. g. in 1. sg. ad-gaur 'I forbid' for *garu *garō (cp. gāir 'call' and Gr. Dor. yāqv- ς 'speech') and others. S. § 640. Original a, so far as it did not entirely disappear (§ 634), appears mostly as a in unaccented syllables, e. g. cúm-ang 'angustus' (Lat. angustu-s Gr. äγχω)¹), beside the u and i, caused by the timbre of the following vowel, e. g. for-cón-gur 'praecipio' beside ad-gáur.

Indg. tautosyllabic $a\underline{i}$ became $a\underline{e}$. caech 'blind': Lat. caecu-s. aed 'fire': Gr. $a\check{i}\theta\omega$. Before palatalised consonants ai, e. g. caich nom. pl. 'caeci' fr. * $caic\bar{i}$.

ai became $\bar{\imath}$ in final syllables. $d\bar{\imath}$ (fem.) 'two': Skr. $dv\hat{e}$, Indg. * $du\hat{a}i$; the change to $\bar{\imath}$ may here have taken place, when the word did not have the chief accent. $\bar{\imath}$ in orig. polysyllabic forms is still only recognisable in the palatalisation, as du. tuaith (sg. tuath 'people' = Goth. piuda) fr. * $t\bar{\imath}$ 0 older *teutai (§ 657, 4).

Indg. tautosyllabic au appears, when accented, as au, \bar{o} : \bar{o}

¹⁾ Concerning the root of this adj. cp. Zimmer Kelt. Stud. II 79.

'ear' dat. pl. auib, au-nasc 'ear-ring': Lat. auri-s. ōg 'integer': Lat. augeō.

§ 99. Germanic. Goth. ahva OHG.OS. aha 'water, river': Lat. aqua. Goth. skaban OHG. scaban Ags. scafan O.Icel. skafa 'to scrape': Gr. σεαπάνη 'spade' σεάπτω 'I dig', O.Lat. scaprēs 'shabby, rough' Lat. scabō 'I scrape, scratch', rt. sqap-sqab-(§ 469, 7). Goth. haban OHG. habēn Ags. habban O.Icel hafa 'to have': Lat. habeō, cpf. of the stem *khabhē- (§ 553). Goth. O.Icel. OS. salt OHG. salz 'salt': Armen. aλ Gr. άλς Lat. pl. salēs O.Ir. salann O.Bulg. solĭ 'salt'.

Rem. Concerning the falling together of Indg. α and o in Germ. see § 83 rem. 1. Indg. α appears as o in other than chief accented syllables, e. g. in ob, of, the Westgerm. proclitic forms of the prep. ab αf 'of' (Gr. $2\pi c$), Lat. ab), e. g. OHG. ob- $l\bar{a}zan$ 'dimittere'. Cp. Paul in Paul and Braune's Beitr. VI pp. 186, 191.

Indg. tautosyllabic $a\underline{i}$. Goth. $sk\acute{a}idan$ OHG. sceidan OS. $sc\bar{e}than$ Ags. $sc\bar{a}dan$ 'separate': Lat. $caed\bar{o}$ 'I hew, cut out', rt. $sqha\underline{i}t$ - $sqha\underline{i}d$ - (§§ 469, 7. 553). OHG. eid Ags. $\bar{a}d$ 'rogus, ignis': Gr. $a\ddot{i}\theta\omega$.

Indg. tautosyllabic au. Goth. áuk 'for, but', OHG. auh OS. ōk Ags. eác 'also', O.Icel. auk 'thereto', to Goth. áukan 'to increase': Lat. augeō.

The special OHG. treatment of a, ai, au has been stated in § 83. According to the sound-laws given there, are to be explained e. g. the following forms: eli-lenti fr. older ali-lanti 'from another land, strange': Goth. alji-s, Lat. alius. erran fr. *erian: Goth. arjan 'to plough', Lat. $ar\bar{o}$. $\bar{e}w\bar{v}n$: Goth. áiveins 'eternal', Gr. aláv, Lat. aevo-m. auh, later ouh: Goth. áuk (see above). $\bar{o}ra$: Goth. áusō 'ear', Lat. auri-s, Lith. ausì-s.

§ 100. Baltic-Slavonic. Indg. a became o in the Baltic-Slav. unitary period, and fell entirely together with Indg. o (§ 84).

Lith. nas-raī pl. 'throat', O.Bulg. nos-ŭ 'nose': Skr. nás-OHG. nas-a 'nose'; that the rt. is Indg. *nas-, not *nos-, follows from Lat. nār-ēs, Lith. nós-i-s (fem.) 'nose' which stand in ablaut relation to the above words. Lith. ariù O.Bulg. orja 'I plough': Armen. araur 'plough', Gr. αρόω Lat. arō 'I plough', O.Ir.

arathar 'plough', Goth. arja 'I plough'. Lith. ākla-s 'blind': Lat. aquilu-s 'dark'. Lith. algà 'pay, reward': Skr. arghá- m. n. 'worth, value, gift of honour', Gr. ἀλφή ἄλφημα 'wage', fr. rt. algh-. O.Bulg. oti-ci 'father': Skr. atti- attā- 'elder sister', the latter also 'mother', Gr. ἄττα 'father', O.Ir. aite 'foster-father, trainer'. O.Bulg. instr. sg. ženoją voc. sg. ženo of žena 'wife': Skr. instr. sg. jihvájā (nom. jihvá 'tongue'), Gr. voc. sg. νύμφὰ (nom. Dor. νύμφὰ).

With regard to the following sound-developments compare the laws given in § 84.

Slav. *q* before explosives and spirants. O.Bulg. *qzŭ-kŭ* 'narrow', *q-chati* 'odorari' (s. § 219).

Slav. je fr. jo. Voc. sg. zmije, duše, to nom. sg. žmija 'serpent', duša (fr. *dūchjā, §§ 147 rem. 3. 588, 3) 'soul', cp. ženo from žena. Instr. sg. jeją, nom. sg. ja 'she', cp. toją from ta 'the'.

Indg. tautosyllabic ai as \ddot{e} and ai in Lith. and as \check{e} in Slav. Lith. $d\ddot{e}ver-\grave{i}-s$ O.Bulg. $d\check{e}ver-\check{i}$ 'brother-in-law': Gr. $\delta \bar{\alpha} \acute{\eta} \varrho$ fr. * $\delta \alpha \iota \mathcal{F} \eta \varrho$ (§ 96). Nom. du. fem. Lith. $t \ddot{e}-dvi$ (-dvi=dvi 'two') O.Bulg. $t \check{e}$ from st. * $t \bar{a}-$ 'the': Skr. $t \acute{e}$, perhaps also Gr. $\tau \alpha \iota$ Lat. istae (see Brugmann, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII p. 199 ff.), Indg. * $t \acute{a} \dot{i}$. Lith. $p \acute{a}$ -saiti-s m. 'a binding thong', $s \check{e} t a$ -s 'cord' (ep. also at-saij- \grave{a} 'trace of a horse'), O.Bulg. $s \check{e} t \check{\iota}$ f. 'cord' fr. rt. $s a \dot{i}$ -'bind'. Lith. $s k \ddot{e} d \grave{a}$ and $s k \ddot{e} d r \grave{a}$ 'chip' $s k a i s t \grave{u}$ -s and $s k \acute{a} i s t a$ -s 'clear': Lat. $c a e d \bar{o}$ part. c a e s u s, c a e s i u s 'clear-eyed', Goth. $s k \acute{a} i d a n$ 'separate'. O.Bulg. $l \check{e} v \check{u}$ 'left': Lat. l a e v o-s.

Before this \check{e} in Slav. k becomes c, e. g. $rac\check{e}$ nom. du. of raka 'hand'.

Rem. Concerning the change between \ddot{c} and αi in Lith. see § 84 rem. 2, concerning final Slav. \check{e} see § 84 rem. 3.

Slav. ji fr. *jej, *joj. Nom. du. fem. zmiji of zmija 'serpent', $du\check{s}i$ of $du\check{s}a$ 'soul', ep. $rac\check{e}$. Likewise ji 'they, they two' = Skr. $y\check{e}$, Indg. * $j\acute{a}\acute{i}$.

Indg. tautosyllabie au, as au in Lith. and u in Slav. Lith. saūsa-s O.Bulg. suchŭ 'dry': Skr. śōṣ̄a-s (fr. *sōṣ̄a-s, § 557, 4) 'a drying up', Gr. αἴω 'I dry, make dry' fr. *σανσω (§ 564), Ags. seár 'withered, dry' OHG. sōrēn 'to parch' O.Icel. saurr 'mud', fr. rt. saus-. Lith. augmữ (gen. augmeñs) 'growth, ex-

crescence': Lat. augmen-tu-m. O.Bulg. u- 'off, away' (u-myti 'to wash off', u-dati 'to give away, ἐκδοῦναι θυγατέρα'), Pruss. au- 'off, away' (au-mu-sna-n acc. 'ablution'): Lat. au-, au-ferre.

Indg. ā.

§ 101. Indg. *bhāghu-s 'elbow': Skr. bāhú-ṣ 'arm', Gr. Dor. $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \chi v$ -ṣ 'fore-arm, elbow', OHG. buog O.Icel. bōgr 'elbow' Indg. *mā-ter- 'mother': Skr. mātár-, Arm. mair, Gr. Dor. $\mu \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \varrho$, Lat. māter, O.Ir. māthir, OHG. muoter O.Icel. mōđer mōđir, Lith. mote ('wife'), O.Bulg. mati.

Indg. fem. suffixes $-\bar{a}$ -, $-t\bar{a}$ -, $-i\bar{a}$ - etc.: Skr. $\acute{a}\acute{s}v\bar{a}$ 'mare', $s\bar{a}$ 'the', Gr. $\chi \acute{\omega} \circ \bar{a}$ 'land', Dor. \acute{a} 'the', Lat. dat. abl. pl. \acute{d} extr \bar{a} -bus, O.Ir. dat. instr. pl. $mn\bar{a}ib$ fr. st. $mn\bar{a}$ - fr. * $bn\bar{a}$ - (nom. sg. ben 'woman, s. § 520) = Skr. $gn\bar{a}$ - 'woman', Goth. dat. pl. $gib\bar{o}$ -m fr. st. $gib\bar{o}$ -, nom. sg. giba 'gift', $s\bar{o}$ 'the' f., Lith. instr. pl. $ra\bar{n}ko$ -mis fr. st. ranko-, nom. sg. ranka 'hand', O.Bulg. instr. pl. raka-mi fr. stem raka-, nom. sg. raka 'hand'. Indg. conjunctive suffixes " \bar{a} -, $-i\bar{a}$ -, etc., stem * $bher\bar{a}$ - from bher- 'bear': Skr. 2. pl. $bh\acute{a}r\bar{a}$ -tha, Lat. $fer\bar{a}$ -tis, O.Ir. 1. pl. do-bera-m, O.Bulg. 1. sg. bera fr. * $bher\bar{a}$ -m (cp. Brugmann Morph. Unt. I p. 145, III p. 30 f., Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII p. 419)¹). Indg. pres. suffix form $-n\bar{a}$ -: Skr. mi- $n\acute{a}$ -mi fr. rt. $me\dot{i}$ - 'lessen', Gr. Hom. $\delta \acute{a}\mu$ - $\nu \eta$ - $\mu \iota$. $-t\bar{a}m$ Indg. personal ending of the 3. du. act.: Skr. $\acute{a}bhara$ - $t\bar{a}m$, Gr. Dor. $\acute{e}q$ e $\acute{e}o\acute{e}$ - $\tau\bar{a}\nu$, Indg. * $\acute{e}bhere$ - $t\bar{a}m$ fr. rt. bher- 'bear'.

The tautosyllabic diphthongs $\bar{a}i$ and $\bar{a}u$ were not, as it seems, frequent in prim. Indg. $-\bar{a}i$ ending of the dat. sg. of \bar{a} -stems: Skr. su(v)-apaty $\bar{a}i$ (su(v)-apaty \bar{a} - 'having a beautiful posterity'), Gr. $\chi\omega'\varrho a$, O.Lat. $M\bar{a}t\bar{u}t\bar{a}$ 'Matutae', Goth. gibai 'to a gift'. Skr. $n\bar{a}u\hat{s}$ 'ship', Gr. $va\tilde{s}$ fr. * $r\bar{a}us$ (§ 611).

§ 102. Aryan. Skr. sth'ana- n., Av. O.Pers. $st\~ana$ - n. 'standing, standing-place, place of abode': Gr. $\delta v\'o\tau \eta vo$ - ς fr. * $\delta v\varsigma$ - $\sigma \tau \bar{\alpha} vo$ - ς 'in evil plight', Lith. st'ona-s 'position', O.Bulg. $stan\~u$ 'position, bed'. Skr. acc. n'av-am 'navem', (ved.) $n\bar{\alpha}v$ - $iy\bar{\alpha}$ f. 'a navigable river', Av. $n\bar{\alpha}v$ -ya- 'flowing': acc. Gr. Hom. $v\~\eta$ (F)-a, Lat. $n\bar{\alpha}v$ -em.

¹⁾ Gr. $g \epsilon \rho \omega \mu \epsilon r$, $\phi \epsilon \rho \eta \tau \epsilon$ for * $\phi \epsilon \rho \bar{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon r$, $\phi \epsilon \rho \bar{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon$ with vowel quality after the analogy of the indic. and conj. forms with o, ϵ as Hom. conj. $io\mu \epsilon r$, $a \lambda \gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, Att. indic. $\phi \epsilon \rho \sigma \mu \epsilon r$. $a \epsilon r$.

Skr. sénā-m O.Pers. hainā-m acc. sg., Av. haṭnā-hu loc. pl. fr. st. sénā- hainā- haṭnā- (prim. Ar. *sainā-) 'army'. Conj. Skr. váhā-si Av. vazā-hi 'vehas', O.Pers. parsā-hy = Skr. pṛchā-si 'poscas'. —

Aryan \bar{a} corresponds not only to Indg. \bar{a} but also to Indg. \bar{e} (§ 70), \bar{o} (§ 86), and to long sonant nasal (§ 253). Here may be discussed certain changes which prim. Iran. \bar{a} , irrespective of its origin, underwent in Avestic.

- 1. After y, \bar{a} became \bar{e} before i, \bar{i} , \bar{e} , y, c or j in the following syllable. $k\bar{a}ray\bar{e}mi$: Skr. $k\bar{a}r\acute{a}y\bar{a}mi$ causat. fr. kar-'make' cp. Lat. $mone\bar{o}$. $ver^ezy\bar{e}iti$ 3. sg. act., $ver^ezy\bar{e}it\bar{e}$ 3. sg. mid. conj. of $ver^ezy\bar{e}mi$ 'I work, do': cp. Lat. capiat, $capi\bar{a}tur$. Cp. e fr. a § 94.
- 2. \bar{a} became \bar{o} before u, \bar{u} or v in the following syllable, but the orthography is mostly inexact, since \bar{a} also occurs. $v\bar{v}-\bar{d}\bar{o}tu-\check{s}$ 'demon of the dead' beside abl. $v\bar{v}-\bar{d}\bar{a}taop$. Cp. o fr. a § 94.
- 3. \bar{a} became \bar{a} before vah (fr. s, § 558) and before nasal + explosive sounds. $n\bar{a}vah\bar{a}$ 'nose': O.Pers. $n\bar{a}ha$ -m acc., Skr. $n\dot{a}s\bar{a}$ -, cp. Lat. $n\bar{a}r$ - $\bar{e}s$. $y\bar{a}vaham$ gen. pl. from $y\bar{a}$ 'quae' (Gr. $\tilde{\eta}$): Skr. $y\dot{a}s\bar{a}m$. $m\bar{a}vaha$ -'moon': Skr. $m\dot{a}sa$ -. $us\dot{a}vah$ -em acc. 'dawn': Skr. $us\dot{a}sa$ -am, cp. Lat. $aur\bar{o}r$ -a. vanti 'they blow': Skr. vanti, Gr. asim fr. asim
- § 103. Armenian. elbair, gen. elbaur, 'brother' (§ 263): Skr. bhrátar-, Gr. φράτωρ (member of a φρατρία), Lat. frāter, O.Ir. brāthir, Goth. brōpar, Lith. broter-čli-s ('little brother'), O.Bulg. bratr-ŭ, Indg. *bhrátor- 'brother'. nav, gen. navu, 'ship': Skr. acc. sg. náv-am; the Arm. word is, however, not free from the suspicion of having been borrowed from Persian.
- § 104. Greek. Dor. $\dot{\alpha}\delta\dot{\nu}$ - ς : Skr. $sv\bar{\alpha}d\dot{u}$ - $\check{\varsigma}$, Lat. $su\bar{\alpha}vis$ ($sv\bar{\alpha}vis$) fr. * $su\bar{\alpha}d$ -u-i-s (§ 170), OS. $sw\bar{\alpha}ti$ OHG. $swu\alpha\bar{z}i$ suozi (prim. Germ. * $su\bar{\alpha}t$ -u-u-u), Indg. * $su\bar{\alpha}d$ -u-u-'sweet, lovely'. $\delta\dot{\xi}$ - $\delta\eta(F)$ -s-'it burns' $\delta\dot{\eta}\iota o\nu$ ($\delta\dot{\alpha}F\iota o\nu$) $\pi\tilde{\nu}\varrho$: Skr. $d\bar{\alpha}v\dot{\alpha}$ -s 'fire-brand'. Dor. $q\bar{\alpha}$ - $u\dot{\nu}$ 'I say', fut. $q\dot{\alpha}$ - $\sigma\omega$, $q\dot{\alpha}$ - $u\bar{\alpha}$ 'voice, saying': Lat. $f\bar{\alpha}$ - $r\bar{\imath}$, $f\bar{\alpha}$ -ma, $f\bar{\alpha}$ -bula, O.Bulg. ba-jati 'fabulari'. Nominal suffix $-\tau\bar{\alpha}\tau$ -, Dor. $\nu\dot{\omega}$ -

 $-\tau \bar{\alpha}\varsigma$ $-\tau \bar{\alpha}\tau$ -o ς 'youth' : Skr. $d\bar{e}v\acute{a}$ - $t\bar{a}t$ - (gen. $d\bar{e}v\acute{a}$ - $t\bar{a}t$ -as) 'deity, worship', Lat. novi- $t\bar{a}s$, gen. novi- $t\bar{a}t$ -is, 'novelty'.

In Ionic-Attic \bar{a} became $\eta:\dot{\eta}\delta\dot{v}_{\zeta}$, $\varphi\eta\mu\dot{l}$, $v\epsilon\dot{\delta}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$. This was in certain Ionic dialects an open \bar{e} in comparison with the Indg. \bar{e} in $\theta\dot{\eta}\cdot\sigma\omega$ (§ 72). This passage to η is older than the first appearance of Att. Ion. $\tau\dot{\alpha}_{\zeta}$, $\pi\dot{\alpha}\sigma\alpha$ fr. $\tau\dot{\alpha}\nu_{\zeta}$, $\pi\dot{\alpha}\nu\sigma\alpha$ (§§ 205. 618). Forms like $\dot{v}\gamma\iota\ddot{\alpha}$ ἐνδε $\dot{\alpha}$ beside $\sigma\alpha\phi\ddot{\eta}$ fr. $-\epsilon(\sigma)$ - α etc. render it more probable that the $\bar{\alpha}$ in Att. $\dot{l}\dot{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha$, $\kappa\alpha\phi\dot{l}\dot{\alpha}$, $\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\dot{\alpha}$, $\sigma\kappa\dot{\nu}\dot{\alpha}$, $\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\tau\tau\omega$, $\kappa\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}$ etc. (Ion. $\dot{l}\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha$, $\kappa\dot{\rho}\alpha\dot{\nu}\dot{l}\dot{\eta}$, $\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\dot{\eta}$, $\sigma\kappa\dot{\nu}\dot{\eta}$, $\pi\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma\omega$, $\kappa\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}$) goes back to an open \bar{e} than that it should be an unchanged Indg. and prim. Gr. $\bar{\alpha}$.

§ 105. Italic. Lat. mater, gen. sg. Umbr. matrer Osc. maatreis: Gr. Dor. μάτηρ. Lat. pāc- 'peace', Umbr. pase 'pace', Osc. Paakul 'Paculus' (cp. Pācuviu-s): Skr. páša-s 'knot, cord', Gr. Dor. πάγνυμι 'I fasten', OHG. hī-fuoga 'match-maker (of marriage)' fem., MHH. vuoge 'joint'. Lat. Stātiu-s, Osc. Staatiis 'Statius', fr. stā- 'stand'. Feminine suffix -ā-: Lat. dextrā-bus, istā-rum, Gen. familiās, Umbr. sestentasia-ru 'sextantariarum' tutas 'civitatis', Osc. paa-m 'quam' egma-zum 'rerum' moltas gen. 'multae' : Gr. st. $\chi\omega\varrho\bar{\alpha}$ -, $\tau\bar{\alpha}$ -. Verbal stems in $-\bar{\alpha}$: Lat. $test\bar{\alpha}$ in testā-tu-s testā-mentu-m, Umbr. katera-mu cateraha-mo (-aha-= -ā-, § 23) 'catervamini', Osc. trísta a mentud abl. 'testamento': Gr. $\pi \epsilon i \rho \bar{\alpha}$ - 'try' in $\pi \epsilon i \rho \bar{\alpha}$ - $\sigma \rho \mu \alpha i$, $\pi \epsilon i \rho \bar{\alpha}$ - $\tau \epsilon' \rho \nu$. Lat. $f \bar{\alpha} g u$ -s 'beech': Gr. Dor. φᾱγό-ς 'oak', OHG. buocha Ags. boc 'beech', Goth. boka 'letter'. Lat. conjunct. sistā-tur, sternā-tur, sistā-mus, sternāmus: Gr. Arcad. "ιστα-τοι, Skr. 3. sg. sthά-ti (sthā- 'stand'), 1. pl. yu-nά-ma (yu- 'yoke, draw tight'), cp. §§ 111 rem. and 113.

In Umbrian open \bar{o} fr. \bar{a} in the nom. sg. of \bar{a} -stems and in the nom. acc. pl. neut. of o-stems, as the fluctuation between o (u) and a shows. etantu mutu 'tanta multa' and panta muta 'quanta multa'. vesklu and veskla 'vascula'. triiu-per trio-per 'ter' and triia 'tria'. The same o-sound in pihaz pihos 'piatus', Casilos 'Casilas' (dat. Kasilate Casilate). In Oscan likewise ú, u, o, u for the final \bar{a} in the nom. sg. of \bar{a} -stems and in the nom. acc. pl. neut. of o-stems. Fem. víú víu 'via', molto

'multa', τω Ετο 'civitas'. Neut. teremenniú 'termina', comono 'comitia', petiro-pert petiru-pert 'quater'. Cp. § 655, 2.

§ 106. Old Irish. $\bar{a}g$, gen. $\bar{a}ga$, 'combat': Skr. $\bar{a}ji$ -§ 'race, pugilistic combat', Lat. amb- $\bar{a}g\bar{e}s$ 'circuit, way'. -tau 'am': Lit. pa- $st\acute{o}ju$ 'I become something', cpf. * $st\bar{a}$ - $i\bar{o}$ fr. rt. $st\bar{a}$ -'stand'.

In the following examples the i after a indicates the i-timbre of the following consonant. $f\bar{a}ith$ 'poet' fr. " $y\bar{a}ti$ -s: Lat. $v\bar{a}t\bar{e}s$, cpf. of the stem " $y\bar{a}ti$ -. $t\bar{a}id$ 'thief': O.Bulg. $tat\tilde{i}$, cpf. of the stem " $t\bar{a}ti$ -, Skr. $t\bar{a}y\dot{u}$ - \tilde{s} 'thief', Gr. Dor. $\tau\bar{a}\tau\dot{a}o\mu\alpha\iota$ 'am bereft, in want'. $g\bar{a}ir$ 'call, cry': Gr. Dor. $\gamma\tilde{a}\varrho$ -v- ς 'voice, speech'. Cp. § 640.

In unaccented syllables mostly a for \bar{a} . $t\hat{u}atha$ nom. pl. of fem. tuath (fr. * $teut\bar{a}$) 'folk' beside $mn\hat{a}$ fr. st. $mn\bar{a}$ - 'woman'. Adjectives in -ach fr. * $-\bar{a}co$ -s (cp. Gall. $Ben\bar{a}cu$ -s, $Dumn\bar{a}cu$ -s and others), as cnoc-ach 'hilly' from cnocc 'hill'. Cp. §§ 613. 657. Concerning the dat. pl. $t\hat{u}athaib$ i. e. $t\hat{u}ath^aib$ s. § 640.

§ 107. Germanic. Goth. sōkjan OHG. sōhhan soahhan suahhan suahhan OS. sōkian 'to seek': Gr. Dor. ἀγέομαι 'I lead or show the way', Lat. sāgu-s 'predicting' sāgīre 'I track, perceive'. Goth. stōls 'throne', OHG. stuol OS. Ags. stōl O.Icel. stōll 'stool': Lith. pa-stóla-s 'frame, scaffold'. Goth. brōþar OHG. bruoder O.Icel. brōđer brōđir 'brother': Lat. frāter. Verbal stems in -ō, e. g. salbō- 'anoint' part. pass. Goth. salbōþs OHG. -salbōt 'anointed': Gr. πειρā- πειρāτέον, Lat. testā- testātu-s.

Indg. \bar{a} and \bar{v} fell together in \bar{v} already in prim. Germ., \bar{s} . § 91. What was there said concerning the treatment of \bar{v} = Indg. \bar{v} in Goth. and OHG., also holds good for \bar{v} = Indg. \bar{a} . For the shortening of final \bar{v} in polysyllabic words cp. e. g. Goth. nom. sg. $\bar{p}iuda$ fr. prim. Germ. * $peud\bar{v}$, orig. * $teut\bar{v}$.

§ 108. Baltic-Slavonic. Lith. \bar{o} , Lett. Pruss. \bar{a} , O.Bulg. α (prim. Slav. \bar{a} , s. § 615).

Lith. broter-ēli-s 'little brother' brotli-s 'brother', Lett. brâli-s 'brother', Pruss. voc. brāti, O.Bulg. bratr-ŭ 'brother': Lat. frāter. Lith. motē 'wife', Lett. mâte 'mother', Pruss. po-mātre 'step-mother', O.Bulg. mati 'mother': Lat. māter. Inf. Lith. stó-ti Lett. stá-t Pruss. stā-t O.Bulg. sta-ti fr. rt. stā- 'stand'. Dat. pl. of ā-stems: Lith. žēmó-ms Lett. ſîmá-m O.Bulg. zima-mŭ, nom. sing. žēmà ſîma zima 'winter'. Lith. nósi-s ſ. 'nose': Lat. pl. nārēs, Skr. Ved. du. nắs-ā. O.Bulg. tajī adv. 'secretly': Av. tāya- 'theft' Skr. tāyú-š 'thief'.

 \bar{a} is to be inferred for the Baltic unitary period. This \bar{a} remained in Lett. and Pruss., whilst it became \bar{o} in Lithuanian. Lith. \bar{o} is still pronounced very open in the Memel dialect, whereas elsewhere, probably in most places where Lithuanian is spoken, it has a close articulation. The universal Lith. shortening of the broken accented vowel e. g. in the nom. sg. $\check{z}\check{e}m\grave{a}$ (orig. f. $\hat{s}\hat{g}he\underline{i}-m\bar{a}$) arose at a time when \bar{a} , or at all events an \bar{o} differing very little from pure \bar{a} , was still spoken (§ 664, 3). This short a just as $a=\mathrm{Indg}$. o (§ 84) is pronounced as open e in many dialects after j and palatalised consonants, e. g. $gij\grave{e}=gij\grave{a}$ f. 'thread', gire=giria 'forest'.

a arose in Slav. in those cases where \bar{a} with the following nasal became a nasal-vowel. Acc. sg. $\check{z}ena$ 'wife', orig. f. * $gen\bar{a}-m$ _1. sg. veza 'veho' = Lat. veham, opf. * $ye\bar{g}h\bar{a}-m$. Cp. § 219.

Indg. a.

§ 109. This vowel, whose quality cannot be more precisely determined — it may be pronounced as a sound lying between a and e — appears in many root syllables as the sonant of the secondary accented weak grade (§§ 315—317). We denote it by a following the general practice of writing a for the 'indistinct vowel-sound'.

In Armenian and European it fell together with Indg. α (§§ 93—100) (for the representation of it in Greek s. below), but was still separated from this in Aryan: Indg. $\alpha = \text{Ar. } \alpha$, but Indg. $\alpha = \text{Ar. } i$, only before i-vowels = α (cp. Hübschmann Das idg. Vocalsystem p. 1 ff.).

Indg. *pə-tér- 'father': Skr. pitár-, Arm. hair gen. haur, Gr. πατής, Lat. pater, O.Ir. athir, Goth. fadar. Indg. stə-.

weak form of the rt. $st\bar{a}$ - (Gr. $\sigma\tau\bar{\alpha}$ -, Lat. $st\bar{a}$ -): Skr. sthi- $t\acute{a}$ -s 'standing' $sth\acute{i}$ -ti-s 'standing, stability', Gr. $\sigma\tau\alpha$ - $\tau\acute{o}$ - ς $\sigma\tau\acute{\alpha}$ - $\sigma\iota$ - ς , Lat. sta-tu-s sta- $ti\bar{o}$, Goth. sta-ps (st. stadi-) 'place', Lith. sta-ta \bar{a} 'I place', O.Bulg. sto-ja 'I stand'. Indg. $d\vartheta$ - weak form of the rt. $d\bar{o}$ - (Gr. $\delta\omega$ -, Lat. $d\bar{o}$ -): Skr. 3. sg. aor. mid. \acute{a} -di-ta (act. \acute{a} - $d\bar{a}$ -t), Arm. $t\alpha$ -mk 'damus', Gr. $\delta\acute{\alpha}$ - $ro\varsigma$ n. 'gift, loan', $\check{\varepsilon}$ - $\delta\omicron$ - $\tau\omicron$ $\delta\omicron$ - $\tau\acute{o}$ - ς $\delta\acute{o}$ - $\sigma\iota$ - ς , Lat. $d\alpha$ -mus $d\alpha$ -tus $d\alpha$ -tor, Lith. part. pf. act. $d\tilde{\alpha}$ -ves.

a. Aryan. Skr. $pi-t\acute{a}$ Av. pi-ta O.Pers. $pi-t\~{a}$ 'father': Gr. $\pi a - \tau \acute{\eta} \varrho$. Skr. $mi-t\acute{a}$ - Av. mi-ta- 'measured', Skr. Av. mi-ti- f. 'measure', Indg. * $m = t\acute{e}$ -, * $m = t\acute{e}$ -, Gr. $\mu \acute{e}$ - $\tau \varrho o - v$, fr. rt. $m\~{e}$ - (Lat. $m\~{e}$ -tior, Skr. $m\acute{a}$ -tr $\~{a}$ - 'scale'). Skr. $s\acute{a}$ -di-ta-s 'fettered' \acute{a} -di-ti- \acute{s} 'unbound, boundless': Gr. $\sigma \acute{v} v - \delta \~{e}$ - $\tau o - \varsigma$ $\delta \acute{e}$ - $\sigma \iota - \varsigma$, fr. rt. $d\~{e}$ - (Gr. $\delta \acute{e}$ - $\delta \acute{e}$) 'he bound' $\acute{v} \pi \acute{o}$ - $\delta \acute{\eta}$ - μa 'sandal', Skr. $d\~{a}$ -man- 'string').

- b. Armenian. ta-m 'do' ta-mk 'damus' fr. rt. form ds"give'. dail (dal) 'beastings' dayeak (gen. dayeki) 'wet-nurse' fr. $dhs\dot{p}$ -, weak grade to rt. $dh\bar{e}(\dot{z})$ 'suck' (cp. a.). ba-n ' $\lambda\delta\gamma\sigma$ - ς ' (rt. $bh\bar{a}$ -) either from bhs- (Gr. $\phi\check{a}$ - $\mu\grave{e}v$) or $bh\bar{a}$ (Gr. $\phi\eta$ - $\mu\grave{u}$, Lat. $f\bar{a}$ -ma), see § 316.
- c. Greek. ά-δην 'abundantly, enough': Lat. sa-tur sa-tis,
 O.Ir. sa-thech 'satiated', Goth. sa-ps 'satiated', Skr. a-si-nv-á-

'insatiable', fr. rt. sā- (O.Ir. sāith 'satietas', Goth. sō-ps 'satisfying', Lith. sō-ti-s f. 'satisfying, satiety'). $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha i - \varphi \alpha - \tau o - \varphi \varphi \alpha' - \tau i - \varphi \varphi \alpha - \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ fr. rt. $bh\bar{a}$ - 'speak'. $\lambda \alpha \theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu$ beside $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 'to escape', rt. $\lambda \bar{\alpha} \theta - \iota \nu \epsilon \varphi \phi \hat{\epsilon}$ 'easy to melt' $\tau \alpha \nu \tilde{\iota} \nu \varphi \omega \nu$ beside $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, rt. $\tau \bar{\alpha} \nu - \iota \nu \bar{\nu} \varphi \omega \nu$.

The weak grade forms of \bar{a} -roots regularly retained α . In like manner also the forms which became isolated through their development of meaning: $\delta \acute{a}$ -roof fr. rt. $d\bar{o}$ - and $\lambda \alpha \gamma$ - $\alpha \rho \acute{o}$ - ς 'slack, thin' $\lambda \alpha \gamma$ - $\delta v \varepsilon \varsigma$ 'the flanks, loins': Lat. laxu-s, OHG. slach OS. slac 'slack' O.Icel. slakr 'slack, idle' fr. rt. $sl\bar{e}g$ - (Gr. $\lambda \acute{\eta}\gamma \omega$ 'I leave off' \check{a} - $\lambda \lambda \eta \varkappa \tau o$ - ς 'incessant'); also $\delta \acute{a} \varkappa \tau v \lambda o$ - ς 'finger', in case it is connected with O.Icel. taka 'to take' beside Goth. $t\bar{e}kan$ (pf. $ta\acute{t}t\bar{o}k$) 'to touch'. In other cases ϑ , in forms from \bar{e} - and \bar{o} -roots, took the timbre ε and o after the analogy of forms with η and ω : $\theta \varepsilon$ - $\tau \acute{o}$ - ς fr. $\theta \eta$ - 'set', $\dot{\varepsilon}$ - $\tau \acute{o}$ - ς = Lat. sa-tu-s fr. $\dot{\eta}$ - 'throw', $\sigma \acute{v}v$ - $\delta \varepsilon$ - τo - ς cp. Skr. $s\acute{q}$ -di-ta-s fr. $\delta \eta$ - 'bind', $\mu \acute{e}$ - $\tau \varrho o$ -v fr. $\mu \eta$ - 'measure' (Lat. $m\bar{e}$ -tior), δo - $\tau \acute{o}$ - ς = Lat. da-tu-s fr. $\delta \omega$ - 'give' πo - $\tau \acute{o}$ -v 'beverage' fr. $\pi \omega$ - and others. Cp. $o\varrho$, $o\lambda$ for regular $a\varrho$, $a\lambda$ = Indg. gr, ll after the analogy of $o\varrho$ $\varrho\omega$, $o\lambda$ $\lambda\omega$ = Indg. \bar{r} \bar{l} in $\bar{\varepsilon}$ - $\pi o o$ -v-v etc. § 306.

Rem. Fick's hypothesis (Bezzenberger's Beitr. IX 313 ff.) that the vowel triad α , ε , o in $\sigma r\alpha - r\delta - \varepsilon$, $\theta \varepsilon - r\delta - \varepsilon$, $\partial \sigma - r\delta - \varepsilon$ is a continuation of three-different prim. Indg. vowels, is not at all convincing.

d. Italic. Lat. pa-ter, Umbr. Iu-pa-ter 'Juppiter' Iuve pa-tre 'Jovi', Osc. pa-tír: Gr. $\pi\alpha$ - $\tau\eta\varrho$. Lat. sta-bulu-m, Umbr., sta-flarem 'stabularem', Osc. Anter-sta-taí dat. '*Inter-stitae', Volsc. sta-to-m 'statutum, consecratum', fr. rt. $st\bar{a}$ -. Lat. da-mus-da-tu-s, Falisc. da-tu 'datum', Vest. da-ta abl. 'data', fr. rt. $d\bar{o}$ -.

Lat. sa-tu-s fr. rt. $s\bar{e}$ - 'sow' in $s\bar{e}\text{-}men$. spa-tiu-m 'space' fr. rt. $sp\bar{e}(\underline{i})$ - 'extend' in $sp\bar{e}\text{-}s$, Lith. $sp\tilde{e}\text{-}ti$ 'to be at leisure, to be quick enough', O.Bulg. $sp\check{e}\text{-}ti$ 'to progress, have success'. lassu-s 'weary, tired': Goth. lats 'weary, idle', fr. rt. $l\bar{e}d\text{-}$ in Goth. $l\bar{e}ta$ 'I let, leave'. Lat. ca-tu-s 'sharp' beside $c\bar{o}s$ $c\bar{o}tis$ 'whetstone'.

For the treatment of Lat. a in syllables which, according to prim. Lat. accentuation, were unaccented, e. g. Juppiter, s. § 97.

e. Old Irish. a-thir 'father': Gr. πα-τήρ. sa-thech 'satiated': Lat. sa-tur, Gr. α-δην 'abundantly', Skr. a-si-nv-ά- 'insatiable'.

The form -airissid, 2. pl. of -airissim 'I stand, stand still' fr. rt. $st\bar{a}$ -, probably may not be traced back to *(pari-)si-sta-te and directly be placed equal to Gr. "- $\sigma\tau\alpha$ - $\tau\epsilon$, as can be the case with Lat. $sisti-te = "\sigma\tau\alpha$ - $\tau\epsilon$ (§ 97 p. 91), since the form *-airessid with the influence of the a on the preceding syllable would be expected; cp. also 1. sg. -airissiur, which points to *sisti\varrangerian.

f. Germanic. Goth. fa-dar OHG. fa-ter O.Icel. fa-der fa-dir 'father': Gr. πα-τήρ. Goth. sta-ps (st. stadi-) OHG. sta-t (gen. steti) OS. sta-d (gen. stedi) 'place': Gr. στά-σι-ς, Skr. sthi-ti-š; OHG. stara-blint O.Icel. star-blindr 'blind with a cataract', Mod.HG. starr 'stiff': Skr. sthi-rá-s 'fast, immovable', rt. stā-. Goth. ra-pjō OHG. re-dia 'account': Lat. ra-tiō, rt. rē- (Lat. rē-ri). OHG. slaf Low Germ. slap 'slack' to Goth. slēpan 'to sleep'. Ags. snear 'snare, cord, string' O.Icel. snara 'cord', rt. snē- 'bind, spin'. OHG. blat OS. blad O.Icel. blaā 'leaf', orig. part. 'blossomed', rt. bhlē- 'swell, bloom'.

For the treatment of a in OHG. (e. g. gen. steti to nom. stat) s. § 83.

- g. Baltic-Slavonic. Lith. sta- $ta\tilde{u}$ 'I place', $st\tilde{a}$ - $kl\dot{e}s$ pl. 'loom', O.Bulg. sto-ja 'I stand', fr. rt. $st\bar{a}$ -. Lith. part. pf. act $d\bar{a}$ -ves to inf. $d\tilde{u}'$ -ti 'to give', fr. rt. $d\bar{o}$ -. O.Bulg. spo- $r\tilde{u}$ 'plentiful': Skr. sphi- $r\dot{a}$ 'large, plentiful', to $sp\dot{e}ja$ 'I have success': Skr. $sph\dot{u}jami$ 'I thrive'. O.Bulg. doja 'I suckle': Goth. daddja, Skr. $dh\dot{u}jami$, fr. rt. $dh\bar{v}(\dot{z})$ 'suck'.
- § 110. In prim. Indg. ϑ was frequently spoken directly after the root syllable. Some regard this ϑ as forming a fixed part of the root and speak of dissyllabic roots; whether rightly (cp. p. 17), or not is here a matter of indifference.

The forms, which the vowel in this case assumes in Lat. and O.Ir., are explained by the laws which hold good for unaccented syllables in these languages, cp. §§ 97. 98.

In Greek it appears as α , ϵ , o. A still closer investigation is needed to determine on what the various timbre in this

case depends. The explanations hitherto attempted are unsatisfactory.

Skr. duh-i-tár-, Gr. θυγ-ά-τηρ beside Av. dug^edar- duγđar-Goth. daúhtar Lith. duktě 'daughter'. Cp. Bartholomae Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII p. 206 f., and von Fierlinger in the same vol. p. 478.

Skr. $dam-i-t\acute{a}$ 'tamed' $dam-i-t\acute{a}r$ - 'tamer', Gr. $\grave{\alpha}-\delta \acute{\alpha}\mu-\alpha-\tau o-\varsigma$ 'unsubdued' $\pi \alpha v-\delta \alpha \mu-\acute{\alpha}-\tau \omega \varrho$ 'all-subduer', Lat. dom-i-tu-s dom-i-tor. Skr. vam-i-ta- Gr. $\grave{\varepsilon}\mu-\varepsilon-\tau \acute{o}-\varsigma$ Lat. vomi-tu-s 'vomited'.

Skr. jan-i-tár- Gr. $\gamma \varepsilon v$ - ε - $\tau \eta \varrho$ $\gamma \varepsilon v$ - ε - $\tau \omega \varrho$ Lat. gen-i-tor 'begetter', Lat. gen-e- $tr \bar{\iota} x$. Lat. mer-i- $t\bar{o} r$ -iu-s mer-e- $tr \bar{\iota} x$, mol-i-tor mol-e- $tr \bar{\iota} na$.

Skr. bhar-i-tra-m 'arm, that with which one carries' (fr. bhar- 'carry, bear'), Gr. $\varphi \not\in \varphi - \varepsilon - \tau \varphi \circ - \tau$ 'bier, litter', Lat. prae-fer-i-culu-m 'offering-cup' (as implement, to bear something before one self). Skr. ar-i-tra-s 'oar' (cp. ar-i-tár- 'rower', Gr. $\not\in \varphi - \varepsilon - \tau \varphi \cdot \varepsilon'$ 'E $\varphi - \varepsilon - \tau \varphi - \iota \omega$), khan-i-tra-m 'shovel'. O.Ir. cenēl cenel 'sex, race' = O.Cymr. cenetl fr. *ken-e-tlo-n; scēl 'story, tidings' = Cymr. chwedl fr. *sku-e-tlo-n (Cymr. chwedl fr. *suetl, cp. §§ 175. 573) fr. rt. seq- 'say', O.Ir. ē through compensation lengthening (§ 518); cp. Zimmer Kelt. Stud. II 7. 142 f., Thurneysen Rev. Celt. VI 324 f.

Gr. $"av-\epsilon-\mu o-\varsigma"$ 'wind', Lat. an-i-mu-s, cp. Skr. 3. sg. 'an-i-ti 'breathes, gapes'. Skr. j'an-i-man- 'birth' var-i-m'an- 'extent, distance'. Gr. $\tau\epsilon\rho-\acute{a}-\mu\omega\nu$ 'becoming soft by boiling' $\'a-\tau\epsilon\rho-\acute{a}-\mu\nu o-\varsigma$ 'indigestible, hard', $\tau\epsilon\lambda-\acute{a}-\mu\acute{\omega}\nu$ 'shoulder-belt'. Lat. reg-i-men, mon-u-mentu-m, col-u-men, col-u-mn-a. O.Ir. anim, dat. anmain, 'soul' (cp. Lat. anima), menme, dat. menmain 'sense' (prim. f. *men-a-men-).

Skr. kravíš- 'raw meat', Gr. κρέας, cpf. *qreuəs-; Skr. śōcíš- 'flame', Gr. γῆρας 'old age'.

Skr. tan-i- $\check{s}y\check{a}mi$ 1. sg. fut. act. fr. tan- 'stretch, extend', $v\bar{e}d$ -i- $\check{s}y\check{a}mi$ fr. vid- 'know', vart-i- $\check{s}y\check{e}$ 1. sg. fut. mid. fr. vart-turn'. $\acute{a}v\bar{e}d$ -i- $\check{s}am$ 1. sg. aor. fr. vid- (cp. also $v\check{e}d$ -i-turn inf., $v\check{e}d$ -i-tar- 'knower'), $\acute{a}y\bar{a}s$ -i- $\check{s}am$ fr. $y\bar{a}$ - 'go'. Gr. fut. $\tau \varepsilon v$ - $\acute{\varepsilon}$ - ω $\tau \varepsilon v\check{\omega}$ ($\tau \varepsilon t v \omega$ 'I stretch'), $\varkappa \varrho \varepsilon \mu$ - \acute{a} - ω $\varkappa \varrho \varepsilon \mu \omega$ fr. the verbal stem $\varkappa \varrho \varepsilon \mu \omega$ - 'hang

Pres. Skr. $v\acute{a}m$ -i-mi 'I vomit', $r\acute{o}d$ -i-mi 'I lament, weep'. Gr. $z_0\acute{\epsilon}\mu$ - α - $\mu\alpha$ 'I hang'; $\acute{\epsilon}\mu\acute{\epsilon}\omega$ 'I vomit' is probably a transformation of *f- $\epsilon\mu$ - ϵ - μ = Skr. $v\acute{a}m$ -i-mi. Lat. vom-i-s, vom-i-t (cp. Skr. $v\acute{a}m$ -i-s, vam-i-ti) may be forms of this class, which, being associated with legis legit and the like, was the cause of their passing into the \bar{o} -conjugation; here probably belongs also vol-u-mus (s-u-mus a new formation, after which 1. sg. s-u-m).

It also seems necessary to assume Indg. ϑ in certain flexional syllables. Nom. acc. pl. neut. Skr. bhárant-i Gr. $\varphi \not\in \varrho or\tau$ - $\alpha := Indg. *bhéront-\vartheta$, part. pres. fr. rt. bher- 'bear'; cp. on the other hand voc. Skr. ámba, Gr. $r'\psi \mu \alpha \tilde{\alpha}$, O.Bulg. $\check{\epsilon}eno$ with Indg. - α §§ 93. 94. Are the personal endings Skr. -mahi and Gr. - $\mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ (1. pl. impf. mid. Skr. ábharā-mahi, Gr. $\check{\epsilon}q\varepsilon \varrho \acute{o}-\mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$) to be traced back to an Indg. *-medhə?

Vowel contraction in the indg. primitive period.

§ 111. The Indg. long vowels and *i*- and *u*-diphthongs, whose regular representation in the individual branches of languages has been exhibited in §§ 28—108, were not, as may with probability be assumed from an etymological analysis of word-forms, originally monosyllabic, but first became so by contraction.

Rem. As regards the putting down of pre-historic forms we follow this principle: where by analysis a form can be deduced as being originally dissyllabic, and all languages concurrently exhibit it as monosyllabic, we regard the contraction as having already taken place in the prim. Indg. period, e. g. the pf. stem $\bar{e}d$ - (rt. ed- 'eat', Lat. $\bar{e}d$ -imus etc.) which, after the analogy of perfect stem forms whose root begins with a consonant, is to be resolved into an older e-ed-1).

¹⁾ Since it is here only a question of the formative-type in general, it is immaterial whether this very example belongs to the oldest of its

Observe further: when an isolated form in a single language presents two vowels directly coming in contact with each other (but neither arising from the meeting together of two stems or words, nor due to the elision of a consonantal element which originally stood between the vowels), of which the first is an a-, e-, or o- vowel, it is never, so far as can be seen, the regular continuation of a prim. Indg. form with uncontracted vowels, but always a new formation. Here belong, e.g. the Homeric conjunctive forms $\theta'_{10\mu\nu}$, $\pi a \rho \sigma \tau'_{1} \epsilon \tau \sigma \nu$ etc. and Goth. 1. sg. pf. aiduk (aukan 'to add, augment'). Cp. also § 600.

The following are examples of forms which became monosyllabic in the Indg. prim. period. They, of course, all rest upon etymological analyses only and their hypothetical character should not be mistaken.

§ 112. I. Contraction of a-, e-, o-vowels with a-, e-, o-vowels.

The product of the contraction was everywhere \bar{a} , \bar{c} , or \bar{o} . When the two vowels differed in quality, the product regulated itself after the quality of the first. Cp. Osthoff Morph. Unt. II 113 ff., Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 123 ff., Bremer in Paul-Braune's Beitr. XI 264 ff.

§ 113. I. ā arose from:

 \breve{a} + a. Dat. sg. fem. *ekūai fr. *ekūā-ai or *ekūa-ai (*ekūā 'mare': Skr. ášvā, Lat. equa): Skr. Ved. -āi, Gr. -a, O.Lat. -ā, Goth. -ái, O.Bulg. -ĕ. Instr. sg. fem. *ekūā fr. *ekūā-a or *ekūa-a: Skr. Ved. -ā, Gr. -ā (Att. δημοσίᾶ, πῆ, Ion. κονφῆ), Lat. -a (frūstra).

 $\bar{a}+e$. Nom. pl. fem. * $e\bar{k}y\bar{a}s$ fr. * $e\bar{k}y\bar{a}-es$: Skr. $-\bar{a}s$, Umbr. Osc. $-\bar{a}s$, Goth. $-\bar{o}s$, Lith. $-\bar{o}s$. Conjunctive stems in $-\bar{a}$ - fr. $-\bar{a}-e$ -, e. g. * $st\bar{a}$ - fr. * $st\bar{a}$ -e- (rt. $st\bar{a}$ - 'stand'), e. g. Skr. 3. sg. act. $sth\acute{a}ti$, Gr. Arcad. 3. sg. mid. $7\sigma\tau\bar{a}\tau\sigma\iota$.

 $\bar{a}+o$. Conjunctive stems in $-\bar{a}$ - fr. $-\bar{a}$ -o-, e. g. Skr. Ved. 1. pl. $yun\acute{a}ma$ (1. sg. ind. yu- $n\acute{a}$ -mi T bind to'), Gr. Dor. $\delta\acute{v}$ - $v\bar{a}$ - $\mu a\iota$ (Att. $\delta\acute{v}v\omega_{\mu}u\iota$ is a new formation).

kind, and accordingly a dissyllabic e-ed- as predecessor of $\bar{e}d\text{-}$ was once really spoken, or whether $\bar{e}d\text{-}$ first made its appearance after the termination of the process of contraction and on coming into existence took its \bar{e} after the analogy of other perfect stems.

Rem. The Indg. nom. acc. pl. neut. *jugá (Skr. Ved. yugá, Lat. juga) fr. stem *jugo- presents difficulties. If a (or \bar{a}) was the case suffix: why not *jugó or *jugé? If Osthoff is right (Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 123 f.) that the product of contraction only assumed the quality of the first vowel, when the second did not contain the chief accent of the word, one might think of an older *jugo-á or juge-á (respec.-ā). But it is equally possible that Indg. *jugá is to be looked upon as 'jug-ā, i. e. the stem forming suffix was dropped before the case ending (tonlose tiefstufe, 'unaccented weak grade' § 311).

\S 114. 2. \bar{e} arose from:

 $e+\alpha$. Perfect stem * $\bar{e}g$ - fr. *e- $a\hat{g}$ - (fr. rt. $a\hat{g}$ - 'drive, lead'): 1. pl. Lat. $\bar{e}g$ -imus Skr. $\bar{a}j$ - $im\acute{a}$. Instr. sg. of -o-stems, e. g. * $q\bar{e}$ fr. stem *qo- 'who', Gr. Lacon. $\pi\acute{\eta}$ - $\pi o z \alpha$ 'usquam' or 'unquam', Goth. $hv\bar{e}$: Skr. instr. in - \bar{a} can equally as well be placed here as to the form in Indg. - \bar{o} fr. -o-a. (§ 115).

 \bar{e} + e. Perfect stem * \bar{e} d- fr. *e-ed- (rt. ed- 'eat'): Lat. 1. pl. \bar{e} d-imus, Goth. 1. pl. \bar{e} t-um, Lith. part. masc. \acute{e} d- \acute{e} s fem. \acute{e} d-us-i, O.Bulg. part. masc. jad- \check{u} fem. jad- \check{u} ši, Skr. 1. pl. \bar{a} d-imá, Gr. part. \acute{e} δ- η δ- ω ç for older * $\mathring{\eta}$ δ- ω ç. Conjunctive stems in - \bar{e} - fr. - \bar{e} -e-, * $dh\bar{e}$ - fr. * $dh\bar{e}$ -e- (rt. $dh\bar{e}$ - 'place'), e. g. Skr. Ved. 3. sg. $dh\acute{a}$ ti, prim. Gr. * θ $\mathring{\eta}$ τι. 1. sg. impf. * \acute{e} s- $\mathring{\eta}$ 'eram' fr. * \acute{e} -es- $\mathring{\eta}$ (rt. es- 'esse'): Skr. \acute{a} s-am, Gr. Hom. $\mathring{\eta}$ a, Att. $\mathring{\eta}$.

 $\bar{e}+o$. Conjunctive stems in $-\bar{e}$ - fr. $-\bar{e}$ -o-, * $dh\bar{e}$ - fr. * $dh\bar{e}$ -o-. Gr. Messen. $\pi \varrho o$ - $\tau l\theta \eta \nu \tau \iota$, Skr. Ved. * $dh\acute{a}nti$.

§ 115. 3. \bar{o} arose from:

o + a. Dat. sg. masc. *ekūo; fr. *ekūo-ai (*ekūo-s 'horse' = Skr. ášva-s, Lat. equo-s): Av. -āi, Gr. - ω , Lat. -ō, Osc. -úi. Instr. sg. of the same class of stems in -ō fr. -o-a: Lat. -o (modo, cito), Gr. - ω (since a number of adverbs in - ω - ω - ς are probably instrumentals, not ablatives), O.Ir. -u (cēliu fr. cēle 'comrade'), OHG. -u, Lith. -u (geru fr. *geru, as geru-ju shows, s. § 664, 3); the Skr. instrumentals in -ā can equally as well belong here as to the form in Indg. -ē fr. -e-a (§ 114).

 $\ddot{o} + e$. Nom. pl. *ekūjōs fr. *ekūjo-es: Skr. -ās, Umbr. Osc. -ōs, Goth. -ōs, O.Ir. voc. pl. a firu 'o viri' fr. *uirōs (§ 90). Conjunctive stems in -ō- fr. -ō-e-, *dō- fr. *dō-e- (rt. dō- 'give'), e. g. Skr. Ved. 3. sg. dāti, prim. Gr. * $\delta \tilde{v}$ orı. 3. sg. indic. pf. of roots in

- \bar{o} , e. g. Skr. Ved. $dad\hat{a}$ 'dedit' fr. Indg. * $ded\hat{o}$ fr. * $de-d\hat{o}$ -e, Goth. suis \bar{o} 'sevit' for regular *saisa (§ 660, 2) fr. Indg. * $ses\hat{o}$ fr. $se-s\hat{o}$ -e from rt. $s\bar{e}$ - 'sow'.

o+o. Gen. pl. * $e\hat{k}u\bar{o}m$ fr. * $e\hat{k}uo-om$: Ar. $-\bar{a}m$, Gr. $-\omega r$, Lat. -um. OHG. -o, Lith. $-\bar{u}$.

 $o + a^x$ (i. e. it cannot be determined whether the second vowel was a, e or o). Abl. sg. * $e\hat{k}\mu\bar{o}d$ fr. * $e\hat{k}\mu o - a^x d$: Gr. $-\omega$ (Locr. Cret. \bar{o} Locr. $\bar{o}\pi\omega$ 'unde'), Lat. $-\bar{o}d$ '). Instr. pl. * $e\hat{k}\mu\bar{o}js$ fr. * $e\hat{k}\mu o - a^x is$: Skr. $-\bar{a}i\check{s}$, Gr. $-o_G$ (§ 611), Lat. $-o_G - \bar{i}s$ (§ 612), Lith. -ais (§ 615). 1. sg. pres. act. * $bher\bar{o}$ (Gr. $\phi\acute{e}o\omega$, Lat. $fer\bar{o}$) fr. * $bhero - a^x$: Ar. $-\bar{a}$, Gr. $-\omega$, Lat. $-\bar{o}$, O.Ir. -u (no $r\bar{a}idiu$ 'I speak'), Goth. -a, Lith. $-\hat{u}$ (suk \hat{u} 'I turn' fr. * $suk\hat{u}$ ', cp. the refl. $suk\hat{u}' - si$ 'I turn myself', § 664, 3). The nom. acc. du. of o-stems in $-\bar{o}\mu$ perhaps also belong here (whence $-\bar{o}$ before consonants in prim. Indg. § 645, 1), e.g. * $dy\bar{o}y$: Skr. $dv\bar{a}u$ O.Ir. dau $d\bar{o}$ 'two' (§ 85).

§ 116. II. Contraction of a-, e-, o-vowels with i- and u-vowels.

ei fr. e+i, oi fr. o+i. Loc. sg. of o-stems partly in -ei, Gr. $-\epsilon\iota$, Osc. $-\epsilon\iota$, partly in -oi, Gr. $-o\iota$, OHG. $-\epsilon$ O.Bulg. $-\check{\epsilon}$ (compare however § 84 rem. 3).

 ∂i , ∂i fr. $\partial + \bar{\imath}$, $o + \bar{\imath}$. Weak form of the opt. of roots in $-\bar{a}$, $-\bar{e}$, $-\bar{o}$. * $st\partial_i$ - fr. * $st\partial$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - (rt. $st\bar{a}$ - 'stand'): Gr. $\sigma\tau\alpha\hat{\imath}\mu\varepsilon\nu$, Skr. * $sth\bar{e}ma$, instead of which $sth\bar{e}y\bar{a}ma$ was formed after analogy of the sg. $sth\bar{e}y\bar{a}m$ (for regular * $sthay\bar{a}m$). * $dh\partial_i$ - fr. * $dh\partial_i$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - (rt. $dh\bar{e}$ - 'place'): Gr. $\theta\varepsilon\tilde{\imath}\mu\varepsilon\nu$ instead of * $\theta\alpha\mu\varepsilon\nu$ (cp. § 109 c.), Skr. $dh\bar{e}y\bar{a}ma$ instead of * $dh\bar{e}ma$ after the sg. $dh\bar{e}y\bar{a}m$. * $d\partial_i$ - from * $d\partial_i$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - (rt. $d\bar{o}$ - 'give'): Gr. $\delta\sigma\tilde{\imath}\mu\varepsilon\nu$ instead of * $\delta\alpha\mu\varepsilon\nu$ (cp. loc. cit.) Skr. $d\bar{e}y\bar{a}ma$ instead of * $d\bar{e}ma$ after the sg. $d\bar{e}y\bar{a}m$. Cp. § 109 a. Opt. tenses with thematic vowel, e. g. *bheroi- fr. *bhero- $\bar{\imath}$ - (rt. bher- 'bear'): Skr. $bhar\bar{e}$, Gr. $\varphi\epsilon\rho\alpha\varsigma$ etc.

¹⁾ Skr. $m\dot{a}$ -d, $tv\dot{a}$ -d, $asm\dot{a}$ -d permit the assumption that in the abl. sg. $-a^xd$ and -d stood beside each other in the same manner as -es, -os and -s in the gen. sg. Lith. $vi\tilde{t}ko$ and Slav. $vl\tilde{u}ka$ 'wolf's', whose ending is to be traced back to an orig. form with \bar{a} on account of Lith. \bar{o} (§ 108), can only stand in an indirect relation to Lat. $-\bar{o}d$ and Gr. $-\omega$; they are a problem of a similar nature as Indg. * $juq\bar{a}$ 'iuga' (§ 113 rem.).

For Gr. $\gamma \nu o j$ - in the Opt. $\gamma \nu o \tilde{\iota} \mu \epsilon \nu$ (indic. $\tilde{\epsilon} \gamma \nu o \nu \circ \tilde{\iota} \gamma \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu$), which goes back to $\gamma \nu o \omega_{\tau}$, a prim. Indg. form $\hat{\gamma} \bar{n} o j$ - fr. $\hat{\gamma} \bar{n} o \bar{\tau}$ -must apparently be assumed. In like manner also for Gr. $\pi \lambda \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \sigma \tau o - \varsigma$ O.Icel. flester (fr. *fleistr) fleire an Indg. *ple js- fr. *ple-is-. See §§ 611. 614.

B. THE VOWELS AS CONSONANTS.

§ 117. Of the vowels ascribed to the prim. language there were two, i and u, which were employed both as sonants (i, u) and as consonants (i, u).

It is not possible in every case to draw a sharp line between Indg. \dot{i} , u and the Indg. spirants \dot{j} , v. (§§ 554. 598).

i, u can everywhere be assumed with certainty, where they correspond to an i and u of other forms of the same formsystem, or stand in ablaut relation to i and u. 3. pl. *i-\(\hat{n}i\)ti (Skr. y-\(\hat{a}nti\)) beside 2. pl. *i-\(\hat{i}\)e (Skr. i-\(\hat{h}a\)) fr. rt. ei- 'go'. 3. pl. *qi-nu-\(\hat{n}i\)ti (Skr. ci-nv-\(\hat{a}nti\)) beside 2. pl. *qi-nu-\(\hat{t}\)e (Skr. ci-nu-\(\hat{h}a\)) fr. rt. qei- 'to set in rows'. Nominal suffix -io- beside -i(i)o-, as *medh\(\hat{p}o\)- (Skr. m\(\hat{a}dhya\)-s Gr. Hom. $\mu\(\hat{e}\sigma\)o-\(\sigma\) fr. *\(\hat{u}\theta\)\(\hat{t}o\)-\(\sigma\) (Skr. m\(\hat{a}dhya\)-s Gr. \(\hat{n}i\)tiya-s Gr. <math>\pi(\alpha)$ \(\hat{v}o_0\)-\(\sigma\) (Skr. ved. dv\(\hat{a}\), Gr. $\delta(f)$ \(\hat{v}-\delta\)es 'Gr. $\pi(\alpha)$ \(\hat{v}o_0\)-\(\sigma\) beside *\(\hat{d}u(u)\)\(\hat{o}\) (Skr. Ved. duv\(\hat{a}\), Gr. \(\delta\)\(\hat{v}\). Nom. pl. *\(tr\)ei-\(\hat{e}s\)\(\hat{a}\) beside loc. pl. *\(tri\)-isu (Skr. \(tri\)iu). Nom. sg. *\(\hat{d}\)ieu-s 'sky' (Skr. \(d\)y\(\hat{a}\)i-\(\hat{s}\), Gr. \(Z\)s\(\hat{v}\)) and loc. sg. *\(di\)u-i (Skr. \(div\)-i, Gr. \(\Delta\)u-i). Root form *\(su\)u-p- or *\(su\)p-i\(\hat{a}\)-s, Gr. $(\hat{v}-v\)o-\(\hat{c}\).$

Where i and u were missing from Indg. times in formations which properly should have weak grade, the probability is for j, v. E. g. the participles Skr. $tyakt\acute{a}$ -s, Gr. $\sigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \acute{o}$ - φ exhibit the rt. tjeg-, not tieg- ('step back from something', hence in Gr. 'to be timid, to honour with pious awe'), since from tieg- the form $tiqt\acute{o}$ -s would have to be expected. Skr. $\acute{s}\acute{e}t\~{e}$ Gr. $\iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota$ 'lies' permits the assumption of the rt. $\iota \iota \iota \iota$ Rt. $\iota \iota \iota \iota$ clothe', not $\iota \iota \iota \iota \iota$ on account of Skr. $\iota \iota$ mid. $\iota \iota \iota \iota$ are ι etc. Cp. § 307 ff.

In Greek initial ζ and 'decide whether we have to do with j- or \dot{i} -, e. g. $\zeta \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ 'Is seethe' fr. rt. jes-, $\zeta v \gamma \dot{o} \nu$ 'yoke' fr.

rt. jeug-, but $\alpha_{\gamma io-\varsigma}$ 'holy' fr. rt. $i\bar{\alpha}\hat{g}$ -, $\hat{v}_{\mu\epsilon\tilde{i}\varsigma}$ 'vos' fr. st. *iu-sme-(Skr. yu- $\tilde{s}m\alpha$ -).

In every case where the spirantal value of the sound can not be determined with certainty, or with great probability, we write the Indg. orig. forms in this work with i, v.

Indg. į.

Prim. Indg. period.

§ 118. Initial i only before sonants. Pronominal stem *iu- (*iu-sme-) 'ye, vos': Skr. nom. yūyám Abl. yuṣmád, Arm. je-r 'your', Gr. ὑμεῖς 'ye', Goth. jus 'ye', Lith. jūs 'ye'. Skr. yắ-ti 'goes', Av. jār' n. 'year', Gr. ὡρο-ς 'year' ιρα 'time', Lat. jānua 'entrance, door', Goth. jēr 'year', Lith. jó-ju 'I ride' O.Bulg. jada 'I drive, ride', jarŭ m. jara f. 'spring'. Skr. yánti fr. Indg. *i-nti (3. pl. of *éi-mi 'I go').

§ 119. Intersonantal. 1. sg. *uéi-ō: Skr. váy-ā-mi 'I weave', Lith. vej-ù O.Bulg. vĕja vija (§ 68) 'I turn, wind'. Nom. pl. in -ei-es from ei-stems, e. g. *tréi-es 'three': Skr. tráyas, Gr. τρεῖς fr. *τρε(μ)ες, Lat. trēs fr. *tre(i)es, Goth. preis fr. *prii(i)z (§§ 67. 660, 1), O.Bulg. trˇije trije (§ 68). Causat. in -eiō, e. g. Skr. taršáyāmi 'I let thirst, languish', Lat. torreō 'I make dry, parch', etc. Skr. spháya-tē 'increases, grows', Lith. spēju 'I am at leisure', O.Bulg. spēja 'I have success'. Lith. pa-stóju 'I hecome something', Lat. stō, O.Ir. -tau 'am', fr. *stā-iō.

Derivative verbs as Skr. pṛtanā-yā-mi 'I fight' fr. pṛtanā- 'fight', mišra-yā-mi 'I mix' fr. mišrā- 'mixed', Gr. τīμῶ fr. *τιμῶ-μω fr. (Dor.) τιμά 'esteem', φιλῶ fr. *φιλε-μω fr. st. φίλε- φίλο- 'amicus', Lith. pāsako-ju 'I narrate' fr. pāsaka 'narration', O.Bulg. laka-ja 'I deceive' fr. laka 'craft', etc.

Present stem *mp-ie- fr. rt. men-: Skr. mányatē 'means', Lith. miniù O.Bulg. mĭnją 'I think'. Derivatives as Skr. udan-yá-ti 'streams from' fr. prim. Ar. *udp-iá-ti, Gr. $\tau \varepsilon \tau \tau \tau t v \omega$ fr. * $\tau \varepsilon \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau \omega$, Goth. glitmunja 'I shine' fr. prim. Germ. * $\tau litm \tau t v \omega$ (§§ 223, 2. 225).

Present stem *my-ie- fr. rt. mer- 'die': Av. mer 'yeiti, Lat. morior; Gr. σπαίοω 'I struggle convulsively', Lith. spiriù 'I push with the foot', cpf. *spr-iō (§§ 284, 2. 286).

i as glide after i. For examples see §§ 117. 120.

§ 120. Postconsonantal. Stem forms * $di\bar{e}y$ -, *diey-, *diey

 $\beta\iota\acute{o}$ - ς 'bow' for older * $\beta\iota\ddot{a}$, s. Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 188) represents the secondary accented (nebentonige) weakgrade form, but *gi- \acute{a} (Skr. $jy\acute{a}$ 'bow-string') the unaccented (tonlose); cp. §§ 307 ff. and 670.

We shall here confine ourselves to cases in which no ablaut (the opposite of weak and strong grade) can be determined. From the investigations hitherto made concerning the origin of the double forms \underline{i} and $i\underline{i}$ (s. especially Sievers in Paul and Braune's Beitr. V 129 ff., Hübschmann Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIV 362 ff., Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 353 ff., Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 421 ff.) the following may be given as probable:

After initial consonants the change depended on the nature of the final syllable of the preceding word. In the parent language they may have spoken *só siēt = Skr. sá syāt 'he may be', but *tód sijēt = Skr. tát siyāt 'that may be' (cp. also Goth. 1. sg. s-iján). Double forms may also here be shown as pres. st. *my-ié- = Av. meréye-iti Lat. morio-r beside *mr-iié- = Skr. mriyá-tē, Opt. st. *bhu-iē- = Av. 3. sg. bu-yā-þ, Gr. Cypr. φύ-iη beside *bhu-iiē- = O.Pers. 3. sg. b-iyā fr. rt. bheu- 'be, become' (cp. Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 426 ff.). *tód mrietaj 'that dies' possibly stood originally beside *só mrijetaj 'he dies', impf. *é mrijeto.

i was used medially after short syllables, ii after long, especially after consonant groups. This is seen most clearly by the suffix -io-. Cp. on the one hand *medh-io- 'medius' (s. above); *al-io- 'alius' = Arm. ail Gr. άλλο-ς O.Ir. aile Goth. alji-s; and on the other *pətr-iio- 'patrius' (s. above); *eku-iio- 'equinus' = Skr. áśviya-s Gr. 'iππο-ς; *ghesl-iio- (deriv. of *gheslo- 'thousand') = Skr. sa-hasriya- 'thousand-fold', Gr. Lesb. χέλλιοι 'thousand'; *mort-iio- = Skr. ά-martiya- 'immortal', Gr. ά-μβρόσιο-ς (βροτός for μορτό-ς through the influence of *βρᾶτό-ς = Skr. mytά-s 'mortuus'); *nept-iio-s = Av. naptiya- 'relation', Gr. ἀνεψιό-ς 'first cousin', O.Bulg. netiji 'first cousin' (-ij- fr. -ij- § 36); *nāu-iio- = Skr. nāvíya- 'navigable', Gr. νάιο-ς νήιο-ς 'belonging to a ship'; also especially the established difference in Goth., e. g.

between gen. sg. harjis (harja-'army') and hairdeis (hairdja-'shepherd'), cp. § 84 rem 1.

It may be presumed that regular forms occasionally suffered interruption in their development already in prim. Indg. through analogy. This certainly frequently took place in the separate developments of the orig. language, as e. g. Skr. Ved. $g\acute{av}$ -iya-(beside $g\acute{av}$ -ya-) 'bovarius' after such forms as $\acute{asv}iya$ -, Gr. Dor. $-\imath\acute{a}\tau\iotao\iota$ Arcad. $-\imath\acute{a}\sigma\iotao\iota$ ($\delta\iota\bar{a}$ - $\imath\acute{a}\tau\iotao\iota$ 'two hundred' etc., cp. Skr. $-\acute{s}atya$ 'consisting of a hundred') after $\chi\acute{e}\lambda\lambda\iotao\iota$ ($\chi\acute{t}\lambda\iotao\iota$). But, besides this, $i\acute{z}$ fr. \acute{z} arose in Aryan (§ 125) and Lat. (§ 135) by regular development.

- § 121. Anteconsonantal. \underline{i} only occurred in this position after α -, e- and o-vowels, with which it formed 'diphthongs', e. g. 3. sg. * $\underline{u} \delta \underline{i} d$ -e 'knows': Skr. $\underline{v} \underline{e} d a$, Gr. $Fo \widetilde{i} \delta \varepsilon$, Goth. $\underline{v} \underline{a} i t$. For the fate of \underline{i} in these combinations ep. §§ 61—108 and § 150.
- § 122. Finally. i only occurred finally as the second component of diphthongs, cp. nom. pl. * $t\acute{o}i$ 'the' = Skr. $t\acute{e}$ Gr. Hom. $\tau o\acute{t}$ etc., dat. sg. in $-\bar{a}i$ of \bar{a} -stems (§ 101 extr.). The treatment in the individual languages was generally the same as before consonants, cp. e. g. Skr. $t\acute{e}$ with $v\acute{e}da$ (= Gr. $Fo\~{t}o\~{e}$).

When conditionally final (in the body of a sentence), *i* also stood after consonants, e. g. Indg. *proti ebherom may be assumed for Skr. praty-abharam Gr. προς-έφερον 'I brought forward'. Cp. § 645 finals 2.

Aryan.

§ 123. Initially. Pronominal stem Skr. Av. O.Pers. ya-'which' (in Iran. also demonst.), nom. acc. neut. Skr. ya-d Av. ya-þ, Skr. yá-thā Av. ya-þa O.Pers. ya-þā 'how': Gr. δ-ς 'qui' δ 'quod', Goth. jáins 'that' (either to Lith. ji- in jì-s, § 84 rem. 1 or according to Lidén Arkiv f. Nord. fil. III 242 from Indg. loc. *ioi, formed like Gr. ἐκεῖ-νο-ς fr. ἐκεῖ), ja-bái 'if', acc. sg. fem. Lith. jã O.Bulg. ja 'eam' (= Skr. yá-m, Gr. ἄν, ην). Skr. yájati Áv. yazaitē 'honours', Skr. yájas- n. 'veneration':

Gr. ἄζομαι 'I honour, stand in pious awe of' fr. *μαγιομαι (§ 131), ἄγος n. 'veneration'.

- 1. sg. impf. Skr. $\dot{a}yam$ O.Pers. ayam (read $\bar{a}yam$) 'I went' = Indg. * $\dot{e}im(m)$, ep. Gr. $\ddot{\eta}a$ for * $\ddot{\eta}a$ (§ 130), fr. rt. ei-. Opt. 3. sg. Skr. $hany\acute{a}t$ Av. $jany\ddot{a}$ \rlap/p 'he may strike dead', prim. f. * $ghn_{\dot{e}}$ - $i\bar{e}$ -t, fr. rt. ghen- 'strike dead'. Opt. 3. sg. Skr. $gamy\acute{a}t$ Av. $jamy\ddot{a}$ \rlap/p 'he may come', prim. f. * $gn_{\dot{e}}$ - $i\bar{e}$ -t, rt. gem- 'come'. Av. 3. sg. $mer^{\dot{e}}yeiti$, to Lat. morior (§ 119). Skr. 3. sg. $kury\acute{a}t$ 'he may make' fr. * $kry\acute{a}t$, prim. f. * $qr_{\dot{e}}$ - $i\bar{e}$ -t (§ 289).

In Av. for final $-ay\bar{e}$ (= prim. Ar. *- $a\dot{i}a\dot{i}$) $-\bar{e}\bar{e}$ is written and for final -ayem (= prim. Ar. *- $a\dot{i}am$), -aem, e. g. dat. sg. $mat\bar{e}\bar{e}$ = Skr. $mat\acute{a}y-\bar{e}$ (nom. sg. Av. $maiti-\dot{s}$ Skr. $mat\acute{i}-\dot{s}$ f. 'sense, thought'), 1. sg. impf. $vae\bar{d}aem$ 'I let know' = Skr. (á-) $v\bar{e}dayam$, prim. f. *uoideio-m, rt. ueid- 'know'. See Bartholomae Handb. §§ 93. 95. 224. 290.

§ 125. Postconsonantal. Skr. $jy\bar{a}$ - Av. $jy\bar{a}$ - 'bowstring', prim. f. *gi- \bar{a} -. Skr. sat- $y\acute{a}$ - Av. $hai\bar{p}ya$ - O.Pers. $ha\check{s}iya$ - (read $ha\check{s}ya$ -, s. below) 'true'. Skr. ar- $y\acute{a}$ - Av. airya- 'faithful, devoted to, Aryan'. 1. sg. Skr. $p\acute{a}\dot{s}$ - $y\bar{a}$ -mi Av. $spasy\bar{e}mi$ 'conspicio', Indg. * $spe\hat{k}$ - $i\bar{o}$. Passive Skr. $\dot{s}as$ - $y\acute{a}$ - $t\bar{e}$ 'is valued', O.Pers. $\bar{p}ah$ - $y\bar{a}$ -mahy 'we are called'.

In Vedic i often interchanged with ii, e. g. άἐν-iya- ʿlππιος', rāj-iyá-m 'dominion' (cp. Lat. rēgiu-s), mitr-iya- 'friendly' but sat-yá-; dat. abl. pl. prajá-bhiyas beside prajá-bhyas (prajá-bhyas)

*posterity') pitý-bhyas (pitár- 'father'), etc. Cp. § 120. classical language mostly only i occurs, e. g. only -bhyas, only rāj-ya- etc., only opt. syām 'sim'. The old grade ij remained however e.g. in mr-iya-tē 'moritur', kr-iya-tē 'is made' (kar-'make') and similar presents; in the opt. (precative) kr-iyā-t from kar- and similar forms; in the aor. a-śi-śriy-a-t fr. śray- śri-'lean against'; in the instr. sg. bhiyā fr. bhī- f. 'fear' (cp. Ved. part. bhiy-āná-, Lith. bij-aŭ-s 'am in fear') striyā from strī-'wife' (but i in other than initial syllables: dātryā fr. dātrī-'donatrix'). In the popular dialects if frequently appears for the i of the classical language, without the quantity of the preceding syllable being a criterion in the same manner as in Vedic. In this case it is undoubtedly, partly at least, not a question of Indg. ii or analogy, but of a purely regular evolution fr. consonant + i (as in Lat.), e. g. Pāli mari $y\bar{a}d\bar{a}=\mathrm{Skr.}\ maryar{a}dar{a}$ 'mark, boundary'; $ariya-=\mathrm{Skr.}\ arya-$ (-iy- very frequently after r); kammaniya- beside kammanna-= Skr. karmanya- 'operative, active'; Prākr. cōriam = Skr. cāurya-m 'theft'; uddisia = Skr. ud-dišya absolutive 'by pointing to'. With Prākr. ia for ija cp. niutta- = Skr. ni-yuktα-'bound to'.

Prim. Iran. $h\underline{i} = \text{prim. Ar. } s\underline{i} \text{ became } \check{n}h \text{ in Av., but } hy$ was still retained in the Gāpā dialect. $va\check{n}h\bar{o}$, Gāp. $vahy\bar{o}$: Skr. $v\acute{a}s-yas$ 'melius', neut. compar. of vanhu-: Skr. $v\acute{a}su-$ 'bonus'. Op. § 558, 3.

Final -ia $-i\bar{a}$, which was still retained in the $G\bar{a}p\bar{a}$ dial. as $-y\bar{a}$ (i. e. -ya and $-y\bar{a}$, § 649, 1), appears after consonants, especially after h, (= prim. Ar. s, § 558, 3) as -e. yehe, $G\bar{a}p$. yehya: Skr. $y\hat{a}$ -sya, gen. sg. fr. ya- 'which'.

-tīm appears for -yem. ainim: Skr. anyá-m 'alium' (Gāp. also anyēm). haipīm: Skr. satyá-m 'verum'. Similarly yesnīm: Skr. yajā/y i-m 'venerandum'. Cp. Bartholomae Handb. § 95.

In Av., as in Vedic, the metre shows that y is often to be read as iy especially after long syllables, e. g. $b\bar{a}mya$ - 'beaming, shining' as $b\bar{a}miya$ -, dat. pl. $hitaeby\bar{o} = \text{Skr. } sit\bar{e}$ -bhyas 'vinctis' as $hitaebiy\bar{o}$. This is further shown by the preceding voiceless

explosives not being changed into spirants, e. g. $d\bar{a}itya$ - 'legal' was $d\bar{a}itiya$ -; because * $d\bar{a}tia$ - would have become * $d\bar{a}ipya$ -, as e. g. haipya- = Skr. satya- 'true' shows (§§ 353. 473).

In Old Persian iy or $\bar{\imath}y$ mostly occurs (the latter only graphically different from iy, s. § 21). In a number of cases in which these spellings are found, the pronunciation may have been $i\dot{i}$ as in Ved. and Av. But this can only be directly supported in cases where the preceding t was not changed to \check{s} (§§ 353. 473), e. g. martiya- 'homo' = Av. $ma\check{s}iya$ - ($\check{s}=rt$ § 260) Ved. $m\acute{a}rtiya$ - (§ 120 p. 112). In most cases, however, iy ($\bar{\imath}y$) will have to be read as \dot{i} , certainly in $ha\check{s}iya$ - (= Av. $hai\rlappya$ - Skr. $saty\acute{a}$ -) and others, where \check{s} was caused by $t\dot{i}$, probably in aniya- (= Av. anya-, Skr. $any\acute{a}$ -), $maniya\bar{\imath}hy$ (2. sg. conj. pres., to Av. 1. sg. indic. pres. manya, Skr. 3. sg. pres. indic. $m\acute{a}nyat\bar{\imath}$ fr. Ar. man- 'think'), 3. sing. opt. $jam\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ (= Av. $jamy\bar{a}p$ Skr. $gamy\acute{a}t$ 'eat') and others of the same kind.

On the other hand O.Pers. tya- 'which' is to be read as tija- on account of the non-change of the t, cp. Ved. $tiy\acute{a}$ - beside $ty\acute{a}$ - 'that, the'.

§ 126. Anteconsonantal and finally. The vowel remained i after \bar{a} ($\bar{a}i$) in Sanskrit, whereas in prim. Ar. and prim. Skr. ai became \bar{e} . Prim. Ar. and prim. Iran. ai appears in Av. as ae (i. e. ae) or $\bar{o}i$, finally as $-\bar{o}i$ and $-\bar{e}$, prim. Ar. and prim. Iran. $\bar{a}i$ as $\bar{a}i$; prim. Ar. ai and $\bar{a}i$ remained unchanged in O.Pers. (ai, written -aiy -ay when final, and $\bar{a}i$).

Prim. Ar. 3. sing. *ai-ti 'goes' = Indg. *éi-ti, Gr. ɛi-ou : Skr. éti, Av. aeiti (fr. aeti § 638), O.Pers. aitiy. Prim. Ar. 3. sg. *bharait 'he may bear' = Indg. *bheroit, Gr. $\varphi \dot{e} \rho ou$: Skr. bharēt, Av. barōib. Prim. Ar. *dūrai, loc. sg. of *dūra-'distant', cp. Gr. oize oizou 'at home' : Skr. dūrē, Av. dūrōi dūirē, O.Pers. dūraiy dūray.

3. sg. Skr. āit, Av. āiþ 'went', Indg. *ēit fr. *é eit, fr. rt. ei-; O.Pers. atiy-āiš 'went beyond' (the ending -š from the sigmatic aorist). Instr. Skr. pl. šatāiš Av. satāi-š from st. Skr. šata-

Av. sata- 'centum', Gr. -οις (§ 611). Av. dat. sg. mazištāi fr. st. mazišta- 'maximus' : Gr. μεγίστω.

Cp. §§ 62. 78. 94.

§ 127. The transition of i to a palatal spirant.

y was probably everywhere a consonantal vowel in Vedic and classical Sanskrit. Whilst initially and frequently also medially it became a spirant in Prākrit, hence e. g. ja- 'which' (ज) = ya-, kajja- n. 'business, trade' (कज) = $k\bar{a}rya$ -.

Bartholomae (Handb. pp. 9. 36 f., cp. also Hübschmann Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIV 354 f.) thinks that it had partly a spirantal function in Iranian. The change of prim. Ar. tenues into spirants seems to have been caused by this in every case. (§ 473).

Armenian.

§ 128. It is here difficult to ascertain the sound-laws owing to the scarcity of reliable etymological material. In any case i probably did not remain unchanged.

į disappeared after consonants. ču 'a breaking up', to Skr. cyū-ti-š 'quick motion, departure from something'; it is not however clear whether the prim. Indg. rt. is qieu- or qjeu-. Epenthesis appears in ail, gen. ailoy, 'alius' fr. *alio- (Goth. alja- Gr. αλλο- etc.).

For the rest we refer to Hübschmann Armen. Stud. I 78.

Greek.

§ 129. Initially uniformly ', which presupposes a voiceless i as intermediate stage. δ_S 'who': Skr. $y\dot{a}$ -s. $\tilde{\eta}\pi\alpha\varrho$ 'liver': Av. $y\bar{a}kar^e$, Skr. yakit, Lat. jecur. $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\nu\delta$ - $\dot{\beta}$ 'holy, venerable': Skr. $yaj\hat{n}\dot{a}$ -s 'offering'. $\dot{\nu}\sigma\mu\dot{\nu}\eta$ 'battle': Skr. yudh- 'fight'. Lesb. 'fr. ': $\ddot{\nu}\mu\mu\epsilon\zeta$ 'ye' beside Att. $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\bar{\iota}\zeta$, to Skr. yu- $\dot{\xi}ma$ -, cp. Lesb. 'fr. $\dot{\epsilon}$ = Skr. $s\dot{a}$ § 564.

§ 130. Intersonantal. i between vowels, when the first was not u, dropped out in prim. Gr. (except when, after i, it

served as a glide to the following vowel, s. § 131). $\delta \epsilon o \varsigma$ 'fear' fr. * $\delta \mathcal{F} \epsilon_{\mathcal{L}} - o \varsigma$, Hom. pf. $\delta \epsilon i \delta \omega$ i. e. * $\delta \epsilon' \delta \mathcal{F} \omega$ 'I fear' probably from * $\delta \epsilon \delta \mathcal{F} \delta \varepsilon (\mathfrak{L}) - a$, rt. $d \mu e i$. Nom. plur. $\tau \varrho \epsilon i \varsigma$ 'three' fr. * $\tau \varrho \epsilon (\mathfrak{L}) - \epsilon \varsigma$: Skr. t r a y - a s. 1. sg. $q o \beta' \epsilon \omega$ 'I frighten, scare away': Skr. $b h \bar{a} - j a y \bar{a} m i$ 'I hunt'. The cases, in which \mathfrak{L} seems to have been retained in this position, are new formations, e. g. Arcad. $\tau \epsilon i \omega$ 'I atone for, pay' not = Skr. $c a y - a - t \bar{e}$, but a formation from $\tau t \omega$ $\tau \iota \omega$ (Skr. $c \bar{c} - y \dot{a} - t \bar{e}$) after $\tau \epsilon \iota \sigma \omega$ ' $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \iota \sigma \omega$ etc., Hom. $\dot{o} \kappa \nu \epsilon \iota \omega$ beside $\dot{o} \kappa \nu \epsilon \omega$ 'I hesitate, linger' not fr. * $\dot{o} \kappa \nu \epsilon \iota \omega$ (cp. $\ddot{o} \kappa \nu \sigma - \varsigma$ 'hesitation'), but formed after such presents as $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega$ fr. * $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma - \iota \omega$ 'I finish' (§ 131).

i after u: Cypr. opt. $\varphi i - i\eta = Av$. $bu-y\bar{a}-\bar{p}$, Indg. * $bhu-i\bar{e}-t$: Lesb. pres. $\varphi v'\omega$ fr. * $bhu-j\bar{o}$, rt. bhey- 'be, become'.

When the second vowel arose from Indg. sonantal nasal: 1. sg. $\tilde{\eta}\alpha$ 'went' for regular * $\tilde{\eta}\alpha$ ($\tilde{\eta}$ -, formed after $\tilde{\eta}\mu\nu$ etc.) fr. Indg. * $\tilde{\epsilon}i$ - η , 3. pl. opt. mid. Hom. $q\epsilon qolaro$ for * $q\epsilon qoaro$ (-orafter $q\epsilon qol\mu\epsilon\theta\alpha$ etc.) fr. * $bh\acute{e}ro\acute{g}$ - ηto , rt. bher- 'bear'.

1. sg. τεπταίνω 'I make' fr. *τεπτανιω, *τεπτη-ιω fr. τέπτων. βαίνω fr. *βανιω, *βαμ-ιω, *gη-ιὄ (§ 204), rt. gem- 'go'. Cp. § 234. σπαίοω 'I struggle convulsively' fr. *σπαοιω, *sργ-ιὄ (§ 293): Lith. spiriù 'I push with the foot'. $\dot{\iota}$ palatalised nasals and $\dot{\varrho}$ and combined with the preceding α to form $\alpha\iota$ (§ 639). On the other hand σπάλλω 'I stir up, hoe' fr. *σπαλιω, *sηλ-ιὄ: Lith. skilù (fr. *skil-iu) 'I strike fire'. Cp. § 131.

§ 131. Postconsonantal.

si-became ': \hat{v} - $\mu\eta'\nu$ (\tilde{v}) 'thin skin' \tilde{v} - $\mu\nu$ -o- ς 'hymn, song', to Skr. $sy\bar{u}$ -man-'cord' $sy\bar{u}$ - $t\acute{u}$ -s 'sewed', cp. further the compounds with $\kappa\alpha\tau(\grave{u})$ $\kappa\acute{a}\sigma\sigma\bar{v}\mu\alpha$ Att. $\kappa\acute{a}\tau\tau\bar{v}\mu\alpha$ 'anything stitched, leather sole', ν - ϵ - ϵ - ϵ - ϵ - ϵ - ϵ -free-soled'.

Postvocalic s and u became palatalised by a following i, then the latter combined with the preceding vowel to form a diphthong (§ 639). Gen. sg. * $\tau o - \sigma_i o$ of the' = Skr. $t\acute{a}$ -sya became * $\tau o \iota \sigma o$, Hom. $\tau o \iota i o$, Att. $\tau o \iota i$. Hom. $\tau \epsilon \iota \epsilon \iota i o$ Att. $\tau \epsilon \iota \iota i o$ 'I complete' fr. * $\tau \epsilon \iota \epsilon \iota \epsilon \sigma \circ \iota o$ st. $\tau \epsilon \iota \epsilon \iota \sigma \circ \circ o$ honours' from $n \acute{a} m a s \circ o$ honour, respect'. Hom. $\epsilon \iota i \eta \nu$ 'sim' fr. * $\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \circ o$ Skr. $\epsilon \iota \circ o$ skr. $\epsilon \iota \circ o$ in the Att. form $\epsilon \iota \circ o$ is due to the

influence of ε iμεν. *zλαF-μω 'I weep' (fut. zλαi-σομα) became *zλαiFω, hence Hom. Att. zλαiω, but *zλαiFε- Att. zλiε- in zλiεiε etc., like δ iε iρ 'brother-in-law' fr. *δα<math>iFρφ = Skr. d iε v iε γ. *s § 96. The double forms zλαiω, and zλiεiω, as well as zαiω and ziεiω 'I burn, light' (fut zαiεiω) are due to levelling: the forms were originally zλαiω zλiεiε zλiεiε zλαiεiε zλαiεiε zλαiεiε zλαiεiε εtc.

* $z\tau\epsilon\nu$ - $z\omega$ 'I kill' became $z\tau\epsilon\nu\nu\omega$ in Lesb. and $z\tau\epsilon'\nu\omega$ in Att. (by compensation lengthening). * $d\mu\epsilon\nu$ - $z\omega\nu$ 'better' became Att. $d\mu\epsilon'\nu\omega\nu$ Boot. $d\mu\epsilon'\nu\omega\lambda\epsilon'$ Arcad. $d\mu\eta\nu'\epsilon'$ Cypr. $d\mu\eta\nu'$ Arcad. * $d\mu\epsilon'$ ω 'I destroy' became Lesb. $d\mu\epsilon'$ ω , Att. $d\mu\epsilon'$ ω , Arcad. $d\mu\eta'$ ω . Ilei ω -($d\nu$) = Lesb. $d\nu$ and $d\nu$ kind arose from * $d\nu$ the forms show that Hom. $d\nu$ and $d\nu$ and $d\nu$ which arose from * $d\nu$ before words beginning with a vowel, are due to compensation lengthening. $d\nu$ before $d\nu$ the following in the length $d\nu$ before words beginning with a vowel, are due to compensation lengthening. $d\nu$ before $d\nu$ the following is a mixture of $d\nu$ the following is a mixture of $d\nu$ the following in Att.

Whereas *στελ-μω 'I order' became universally στέλλω, *ἀλμο-ς 'alius' Att. etc. ἄλλος, but Cypr. αἶλο-ς. Cp. §§ 130. 639.

Indg. $q_{\dot{i}}$ $gh_{\dot{i}}$, $\hat{k}_{\dot{i}}$, $gh_{\dot{i}}$, $t_{\dot{i}}$, $dh_{\dot{i}}$ appear as $\sigma\sigma$, $\tau\tau$. see § 489; Indg. $g_{\dot{i}}$, $g_{\dot{i}}$, $d_{\dot{i}}$ as ζ $(\sigma\delta)$, $\delta\delta$, s. § 493.

Indg. p_{ℓ} became $\pi\tau$. Verbal stem $\pi\tau\bar{v}$ - in $\pi\tau\bar{v}\omega$ 'I spit' fr. *(σ) $\pi_{\ell}\bar{v}$ -: Lith. $spi\acute{a}u$ -ju O.Bulg. plju-ja (fr. *(s) $p_{\ell}\bar{u}$ -ia, § 147) 'I spit', Skr. abhi- $\xi thy\bar{u}$ -ta- 'bespit' (cp. § 327). $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \omega$ 'I oppress, depress' fr. * $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi$ - $\iota \omega$ fr. $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi$ - $\iota \omega$ fr. $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi$ - $\iota \omega$ fr. $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi$ - $\iota \omega$

The prim. Indg. duality $\dot{\ell}$ and $i\dot{\ell}$ was preserved e. g. in $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\sigma\varsigma$ $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\varsigma$ 'medius' (fr. * $\mu\epsilon\theta$ - $\mu\epsilon\sigma$ - ϵ) = Skr. $m\dot{\epsilon}dhya$ -s) compared with $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \varsigma$ 'patrius' (= Skr. $\rho itriya$ -s), in $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\zeta \omega$ 'I do, work' (fr. * $f_{\rho\epsilon\gamma}$ - $f_{\ell}\omega$, cp. § 120) compared with $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\delta}$ - $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ 'I sweat'. On the other hand the Lesb. forms $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\varrho\varrho\sigma\varsigma$, $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\dot{\delta}\tau\epsilon\varrho\varrho\sigma\varsigma$ = Att. $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\tau\varrho\iota\sigma\varsigma$ 'moderate', $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\dot{\delta}\tau\varrho\iota\sigma\varsigma$ 'alienus' etc. show a sound-change which took place within Greek itself: $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\tau\varrho\iota\sigma\varsigma$ became *metrios, *meterios, thence $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\varrho\varrho\sigma\varsigma$ (§ 626). It seems to me more probable that the ζ in Lesb. $\zeta\dot{\epsilon}\alpha$, $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\zeta\alpha$, $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\alpha$, $\lambda\dot$

The Cypr. orthography $\Pi \alpha \varphi i \mu \alpha$, $i \mu \alpha \tau \tilde{\eta} \rho \alpha \nu$, Pamphyl. $\delta u \alpha$, $E \sigma \tau \mathcal{F} \dot{\epsilon}(\nu) \delta u \nu \varsigma$ (= $\Delta \sigma \pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \iota \sigma \varsigma$) (read $i \dot{\imath}$), and other facts show that the antevocalic ι was pronounced $-i \dot{\imath}$ - in words like $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \varrho \iota \sigma \varsigma$. The ending $-i \mu \sigma - \varsigma$ was therefore probably the unchanged form of Indg. $-i \dot{\imath} \sigma - s$.

§ 132. Anteconsonantal and finally.

The Indg. anteconsonantal $e\underline{i}$, $o\underline{i}$, $a\underline{i}$, $\bar{e}\underline{i}$, $\bar{o}\underline{i}$, $\bar{a}\underline{i}$ can all be proved to be pure \underline{i} -diphthongs up to historical times. E. g. $\varepsilon \bar{i}\mu \iota (= \text{Indg. *eimi 'I go'})$, $o\bar{i}\delta\varepsilon (= \text{Indg. *yoide 'he knows'})$, $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota (= \text{Indg. *bheretai 3. sig. mid. fr. rt. bher- 'bear'})$, $\tilde{i}\pi\pi\omega \iota \tilde{i}\pi\pi\omega \iota (= \text{Indg. *ekuōi 'to a horse'})$, $\chi \omega \rho \bar{a}\iota \chi \omega \rho \mu$ ('to land' with Indg. ending $-\bar{a}\underline{i}$) were, in Attic, still pronounced with $e\underline{i}$, $o\underline{i}$ etc. about 500 B. C.

But ei, oi, ai underwent manifold changes even at an early period. In certain cases i became e, and in others the consonantal vowel coalesced with the first component to form a simple long vowel. S. §§ 64. 80. 96. In the Middle Ages monophthongs were generally the only forms still met with.

The first component of $\bar{e}i$, $\bar{o}i$, $\bar{a}i$ was shortened before consonants in prim. Gr., e. g. $i\pi\pi\omega\varsigma = \mathrm{Skr.}$ ásvāiš (§ 611). When final, they remained unchanged up to historical times. But then final μ became mute at the same time with the new μ in the combinations $\eta\iota$, $\omega\iota$, $\bar{\alpha}\iota$ ($\eta\iota$, ω , α) which in the meantime had often arisen before consonants, as $\bar{\eta}\tau\varepsilon$ 'ye went', $\dot{\varrho}\dot{\omega}\delta\iota \sigma\varsigma$ 'easy'. This process however dit not extend itself over the whole language at the same time; it seems everywhere to have taken place with $\eta\iota$ earlier than with $\bar{\alpha}\iota$ and $\omega\iota$. Towards the end of the third Cent. B. C. they were still only spoken as simple vowels in all or most dialects, e. g. $i\pi\pi\omega$ as $iipp\bar{o}$, $i\eta\tau\varepsilon$ as $\bar{e}te$.

Italic.

§ 133. Initially. Indg. i and j fell completely together in i, written j in Lat. words, and i in Umbr.-Samnitic. Indg. i e. g. in Lat. jecur 'liver': Skr. yakit, Gr. $\tilde{\eta}\pi\alpha\varrho$. Cp. Lat. jugu-m 'yoke': Gr. $\zeta v \gamma \acute{o}-v$, with Indg. j-. It is frequently uncertain

whether a form represents Indg. i- or j-: Lat. jocu-s 'joke'. Umbr. iuka 'ioca': Lith. jūkas 'joke'; Lat. juven-i-s juven-cu-s, Umbr. ivengar nom. 'iuvencae' (i- fr. *ju-): Skr. yūvan- 'young' yuva-šā-s 'youthful', Goth. juggs 'young'.

Several centuries after the beginning of the Christian era Lat. j- (i-) became a spirant which became developed to $d\tilde{z}$ -: cp. e. g. Ital. giovane Fr. jeune with Lat. juvenis.

§ 134. Intersonantal. j between vowels seems to have disappeared already in prim. Italic i), when after i it did not serve as glide to the following vowel. Lat. ea- 'she', acc. eam: Goth. ijō- 'she', acc. ija; cpf. *ejā-; Umbr. eam 'eam' eaf 'eas', Osc. iok 'ea'. Nom. pl. Lat. trēs 'three' fr. *tre(i)-es: Skr. tráy-as; Lat. pontēs, Umbr. puntes 'bridges': O.Bulg. patije patije 'ways'. Lat. st. aer- (gen. aer-is) 'ore, bronze' aēnu-s ahēnu-s 'brazen', Umbr. ahesnes 'aenis' (where h only indicates the separation of the vowels in both dial.), fr. *ajes-, *ajes-no-(§ 570): Skr. áyas- 'iron'. Lat. $st\bar{o}$ 'I stand' fr. * $st\bar{a}$ -(i) \bar{o} , $st\bar{a}s$ fr. *stā-(i)e-s, Pelign. incubat 'incubat'. Lat. neō 'I sew', neunt fr. $n\bar{e}$ - $(i)\bar{o}$, $n\bar{e}$ -(i)o-nt: OHG. $n\bar{a}an$ 'to sew'. $fle\bar{o}$ 'I weep': O.Bulg. blě-ja 'I bleat'. sileō 'I am silent' fr. *silē-(i)ō, cp. Goth. 3. sg. siláiþ 'silet' fr. *silē-je-ti (§ 142). O.Lat. compar. pleores 'plures' : Skr. prā-yas-, cpf. *plē-ies-. Causat. moneō 'I cause to remember' fr. *monejō: Skr. mānáyāmi; 2. pl. imper. monēte fr. *mone(i)ete: Skr. mān iyata. Osc. pútiad 'possit' fr. *pote(i)āt, to Lat. potēns potui. O.Lat. cluō 'I am called, named': Skr. $\dot{s}r\bar{u}$ - $y\dot{a}$ - $t\bar{e}$ 'is heard'. O.Lat. conj. fuat 'sit': Lesb. $qv\dot{a}\omega$.

Lat. $f\bar{\imath}ni\bar{\imath}$ 'I finish' represents an older $f\bar{\imath}ni-i\bar{\imath}$. from $f\bar{\imath}ni-s$, just as Skr. Ved. $ur\bar{a}ti-y\acute{a}-ti$ 'is hostile, bears ili-will' from $\acute{a}r\bar{a}-ti-\check{\imath}$ 'hostility'. Notwithstanding the orthography $-i\bar{\imath}$ we must probably assume that i was not quite mute. This consonant however disappeared by contraction in $f\bar{\imath}n\bar{\imath}s$, $f\bar{\imath}n\bar{\imath}te$, whose original endings were *-i-ie-s, *-i-ie-te. Cp. § 135 concerning $fier\bar{\imath}$, patrius etc.

¹⁾ Some forms, in which i was only apparently retained, as in Osc. stafet, Umbr. fula 'fiat' portaia 'portet', will be disposed of in the accidence.

Indg. *gm- $i\bar{o}$ (Gr. $\beta alv\omega$) 'I go' became * $gueni\bar{o}$ in prim. Italic (§§ 208. 239), thence Lat. $veni\bar{o}$; Indg. pres. st. *mr-jo-'die' (Av. 3. sg. mer^e -ye-iti) became prim. Ital. *morjo- (§ 296), thence Lat. morio-, s. §§ 120. 135.

§ 135. Postconsonantal. \underline{i} was only retained as a consonant in this position when a consonant had disappeared before it. Lat. Jov-is, Umbr. Iuv-e Osc. Iuv-ei 'Iovi': Skr. $dy\bar{a}u'$ - \underline{s} , Gr. $Z\varepsilon\dot{v}_{\varsigma}$. Lat. $aj\bar{o}$ 'I say' fr. *ah- $\underline{i}\bar{o}$ or * $\bar{a}h$ - $\underline{i}\bar{o}$ beside ad-aginm: Skr. pf. 3. sg. aha 'said'; $m\bar{a}jor$ 'greater' beside $m\bar{a}gnu$ -s: Skr. $mah\bar{v}yas$ - 'greater'; cp. § 510.

In other cases \underline{i} became sonantal, a process which may be compared with the transition of the suffix - μ o- to - μ o- (§ 170), of - μ clo- to - μ o- (intermediate stage - μ o) etc. (§§ 269. 627). E. g. mediu-s: Skr. mádhyu-s; alius: Gr. μ ius: Gr. μ ius- (au-pediu-s quick-footed: Gr. μ iis μ iis manner also μ iis μ iis

The form socius fr. *soku-io-s (rt. seq- in sequer, ep. Gr. $\dot{\alpha}$ -osantho 'helper' with so = $q\dot{i}$, §§ 131. 489) is of interest for the chronology of the change of $-\dot{i}$ - to $-\dot{i}$ -, since \dot{u} probably dropped out at a time when \dot{i} , not already $i\dot{i}$, was still spoken.

Further such forms as capis capit fr. *capies *capiet = Goth. hafjis hafjih, cape fr. *capi (like mare fr. *mari, § 33), the latter fr. *capie, also point to this old i, likewise nom. alis, Cornēlis fr. -iis cp. Lith. mēdis fr. *medis (§ 84 rem. 1).

Rem. It is hardly probable that we have still an older i for i(i) in such quantities as avium (fr. avi-s), pvincipium, faciās, found in Roman poets, these possibly follow the prevalent pronunciation of some dialect. It is quite incredible that a similar one holds good for prim. Romance *medjus (Italian mezzo) etc. (Diez Gramm. d. rom. Spr. I * p. 179 ff., Horning Ztschr. f. rom. Phil. VII 572 f.).

On the other hand Indg. ii seems to have remained unchanged in the following cases. Lat. gen. pl. trium: Goth.

prij-ē, Lith. trij-ū, O.Bulg. trĭj-ĭ trij-ĭ, Gr. τρ:ῶν. patriu-s: Skr. pitriya-, Gr. πάτριο-ς. rēgiu-s: Skr. rājiyá-¹). siem (later sim): Skr. siyám, Goth. sijáu. du-bius fr. *du-bhy-ijos, fierī fr. Indg. *bhy-ijō, fīs fr. *bhy-ije-s, from rt. bhey- (cp. § 120 and Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 430 ff.).

We cannot tell whether the *i* was consonantal or sonantal in Umbr. fasia, Volse. fasia 'faciat', Umbr. avie dat. 'auspiciis', Osc. mefiai 'in media', diuvia- 'Ioviā-' and other similar forms in the Umbr.-Samnitic dialects. Whereas *ii* may be traced in spellings as Umbr. Vušiia-per 'pro Vocia', Osc. Iúviia 'Ioviam' (cp. Osc. Meelíkiicís Meduzlov), and *i* in such as Umbr. vistiša vestisa beside vestišia vestisia abl. 'libamento', spinamar' beside spiniam-a 'ad spinam'. Osc. s from ti in Bansae 'Bantiae', z fr. di in zicolom 'diem' (cp. Lat. diēcula). i seems to have sprung from older ii in both forms; the zetacistic process may have been limited to the local dialect of Bantia.

§ 136. Anteconsonantal and finally.

Indg. $e\underline{i}$, $o\underline{i}$, $a\underline{i}$ were still diphthongs in prim. Italic. At the beginning of historic times $e\underline{i}$ seems to have become an open $\overline{\imath}$ in Lat. and Osc., the orthography ei was at all events retained for a long time after the diphthong had become monophthong (§ 65). $o\underline{i}$ and $a\underline{i}$ were certainly still diphthongs at the beginning of historic times. They became in the first instance $o\underline{g}$ and $a\underline{g}$. For their further development s. §§ 81. 97. Prim. Ital. $e\underline{i}$, $o\underline{i}$, $a\underline{i}$ are met with only as monophthongs in Umbrian, e. g. etu eetu 'ito' fr. prim. Ital. * $eit\overline{o}d$, unu 'unum' fr. prim. Ital. * $o\underline{i}no-m$, sve 'si' = Osc. svaí svae.

The first component of $\bar{e}i$, $\bar{o}i$, $\bar{a}i$ was shortened before consonants in prim. Lat. or prim. Italic, e. g. Lat. oloes illīs = Skr. $-\bar{a}i\bar{s}$, Indg. *- $\bar{o}i\bar{s}$ (§§ 85. 612).

Final *- $\bar{o}i$ became - \bar{o} in Lat., e. g. dat. sg. $equ\bar{o} = Gr$. $\ell \pi \pi \varphi$; Marius Victorinus knew -oi ex libris antiquis foederum

¹⁾ nōbis, vōbīs probably not fr. *-bhijes = Skr. -bhiyas in Ved. prajā-bhiyas etc. (cp. Stolz Lat. Gramm. § 90), but a transformation of *-bhi after istīs, in the same manner as tibī was of *-bhi after istī, cp. Skr. tū-bhy-am 'tibi', yušmā-bhy-am 'vobis' with particle -am (see the accidence).

et legum; perhaps still the old $-\bar{o}\dot{\mu}$. $-\bar{a}\dot{\mu}$ became $-\bar{a}$, e. g. Dat. $M\bar{a}t\bar{u}t\bar{a}$ 'Matutae' like Gr. $\chi\dot{\omega}\rho\dot{\alpha}$; $-\bar{a}\dot{\mu}$ may still be represented in the oldest inscriptions in such forms as Menervai, whilst -ae is the locative ending of later times. Osc. dat. Abellanúí 'Abellano', deívaí 'divae'.

Old Irish.

§ 137. Initially. In most words which come under consideration it cannot be determined whether the initial sound was Indg. *i*- or *j*-, and traces of this Indg. difference have not, so far as I know, hitherto been proved in Keltic. The consonant was dropped in Irish, but was retained in the Gallo-Britannic branch.

Indg. i- in *Iud*- ('strife, fight') found in many Britann. proper names, as O.Cymr. *Iud-nerth* (nerth 'strength'): Skr. yúdh- 'battle, combat', Gr. ύσμίνη.

Whether the following have Indg. i- or j- is doubtful: O.Ir. $\bar{o}ac\ \bar{o}c$ Cymr. ieuanc Corn. iouenc Bret. iaouank 'juvenis' Gall. Iovincillu-s, prim. Kelt. *iounk-s: Skr. yuva- $\acute{s}\acute{a}$ -s; O.Ir. compar. $\bar{o}a$ (Bret. iaou), superl. $\bar{o}am$: Skr. $y\acute{a}v$ - $\bar{v}yas$ -, $y\acute{a}v$ - $i\acute{s}tha$ -. O.Ir. $\bar{c}t$ 'zeal', Gall. Iantu- $m\bar{a}rus$ (cp. Mid. Ir. edmur = O.Ir. * $\bar{c}tmar$ 'jealous, zealous' fr. $\bar{c}t + m\bar{a}r$ 'great'). O.Ir. aig 'ice', Cymr. ia (= *iag) 'ice', Bret. ien (= *iagin) 'icy'. Other Gall. proper names with i- as Iura, Iuvavum s. Zeuss-Ebel p. 47.

- § 138. Intersonantal \underline{i} had disappeared. $-tau \cdot t\bar{o}$ 'I am', 2. sg. -tai: Lith. $st\acute{o}-j\mathring{u}-s$ 'I place myself'. -caru 'I love' (2. sg. cari) fr. $*c\acute{u}ra-\underline{i}\bar{o}$ or $*c\acute{u}r\bar{a}-\underline{i}\bar{o}$, a denominative verb like Gr. $\tau\bar{\iota}\mu\acute{a}\omega$ fr. $*\tau\bar{\iota}\mu\alpha-\underline{\iota}\omega$, Skr. $prtan\bar{a}-y\acute{a}-mi$.
- § 139. Postconsonantal. Dat. (instr.) sg. ailiu (i. e. ailiu) fr. * $ali\bar{o}$, stem alio- 'other'. Compar. laigiu (i. e. laigiu) 'smaller' fr. *lag- $i\bar{o}(s)$: cp. Gr. $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\acute{a}\sigma\sigma\omega\nu$ fr. * $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{a}\chi$ - $\iota\omega\nu$.
- -e with palatalisation of the preceding consonant (§ 640) appears for -io- in the Indg. endings *-io-s, *-io-m and for *-ia, e. g. aile 'alius', aile n- 'alium'; neutr. suide n- 'seat' fr. *sodio-m: Lat. soliu-m; nūe 'new': Skr. návya-s, Indg. *new-io-s (cp. §§ 66.

154); fem. aile 'alia'. This -e also appears in cases where according to § 120 we should expect an original -ijo-, e. g. orpe n-n. 'hereditas': Goth. arbi n. 'inheritance'.

Rem. The process, which gave rise to this -e, is not clear. We do not know whether in this case we have also to take into consideration the suffix form -ii- (§ 84 rem. 1) for the masc. and neut., and for the fem. a suffix form as in Lith. ioloibia froot, plant. Thurneysen conjectures that *alios first of all became *alios (op. Lat. alius), thence *alioo(s) (l' is to be read as palatalised l), aile; correspondingly in the fem. *alia, *alia *alioa, aile: op. Gall. Alisea = Alisia and similar forms.

§ 140. Anteconsonantal and finally. In accented syllables ei became \bar{e} (ia) § 66; oi became oe, finally $\bar{\iota}$ § 82; ai became ae, finally $\bar{\iota}$ § 98.

Rem. Cp. § 657, 4 for final Indg. $-\bar{o}i$, $\bar{a}i$.

Germanic.

 \S 141. Initially. Indg. \underline{i} - and \underline{j} - fell together in \underline{i} - in prim. Germ.

Indg. i-. Goth. $j\bar{e}r$ OHG. $j\bar{a}r$ n. 'year': Av. $y\bar{a}r^e$ n. 'year', Gr. δgo_{-S} 'year'. Goth. jus (\bar{u} ?) 'vos': Lith. $j\bar{u}s$, Gr. $\hat{v}\mu e \bar{i}g$.

Indg. j-. Goth. juk OHG. joh n. 'yoke': Skr. yugá-m, Gr. ζυγό-ν 'yoke'.

It is uncertain whether we have Indg. i- or j-: Goth. juggs (jūggs?) OHG. jung 'young', prim. Germ. *iuuun-ga-s: Skr. yuva-šá-s Lat. juven-cu-s.

Goth. j- was i-. In OHG. (and OS.) i- before e and i became a spirant, which was written g, e. g. genēr 'yon', gehan 'to say, speak', indic. pres. gihu, but pret. iah iāhun; s. Braune Ahd. Gramm. § 116, Holthausen Die Soester Mundart § 182. Prim. Germ. i- dropped out in O.Norse: O.Icel. ār, ok, ungr = Goth jēr, juk, juggs.

§ 142. Intersonantal.

Goth. fem. stem $ij\bar{o}$ - 'ea' = Lat. $e\bar{a}$ -, cpf. * $ei\bar{a}$ -.

Prim. Germ. -ii- = Indg. -ei- (§ 67) became -ī- before consonants and finally. Goth. preis OHG. drī 'three' fr. *prii-(i)z: Skr. tráyas, O.Bulg. trije trije etc., Indg. *tréi-es; likewise Goth. gasteis OHG. gesti 'guests' fr. *zastii-(i)z = O.Bulg. gostije

gostije, cpf. *ghostej-es. 2. sg. imper. Goth. nasei OHG. neri 'save, nourish' fr. *nazi-j(i) (Goth. s for z after the analogy of nisan 'to recover, be healed', s. § 581), Goth. fra-vardei 'destroy (something)': Skr. vartáya 'let something take its course', Gr. $q \circ \beta \epsilon \iota$ 'scare' fr. * $q \circ \beta \epsilon (\iota) \epsilon$, Indg. *- $\epsilon i \epsilon$. Cp. §§ 660. 1. 661, 2.

Goth. indic. 1. sg. nasja, 3. sg. nasjip (OHG. neriu nerit, Mod.HG. nähre, nährt) fr. prim. Germ. *nazijō *nazijiđi, still older *nozéjō *nozéjeđi. This -eje-, younger -iji- became -ij- -ī- after long closed syllables: Goth. fravardeip. Cp. Skr. 1. sg. vartáyā-mi, 3. sg. vartáyati = Indg. prim. f. *yortéjō, *yortéje-ti. Goth. anamahtja 'I offer violence', 3. sg. anamahteip, from anamahti- f. 'reproach', can be traced back to Indg. *-i-jō, *-i-jé-ti, ep. Skr. Ved. arāti-yá-ti 'is hostile' from árāti- 'hostility'. Cp. § 635.

It is questionable whether Goth. 1. sg. opt. bairáu (indic. baira 'I bear') is regularly developed from *bhero-i-n prim. Germ. *beraju(n) (§ 244) (Paul in Paul-Braune's Beitr. IV 378, VI 161, Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 302, Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 626).

Goth. siuja 'I sew': cp. Gr. $\varkappa a\sigma\sigma v \bar{v}\omega$ fr. $\ast \varkappa a\tau - \sigma_1 \bar{v} - \iota \omega$, O.Bulg. $\check{s}ija$ fr. $\ast \check{s}iy - \check{\iota}a$ (§§ 60. 147), Lett. schuju.

Indg. \bar{e}_i - appears in Goth. before vowels as ai- (aij-). saia 'I sow', 3. sg. $saii\bar{p}$ (also $saiji\bar{p}$, saijands): Lith. $s\acute{e}ju$ O.Bulg. $s\acute{e}ja$ 'I sow', cpf. * $s\bar{e}j\bar{o}$. $armai\bar{o}$ f. (st. $armai\bar{o}n$ -) 'compassion' (from arma 'I pity', 3. sg. $arm\acute{a}i\bar{p}$), prim. Germ. * $arm\bar{e}j\bar{o}n$ -. In unaccented final syllables as -ai- fr. original $-\bar{e}$ -ie-: 3. sg. $arm\acute{a}i\bar{p}$ fr. * $arm\bar{e}$ -ii- $\bar{d}(i)$, * $-\bar{e}$ -ie-ti; it is here a question of the present-class which is represented in Lat. by $hab\bar{e}re$, $tac\bar{e}re$, $sil\bar{e}re$ (Goth. haban, pahan, silan). In OHG. we have the forms $s\bar{a}an$ ($s\bar{a}han$), $s\bar{a}n$, $s\bar{a}ian$ (i=i), $s\bar{a}wan$ (OS. $s\bar{a}ian$, Ags. $s\bar{a}wan$, O.Icel. $s\bar{a}$) as compared with Goth. saian and the form $arm\bar{e}t$ as compared with Goth. $arm\acute{a}i\bar{p}$.

Rem. 1. The much discussed question as to the history of antevocalic \bar{e}_2^i in Germanic (recently more fully discussed by Paul in Paul-Braune's Beitr. VII 152 ff., Kögel IX 509 ff., Bremer XI 46 ff.) has not yet been completely cleared up. The following seems to me to be the most probable.

* $s\bar{e}i\bar{o}$ existed up to the end of the prim. Germ. period. The ai in Goth. saia was the long vowel of the ai in baira, namely an open \bar{e} ,

which vowel is certainly to be assumed also in loan words as hairaisis = Gr. aloesus (Gr. al was an open \bar{e} in Ulfila's time); s. Braune Got. Gramm.² p. 11. Cp. Goth. au before vowels § 179. It is probable that the j in saiji \bar{p} was still prim. Germ. -i-, but it must not be maintained as certain. We may apparently assume for West Germ., where \bar{e} became \bar{a} (§ 75), that i partly (before a and guttural vowels) regularly dropped out and that then w made its appearance before guttural vowels as glide (1. sg. $s\bar{a}wu$), after which by form assimilation i became also used before guttural vowels and i before palatal; and at this stage the different dialects took different directions. One might also assume that those forms in which i regularly dropped out were extended by analogy to all other forms and that i was later generated again as a glide in $s\bar{a}$ -is, $s\bar{a}$ -it etc.

Original ēje in unaccented final syllables was treated somewhat differently. 3. sg. *armējiđi became *armējāi (with open ē), thence Goth. armāip and OHG. armēt. For Goth. 1. sg. arma, 1. pl. armam, see the accidence.

Prim. Germ. *blōiana-n 'to blossom' (cp. Lat. flō-s flō-r-is 'flower'): OHG. bluoan (bluohan) bluoian bluowan, OS. blōian, Ags. blōwan; cp. OHG. sāian sāwan above. Prim. Germ. 3. sg. *frijō-ji-āi 'loves' (= O.Bulg. prija-je-tī 'takes care of') became *frijō-jā, *frijōāi, thence Goth. frijō-p̄, analogously OHG. salbōt = Goth. salbō-p̄ 'anoints'; cp. Goth. compar. armō-za 'poorer', superl. armō-sts 'poorest' fr. *armō-izō, -ista-z, which first became *armō-jzō -ō-jstaz.

Rem. 2. It is remarkable that $\bar{o}_{\bar{i}}$ and $\bar{e}_{\bar{i}}$ were treated differently before consonants, but I see no plausible means of avoiding this assumption.

After short vowels -i-occasionally appears as -ddj- in Goth. Gen. pl. $tvaddj\bar{e}$ 'duorum': Skr. $dvay\acute{a}$ -. duddja 'I suckle': Skr. $dh\acute{a}y\bar{a}$ -mi. iddja 'I went': Skr. \acute{a} - $y\bar{a}$ -m. To this -ddj- corresponded O.Norse -ggj-, e. g. O.Icel. tveggja: Goth. $tvaddj\bar{e}$. In Westgerm. the original prim. Germ. 'sound' generated an i, which, uniting with the preceding vowel, formed a diphthong, and when the preceding vowel was i, an $\bar{\imath}$, e. g. OHG. zweijo: Goth. $tvaddj\bar{e}$, $Fr\bar{\imath}ja$: O.Icel. Frigg. The conditions for this universal Germ. special treatment of -i- have not been determined. Cp. § 179 and Kögel in Paul-Braune's Beitr. IX 523.

Rem. 3. The consonant, developed before the prim. Germ. i-sound in Gothic-Norse, was a palatal g. Nothing stands in the way of our assigning this palatal value to O.Icel. gg before j. This sound moved further

forward in Gothic and became (dorsal?) d (dd). See Braune in Paul-Braune's Beitr. IX 545 f.

Indg. Combination $-\eta \dot{i}$. Goth. glitmunja 'I shine', like Gr. $\sigma\pi\varepsilon\varrho\mu\alpha\prime\nu\omega$ 'I sow with seed', Indg. $-m\eta\dot{-}\dot{i}\bar{o}$ (§ 245). Goth. kuni, st. kunja-, n. 'sex, race' fr. * $\hat{g}\eta\dot{-}io$ -; sama-kunja- 'of the same race or family' to Gr. $\delta\mu\dot{o}-\gamma\nu\iota o$ - ε , which stand to one another in the same relation as Lat. morior to Skr. $mriy\dot{\varepsilon}$ 'I die' etc. (§ 120 p. 112).

Goth. -j- was -i-. The frequent spelling with g in OHG. points to a spirantal pronunciation, e. g. pluogentiu to bluoian 'to blossom', wart-sāgo 'seminiverbius' to sāian 'to sow', 3. pl. opt. pres. salbogēn beside salboiēn salboēn from salbōn 'to anoint', cp. § 120 extr.

§ 143. Postconsonantal.

Consonant + i in the initial syllable was not retained unchanged.

Of the Indg. double form of the Opt. *si\(\tilde{e}\)-m and *si\(\tilde{e}\)-m 'sim' the latter only survived: Goth. $sij(\alpha u)$. Cp. also us-kijans 'sprouted forth' (pres. 1. sg. us-kei-na), originally *gi\(\tilde{e}\)-ono-s fr. rt. $ge\dot{q}$ - (Osthoff Morph, Unt. IV 38. 368, 373).

The *i* of *siā- united with the following *u* to form a diphthong in Goth. siujan OHG. siuwan 'to sew', OHG. siula 'awl' (cp. Skr. syā-tá-s 'sewn', Lith. siú-ta-s 'sewn', siúla-s 'sewing thread'), likewise in OHG. chiuwan 'to chew' fr. *giā- (cp. O.Bulg. žīva žuja 'I chew' fr. *ziūva *ziūja, §§ 52. 147).

Rem. With sinjun, as compared with midjun-gards 'sphere of the earth' (i. e. *midjum(a)-gards) etc., compare pius 'servant' fr. *piu(a)-z (gen. piv-is) with sunjus 'sons' fr. *suniu(i)z (ep. § 179).

Medially.

Goth. midjis midja 'medius media': Skr. mádhya-s mádhyā. aljis 'alius': Gr. άλλο-ς. bērus-jōs 'parents', properly partic. 'having brought forth': ep. Lith. gen. sg. partic. pf. sùkus-io of sukù 'I turn'. Opt. viljáu 'velim' ') for orig. *μel-jē-m (with this ep.

¹⁾ The ending formed after the analogy of bairáu, s. the accidence.

sijáu for orig. *s-ijē-m). hafja 'I raise': Lat. capiō fr. *capiō. Verbs in -atja as káupatja 'I give a box on the ears', svōgatja 'I sigh' like Gr. verbs in -áζω fr. *-aδ-iω. Of the nom. sg. hairdeis 'herdsman' fr. *χirđii(i)-z (cp. 3. sg. fra-vardeiþ fr. *μarđii(i)đ(i), § 142) as compared with harjis 'army' we have already spoken in § 120, we refer also to § 660 rem. 3; pl. hairdjōs fr. *χirđiiōz like 1. sg. fra-vardja fr. *μαrđiiō. Goth. namnja 'I name' may be regarded as the representative of a prim. Germ. form *namn-iiō, so that it would stand to glitmun-ja 'I shine' (§ 142) in the same relation as Skr. mr-iyá-tē to Av. mer-ye-iti (§ 120 p. 112) and as Gr. πότν-ια to τέκταινα (fr. *τεκταν-μα § 234).

In OHG. i had suffered manifold changes the course of which it is to some extent difficult to control, because no difference was made in writing between i (j) and i, and because the fate of i was connected with the West Germanic consonant-lengthening which arose before this consonant (§§ 215. 277. 529. 532. 535. 540).

-ri- after short syllables became by anaptyxis -rii-, -rig- (g signifies palatal spirant, cp. genēr § 141, pluogentiu § 142). Dat. herie herige: cp. Goth. harja 'exercitui'. Nom. ferio ferigo 'ferry-man': Goth. *farja. Cp. § 628.

In other respects postconsonantal -i- was still retained in the oldest period, e, that is e, was also written instead of i, and disappeared in the IX cent. willio willeo (read willio willeo willo willow wi

i had already disappeared before i prior to the time of our oldest monuments. 2. sg. bitis: Goth. bidjis 'thou beggest'. Dat. pl. herim fr. *her-im (and further fr. *-iem, *-iom): Goth. harjam 'exercitibus'. More will be found regarding postconsonantal i in OHG. in Braune's Ahd. Gramm. p. 83 ff.

§ 144. Anteconsonantal and finally.

Indg. ei became ii, ī in prim. Germ. 1. sg. *stīzō (Goth. steiga) 'I ascend': Gr. στείχω. Loc. sg. *χαἰμπῖ (OHG. heimi Βτας παππ, Elements.

heime) 'at home': cp. Gr. oïzei 'at home' (Kögel Zeitschr. f. deutsch. Altert. 1884 p. 118 f.). See § 67.

Indg. $o_{\tilde{k}}$ and $a_{\tilde{k}}$ fell together and have partly remained i-diphthongs up to the present time. 3. sg. Goth. $v\acute{a}it$ OHG. weiz Mod.HG. weiss: Gr. $Fo\~{o}\delta s$. Nom. pl. Goth. $P\acute{a}i$ OHG. $th\bar{e}$ $d\bar{e}$ 'the': Gr. $\tau o\acute{e}$. OHG. seita f. seito m. 'string, cord': Lat. saeta 'strong hair, bristle', Lith. $s\~{e}ta$ -s 'cord', from rt. sai-'bind'. See §§ 83. 99.

Goth. dat. sg. fem. $gib\acute{a}i$ 'to a gift' fr. orig. $-\bar{a}i$: Skr. Ved. $-\bar{a}i$, Gr. $-\alpha$. See §§ 659, 3. 660, 3.

Baltic-Slavonic.

§ 145. Initially. Indg. j- and j- fell together in i-, which has retained the pronunciation as consonantal vowel in historic times. Acc. sg. fem. Lith. $j\tilde{q}$ O.Bulg. jq 'eam': Skr. $y\tilde{n}$ -m Gr. $\tilde{a}v$ $\tilde{\eta}v$ 'quam', Indg. * $j\bar{a}$ -m. Lith. $j\hat{o}$ -ju 'I ride, drive', O.Bulg. jadq 'vehor' 1): Skr. $y\tilde{a}$ -mi 'vehor', Indg. * $j\bar{a}$ -. Lith. dial. jeknos pl. 'liver': Lat. jecur, Gr. $\tilde{\eta}\pi\alpha\varrho$. Lith. $j\hat{u}s$ 'vos': Skr. $y\bar{u}y\tilde{a}m$, Gr. $\tilde{v}\mu\epsilon\tilde{i}g$.

In Slavonic initial $i\tilde{i}$ - became i-. i-že 'qui' fr. $*i\tilde{i}$ -že, beside which $j\tilde{\imath}$ (= Lith. jis, cpf. *ii-s, \S 84 rem. 1), which was enclitically attached, remained; cp. especially the 'definite' declension of adjectives, as dobry- $j\tilde{\imath}$ and doblji- $j\tilde{\imath}$, and bereto $j(\tilde{\imath})$ = $beret\tilde{\imath}$ $i\tilde{\imath}$ with the same change of $i\tilde{\imath}$ to $i\tilde{\imath}$ as in $domoch(i\tilde{\imath})$ = $dom\tilde{\imath}$ ch $i\tilde{\imath}$ $i\tilde{\imath}$ 52, 665, 5. igo 'yoke' fr. $i\tilde{\imath}$ $i\tilde{\jmath}$ 00, older still $i\tilde{\imath}$ 100: Skr. igo20-igo31. Gr. igo30-igo31. Gr. igo30-igo31.

§ 146. Intersonantal. Lith, vejù O.Bulg. viją viją 'I turn, wind': Skr. váyāmi 'I weave', epf. *uėj-ō. Lith. dvejì 'by twos' O.Bulg. dvojī 'twofold': Skr. dvayá- 'twofold'. Lith. sĕju O.Bulg. sĕją 'I sow': Goth. saia, epf. *sējō. Lith. lóju O.Bulg. lają 'I bark': Skr. ráyāmi 'I bark'. Lith. pãsako-ju 'I relate', O.Bulg. laka-ją 'I deceive': ep. Skr. pṛtanā-yá-mi 'I fight'. Lith. at-saj-à 'horse traces' fr. rt. saj- 'bind'. O.Bulg. gostije gostije

¹⁾ The orthography $\check{e}dq$ beside jadq is due to a false representation of the sound-combination $\dot{i}a$, which was occasioned by the change of orig. \bar{e} to $\dot{i}a$ (§ 76).

'guests' fr. *-ei-es : cp. Skr. ávayas 'oves'. O.Bulg. instr. sg. ženoją of žena 'wife' : cp. Skr. jihváyā fr. jihvá- 'tongue'.

Lith. miniù O.Bulg. minjq 'I think', prim. Balt.-Slav. * $min-i\bar{o}$: Skr. $mányat\bar{e}$ 'he thinks', Indg. pres. st. *min-ie-. O.Bulg. $\check{z}injq$ 'I cut off', orig. * $ghin-i\bar{o}$ from rt. ghen- 'strike, hew'. Lith. spiriù 'I push with the foot': Gr. $\sigma\pi\alpha i\varrho\omega$ 'I struggle convulsively', cpf. *spin- $i\bar{o}$. Lith. skilù 'I strike fire': Gr. $\sigma z \acute{a} \lambda \lambda \omega$ 'I stir up, hoe', cpf. *sql- $i\bar{o}$. Cp. §§ 250. 304.

i as glide between i and a following vowel. Gen. pl. Lith. trij-ũ O.Bulg. trij-ĭ trij-ĭ 'trium': Goth. prij-ē, Gr. τριῶν, Lat. trium. O.Bulg. prija-znĭ prija-znĭ 'love': Goth. st. frija-, nom. sg. freis 'free', Skr. priyά- 'dear', Indg. *prii-ó-. O.Bulg. bratrija bratrija fem. collect. 'brothers': Gr. qρᾶτρία. Lith. bij-αũ-s 'I am afraid': cp. Ved. part. mid. bhiy-ānά-s.

Lith, j and Slav, j retained the pronunciation \underline{i} between vowels.

§ 147. Postconsonantal.

Lithuanian. Here a distinction must be made according as a palatal vowel (e, i) or another followed.

i dropped out before palatal vowels already in prim. Baltic (J. Schmidt Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVI 331 f. and 339). Voc. sg. svetè fr. *svet-je, to nom. svēczias 'guest' fr. *svet-ja-s. Comparat. sald-rs-ni-s (fr. saldit-s 'sweet') fr. *-ies-nii-s, formed with the comparat. suffix -jes- = Skr. -yas-. Nom. sg. žemė 'earth', Lett. feme, Pruss. semmē, prim. Balt. *žem-jē; see the accidence for the relation of this suffix form to -iā in giria gire 'wood, forest' (beside gìrė), žinià žinė 'tidings' (Lett. fina) and in O.Bulg. zemlja, as well as to martì (gen. marczios) 'bride' patì 'spouse'. geràs-is 'definite' form of the adj. geras good', beside jis. Nom. sg. mēdis 'tree' (gen. mēdžio) fr. *med-ži-s (į retained after vowels: $m\tilde{o}$ -jis, gen. $m\tilde{o}$ -jo, 'sign, nod'), Indg. -ji-s (§ 84 rem. 1); whereas lokys 'bear' (Lett. lázis) with -ys fr. *-iji-s like Goth. hairdeis fr. *xirā-iji-z; i. e. we have here a manifestation of double suffixes -io- and -iio-, respectively -ii- and -iii- (§ 120), which in Baltic were brought into relation in the nom. sg. with the varying position of the word-accent.

Postconsonantal i remained longer before other vowels than before \bar{e} , \bar{i} . It softened the preceding consonants and then mostly disappeared in this palatalisation, so that the i, which is now-a-days written between consonants and following vowels, is simply to be regarded as a sign of the softened pronunciation of the consonant. This softening is more intensive in the southeastern dialects than in Pruss. Lithuania. spiáuju 'I spit': cp. Gr. $\pi\tau\bar{v}\omega$ fr. * $(\sigma)\pi_{k}\bar{v}$ - $\iota\omega$ (§ 131). $si\acute{u}$ -ta-s 'sewn' : Skr. $sy\bar{u}$ - $t\acute{u}$ -s. Gen. sg. pìkio (pìki-s m. 'pitch'), st. pikia-, orig. *piq-io-: cp. Gr. πίσσα fr. *πικ-μα. ariù 'I plough': O.Bulg. orją. *ti-, *dibecame czi-, dži- i. e. softened tš, dž, e. g. gen. sg. těczio fr. *tetjō (teti-s 'father'), medžio fr. *medjō (medi-s 'tree'); this affection is not old; ti, di occur still in the dialect of Memel and are pronounced similarly to softened k, g etc. Whilst Indg. *ney-jo-s 'new' (Skr. núvya-s 'new') became naŭjas naŭjes, Indg. *greu-jo- (Skr. kravya-m 'raw meat, carrion') became kravjas kraŭjes (cp. Pruss. Vocab. crauyo).

i remained in Slav. after p, b, v, m, but in a part of the Slav. languages (amongst which O.Bulg.) a soft l was developed. O.Bulg. pljuti 'to spit' fr. prim. Slav. *(s) $pi\bar{u}$ - $t\bar{\imath}$: Lith. $spi\acute{a}u$ -ti. Indic. pres. 1. sg. zoblja 2. sg. $zoblje\check{s}i$ etc., inf. zobati 'to eat'. Fem. zemlja 'earth': ep. Lith. $\check{z}\check{c}m\dot{c}$.

i palatalised l, r, n, and then disappeared (as in Lith.). orja 'I plough': Lith. arin. tilja 'ground, pavement': ep. Lith. pl. tilis 'foot-boards in a small boat' (§ 304). vonja 'smell' fr. orig. *ania (§ 666, 1) fr. rt. an- 'exhale'. The softened consonants are represented in manuscripts by \hat{r} , \hat{l} , \hat{n} or \hat{rj} , \hat{lj} , \hat{nj} or are simply written r, l, n, e.g. vola, vola, vola and vola beside vola acc. of vola f. 'will, wish', more beside more 'sea'.

 following *i*, it did not prevent this process of assimilation. s*ĭt-moštrją* 'I look, consider' fr. *-motr-*ia*, inf. s*ĭt-motriti*. Adj. neut. b*ĭtźdrje* 'vigilant' fr. *b*ĭtdr-ie*. u-mr*ĭštvlją* 'I kill' (part. pret. pass. u-mr*ĭštvljenū*) fr. *u-mr*ĭtvia* (for the interpolated *l* s. p. 132), inf. u-mr*ĭtviti*. The form tl*ŭšta* corresponded to o*štrją* 'acuo' fr. *ostrją, inf. ostriti, derived from ostrī 'sharp', the t of which was excrescent (§ 545).

Rem. 1. In the phonetic explanation of this influence of i on a preceding t or d, and consonant-groups containing them, we must start from zdi, si, sir. The treatment of these prim. Slav. combinations in the Slav. dialects shows that the whole combination preceding the i was first of all softened. There arose: "yvoz'd'iq, "tils't'ia, "os't'r'ia (' indicating the softening); <math>i after t', d' in the first two forms then became a palatal spirant (i voiceless, i voiced): "uvoz'd'i'q, "tils't'i'a (pronounce id'i' and id'i' like Russ. Is and id'i'. In O.Bulg. specially "os't'r'ia became ostria, and "uvoz'd'i'q, "tils't'i'a became first "uvoz'd'i'q, "tils't's'a (pronounce id'i' and id'i' like Polish id'i' and id'i', then "uvoz'd'i'a, tils't's'a (pronounce id'i'), then "uvoz'd'i'a, tils't'a' (cp. below for i'), then "uvoz'd'i'a, tils't'a' and lastly uvoz'd'i'a, tils't'a' (cp. below for i').

Analogously *mediā, *metia became in prim. Slav. *mediā, *metia, and then *medi γ ā, *meti γ a. Hence specially in O.Bulg. through anticipation of the spirant *me γ 'd γ ā, *me γ 't γ a, further *mez'd'z'ā, *mes't's'a — *mež-d'zā, *mešt's'a — mežd'a, mošt'a.

The O.Bulg. forms su-mostria, u-mristrija, buzdrje were also prepared for already in prim. Slav. in so far as i had softened the whole combinations tr, tv, dr. But it must here be observed that the s and z depending on the anticipation of the palatalism are not always written in the monuments, e. g. su-mostr(j)enije beside su-mostr(j)enije n. 'consideration' (Miklosich Vergl. Gramm. I s 220). We do not decide as to how this fluctuating orthography is to be judged.

That the O.Bulg. combinations $\check{z}d$ and $\check{s}t$ were spoken soft $(d\check{z}',\check{s}t')$ follows from such spellings as $nude\check{z}d\check{c}$ (\check{e} sign for ja) beside $nade\check{z}da$ 'hope', $s\check{a}\check{s}tju$ beside $s\check{a}\check{s}tu$ ' $\check{o}rr\iota$ ' dat. sg. of the part. sy. ' $\check{o}r$ ' met with in most monuments. Cp. rem. 4.

From prim. Slav. ki, gi (mostly = Indg. qi, gi, ghi, § 461) arose in prim. Slav. \check{c} (i. e. $t\check{s}$), $d\check{z}$, the latter was weakened to \check{z} in O.Bulg. $pri-t\check{u}\check{c}a$ 'comparison' fr. *- $t\check{u}kj\bar{a}$. $l\check{u}\check{z}\check{r}$ 'mendacious' fr. * $l\check{u}g\check{\mu}$: OHG. lukki 'mendacious' (prim. Germ. st. * $lug\check{\mu}a$ -); $l\check{u}\check{z}a$ 'mentior' fr. * $l\check{u}gia$, inf. $l\check{u}gati$.

Analogously ski became sč i. e. stš, hence by assimilation

¹⁾ $\dot{\tilde{z}},\,\dot{\tilde{s}}$ are the softened $\check{z},\,\check{s}.$ Cp. Ar. $\dot{\tilde{z}}$ and $\dot{\tilde{s}}$ §§ 20. 21. 396 and elsewhere.

of the sibilants štš, further historic št (more correctly št, cp. rem. 1). išta išteši etc. fr. *īskia, inf. iskati 'to seek'.

Rem. 2. Cp. văšteti 'to begin' fr. *văsčetī = *văz+četī. Further on account of the O.Bulg. change of štš to št, the loc. sg. člověčistě beside člověčiscě from nom. člověčisků 'human' and inf. istěliti beside is-cěliti 'to heal' (st fr. sts) are instructive.

Beside this treatment of prim. Slav. k_i , g_i there is also a change of them to c (i. e. ts) and dz, the latter was weakened to z in O.Bulg. in the beginning of the literary period (Miklosich Vergl. Gramm. I² 251 ff.). Nom. sg. in -ici m., -ice n., -ica f., e. g. junici 'young bullock' fr. *iunikii, sridice 'heart' fr. *sridikie, ovica 'ovis' fr. *ovikia. Neut. lice (gen. lica) 'face' fr. *likie. Fem. stiza 'way' fr. *stigia. The treatment here in question took place at a later period of the prim. Slav. language than the one above, viz.: at the same time when the instr. sg. *takemi (O.Bulg. taka 'talis') became tacemi (§§ 84. 462). Therefore a *ianika was only formed to *ianika and an *ovika to *ovika after the law, whereby ki became i, had already ceased to operate. The voc. junice still belongs to *ianika (cp. vlace: vlace), so too junice 'taurinus', ovice 'ovinus' are also directly to be referred to *ianika, *ovika1).

Prim. Slav. $s\underline{i}$, $z\underline{i}$ = Indg. $k\underline{i}$, $g\underline{i}$, $gh\underline{i}$ (§ 412) became already in prim. Slav. \underline{s} , \underline{z} . O.Bulg. pres. $pi\underline{s}a$ $pi\underline{s}e\underline{s}i$ etc. (inf. $pi\underline{s}ati$ 'to write') fr. * $pi\underline{s}\underline{i}a$ * $pi\underline{s}\underline{i}e\underline{s}i$, rt. $pe\underline{i}k$ - 'to cut, cut straight'. $\underline{z}u\underline{j}a$ and * $\underline{z}iva$ 'I chew' fr. * $z\underline{i}u$ - $\underline{i}a$ and * $z\underline{i}va$ * $z\underline{i}uva$ (§ 52), the latter = OHG. chiuvu from rt. $g\underline{i}a^x\underline{u}$ -. $li\underline{z}a$ $li\underline{z}e\underline{s}i$ etc. (inf. lizati 'to liek') = Lith. $l\underline{z}\underline{z}iu$ 'I liek', prim. f. * $le\underline{i}gh$ - $\underline{i}o$.

i with a preceding Indg. s became \check{s} in prim. Slav. $\check{s}iti$ 'to sew' fr. $*s\check{\imath}\bar{\imath}t\bar{\imath}$, $*s\check{\imath}\bar{\jmath}t\bar{\imath}$ = Lith. $si\acute{\imath}ti$ 'to sew' (§ 60). Adj. $na\check{s}\check{\imath}$ 'noster', to gen. $nas\check{\imath}i$ 'nostri' (fr. $*n\bar{a}s-s\check{\imath}i$). Part. neut. $by\check{s}\check{\imath}\check{s}te-je$ ' $r\dot{o}$ $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda or'$, to an obsolete fut. indic. $*b\bar{\jmath}-\check{s}a$: Lith. $b\acute{\imath}\iota$ - $si\imath\imath$ 'I shall be'. Part. pf. gen. sg. masc. $nes\check{\imath}\check{s}a$ (indic. pres. nesa 'I carry'): Lith. $n\check{e}sz$ -us-io, cp. Goth. nom. pl. $b\bar{e}r$ -us- $j\bar{o}s$ 'parents' ('those who have brought forth').

¹⁾ No direct historic connexion, consequently, exists between junici and Lith. jaunikis, gen. jaunikio 'bride-groom' (properly 'juvenculus') in spite of their similar suffix formation.

Rem. 3. In those cases where Indg. s had passed into ch in prim. Slav., š is not to be traced back directly to si, but first of all to chi (cp. snūšinū 'like a daughter-in-law' adj. fr. snūchīnū from snūcha 'nurus': Skr. snušā, Indg. *snusū). Cp. § 588, 2. 3.

These affections of prim. Slav. $s = \text{Indg. } \hat{k}$, s) and $z = \text{Indg. } \hat{g}$, $\hat{g}h$, caused by i, took place also when an l or n stood between them. O.Bulg. $my\check{s}lja$: inf. mysliti 'to think'. $bla\check{z}nja$: inf. blazniti 'to lead astray'. Here i first palatalised l, n and thus extended its influence to s, z, but disappeared in the softened l, n, according to the remarks made above under ri, li, ni. Cp. $o\check{s}trja$ (inf. ostriti) fr. *ostrja above, rem. 1. $my\check{s}lja$: $pi\check{s}a$, $nes\check{u}\check{s}a = o\check{s}trja$: $tl\check{u}\check{s}ta$.

Rem. 4. That O.Bulg. \check{c} , $\check{z} = \text{orig. } q\check{t}$, $q\check{t}$, $gh\check{t}$; c, $dz = \text{orig. } q\check{t}$, $gh\check{t}$; \check{s} , $\check{z} = \text{orig. } k\check{t}$, $\hat{g}\check{t}$, $\hat{g}h\check{t}$ and $\check{s} = \text{orig. } s\check{t}$ were spoken soft, follows from the spellings with a following \check{j} , found in most monuments, as $ma\check{t}\check{j}u$ beside $ma\check{z}u$ dat. sg. of $ma\check{t}\check{t}$ man' (st. $ma\check{t}e$ - fr. * $mag\check{t}e$ -), $du\check{s}\check{j}a$ beside $du\check{s}a$ acc. sg. of $du\check{s}a$ 'soul'. Cp. rem. 1 extr.

§ 148. Anteconsonantal and finally.

Indg. $e\underline{i} = \text{Lith. } ei$ and \ddot{e} , Slav. i. Inf. Lith. $e\tilde{i}$ -ti, O.Bulg. i-ti 'to go' from rt. $e\underline{i}$ -. Lith. $\check{z}\ddot{e}$ - $m\grave{\alpha}$ O.Bulg. zi-ma f. 'winter': Gr. $\chi \varepsilon \tilde{i}$ - μa . S. § 68.

Indg. oį and aį = Lith. ai and ë, Slav. č (initially i, finally č and i). Lith. snė̃ga-s O.Bulg. sněgň 'snow': Goth. snáivs, epf. *snoigho-s. Lith. ν-ĕna-s O.Bulg. inň 'unus': O.Lat. oino-s. Lith. tē O.Bulg. ti 'the' nom. pl.: Gr. νοί. Lith. āt-laika-s O.Bulg. otň-lěkň 'remnant': Gr. λοιπό-ς. Lith. pá-saiti-s m. 'binding thong' sēta-s 'cord', O.Bulg. sětř f. 'cord', rt. saį- 'bind'. Nom. du. fem. Lith. tē-dvi ('the two') O.Bulg. tě from Indg. st. *tā- 'the': Skr. tɛ, Indg. *táį. S. §§ 84. 100.

Final Indg. $-\bar{o}_{k}$ retained the \bar{o} down to the Lith. language period, hence Lith. dat. sg. vilkui 'to a wolf', Gr. $\lambda \dot{v} z \psi$ (§ 664, 4). Whilst the instr. pl. $vilka\tilde{a}s = \text{Skr. } v_{i}k\bar{a}i\bar{s}$ presupposes the change of \bar{o}_{k} to o_{k} in an earlier period; s. § 615.

Loos of i in the primitive Indg. period.

§ 149. & after an initial consonant has frequently been dropped in the different Indg. languages, without its loss being

able to be explained by the sound-laws of the languages in question. E. g. from rt. $s\underline{i}\alpha^x\underline{u}$ - 'sew', Skr. $s\bar{u}$ - $tr\alpha$ -m 'yarn, string', Lat. $su\bar{o}$ $s\bar{u}tu$ -s, OHG. sou-m O.Icel. sau-m-r m. 'hemmed edge, seam' beside Skr. $sy\bar{u}$ - $t\acute{a}$ - 'sewn', OHG. siuwan 'to sew', siut 'seam'. Upon this Osthoff bases his neat conjecture (Morph. Unt. IV 19) that the first \underline{i} in pres. forms like $*s\underline{i}\bar{u}$ - $i\bar{o}$ 'I sew' $*s\underline{p}\underline{i}\bar{u}$ - $i\bar{o}$ 'I spit' disappeared by dissimilation already in the Indg. period, so that now $*s\bar{u}$ - $i\bar{o}$ stood beside $*s\underline{i}\bar{u}$ -to-s (part.). $s\underline{i}\bar{u}$ - was then transferred by analogy to pres. forms also, hence e. g. O.Bulg. $s\underline{i}ja$ i. e. $*s\underline{i}\bar{y}$ - $i\bar{a}$ after forms like inf. $s\underline{i}ti$ i. e. $*s\underline{i}\bar{y}$ - $t\bar{v}$ (§ 147), and vice versa $s\bar{u}$ to non-pres. forms, hence e. g. Skr. $s\bar{u}$ -tra-m instead of regular $*sy\bar{u}$ -tra-m.

Our assumption of $-\bar{o}is$ as the ending of the instr. pl. of o-stems, and of $*\acute{e}is$ thou wentest (Skr. $\bar{a}i\check{s}$) etc. for the prim. period (§§ 69. 85. 101) would not contradict these combinations. In these latter cases we should only have to assign the first appearance of the monosyllabic from an older dissyllabic form ($-\bar{o}is$ perhaps fr. -o-axis; $*\acute{e}is$ fr. $*\acute{e}eis$) to a later period of the prim. language, in which the sound-law, whereby $*r\bar{e}is$ became $*r\bar{e}s$, no longer operated.

Nevertheless the above hypothesis still requires further support before it can be set up in any degree as certain. Cp. also Hübschmann Das idg. Vocalsystem p. 24 and elsewhere.

§ 151. Initial μ before sonants and consonantal liquids. Pres. *μέĝh-ō 'veho': Skr. νάhāmi, Gr. Pamph. Γέχω Ion. Att. ὄχο-ς, Lat. νεhō, O.Ir. fēn 'plaustrum' fr. *fegn (§ 527), Goth. ga-viga 'I move', Lith. νεžù O.Bulg. νεzą 'veho'. Rt. *μεq- 'speak': Skr. νάcas- n. 'speech', Gr. Γέπος, Lat. νοcāre, O.Ir. iar-mi-foig 'he asks', OHG. gi-wahanen 'to remember, mention', Pruss. en-wackēmai 'we call to'. Rt. μεἰd- 'see, know': Skr. νέda 'he knows', Arm. gitem 'I know', Gr. Γοῖδε, Lat. νideō, O.Ir. adfiadut 'narrant', Goth. νάit 'he knows', Lith. νέida-s 'face, countenance', O.Bulg. νiděti 'to see'. *μξ-nā 'wool': Skr. ūrṇā 'wool', Lat. lāna fr. *μlānā, cp. Gr. οὖλο-ς 'crisp' (§§ 157. 204. 306). Partic. *μrē-to- 'decided, settled': Αν. "rνā-ta- n. 'determining, command' fr. *μra-ta- (§ 157), Gr. Γοητό-ς ἡητό-ς 'specified, settled' Γρήτοā (El. Γρᾶτοā § 72) ἡήτοā 'agreement, saying'.

§ 152. Intersonantal. Pres. 3. sg. *sréy-e-ti 'flows': Skr. $sr\acute{a}v$ -a-ti Gr. $\acute{o}\acute{e}(\digamma)$ - $\varepsilon \iota$; Skr. $sr \acute{a}va$ -s 'river, efflux', Gr. $\acute{o}o\digamma \acute{o}$ $\acute{o}o\acute{\eta}$ 'river, flood', Lith. srav-à 'issue of blood' $srav \acute{e}ti$ 'to flow gently', O.Bulg. o-strov- \check{u} 'island' (properly 'flown round'). *ney-o-s 'new': Skr. $n\acute{a}va$ -s, Gr. $v\acute{e}\digamma o$ - ς , Lat. novo-s, O.Bulg. $nov \check{u}$. Loc. sg. Skr. div-i, Gr. $\Delta \iota \digamma$ -i, Indg. *div-i. Skr. $\acute{a}vi$ - $\check{\varsigma}$ Gr. $\acute{o}(\digamma)\iota$ - ς Lat. ovi-s 'sheep', Goth. $av \bar{e} \not{p}i$ n. 'herd of sheep', Lith. $av \grave{\iota}$ -s O.Bulg. $ov \check{\iota}$ -ca 'sheep'. * $g\check{\imath}$ - $u\acute{o}$ -s 'quick, alive': Skr. $j\bar{\imath}v\acute{a}$ -s, Lat. $v\bar{\imath}vo$ -s, Cymr. byv, Goth. qiva- (nom. sg. qius), Lith. $g\acute{v}va$ -s O.Bulg. $\check{z}iv\check{u}$. Nom. pl. of ev-stems in ev-es: Skr. $s\bar{u}n\acute{a}v$ -as O.Bulg. synov-e 'sons', Gr. $\acute{\eta}o\acute{\epsilon}(\digamma)$ - $\varepsilon \varsigma$ 'suaves'. Suffix of the pf. part. act.: Skr. $babh\bar{u}$ - $v\acute{a}n$, Gr. $\pi \varepsilon \varphi v$ - $(\digamma)\omega \varsigma$, Lith $b\grave{u}$ - $v \varepsilon s$ O.Bulg. bv- $v\check{u}$ from rt. bhev- 'become'. Personal ending of the 1. du.: Skr. $v\acute{a}h\bar{a}$ -vas, Lith. $v\check{e}\check{z}a$ -va O.Bulg. veze- $v\check{e}$ from rt. ue gh-'vehere'.

Skr. Ved. pf. part. act. jaghan-vắn (beside the st. form jaghn-uṣ-) 'having struck' fr. Indg. *ghe-ghṇ-u̞ơ̄s (§§ 225. 229), cakṛ-vắn (beside cakṛ-uṣ-) 'having made' fr. Indg. *qe-qṛ-u̞ơ̄s.

* $p\bar{r}$ -uo-s 'the front, earlier': Skr. $p\bar{u}r$ -va-s, Gr. Dor. $\pi \rho \acute{a}\nu$ fr. * $\pi \rho \omega F \acute{a}$ - ν (Ion. Att. $\pi \rho \acute{\psi} \eta \nu$), s. § 306. * $neu\eta$ 'nine', * $neu\eta$ - $t\acute{o}$ -'ninth', * $neu\eta$ - $t\acute{o}$ -'the number nine': Skr. $n\acute{a}\nu$ a $navat\acute{\iota}$ - \check{s} , Gr. $\acute{e}\nu r\acute{e}Fa$ 'nine in all, fully nine', s. Wackernagel Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII 132 ff.), Lat. novem (-em for -en after septem, decem), O.Ir. $n\bar{o}i$ n- Cymr. Corn. naw, Goth. niun niunda, Lith. $devi\~nta$ -s, O.Bulg. $dev ct\~u$ $dev ct\~u$ (Lith. Slav. initial de- after the numeral for ten $deszi\~mta$ -s, $deset\~u$; the regular form would be Lith. * $navi\~nta$ -s, O.Bulg. * $nov ct\~u$), ep. §§ 224. 233.

 $\cdot u$ as glide after u. Examples s. §§ 117. 153.

§ 153. Postconsonantal. St. *duo- 'two', *dui- (in *dui-s 'twice' and in compounds): Skr. dvá dvāú, dvi-, Gr. δώ-δεκα, δι-, Lat. bi-, O.Ir. dā, Goth. tvái, Lith. dù (masc., fr. *duů', § 184) dv (fem.), O.Bulg. dva. Nom. sg. $*sy\acute{e}s\bar{o}(r)$ 'sister': Skr. svása, Lat. soror (so-from *sue- § 172, 3), Cymr. chwaer, Goth. svistar, Pruss. swestr-o, Lith. sesů, O.Bulg. sestr-a (for the -t- in Germ. Pruss. and Slav. s. §§ 580. 585, 2). *ek-uo-s 'horse', fem. *ek-ųā: Skr. ášva-s ášvā, Gr. ίππο-ς, Lat. equo-s, Gall. epo-O.Ir. ech, Goth. alhva- (in alhva-tundi fem. 'βάτος'), Lith. aszvà. St. *qetuer- 'four': Skr. catvár-as, Gr. Ion. τέσσερ-ες, Lat. quattuor, O.Ir. cethir O.Cymr. petquar Mod.Cymr. pedwar Corn. peswar Bret. pevar, Goth. fidvor, Lith. ketveri 'by fours', O.Bulg. distrib. četvero. Part. pf. Skr. vid- $v\acute{a}n$, Gr. $si\delta$ - $(F)\acute{\omega}_S$ from rt. ueid- 'see, know'. Suffix -tuo-, -tuā- : Skr. priya-tvá-m 'the being loved', Goth. frija-pva 'love', O.Bulg. mrĭ-tvŭ 'mortuus' goni-tva 'persecution'. St. *per-yen-: Skr. párvan- n. 'knot, node', Gr. $\dot{\alpha}$ - $\pi \epsilon i \rho \omega \nu$ 'boundless' fr. * $\dot{\alpha}$ - $\pi \epsilon \rho F \omega \nu$, cp. $\pi \epsilon i \rho \omega \rho$, pl. Lesb. $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ρατα (§ 166). *daiuér- 'husband's brother' : Skr. dēvár-, Arm. taigr etc., s. § 95; loc. pl. Skr. devŕšu, Indg. *daiwr-su. $\alpha i(F)\omega v$ 'time' $\alpha iF\epsilon i$ 'ever', Lat. aevo-m, O.Ir. aes ois gen. aesa (Cymr. oes) 'age' fr. *āiues-tu-s, Goth. áivs 'time'.

uu stood beside u on the same principles as Indg. ii beside i (s. § 120).

First after initial consonants. *duyố beside *dyố 'two': Skr. Ved. duvấ, Gr. $\delta v'(f)\omega$, Lat. du(v)o. From rt. $\hat{g}ha^xu$ - 'call' partly $\hat{g}huu$ -, and partly $\hat{g}hu$ - as weak grade form (§ 312):

Skr. Ved. 1. pl. opt. huv-ć-ma Av. 3. sg. indic. zuv-aye-iti, O.Bulg. inf. zŭv-ati beside 3. sg. indic. Skr. hv-áya-ti Av. zb-aye-iti, nominal st. Skr. hv-ātar- Av. zb-ātar- 'shouter, panegyrist', O.Bulg. zv-onŭ 'sound, noise', zv-atelĭ 'crier'.

Medial uu after long syllables, especially after double consonants. Prim. Indg. is the contrast, e. g. between Skr. 3. pl. $a\dot{s}$ -nuv- $\dot{a}nti$ (1. sg. $a\dot{s}$ -nb-mi 'I attain'), Gr. $\dot{a}\gamma$ - $v\dot{v}(f)$ - $\bar{a}\sigma \iota$ (1. sg. $\ddot{a}\gamma$ - $v\bar{v}$ - μ 'I break') on the one hand and Skr. 3. pl. su-nv- $\dot{a}nti$ (1. sg. su-nb-mi 'I press the soma-juice out') 3. sg. γ - ηv - \dot{a} -ti 'puts in motion', Gr. Att. $\varphi\theta\dot{v}\nu\omega$ 'I waste away, decay' fr. * $\varphi\theta\iota$ -vF- ω (cp. $\varphi\theta\iota$ - $v\dot{v}$ - $\theta\omega$), Goth. rinna 'I run' fr. *ri-nu- \bar{o} (§ 180) = Skr. ri- ηv - \bar{a} -mi 'I let flow, run' (not found) on the other, cp. § 313.

§ 154. Anteconsonantal medially. Very frequent after a-, e- and o-vowels, with which u formed diphthongs, e. g. st. *aug-men- (rt. aug- 'grow'): Skr. $\bar{o}jm\acute{a}n$ - m. 'strength, power', Lat. augmen, Lith. $augm\~{u}$ (gen. $augme\~{u}s$) 'growth, excrescence'. * $d_{\'{e}}\~{u}$ -s 'sky': Skr. $dy\~{u}$ / $\~{u}$, Gr. $Ze\acute{v}$ s. Cp. §§ 61—108.

A diphthong was frequently not formed before nasals and liquids in cases where it might be expected, e. g. Skr. vavnúšbeside maghón- (§ 160), Gr. ἐΓράγη (ἐρράγη) beside (Lesb.)

 $\varepsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \eta$ (§ 167). The various modes of syllabic formation were also here the criterion.

Anteconsonantal u seldom occurred after i-vowels from the very beginning e. g. Skr. div-yá- 'celestial'; Skr. pī-vn- 'fat', weak antevocalic st. form beside pī-van-, e. g. gen. pl. pī-vn-ām; Av. jīvya- 'belonging to life'. Diphthongs were only formed in Germ., e. g. Goth. ga-qiunan 'to come to life again' from st. qiva-, which, of course, is not an old inherited formation, cp. §§ 179. 181.

§ 155. Interconsonantal u was not, at it seems, permitted in prim. Indg. E. g. antesonantal *qetur- ('four') for *getur- (Skr. acc. catúr-as) beside anteconsonantal *getur- (Gr. Hom. τέτρασι τέτρατος fr. *τετΕρα-σι -το-ς, Lith. ketvirta-s). Cp. also prim. Ar. *atharun- ('fire priest') for *atharun- (Av. dat. sg. aparun-ē) beside *atharyu- == *atharyy- (Skr. dat. abl. pl. átharva-bhyas), Skr. instr. áyun- \bar{a} ('life') beside Gr. $al(F) \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \ al(F) \dot{\omega} \nu$ etc. (The author Morph, Unt. II 189 ff).

Rem. I, therefore, conjecture that Skr. instr. sg. devr-á (fr. *dajyér-'husband's brother') does not represent an Indg. *daiwr-, but a special Sanskrit new formation *dainer-, *dainer- and *dainer- may have existed side by side of each other in Prim. Indg. The spondaic form $\delta_{\alpha \acute{e} \varrho \omega r}$, Ilias Ω 769, can equally well be read $\delta \alpha \nu \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu$ (fr. $*\delta \alpha \nu \rho \omega \nu$) as $\delta \alpha \nu F \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu$, conjectured by Ebel.

§ 156. Finally. μ only occurred as an absolute final in the second component of diphthongs. E. g. voc. Skr. súnō Lith. sūnaũ O.Bulg. synu 'O son', Indg. *sūneu or *sūnou; loc. Skr. sūnāú O.Bulg. synu 'in filio', Umhr. manuv-e 'in manu', Indg. * $s\bar{u}n\delta u$ (cp. § 85). Its treatment in the individual languages was almost entirely the same as that of anteconsonantal diphthongs. u, when conditionally final, also stood after consonants, perhaps *médhy esti = Skr. mádhv asti 'mel est'. Cp. § 645, 2.

Aryan.

§ 157. Initially. Skr. váyam Av. vaem O.Pers. vayam 'we': Goth. veis. Skr. víš- Av. vīs- O.Pers. vib- 'clan': O.Bulg. vīs-ĭ 'vicus'. Skr. Av. O.Pers. vā 'or': Lat. -ve.

yr- was transposed in Av. with u-prothesis. " $rv\bar{a}ta$ - n. 'decree, command': Gr. $f_{\varrho\eta\tau\dot{o}$ - $\varsigma}$ 'decreed'. Part. pres. mid. " $rv\bar{a}zemna$ - 'powerful' ($z=\bar{d}$) to Skr. $vr\bar{a}dh$ - 'to be great, powerful'. Cp. §§ 260. 624.

§ 158. Intersonantal. 3. sg. impf. Skr. á-bhav-a-t Av. bav-a-p O.Pers. a-bav-a, Indg. *é-bhey-e-t from bhey- 'become, be'. Nom. pl. Skr. $b\bar{a}h\acute{a}v$ -as Av. $b\bar{a}zav$ - \bar{o} 'arms': Gr. $\pi\acute{\eta}\chi\varepsilon$ - $\varepsilon\varsigma$ $\pi\acute{\eta}\chi\varepsilon$! Gr. * $\pi\eta\chi\varepsilon$ - $\varepsilon\varsigma$.

uu, with u as glide. Ved. suvá- Av. huvu- (beside svá-, xuva-) 'suus'. Ved. tuvám Av. Gāp. tuvēm (beside Skr. tvám) 'thou'. Gen. sg. Skr. bhruv-ás: Gr. ὀφού-ος 'of an eye-brow'.
3. pl. aśnuv-ánti, like Gr. ἀγνύ-āσι. Cp. § 159.

-aom is written in Av. for -avem = prim. Ar. -auam, e. g. $ker^enaom = Skr.$ aky-nav-am 'I made'. In like manner $dr\bar{u}m$ for druvem = Skr. dhruva-m 'firmum'. Cp. Bartholomae Handb. § 95.

§ 159. Postconsonantal. Skr. svá- Av. xwa- 'suus': Gr. Fός ὅς, Indg. *syó-s. Skr. hv-ātar- Av. zb-ātar- 'crier': O.Bulg. zv-atelĭ, from rt. gha²y-. Skr. catvár-as Av. caþwār-ō 'four': Goth. fidvōr. Skr. ky-nv-ánti Av. kerenvanti 'they make', orig. *qγ-ny-nti. Skr. sárva- Av. haurva- O.Pers. harāva- (read harva-, s. below) 'all': Gr. οὐλος ὅλος fr. *όλ-Fo-ς. Skr. dēvá-s 'god', Av. daeva- 'devil': Pruss. deiwa-s 'god', Indg. *deiwo-s.

u often interchanged with uu in Ved. (cp. §§ 120, 125, 153). In the initial syllable, e. g. dvá and duvá like Gr. δώ-δεκα and δύω; śván- and śuván- 'dog', cp. Av. span- Lith. nom. szữ fr. * $szu\tilde{u}$ and Gr. $zv\omega\nu$ $zv\omega\nu$. As this interchange dates back to the prim. Indg. language, uy, after a long syllable in the same word, may perhaps be regarded everywhere as an old inherited form, e. g. rakšas-tuvá-m 'damage' beside dēva-tvá-m 'divinity'; part. pf. dāś-uván 'doing homage' beside vid-ván 'knowing'; 2. pl. mid. impf. áyug- dhuvam (yuj- 'yoke, harness') beside ákrnu-dhvam (kar-'make'). In the classical language -y- for the most part only appears, e. g. only -tva-, -dhvam. The old stage uy remained, e.g. in gen. bhuv-as from bhū- 'world', bhruv-as from bhrū- 'brow', and in non-initial syllables in 3. pl. in -nuv-anti after consonants beside -nv-anti after sonants, aor. a-su-sruv-a-t 'flowed' from srav- sru-(cp. § 313). In the popular dialects un frequently made its appearance again where the classical Skr. only had u. We may have old forms, e. g. in Pāli tuvam (beside tam = Skr. tvām) 'thee'; nom. acc. $duv\bar{e}$ (Prākr. written $du\bar{e}$ and $duv\bar{e}$) beside $dv\bar{e}$ 'two'; suvān-a- beside nom. sā 'dog'.

Various assimilations took place in Iranian in the combination consonant + y. For O.Pers. we must premise that uv or $\bar{u}v$ was written for v after consonants, e. g. $puv\bar{u}m$ for $pv\bar{u}m = \text{Skr. } tv\bar{u}m$ 'thee', $har\bar{u}va$ - for harva- $= \text{Skr. } s\acute{a}rva$ - 'all'; ep. the orthography -iy- and $-\bar{v}y$ - for -y- p. 116.

Indg. k̄u (= Skr. śv) became Iran. sp. Av. O.Pers. aspa-: Skr. áśva- 'horse', Indg. *ek̄uo-. Av. span-: Skr. śván- 'hound, dog', Indg. *k̄uon-.

In like manner Indg. $\hat{g}\mu$, $\hat{g}h\mu$ (= Skr. jv, hv) became Av. zb. zb- $\bar{a}tar$ -: Skr. hv- $\bar{a}tar$ - O.Bulg. zv-ateli 'crier'.

Indg. tu (= Skr. tv) appears in Av. as pw (w was spirant), and in O.Pers. as puv; that the uv in the latter combination was a consonant, follows directly from the change of t into p (§ 473). Av. pwqm O.Pers. $puv\bar{a}m$: Skr. $tv\hat{a}m$ 'thee'. Gen. sg. Av. $xrapw-\bar{v}$: Skr. $kr\acute{a}tv-as$ from st. xratu-: Skr. $kr\acute{a}tu-$ 'power, understanding'.

Indg. du, dhu (= Skr. dv, dhv), which, in prim. Iran., fell

together in du (§ 481), appear in Av. initially as dv and $d\bar{d}b$ (Gāp. db), b, medially as $d\bar{v}$ and $d\bar{w}$. Initially, dvae&ah-: Skr. dve&ah-: Skr. dve&ah

Indg. py became *fw, thence f in Av. Acc. sg. $\bar{a}fentem$ 'aquosum' fr. prim. Ar. * $\bar{a}p$ -yant-am.

Indg. su (Skr. sv) became in Av. xw (init. and med.) and souh (medially). xwa-: Skr. $sv\acute{a}$ -'suus'. xwashar-: Skr. $sv\acute{a}sar$ 'sister'. $haraxwait\bar{\iota}$ -: $s\acute{a}rasvat\bar{\iota}$ prop. name. 2. sg. imper. bara-souha: Skr. $bh\acute{a}ra-sva$, from Ar. bhar- 'bear, bring'. The pronunciation of -souh-, which is mostly not sonantal, has not been determined. For xw and souh in the Gāpās hv also occurs: hva- 'suus', 2. sg. imper. $u\bar{a}\check{s}a-hv\bar{u}$ 'hear'. In O.Pers. uv = prim. Ar. su. uva-: Av. xwa- Skr. $sv\acute{a}$ - 'suus'. 2. sg. imper. $pati-payauv\bar{u}$ 'protect thyself': cp. Skr. $bh\acute{a}ra$ -sva. Acc. sg. harauvatim = Skr. $s\acute{a}rasvat\bar{\iota}m$. Cp. § 558, 3.

-tim is written for -vem in Av. Acc. pourum: O.Pers. partivam (read parvam) Skr. ptirva-m 'priorem'. 2. sg. imper. Gāp. dazdām: Skr. daddhvám, from Ar. dad- 'give', etc. See Bartholomae Handb. § 95 a.

v in Av. is often to be read as uv, especially after long syllables, just as in Ved., e. g. gen. sg. read $zantuv-\bar{o}$ for $zantv\bar{o}$ from zantu- 'district', cp. Ved. gen. $dhy\check{s}nuv-\acute{a}s$ from $dhy\check{s}n\acute{u}-$ 'repose'.

§ 160. Anteconsonantal medially and finally.

¹⁾ For an uncertain conjecture concerning the reason of the change dv- on the one hand and db-, $\underline{d}b$ - on the other, see Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 371 ff.

In Skr. v is also found before consonants, viz. before y, r, n, e. g. div-yá- 'celestial', $d\bar{e}vr$ -á instr. sg. from $d\bar{e}v$ ár- 'brother-in-law', $p\bar{v}vn$ -ām gen. pl. from pívan- 'fat', ptávn-ām from ptávan- 'holy, pious', va-vn-nis- weak st. form of the pf. part. act. 'triumphant', but maghón- \bar{a} instr. sg. from maghávan- 'distributer'. In Av. v only before p after \bar{v} : pivya- 'belonging to life'; but diphthongic in paoya-: Skr. paoya- 'bovinus'; paorpazapa- pa- r. 'friendliness' for *pa-pa-; paonus-: Skr. paonus-: Skr. paonus- in Skr. pain-am. That diphthongisation does not appear in Skr. in cases where it was possible (cp. pavnus- with paghón-pa), depends on a different mode of forming syllables, in which other forms of the same system may have to some extent set the type (cp. pa-pa-pa- beside pa-pa-pa-). Cp. § 154.

§ 161. u as spirant.

u seems in Skr. to have become labiodental and spirantal already in the classical period, s. Whitney Skr. Gramm. § 57. Also forms of the popular language as Pāli dibba-=divya, pabbata-=parvata- presuppose the change of u to v spirant.

As to whether orig. u also in other cases than pwam, aspaete. (159) had acquired a spirantal pronunciation in Av. (the p in aspa- was developed from a spirant), we leave undecided, and refer to Hübschmann Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIV 354 f. and Bartholomae Handb. 9. 36 f.

Armenian.

- § 162. Indg. μ appears partly as v, and partly as g fr. * $g\mu$ (cp. Italian guastare 'vastare', Cymr. gweddw 'vidua'), without the conditions for this difference of treatment being clear.
- 1. v. vasn 'on account of': Av. vasna- m. 'wish, intention', Gr. $\dot{\epsilon}\varkappa\omega'\nu$ 'voluntarily', rt. $ue\hat{k}$ -. kov, gen. kovu, 'cow': Skr. gav-, Gr. βoF -, Indg. *gou-. vec 'six': Gr. $f\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ $\xi\xi$, Cymr. chwech, cp. \S 589, 3.
- 2. g. gorc 'work': Gr. Fέργο-ν. gitem 'I know': Skr. pf. véda. loganam 'I bathe myself': Lat. lavõ. taigr, gen. taiger, 'brother-in-law': Skr. dēvár-.

k(u) appears for g(u) after voiceless explosives and spirants. So sk- fr. *su- (= orig. ku-, § 408) in skesur, gen. skesri, 'mother-in-law'; prim. Arm. *sues- arose form Indg. *suek- (cp. Goth. $svaihr\bar{o}$, Gr. $kuv\bar{o}$) through assimilation of s- to the following k (§ 562). Indg. *su- and *tu- became k-: koir, gen. $ke\dot{r}$, 'sister': Skr. svasar-; k0 'tui' kez 'tibi': Skr. tva-; cp. §§ 560. 360.

Greek.

- § 163. Indg. μ was retained as \mathcal{F} , which was generally spoken as a vowel, not as a spirant. It was sometimes also incorrectly written v and β . The sound remained in most dialects until far into historic times, as inscriptions show. \mathcal{F} first disappeared in Ion.-Att. It generally disappeared earlier medially than finally.
- § 164. Initially. Bœot. etc. \mathcal{F} txa $\tau\iota$ Dor. \mathcal{F} είχα $\tau\iota$ Ion. Att. εἴχοσι 'twenty': Skr. viśati-, Lat. vīgintī, O.Ir. fiche. Cret. \mathcal{F} iσ \mathcal{F} ο- \mathcal{G} Bœot. etc. \mathcal{F} iσο- \mathcal{G} Att. ἴσο- \mathcal{G} , probably to Skr. vi \mathfrak{F} u adv. 'in both directions'. \mathcal{F} έτο \mathcal{G} έτο \mathcal{G} 'year': Lat. vetus 'old', Skr. vats- α 'year', O.Bulg. vetũchũ 'old'. \mathcal{F} έπο \mathcal{G} έπο \mathcal{G} 'word': Skr. vacas-. \mathcal{F} οῖχο- \mathcal{G} οἶχο- \mathcal{G} : Skr. veśa- \mathcal{G} s 'house', Lat. vīcus Goth. veihsa- 'spot', O.Bulg. vis i 'village'. \mathcal{F} άστv ἄστv 'city: Skr. vastu 'seat, place', vastu 'place, ground, house'. St. form \mathcal{F} a ϱ -v- 'ram' in the Bœot. proper rame \mathcal{F} α ϱ vvv, in ἀ ϱ v- ϱ σe ἀ ϱ v-e 'etc., fr. orig. *ug-v-; beside * \mathcal{F} ϱ - τ v-in π ολv- ϱ ηv 'rich in sheep'. οῦλο- \mathcal{G} 'fleecy, twined' fr. *uḡno-

through the intermediate stages $*F\omega\lambda\nuo-*Fo\lambda\nuo-*Fo\lambda\lambdao-$ (§ 306): Skr. $\bar{u}rn\bar{u}$ 'wool' (§ 157).

Rem. The 'of $\ell l z \omega$ 'I draw', which word can not be separated from $\alpha \tilde{\nu} l \omega \tilde{z}$ 'furrow' and Lith. $velle \tilde{n}$ 'I draw', is perhaps to be explained on the ground that an attraction to $\ell l z - \delta l z$ (with 'fr. σ -, § 564), corresponding to Lat. sulcu-s and Ags. sulh 'plough', took place.

El. Fοάτοα Att. ὁήτοα 'covenant': Av. "rvāta- n. 'decree, commandment' (§ 157). In Lesb. βρ- fr. Fρ-: βρήτωρ, to El. Fράτρα. On Att. δ-, ep. § 226.

§ 165. Intersonantal. Loc. sg. $\Delta\iota F - \iota \Delta\iota \iota$: Skr. $div - \iota$, Indg. * $diu - \iota \iota$ 'in the sky'. $\varkappa \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} F \circ \varepsilon \varkappa \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \circ \varepsilon$ 'glory, renown': Skr. $\dot{\varepsilon} r \dot{\alpha} v - \alpha s$ 'renown', O.Bulg. slovo 'word'. Coreyr. $ohoF - \alpha \iota$ Hom. $\dot{\varrho}o - \alpha \iota$ 'floods': Lith. $srav - \dot{\alpha}$ 'flowing, bleeding' (nouns). Coreyr. $\sigma \tau ov \dot{\sigma} - F \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$ (read σ as $\sigma \sigma$) Hom. $\sigma \tau ov \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ fem. 'lamentabilem': cp. Skr. $b \dot{\alpha} l \alpha - v \alpha t \bar{\tau}$ fem. 'robusta'. St. $\sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \bar{\alpha} \tau - (\sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \bar{\alpha} \tau - o s)$; 'stiff fat' fr. * $\sigma \tau \eta \ddot{\alpha} \tau - (\S 611)$, * $\sigma \tau \ddot{\alpha} - F \alpha \tau$ -, prim. f. * $st \ddot{\alpha} - u \eta - t$ - (§ 233). Aor. $\ddot{\varepsilon} \varkappa \varepsilon \alpha$ 'I poured out' fr. * $\ddot{\varepsilon} - \varkappa \varepsilon F - \eta \nu$ (§ 233), active to $\varkappa \dot{\nu} - \tau o$.

In Lesb. μ combined with a preceding short vowel to form a diphthong, i. e. heterosyllabic $a\mu$, $e\mu$, etc. became tautosyllabic, or still more precisely: $a\mu a$ became $a^{\mu} - \mu a$ (cp. Sievers Phonetik 3 146). This also occurs in Hom. as an Aeolic peculiarity. $\sigma \varepsilon \dot{\nu} \omega$ 'I drive, hunt': Skr. mid. $cy \dot{a} v - a t \bar{e}$ 'moves himself, withdraws', Indg. 1. sg. act. * $qi\dot{e}\mu - \bar{o}$ or * $qj\dot{e}\mu - \bar{o}$. $\varepsilon \ddot{\nu} u \delta o \nu$ 'I saw': Att. $\varepsilon \bar{t} \delta o \nu$, Skr. $\dot{a} v i d a - m$, Indg. * $\dot{e} u i d \delta m$. $a v \eta o$ 'air' $a v \varepsilon \lambda \lambda a$ 'gust of wind': Ion. $\dot{a} \dot{\eta} \dot{o} \ddot{\alpha} \varepsilon \lambda \lambda a$, to $\ddot{a} (f) \eta \mu$, Skr. $v \dot{a} m i$ 'I waft, blow'.

§ 166. Postconsonantal. νF , ρF , λF remained unchanged in some dialects until after the beginning of historic times. In Lesb. and Thess. $\nu \nu$, $\rho \rho$, $\lambda \lambda$ arose, in other didects (Ion. Boot. Dor.) the double consonants were simplified with 'compensation-lengthening', whilst in Att. F was elided without compensation-lengthening. Fr. *γον Fα 'knees' (cp. Av. zanv-a, Lat. genu-a): Lesb. γόννα, Ion. γοῦνα, Att. γόνα-τα. Corcyr. $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha}$ -ξεν Fος: Lesb. ξέννος Ion. ξεῦνος Att. ξένος 'foreign'. Fr. * $\varphi \theta \alpha$ - νF - ω 'I come first', * $\tau \iota$ - νF - ω 'I pay penalty' (cp. Skr. g- ηv - $\dot{\alpha}$ - $t\dot{i}$ 'puts in motion'): Ion. $\varphi \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$, $\tau \dot{i} \nu \omega$, Att. $\varphi \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$, $\tau \dot{i} \nu \omega$. Fr. Indg. stem *per-uen-: pl. Lesb. $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \alpha$ - $\tau \alpha$ 'the ends, furthest point' $\dot{\alpha}$ - $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \dot{i} \rho \omega \nu$ 'unbounded', Att.

πέρας περαίνω, to Skr. párvan- 'node, knot'. Inscrip. (Thessal.?) κόρ $F\bar{\alpha}$: Ion. κούρη Dor. κώρ $\bar{\alpha}$ Att. κόρη 'girl'. Fr. *όλ $F_{0-\bar{s}}$ = Skr. sárva-s 'whole, all': Hom. οτλος, Att. όλος. With the forms having compensation-lengthening cp. § 618.

 $k_{\mathcal{U}}$. ἵππος, dial. ἴεκος (handed down by the grammarians; dialect unknown): Skr. ἀἐνα-s, Indg. *ekuo-s 'horse'. πᾶς παντός 'complete, entire': Skr. ἑά-ἐναπτ- 'complete, entire, each' (§ 557, 4), Indg. *ku-ŷt- (cp. the author's Griech. Gramm. p. 120). κα = ku also in πελεκκάω 'I hew' πέλεκκο-ν 'axe-handle' beside πέλεκν-ς 'axe': Skr. parašú-š 'axe'.

Rem. $\pi\pi$ beside \varkappa is perhaps so to be explained that the latter process of assimilation belonged to a later period than the former. On account of $\imath\varkappa\varkappa o$ - ε it would then have to be assumed that also *eku- existed beside *ekuo-, which was not transferred to the o-declension until after the first appearance of the form $\imath\pi\pi o$ - ε .

tu, du, dhu. Cret. τfέ (in Hesych. wrongly written τοξ) Dor. τέ Lesb. Ion.- Att. σέ 'thee': Skr. tνά-. Bœot. πέτταρες Att. τέτταρες Hom. τέσσαρες etc. 'four': Skr. cαtνάt-as. Cp. § 489. Corinth. Δf εινίaς, Hom. εδδεισεν δέδδιμεν θεοδό ής (the spellings δείδιμεν, θεονδής are wrong), in case δf was not still spoken in the time of Hom., Att. δεινός δέδοιχα, from rt. dμeί-'fear'. δω(δεχα) δί-g: Skr. dνd dνi-g. δoθοί-g: Skr. dνdνdνd-s, Indg. *fdhμo-s 'upright' (§ 306).

 $p\mu$, $bh\mu$. $v\eta'\pi\iota\sigma\varsigma$ (beside $v\eta'\pi\nu'-\tau\iota\sigma\varsigma$ 'unintelligent, under age') fr. * $v\eta'\pi\mathcal{F}-\iota\sigma\varsigma$. $\dot{v}\pi\epsilon\varrho-\dot{\varphi}\dot{\iota}\alpha\lambda\sigma\varsigma$ 'overbearing' fr. * $\dot{v}\pi\epsilon\varrho-\dot{\varphi}\mathcal{F}-\iota\alpha\lambda\sigma\varsigma$, just as Lat. superbia fr. *super-f μ -iā, from rt. $bhe\mu$ - (cp. § 312).

Initial su became voiceless \mathcal{F} , which became h. For $f\dot{\varepsilon}$, of \mathcal{E} 'sibi se', $f\varepsilon$ -zág ézág 'apart, separated': Skr. $sv\acute{a}$ -. Fé§ é§ 'six': Cymr. chwech. The voicelessness of \mathcal{F} is indicated in Boot. inscrip. by h, $fh\varepsilon za$ -dá $\mu o\varepsilon$, cp. ϱh = voiceless ϱ § 266. Concerning medial su, which probably became $\sigma\sigma$ σ , as in $\iota \sigma \sigma \sigma - \varepsilon$ $\iota \sigma \sigma - \varepsilon$, and the initial σ of $\sigma a \iota \partial \sigma$ and others see § 563, 7.

Concerning *y*-epenthesis § 639.

iu. $o\bar{i}Fo$ - ς $o\bar{i}o$ - ς 'alone': Av. aeva- 'unus'. aiFei aiei 'ever': Lat. aevo-m. $\lambda au\acute{o}\varsigma$ 'left': Lat. laevo-s. For the \bar{a} in $\dot{\bar{a}}ei$, $\delta \bar{a}\acute{\eta}\varrho$ 'brother-in-law' = Skr. $d\bar{e}v\acute{a}r$ - etc. see §§ 96. 131.

Change between y and uy (cp. §§ 120. 131. 153). $\delta \omega - \delta \varepsilon z \alpha$ and $\delta v \omega$ 'two'. $H \alpha v - \delta v \mu \alpha$ and Sam. $K v \alpha v - \delta v \mu \omega v$ (cp. $z v \alpha \mu \delta v - \delta v$ with m-suffix) exhibit the double forms $\pi \alpha v \delta \sigma$ and $z v \alpha v \delta \sigma$ 'bean' $(\pi v \alpha v \delta - \varepsilon)$ is a later contamination form) from rt. $h \alpha^r v - \delta v - \delta v - \delta v$ 'arose', but $v \pi \varepsilon \rho - \varphi(f) - l \alpha \delta \delta \sigma v$ (s. above) and O.Bulg. $b \varepsilon$ 'was' fr. * $b v - \delta \tau - \delta v - \delta v - \delta v$ 'hound, dog': Skr. Ved. $\delta u v \alpha \delta v - \delta v -$

Spellings like Cypr. $\delta v \mathcal{F} \acute{a} v \sigma i$, Chalc. $\Gamma a \varrho v \mathcal{F} \acute{o} v \eta \varsigma$ prove that the u from u u was not quite mute in Greek.

§ 167. Anteconsonantal medially and finally.

The Indg. diphthongs eu, uu remained diphthongic. $\pi \varepsilon v - \theta \varepsilon \tau u$: Skr. $b \delta dhat \bar{e}$, Indg. * $bh \dot{e} u dhet a \dot{i}$ from $bh \dot{e} u dh$ -'wake, mark'. Voc. $Z \varepsilon \tilde{v}$ fr. Indg. * $d\dot{i} e u$. $a \tilde{v}$ a $a \tilde{v}$ - $\tau \varepsilon$ 'again': Lat. a u-t a u-t e u-t e u. S. §§ 61. 96. Whilst o u, e. g. in loc. pl. $\beta o v \sigma i$ (Skr. $g \delta \tilde{s} u$), passed into \bar{u} already at an early period, s. § 80.

The first component of anteconsonantal $\bar{e}u$, $\bar{o}u$, $\bar{a}u$ underwent shortening in prim. Gr., e. g. $Z_{\ell}v'_{\varsigma}$ fr. $*d\dot{z}\bar{e}u$ -s (§ 69), $\beta o\tilde{v}_{\varsigma}$ 'bullock' fr. $*g\bar{o}u$ -s (§ 85), $va\tilde{v}_{\varsigma}$ 'ship' fr. $*n\bar{a}u$ -s (§ 101), s. § 611. They thus fell together with orig. eu, ou, au and became subject to the same changes as these.

μί. δαίω 'I kindle' fr. *δα--μω, κλαίω 'I weep' fr. *κλα--μω. Hom. πλείω 'I sail' fr. *πλε--μω. See § 131 p. 118 and § 639.

Italic.

§ 168. Initially. Lat. vehō, Umbr. ar-veihtu 'adicito', Osc. veiatura 'vectura' (Paulus F.): Skr. váhāmi 'veho', Indg. *μέβhō. Lat. vertō, Umbr. ku-vertu co-vertu 'convertito', Osc. fερσορει 'Versori, Τροπαίφ': Skr. vártatē 'turns himself', Indg. *μέrtō. Part. Lat. vorsu-s, Umbr. Osc. vorsum ('quod Graeci πλέθρον appellant, Osci et Umbri vorsum', Frontinus de limit. p. 30, 9): Skr. vṛttá-s, Lith. virsta-s, Indg. *μṛt+tó- (§ 295). Lat. vir, Umbr. viro veiro 'viros', Osc. vereias 'iuventutis': Goth. vaír 'man', Skr. vṛrá-s 'hero'.

Lat. $r\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}x$ 'root' fr. * $yr\bar{a}d$ -, * $y\bar{\imath}d$ - (§ 306): Goth. $va\acute{u}rts$ 'root'. Lat. $l\bar{a}na$ 'wool' fr. * $yl\bar{a}n\bar{a}$, * $yl\bar{\jmath}$ - $n\bar{a}$: Skr. $\bar{u}r\gamma\bar{a}$ (§ 306). Lat. $r\bar{e}p\bar{o}$ 'I creep, crawl' probably fr. * $yr\bar{v}p\bar{o}$: Gr. $\acute{o}\acute{e}\pi\omega$ 'I incline' (of the scale of a balance) fr. * $f_{i}\acute{v}\acute{e}\pi\omega$, cp. $za\lambda a$ - $\bar{v}_{i}\acute{v}o\psi$ 'shepherd's crook' $\acute{a}vr\dot{\iota}$ - $\varrho_{i}o\pi o$ - ς 'counterpoising'. $l\bar{v}ru$ -m 'reins', to Gr. $e\breve{v}\lambda\eta\varrho a$ (§ 167).

§ 169. Intersonantal. Lat. ovi-s, Umbr. ovi acc. 'oves', Osc. Ovius: Lith. avì-s 'sheep'. Lat. Jov-is, Umbr. Iuve Osc. Iuveí 'Iovi': Skr. loc. dyáv-i 'in the sky', Indg. st. form *dieu-Lat. vīvo-s, Osc. bivus nom. 'vivi': Skr. jīvá-s. Lat. juven-cu-s, Umbr. ivengar nom. 'iuvencae': Skr. yuva-śá-s 'youthful', Indg. *juvu-kó-s or *juvu-kó-s (§ 133). Lat. novem, for *noven (after the analogy of septem, decem): Skr. náva, Indg. *newy.

The u of the Indg. combination uu in * $duu\bar{v}$ 'two' etc. was not so strongly articulated in Lat. as to be represented; forms like instituvit, suvo, mortuva did not make their appearance until after the end of the classical period (Schuchardt Voc. II 520 f.). Whereas Umbr. tuva neut. 'duo' tuves 'duobus' beside duir 'duobus'; kastruvuf beside castruo 'fundos'; Osc. eítiuvam beside eituam 'pecuniam'. Cp. § 170.

§ 170. Postconsonantal. Such an *u* partly remained consonantal in Italic, and partly became sonantal.

Lat. tenuis: cp. Skr. tanv-t fem. 'long, stretched'. Lat. genua: cp. Av. zanv-a Gr. Lesb. γόννα 'genua'. Lat. arvo-m, Umbr. arvam-en 'in arvum' arvia aruvia arvio pl. n. 'fruges':

Cymr. erw 'piece of land' Bret. erv 'furrow'. Lat. ferveō: O.Ir. berbaim 'I seethe, cook, melt'. Lat. salvo-s, probably connected with Skr. sárva-s Gr. οὖλο-ς όλο-ς (Thurneysen Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII 160), Umbr. salvom salvom, salva salva. Lat. helvo-s: OHG. gelo, inflected gelavēr, 'yellow', cpf. *ĝhelyo-s.

Rem. Lat. ll fr. lu, in illustration of which pallidu-s beside Lith. pallidu-s 'pale-yellow' and other are quoted, seems to me very uncertain. See W. Meyer Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII p 163.

Lat. equo-s (Umbr. ekvine loc. 'equini' loan-word?): Skr. áśva-s, Indg. *eĥyo-s. Lat. queror questus : Skr. śvás-i-mi 'I breathe, wheeze, sigh' Indg. *kues-. Lat. quatvor quatuor (quattuor) : catvár-as 'four'. Lat. mortuo-s : O.Bulg. mrĭtvĭt 'mortuus'. Lat. bi-s, bi-dēns : Skr. dví-š 'twice'. Lat. bonus bene fr. *dy-ono-s rt. da^xy - 'honour, acknowledge' : cp. Skr. Ved. dúv-as- n. 'mark of respect'. derbiosu-s 'scabby', fr. *derdu-: Skr. dardu- 'eruption on the skin, leprosy'. Lat. suuvi-s fr. * $sy\bar{a}dy$ -i-s (§ 506) : Skr. fem. $sv\bar{a}dv$ -t 'suavis'. Lat. foru-m fr. prim. Ital. *puoro-: Lith. dvara-s O.Bulg. dvoru 'court'. Lat. suf-fiō from prim. Ital. *pu-iiō: Gr. θύω 'I sacrifice'. Lat. arduo-s fr. prim. Ital. *arpuo-s: Skr. ūrdhvá-s 'upright', Indg. *\(\bar{r}\)dhu\(\delta\)-s (\(\xi\) 306). 2. sg. f\(\bar{i}\)s fr. *\(\bar{r}\)iis, *\(\frac{r}{u}\)-iie-s, like O.Pers. opt. b-iyā fr. *bu-iyā(t), rt. bheu- (Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 430 f.); hence du-bius from *du-bhu-ijo-s, -bō -bam in calē-bō, -bam (Osc. fu-fans 'erant') fr. *-bhu-ō *-bhu-ā-m etc.

sy- appears variously treated, but the reason of this diversity has not hitherto been discovered. Lat. suāvis: Skr. svādú-OS. swōti 'sweet'. Lat. sī, Osc. svaí svae Umbṛ. sve 'si', Volsc. se-pis 'si quis', related to Goth. sva 'so' svē 'how'. Lat. sex: Gr. fέξ έξ Cymr. chwech 'six'. Lat. ser-ēnu-s: Skr. svár- 'splendour, sky'. O.Lat. sīs 'suis': Gr. fóς őς Skr. svá- 'suus'. The elision of the y in Lat. sūdor sūdāre, fr. *syūd- *syoid-according to § 81 p. 74 (cp. OHG. sweiz OS. swēt Skr. svéda-s 'sweat'), may be directly due to the following ū. By the side of this so- fr. *sye- in soror etc. § 172. Medial -sy-: Menerva Minerva fr. *Menes-yā, to Gr. μένος n. 'sense'; cp. § 569.

iu. Lat. deivos dīvos, Umbr. deveia 'divina', Osc. deívaí

'divae' : Pruss. deiwa-s Skr. dēvá-s 'god', Indg. **deiwo-s. Lat. aevo-m : Gr. alFel 'ever' $al(F)\omega v$ 'time'.

Indg. u had become sonantal in such forms as tenuis mortuos, like i (§ 135) and l (§ 269). Poets occasionally, as it seems, made use of forms not usual in the ordinary language, e. g. suādent trisyllabic = svādent in Lucret. (Christ Metrik² 43 f.). When, on the other hand, they employ also tenvis, genva etc. (Christ as above p. 32, Kühner Ausf. Grammat. I 94), this pronunciation may be due to some dial. peculiarity, but it can hardly represent Indg. u. This is still less the case in O.Fr. tenve 'tenuis', Italian belva 'belua', Ital. morto Sp. muerto 'mortuus' (-to fr. -tvo) etc. (Diez Gramm. d. rom. Spr. I 187 f., Horning Ztschr. für roman. Phil. VII 572 f.)

On the other hand Indg. $u\bar{u}$ seems to occur unchanged e. g. in $du\bar{v}$ duis dui- $d\bar{e}ns$ beside bi- (cp. Skr. Ved. $duv\bar{a}$ $duvi\bar{s}$ beside $dv\bar{a}$ $dvi\bar{s}$ etc.); O.Lat. $duon\bar{v}ro$ 'bonorum' (cp. Skr. Ved. duv-as-); su-is su- \bar{i} su- $\bar{i}nu$ -s (cp. Gr. v-os v-i with e. g. Goth. sv-ein 'pig' O.Bulg. su- $in\bar{u}$ 'suillus'); $F\bar{a}$ -tuo-s 'prophet'. $m\bar{u}$ -tuo-s 'changeable' (cp. Skr. Ved. $j\bar{e}$ -tuva-s 'to be won'). suo-s may have arisen from sovos = Indg. *seu-s (§ 172), but it may also be identical with Skr. Ved. suva-s (beside sva-s).

Umbr. forms like saluvom show the same change of u to uu as Lat. quatuor etc.

§ 171. Anteconsonantal medially and finally. Prim. Ital. ou (= Indg. eu and ou) became \bar{u} (\bar{o}) in Lat., \bar{o} in Umbr., ov in Osc. : e. g. gen. sg. Lat. $trib\bar{u}s$, Umbr. trifor 'tribus', Osc. castrovs 'fundi', s. §§ 65. 81. Prim. Ital. au became Lat. au, Umbr. \bar{o} , Osc. av: e. g. aut, ote, avti s. § 97. Umbr. manuv-e 'in manu' (v was a glide) is traceable to Indg. $-\bar{o}u$, and perhaps also Lat. $\bar{u}s\bar{u}$ used as loc., cp. Skr. $s\bar{u}n\bar{u}u$ loc. sg. of $s\bar{u}nu$ -§ 'son', s. § 85.

Osc. v, F in avt avti 'aut', Avfi 'Aufius', castrovs 'fundi', túvtíks 'publicus', tovto τω Fτο 'civitas', Lúvkanateís 'Lucanatis', Luvkis 'Lucius', Lúvfreís 'Liberi', Núvlanús 'Nolani', and others, point to a sharper division of the two components than in the usual pronunciation of diphthongs, i.e. an articulation

similar to the Mod. Gr. pronunciation of αv and ϵv (in $\alpha \vec{v} \lambda \eta'$, $\alpha \vec{v} \rho \iota o v$, $\epsilon \vec{v} \nu o v \varsigma$, $\epsilon \vec{v} \gamma \nu \omega' \mu \omega v$ etc.).

§ 172. v = Indg. u was certainly spoken as a vowel, not as spirant in Lat. prior to and during the classical period, probably also in the other Ital. dialects. Lat. v did not become a spirant until the second cent. A. D.

By the vocalic pronunciation of the Lat. v are to be explained the following changes which have not been given above.

- 1. -u- in unaccented syllables (§ 680) fr. -ou- (§§ 65. 81), -au- (§ 97). $d\bar{e}$ -nu \bar{o} fr. $d\bar{e}$ nov \bar{o} . ind-u \bar{o} fr. *ind-ov \bar{o} : Umbr. anovihimu 'induimino', Lith. au-nù (Inf. a \bar{u} -ti) 'I put on feet-covering'. $implu\bar{o}$ fr. *im-plov \bar{o} beside plov \bar{o} , Gr. $\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}(F)\omega$. ab-lu \bar{o} \bar{e} -lu \bar{a} cru-s beside lav \bar{o} lav \bar{a} cru-m. Cp. Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 80. 158. 391. Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 259.
- 2. -u- from -uo-. ecus (class. period) from equo-s (corresponding to aecus fr. aequos with qu = Indg. q, s. § 341a). Gnaeus from Gnaivo-s. deus fr. deivo-s. Cp. also inscrip. vius $(\bar{\imath}) = v\bar{\imath}vos$, aeum = aevom etc. The postclass. form equus is a new formation made after the analogy of equ $\bar{\imath}$ etc., class. $d\bar{\imath}vos$ ($d\bar{\imath}vus$) after $d\bar{\imath}v\bar{\imath}$ etc., vice versa Gnae $\bar{\imath}$, de $\bar{\imath}$ after Gnaeus, deus. Cp. Bersu Die Gutturalen 53 ff., Thurneysen Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII 155 f. In a similar manner probably also con-cuti $\bar{\imath}$ fr. *con-quati $\bar{\imath}$ (§ 97).
- 3. -o- fr. -ue-. soror fr. *suesōr: Skr. svásar- 'sister'. socrus fr. *suecru-s: Skr. śvaśrű- fr. *suesrű- (§ 557, 4), Gr. ɛ̃zvoá 'mother-in-law'. somnu-s fr. *suepno-s: Skr. svápnu-s 'sleep'. combr-ētu-m 'a kind of rush': Lith. szveñdrai pl. 'a kind of reed', cpf. *ĥuendhro- (§ 370). Correspondingly coquō fr. *quequō etc. with qu = Indg. q, s. § 431 a.
- 4. Changes with loss of a medial syllable. au-spex fr. *avi-spex. claudō fr. *clāvidō. ō-piliō ū-piliō fr. *ovi-piliō. noundinae nūndinae fr. *noven-dinae. prūdēns beside prō-vidēns. aetās fr. O.Lat. aevitās. praedēs pl. fr. O.Lat. praevidēs. mālō mālim beside O.Lat. māvolō māvelim, etc. Cp. § 633.

Cp. Umbr. bue 'bove' buo 'boum', courtust beside covortus 'converterit'.

Old Irish.

§ 173. Initially f- fēn 'wain': rt. ueĝh- 'vehere' (§ 526). fedim 'I bring, lead': Skr. vadhū-š 'bride, young woman', Lith. vedù O.Bulg. veda 'I lead'. fer 'man': Lat. vir. fiss 'scientia' fr. *uissu-s, *uid+tu-: Skr. vēda 'he knows', Lat. videō. frass f. 'shower of rain': Skr. varšū-s 'rain', Gr. Hom. ἐερση 'dew' (cp. § 274). flaith, gen. flatha, f. 'dominion, sway': Goth. valda O.Bulg. vlada 'I wield, rule' (cp. § 274).

Concerning \dot{f} , when conditionally initial, e. g. \bar{a} $\dot{\pi}\dot{r}$ 'O man', s. § 658, 1.

- l- fr. *ul- in lingim 'I jump', to Skr. válgāmi 'I jump, hop' (s. § 285 rem.)? Otherwise Thurnevsen Keltor. 85 f.
- v- (i. e. u or spirant?, still appears in Gall., and also in Britannic names of the Roman period, later in Brit. gu- (gu- gw-). Gall. vergo-bretus 'cuius iudicium efficax est', O.Cymr. guerg 'efficax': O.Ir. ferg ferc 'ira', to Gr. $\partial g \gamma'_i$ 'impulse, anger'. Cymr. gweddw: O.Ir. fedb 'widow', Lat. vidua (cp. § 174). O.Cymr. gulat Mod. Cymr. gwlad, Bret. glat: O.Ir. flaith.

Rem. b i. e. voiced spirant (cp. § 175) for medial r after the preposition com-, which lost its m. co-bsud 'stabilis' to rossad 'quiet, fast' from foss 'a remaining, quietness': Skr. vásāmi 'I sojourn, dwell', Goth. visa 'I remain'. coibues 'affinitas fr. 'co(u)-bines from fine 'relationship': OHG. OS. wini 'friend'. Cp. §§ 212. 513. 658.

§ 174. Interconsonantal μ partly underwent contraction with the preceding vowel and formed a long vowel, and partly entirely disappeared, whilst μ remained in the Britan. branch. clū 'fame, renown', Cymr. clyu 'hearing': Gr. κλέρος Skr. ἐνάναs- 'renown'. Plural clōi 'nails': Lat. clāvī. ōi 'sheep': Lat. ovi-s. Perf. bōi 'fuit' fr. orig. "(lhe-)bhou-e: cp. Av. ba-vāv-a, rt. bheu-. Gen. pl. bō n- 'boum': Gr. βο(F)-ων; Boind, a river in the south of Ireland, in Ptolem. still Βοιονίνδα (Buvinda). ōac ōc (compar. ōa, superl. ōam) Cymr. ieuanc 'iuvenis', prim. Kelt. *jounko-s: cp. Skr. yuvašá- comparat. yávīyas- (§ 137). lī cymr. lliu O.Corn. liu Mod. Corn. lyu 'color, splendor': Lat. līvor. biu beo (nom. pl. bī) Cymr. byw 'alive', O.Corn. biu Mod. Corn. bew 'vita': Gr. βίο-ς 'life', Goth. qiu-s Lith. gýva-s

Lat. $v\bar{\imath}vo$ -s 'quick, alive', Indg. * $g\bar{\imath}uo$ -s-. $n\bar{o}i$ n- Cymr. naw Corn. naw 'nine': Skr. $n\acute{a}va$, Indg. * $n\acute{e}up$.

In fedb 'widow' (Skr. vidhávā, Lat. vidua fr. *vidovā by § 172, 1, O.Bulg. vīdova) -dou- became -du- at an early period (§ 634), from the latter -db- (ep. § 175).

§ 175. Postconsonantal. marb 'dead' marbaim 'I kill', Cymr. marw Corn. marow Bret. marv marf 'dead': OHG. maro, inflected marawēr 'ripe, mellow, fragile'. tarb 'ox', Gall. tarvo-s Cymr. tarw Corn. tarow Bret. tarv tarf 'ox': Gr. ταῖφο-ς probably fr. *ταρ-δ-ς (§ 639). berbaim Cymr. berwaf 'I seethe': Lat. ferveō. Cp. also delb 'figure, form' Cymr. delw and danb 'sus' Cymr. banw fr. *bandva. This b after r, l, d was a voiced spirant like intervocalie b (§ 522).

ech 'horse', Gall. epo-, prim. Kelt. *ekwo-s: Skr. áśva-s (cp. O.Ir. c Britt. $p=\operatorname{Indg.} q$ § 435). cethir 'four', O.Cymr. petguar Mod. Cymr. pedwar Corn. peswar Bret. pevar, in Ptolem. Π erovaqía, a town in Britain: Skr. catvár-as, Goth. fidvōr. dā dau 'two', O.Cymr. M.Bret. dou: Skr. dvá dvāú. biu 'I am' like Lat. fvō goes back to a prim. Indg. *bhu-ijō, rt. bheu-(§ 170).

sy-appears as s- and as f-, Cymr. chw-. siur fiur 'sister', Cymr. chwaer: Skr. svásar-. se 'six' seser 'six men', $m\bar{o}r$ -feser 'magnus seviratus' i. e. '7', Cymr. chwech: Gr. $f\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ ' $\ddot{\epsilon}\xi$ fr. * $\sigma f\dot{\epsilon}\xi$. do-sennat, 'they hunt, drive' from a rt. syend-. f and b=sy appear after vowels; b is written before voiced consonants and finally. Redupl. pf. 3. sg. do-sefainn = *sesyonde, 3. pl. do-sefnatar, pres. 3. sg. imper. toibned from *to-fenned, related to do-sennat, given above. Gen. feibe dat. acc. feib 'excellence, suitableness, worthiness' fr. prim. Kelt. gen. *yesy-i\var{a}s dat. \(-\var{\epsilon}\) acc. -in beside nom. fiu fr. *yisu-s *yesu-s. We must accordingly assume that initial f- = sy- in fiur did not arise in absolute initiality 1). Cp. § 658, 1. Medial sy after \hat{k} probably

¹⁾ The form fiur, as Thurneysen remarks, does not occur as an absolute initial.

in dess 'to the right, southerly' fr. *deksuo-, O.Cymr. dehou: Goth. taihsva 'to the right', cp. Gall. Dexsiva.

iu. dia, gen. dē voc. dē, 'god' (hereto diade 'godly'), O.Cymr. duiu Mod. Cymr. duw 'god', Gall. Δειονονα Dēvo-gnāta: Pruss. deiwa-s Skr. dēvá-s 'god', Indg. *deiwo-s.

§ 176. Anteconsonantal medially and finally. Indg. eu and ou fell together in \bar{o} (ua) in accented syllables, e. g. $l\bar{v}$ che 'fulmen', tuath 'folk', ruad 'red'; from au \bar{o} , e. g. au \bar{v} 'ear'; s. §§ 66. 82. 98. dau $d\bar{v}$ 'two': Skr. $dv\bar{a}u$, Indg. * $du\bar{v}u$, s. § 85.

Germanic.

§ 177. Indg. u was, as it seems, still generally a consonantal u in prim. Germ. This pronunciation remained in Goth. (written v), likewise in OHG. (written uu, u); but in the MHG. period u became a spirant, spoken as in Mod. HG.

§ 178. Initially. Goth. ga-vigan 'to move', OHG. wegan Ags. wezan 'to move oneself'. O.Icel. vega 'to be in motion': Skr. váhāmi 'veho', Indg. *yeghō. Goth. vatō n. (gen. sg. vatins, dat. pl. vatn-a-m) OHG. wazzar OS. watar O.Icel. vatn n. 'water': Lith. vandū, gen. vandens, O.Bulg. voda 'water', cp. Skr. ud-án- 'water' with Indg. weak grade form of the root syllable (§ 221). Goth. vitan OHG. wizzan 'to know': Gr. fideīv ideīv, Skr. vidmá 'we know'. Goth. vulfs OHG. wolf prim. Germ. *yulfa-z 'wolf' (concerning f, s. § 444), Skr. víka-s Lith. vilka-s O.Bulg. vlūkū 'wolf', Indg. *ylqo-s.

Goth. $vr\dot{a}iqs$ 'slant, crooked': Gr. $\dot{\rho}\alpha\beta\delta$ -s' crooked, crookelegged' fr. * $\mathcal{F}\rho\alpha\beta\delta$ -s. Goth. vrits m. 'line, point', OHG. riz 'line, stroke, letter', OHG. $r\bar{\imath}zan$ OS. $wr\bar{\imath}tan$ 'to cut, scratch into' (rt. $ure\dot{\imath}d$ -, not found except in Germ.). Goth. vlits m. 'look, face', OS. wliti; represented in OHG. by ant-lizzi n. 'countenance' (cp. Ags. and-wlita m.), which arose from a contamination with ant-lutti n. (Goth. ludja fem. 'face') and represented regular *ant-liz. wr- occurs in OHG. only a few times in Frank. monuments as wrehhan 'exulem', to the verb verb

§ 179. Intersonantal. Goth. $av\bar{e}pi$ n. 'herd of sheep', OHG. au (nom. pl. awi) 'sheep': Lat. ovi-s Lith. avi-s 'sheep'. Goth. suniv- \bar{e} 'of sons': ep. O.Bulg. synov- \bar{u} , Gr. $\pi\eta\chi\varepsilon\omega r$ fr. * $\pi\eta\chi\varepsilon\mathcal{F}$ - ωv . Prim. Germ. *iuuur-gu-z (= Skr. yuv-asa'-a-s, Lat. iuv-encu-s) became * $i\bar{u}$ -gu-ga-z: Goth. iug-gs (still spoken with \bar{u} ? ep. § 614) OHG. OS. iung O.Icel. ungr 'young'. Correspondingly u-fr. uu in Goth. OHG. niun 'nine', ep. Skr. na'ra etc., Indg. *neuv (ep. § 659, 6).

Indg. ōψ- and āψ- = prim. Germ. ōψ- (§§ 91. 107) became au- in Goth. before vowels. staua f. 'judgment', staua, gen. stauins 'judge': O.Bulg. staviti 'to place, stop' pri-stavŭ 'an official man', Lith. stóviu stovčti 'to stand'. af-dauiħs 'exhausted': O.Bulg. daviti 'to strangle', Lith. dōvyti 'to put in continual motion'. This au was probably an open ō, viz. the long of aŭ (baŭrans 'carried'), like the au in loan-words as Trauadái 'Τοφάδι', praitauria beside praitōria f. 'praetorium' (Braune Got. Gramm.² p. 13). Cp. the ai in saian § 142. The questions connected with antevocalic au in Goth. and its representation in HG. have not as yet been fully settled, see Paul in Paul-Braune's Beitr. VII 152 ff., VIII 210 ff., Kögel ibid. IX 513 ff.

Goth. av and iv before a vowel passed into the diphthongs áu and iu, when this vowel was elided and v consequently became final or stood before a consonant. snáu, 3. sg. pret. of snivan 'to hurry', fr. *snau(i), prim. f. *se-snóu-e. triu 'stick, stake' (gen. trivis) fr. *triu(am), prim. f. *dreu-o-m. qius 'vivus' (gen. qivis) fr. *qiu(a)z, prim. f. *giuo-s; ga-qiuja 'I quicken' fr. *qiu(i)iō, prim. f. *giueiō (cp. § 142). Such an -iu- became -ju-in unaccented syllables: nom. pl. sunjus 'sons' fr. *sunius *suniuz *suneu-es: Skr. sūnáv-as 'sons' (cp. § 143 rem.). Here belongs also the change of -ōui- to Goth. -ōj-: the diphthong ōu became (close) ō. stōja 'I judge' fr. *stōuiō, prim. Germ. *stōuiiō, pret. stauida (see above): O.Bulg. 1. sg. stavlja (with excrescent l, § 147 p. 132) 2. sg. staviši, inf. staviti 'to place'.

With this cp. $l\bar{e}v$ n. 'opportunity, occasion' fr. $*l\bar{e}u(a-m)$, $l\bar{e}vja$ 'I betray'; nom. $\acute{a}ivs$ acc. $\acute{a}iv$ 'time' (Lat. aevo-m) fr. $*a\underline{i}u(a)-z$ * $a\underline{i}u(a-m)$.

-ggv- (the first g is not to be read w as in other cases) fr. -u- is parallel to Goth. -ddj- fr. -i- (§ 142 p. 127). In Norse likewise -ggv- (-gg-), whilst the orig. prim. Germ. sound generated an u in West Germ., which united with the preceding vowel to form a diphthong, or ū (when the preceding vowel was u). Goth. triggva f. 'covenant' triggvs 'true, faithful', O.Icel. tryggr acc. tryggvan = Goth. triggvana, OHG. treuwa triuwa 'loyalty': cp. Pruss. druwi f. 'faith, belief', Gr. \$400-v-102vqóv. 'A07vão Hesych. Goth. glaggvō adv. 'carefully, exactly', adj. O.Icel. gloggr OHG. inflected glauwēr 'exact, clear'. Goth. skuggva 'mirror', O.Icel. skugge OHG. scāwo 'shadow'. The conditions for this special Germ. treatment of u as well as that of the corresponding i have not been determined. Cp. Paul in Paul-Braune's Beitr. VII 165 f., Kögel ibid. IX 523 ff., J. Schmidt Anz, f. d. Alt. VI 125 f.

§ 180. Postconsonantal. Goth. a'hva-tundi 'βάτος': Lat. equo-s, Indg. *eĥuo-s 'horse'. mavi f. 'girl' fr. *ma(z)μ-ī (§ 444 c) to magu-s 'boy', like Skr. svādv-ī f. to svādú-š 'sweet'. Suffix -ħva, e. g. frija-ħva f. 'love': Skr. priya-tvá-m n. 'being agreeable. pleasing'. fidvōr 'four': Skr. catvár-as. Pl. tvái 'two': Skr. du. dvā dvāú. svistar 'sister': Skr. svásar-. faúr-valveiħ 'he rolls before': Lat. volvō.

w remained in OHG. in combinations at the beginning of words. dwahan 'to wash': Goth. pvahan. zwēne 'two': Goth. tvái. swester 'sister': Goth. svistar. Sometimes with anaptyctic vowel after s-, z-: sowarz beside swarz 'black', Goth. svarts; zoweōn beside zweōn 'to doubt'; in other cases seldom, e. g. thowahan beside thwahan dwahan. w remained in medial conbinations only when preceded by r, l, s in which case a vowel was developed. marawēr (inflected form to maro) 'mellow', prim. Germ. st. *marya-: O.Ir. marb Cymr. marw 'dead'. gelawēr (inflected form to gelo) 'yellow': Lat. helvo-s. zeswēr zesawēr zesewēr (infl. form to zeso) 'dexter': Goth. taihsva. w disappeared after other consonants. selida 'shelter': Goth. salipva. wahta 'watch': Goth. vahtvō.

Rem. 1. scato, gen. scatawes, m. 'shade', to Goth. skadu-s is generally given as an exception. The form, however, seems to go back to a st. *skaduṇa-, cp. OHG. witawa: Goth. viduvō.

Rem 2. On the forms containing anaptyctic vowels cp. § 628.

-ny- became -nn- in prim. Germ. Goth. minniza OHG. minniro 'minor' fr. *miny-iz-ō from *minu-: Gr. μινί-θω, Lat. minu-ō. Prim. Germ. *mann- 'man' fr. *many-, dat. (loc.) sg. Goth. mann OHG. man fr. *mann-i, gen. pl. Goth. mann-ē OHG. mann-o etc.: Skr. mánu-š 'Manu'. Goth. OHG. rinnan 'to run' from *ri-ny-ana-n: Skr. ri-nv-a-ti 'he lets flow' (not found in the texts), ep. the close of § 153.

iu. Goth. áivs, gen. áivis, m. 'a long time' áiveins 'eternal',
OHG. ēwa f. 'long time, order' ēwīn 'eternal': Lat. aevo-m, cpf.
*aiuo-. Goth. hláiv n. 'tumulus', OHG. hlēo gen. hlēwes, prim. f.
*kloi-uo-s from rt. klei- 'lean': cp. Lat. clī-vo-s.

Postconsonantal -uu- became -u- in prim. Germ. O.Icel. sund n. Ags. sund m. 'swimming' from prim. Germ. *suum-da-(§ 214), to O.Norse svima 'to swim' part. sumenn. Goth. hunsel Ags. hūsel O.Icel. hūsl n. 'offering, holy service' from prim. Germ. *zuunt+tla-m from Indg. *kū-nt-: cp. Av. spent-a-O.Bulg. svetŭ 'holy'. OHG. part. gi-dungan (to dwingan 'to squeeze, press'), dūhan 'to squeeze, press' (weak verb) fr. prim. Germ. *pwəg- *pwəz- fr. *puwəg- *pwwəz- (§ 214), rt. tweəq-: Lith. tvenkia 'it is sultry, gives pain'. Cp. OHG. koman 'come' pp. fr. prim. Germ. *kumana-, older *kumana- etc. with Indg. velar explosive, § 444 b.

§ 181. Anteconsonantal medially and finally. eu: Goth. piuda OHG. deota diota 'folk': O.Ir. tuath, cpf. *teutā, § 67. ou: Goth. ráuþs (st. ráuda-) OHG. rōt 'red': O.Ir. ruad, cpf. *roudho-s, § 83. au: Goth. áuk 'for, but' áukan 'to increase, grow', OHG. auh 'also': Lat. augeō, rt. aug-, § 99. ōu: Goth. ahtáu 'eight': Skr. aṣṭāu, cpf. *oktōu, § 659, 3.

Goth. iu and $\acute{a}u$ before j are also specially to be noted (§ 154). niuji-s 'new': Skr. $n\acute{a}vya$ -s 'new', Indg. *neu-io-s (cp on the other hand OHG. niui, like Goth. part. ana-nivips 'renewed'). $h\acute{a}ujis$ gen. of havi n. 'hay', prim. st. *qou-io-io- or

*qau-io-, to OHG. houvon O.Icel. hoggva 'to hew', O.Bulg. kova kovati 'to hew, strike, slay'. Cp. iu in ga-qiuja § 179 p. 156.

Rem. The supposition of several scholars, that \bar{o} also arose from $\bar{o}_{\bar{u}}$ before other consonants than \underline{i} (cp. Goth. $st\bar{o}jan$ § 179) in prim. Germanic, e. g. in Goth. $ft\bar{o}dus$ OHG. ftuot 'flood, tide', rt. * $pt\bar{o}_{\bar{u}}$ -, is not sufficiently founded.

Baltic-Slavonic.

§ 182. Initially. Lith. vežù O.Bulg. veza 'veho': Skr. vá-hāmi, Indg. *μeghō. Lith. valdaũ O.Bulg. vlada 'I govern, rule': Goth. valda 'I rule, wield'. Lith. vý-ti O.Bulg. vi-ti 'to wind, turn': Lat. vieō vīti-s. Lith. véida-s 'face', O.Bulg. vidũ 'look': Lat. videō. Lith. věja-s 'wind', O.Bulg. věja-ti 'to blow': Skr. vá-ti 'blows' vāyú-š 'wind'. Lith. vilnì-s f. O.Bulg. vlũna 'wave', prim. f. *μl-ni-s *μl-nā, rt. μel- 'turn, wind, roll' (Gr. ἐλύω, Lat. volvō). Lith. virszù-s O.Bulg. vrīchũ 'the upper end, point', prim. f. *μys-u-s: Skr. várš-išṭha- 'the highest, topmost'.

§ 183. Intersonantal. Lith. avi-s O.Bulg. ovi-ca 'sheep': Lat. ovi-s. Lith. srava 'the act of flowing', O.Bulg. o-strova 'island': Gr. $\phi o f \acute{a} \phi o \acute{n}$ 'stream', Skr. srava-s 'river, efflux' srava-ti 'flows', rt. sreva-. Lith. $g\acute{v}$ -va-s O.Bulg. $\acute{z}i$ -va 'alive': Lat. vi-vo-s, Indg. * $g\bar{i}$ -vo-s. Part. pf. Lith. $d\~{a}$ -ves O.Bulg. da-va ' $\delta s \delta \omega z \omega s$ ' (Lith. da- = Indg. *do-, O.Bulg. da- = Indg. * $d\bar{o}$ -): cp. Skr. bi- $bh\bar{i}$ -van ($bh\bar{i}$ - 'fear'). 1. du. Lith. $v\tilde{e}\check{z}a$ -va O.Bulg. veze- $v\check{e}$ 'we two ride': Skr. $vah\bar{a}$ -vas. O.Bulg. nom. pl. synov-e 'sons': Skr. $s\bar{u}n\acute{a}v$ -as. Lith. $devi\tilde{n}ta$ -s Pruss. $nev\bar{v}nts$ O.Bulg. $devet\tilde{u}$ 'ninth' m. (concerning the transformation of the initial see § 6 8): Goth. niunda, Indg. *neva- $t\acute{o}$ -s.

uy. Lith. krùv-ina-s O.Bulg. krŭv-ĭnŭ 'bloody', O.Bulg. krŭv-ĭ, gen. krŭv-e, 'blood': Lat. cru-entu-s cru-or, Av. xruv-iye-m 'stain, horror' beside Skr. krav-ya-m 'raw meat, flesh' Gr. κρέ(F)-ας, rt. qreu-. Lith. buv-aũ 'I was' bùv-us-i fem. part. pf., O.Bulg. za-būv-enũ 'forgotten': cp. Gr. πεφυνῖα, 'Skr. bhúv-ana-m 'being, world'. Lith. bruv-i-s m. O.Bulg. brūv-ĭ f. 'brow': Skr. st. form bhruv- in gen. abl. sg. bhruv-ás etc. O.Bulg. zŭv-a-ti 'to call': Skr. huv-á-ti 'calls'. O.Bulg. svekrūv-e gen. sg. of svekry 'mother-in-law': Skr. Ved. loc. sg. śvaśruv-ám.

§ 184. Postconsonantal. Lith. szvitěti O.Bulg. svitěti 'to shine brightly': Skr. śvit-rá-s 'shining', rt. kueit-. Lith. aszvà 'mare', Pruss. aswina-m 'equinum, horse-milk': Skr. áśva-s, Indg. *ekuo-s. Suffix -tuo-, O.Bulg. mrĭ-tvŭ 'mortuus' množī-s-tvo n. 'crowd', Lith. senã-tvė f. 'old age'. Lith. ketver' O.Bulg. četvero 'four' (distrib.), Lith. ketvirta-s O.Bulg. četvrītŭ 'fourth' m. prim. f. *qetux-to-s. Lith. dvì O.Bulg. dvě 'duae': Skr. dvé, cpf. *duái. Lith. 1. du. éd-va 'we two eat': Skr. ad-vas. O.Bulg. bě 'thou wast' bě 'he was' fr. *bu-é-s *bu-ě-t fr. rt. bheu- 'to become' (§ 312); cp. obiti 'to wind round' fr. *ob-viti etc.

Pruss. swais O.Bulg. svojī 'suus': Skr. svá- 'suus'; Lith. svõtai 'parents of the bride, related by marriage' from the same Indg. stem has the suspicion of having been borrowed from Slav., O.Bulg. svatŭ 'affinis'. Lith. svilti 'to take fire, to burn without flame': Ags. swelan 'to glow' OHG. swilizōn 'to burn slowly away'. O.Bulg. sv-inŭ 'suillus': Goth. sv-ein 'pig'. Lith. ès-va O.Bulg. jes-vě 'we two are': Skr. s-vás.

ų is occasionally elided before initial s- (sz-), without the reason of its elision being clearly known (cp. Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 456). Lith. sesū O.Bulg. sestra, but Pruss. swestro 'sister': Goth. svistar. Lith. sūpna-s 'dream': Skr. svápna-s. Lith. szeszura-s, by assimilation from *seszura-s (§ 587, 2): O.Bulg. svekrū Goth. svathra Skr. śvášura-s fr. *svašura-s (§ 557, 4) Gr. ἐκνρό-ς 'father-in-law'.

In Lith. $sz\tilde{u}$ 'dog' fr. * $szy\tilde{u}$ = Skr. $\dot{s}v\dot{a}$ and in $d\dot{u}$ 'two' fr. * $d\dot{u}'$ (§ 664, 3), the latter fr. * $du\dot{u}'$, the elision of the u was caused by the following \dot{u} .

Lith. kárvė O.Bulg. krava fr. *korvā (§ 281) 'cow', related to Lat. cervo-s. O.Bulg. sŭ-dravŭ zdravŭ (§ 588, 5) 'healthy', -dravŭ fr. *-dorvŭ (§ 281): Skr. dhr-uvá- 'fast' with weak grade form of the root syllable and dissyllabic form of the suffix -uo-. Lith. palva-s (Slav. loan-word?) O.Bulg. plavŭ 'whitish, pale' (§ 281): OHG. falo (inflected falawēr) 'fallow', cpf. *polyo-s.

Old -nu- perhaps in Lith. dial. tenva-s Lett. tiws (i regularly fr. en) 'thin', to O.Bulg. tin-i-ku 'thin': Skr. tanu- tanu-'long, stretched'; the Baltic words probably with vowel form of the

comparative in the rt. syllable, as leñgva-s beside lengvù-s 'light' (cp. comparative Av. renj-yō neut. 'lighter' and Skr. pos. laghú-laghv-, Gr. ἐλαχύ-). Slav. -n- fr. -nu-: compar. mĭnji-jī, gen. mĭnjīša, 'minor': cp. Goth. minniza fr. *minu-iz-ō.

in. Lith. dëver-i-s O.Bulg. dëver-i 'brother-in-law' : Skr. dëvar-, Indg. *dainer-.

The change between v and $\check{u}v$ in O.Bulg. dva beside $d\check{u}va$ 'two' (Skr. Ved. $dv\check{a}$ and $duv\check{a}$), zvati beside $z\check{u}vati$ 'to call' (Av. zbayeiti and zuvayeiti 'he calls'), $b\check{e}$ 'he was' fr. * $by\check{e}$ beside $za-b\check{u}v-en\check{u}$ 'forgotten' (Skr. $\acute{a}-bhv-a-$ 'not being, monstrous' and $bh\acute{u}v-ana-m$ 'the being, world') etc., may be regarded as old inherited. Cp. the end of § 183.

§ 185. Anteconsonantal medially and finally. Indg. eq and oq fell together in oq in the prim. Baltic-Slavonic period. Inf. Lith. pláuti 'rinse, wash' O.Bulg. pluti 'to flow': Gr. επλευ-σα. Gen. sg. Lith. sūnaũs O.Bulg. synu 'son's': Goth. sunúus, Indg. -ous. Voc. Lith. sūnaũ O.Bulg. synu 'O son': Skr. sắnō. Cp. §§ 68. 84. Further this prim. Baltic-Slav. oq also fell together with Indg. aq. Lith. saũsa-s O.Bulg. suchŭ 'dry': Gr. aυω 'I dry, wither', cp. § 100.

O.Bulg. loc. sg. synu 'in filio': Skr. $s\bar{u}n\bar{a}u$, see § 85.

Diphthongs with the first component short before Indg. \underline{i} . Lith, $pl \hat{u} u j u$ 'I rinse, wash', O.Bulg. pl u j a 'I flow': Gr. Hom. $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega$ fr. $*\pi \lambda \epsilon \mathcal{F}_{L} \omega$ (§§ 131. 639), cpf. $*pl e u - i \overline{o}$. Lith. $na \tilde{u} j a - s$ 'new': Skr. na v y a - s, cpf. *ne v - i o - s. Lith. $kra \tilde{u} j a - s$ 'blood': Skr. kra v y a - m 'raw flesh', cpf. *qr e u - i o - o. Bulg. $\check{s} u \check{j} \check{v}$ 'to the left' fr. $*s \check{j} u \check{j} \check{u}$ (§ 147): Skr. $sav y \acute{a} - s$ 'to the left'; $\check{j} u \check{u}$ for $u \check{u}$ with the same regular, but still unexplained $u \check{u}$ as in $u \check{u} u \check{u} u \check{u}$ with the Kuhn's Zeitschr. XXIII 348).

Rem. That O.Bulg. jaje n. 'egg' has arisen from $*\bar{a}y-je$ (Lat. $\bar{o}rom$, Gr. $\phi \delta r$), is very uncertain.

§ 186. Indg. u, where it remained an independent consonant, has now become a spirant, probably throughout the Baltic-Slav. languages, certainly in a great part of them. I

leave it undecided as to whether the sound was still u consonant in the O.Bulg. period.

Loss of u in the prim. Indg. period.

§ 187. The Indg. parent language had an enclitic case of the pronoun of the 2. person *toi = Skr. $t\bar{e}$ Av. $t\bar{e}$ $t\bar{o}i$ O.Pers. taiy Gr. τoi O.Bulg. ti beside accented *tuoi = Skr. $tv\acute{e}$ Av. $p\bar{w}\bar{o}i$ Gr. σoi fr. * τfoi (cp. also O.Bulg. tvoji 'tuus'). Perhaps also of the reflexive stem a *soi = Prākr. $s\bar{e}$ Av. $h\bar{e}$ $h\bar{o}i$ O.Pers. saiy O.Bulg. si beside *suoi = Gr. foi. It is not improbable that the u in the enclitic forms first disappeared after certain consonants. See Wackernagel Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIV 592 ff.

§ 188. μ seems further to have disappeared between long vowels and (antesonantal) m. Acc. sg. Skr. dydm diydm, Gr. $Z\eta^{\nu}$, Lat. diem, Indg. $*dj\bar{e}m$ $*dij\bar{e}m$ (ep. § 120) beside nom. $*dj\bar{e}\mu$ s 'clear sky' = Skr. $dy\bar{u}u$ s' etc.; Skr. gdm, Gr. $\beta\tilde{\omega}\nu$, OHG. chuo OS. $k\bar{o}$, Indg. $*g\bar{o}m$ beside nom. $*g\bar{o}\mu$ s 'ox' = Skr. $g\bar{u}u$ s' etc., ep. §§ 192. 645. OHG. guoma Ags. $g\bar{o}ma$ O.Icel. $g\bar{o}mr$ 'palate', Lith. $gomur\bar{y}s$ 'palate' (beside OHG. caumun Mod.HG. gaumen) fr. $*gh\bar{u}(\underline{\psi})$ -mo- or -men- (Gr. $\chi\eta\mu\eta$?, $\chi a\bar{v}$ -vo-g χdog). Some assume such a loss of μ before other consonants also. See, among others, Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 311, Thurneysen Bezz. Beitr. VIII 285, Schulze Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 427 ff. Cp. also Indg. final $-\bar{o}$ fr. $-\bar{o}\mu$, § 645, 1.

NASALS.

A. THE NASALS AS CONSONANTS.

Prim. Indg. period.

§ 189. The Indg. parent language possessed four different kinds of nasals; labial m, dental n, palatal \hat{n} (corresponding to \hat{k}), and velar \hat{n} (corresponding to q).

§ 190. Initial m.

Antesonantal. *māter- 'mother': Skr. mātá, Arm. mair, Gr. μήτης, Lat. māter, O.Ir. māthir, OHG. muoter, Lith. motē ('wife'),

O.Bulg. mati. *mn-tó-s part. from rt. men- 'think': Skr. matá-s, Gr. αὐτό-ματο-ς, Lat. com-mentu-s, Goth. ga-munds. *mn-ti-s 'dying, death': Skr. mnti-š, Lat. mors gen. mortis, Lith. mirtì-s, O.Bulg. sŭ-mnti.

Anteconsonantal, probably before n and liquids. ** $mn\bar{a}$ - to men- 'think': Skr. part. $mn\bar{a}$ -ta-s, Gr. fut. $\mu\nu\eta'$ - $\sigma\omega$, Arm. mna-m' I remain, expect' (Hübschmann Arm. Stud. I 43). ** $ml\bar{a}$ - 'pass away, wither': Skr. pres. $ml\bar{a}ya$ -ti part. $ml\bar{a}$ -ta-s, Gr. $\beta\lambda\dot{a}$ - ξ - $\kappa\dot{a}$ -s, $\beta\lambda\eta$ - $\chi\varrho\dot{a}$ -s. 2. pers. sg. imper. Skr. $b\nu\bar{u}$ -hi (fr. * $m\nu\bar{u}hi$) Av. $m\nu\bar{u}i\bar{d}i$ 'speak'.

§ 191. Medial m.

Intersonantal. Rt. μem- 'vomit' Skr. vámāmi vámimi, Gr. ἐμέω, Lat. vomō, Lith. pl. vemalaĩ 'that which is vomited'.

1. pl. ind. pres. from rt. bher- 'carry': Skr. bhárā-mas, Gr. φέφο-μεν, Lat. feri-mus, O.Ir. berme fr. *beromi *bero-mes-i, Goth. baíra-m, O.Bulg. bere-mã. Formations with the nominal suffix -mŋ-, e. g. Skr. dhấma 'place', Gr. ἀνά-θημα 'that which is set up, votive offering' cpf. *dhē-mŋ; Gr. ἦμα 'throw', Lat. sēmen 'seed', cpf. *sē-mp.

m in the combination nm as consonantal glide (§ 223, 4). *snm-o- 'any one': sam-a-, Gr. $\alpha\mu$ -o-, Goth. sum-a-.

Postconsonantal. smei-'smile, be astonished': Skr. smáy-a-tē 'smile', Gr. qιλο-μμειδής 'laughter-loving' μειδάω 'I smile', Lat. mīru-s 'wonderful', Engl. smile, Lett. smit 'to laugh', O.Bulg. smijati sự 'to laugh'. *ghor-mo-: Skr. ghar-má-s 'glowing fire', Lat. formu-s OHG. warm 'warm', Pruss. gorme 'heat', cp. also Arm. jerm Gr. θερμό-ς 'warm' with a different grade of the root vowel. *aug-men-: Skr. ōjmán- m. 'power, strength', Lat. augmen augmen-tu-m 'increase', Lith. augmä, gen. augmens, 'growth'. *ĝhej-men-: Skr. héman- 'winter', Arm. jiun (gen. jean) 'snow' fr. *jivn with v = m (§ 202), Gr. χεῖμα 'storm, pouring down of rain' χειμιόν 'winter'; hereto Lith. žĕmὰ O.Bulg. zima fem. 'winter' with -mā- for -men-. *kley-men-*kley-my-to-, rt. kley-'hear': Skr. śrómata-m 'a hearing', Av. sraoman- n. 'hearing', Goth. hliuma, gen. hliumins, 'hearing', OHG. hliumunt 'renown'.

Anteconsonantal. * \hat{g} ombho-s: Skr. jámbha-s 'tooth', Gr. γ ó $\mu \varphi$ o- ς 'tooth, bolt', O.Bulg. zab \check{u} 'tooth'. *rump- \acute{e} -ti' 'he breaks': Skr. lumpáti, Lat. rumpit. *gemtu-s 'a going' from rt. gem-'go, come': Skr. gántu-s, Lat. ad-ventu-s. Nominal suffix -mn-: Skr. ná-mn-a instr. to náma 'name', Gr. vóvv- μv -o- ς 'nameless', Goth. pl. na-mn-a 'nomina'. *dem-s 'of a house': Skr. Ved. dán (§ 198), Gr. $\delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ - in $\delta \varepsilon \sigma$ - $\pi \acute{o}$ $\tau \gamma \varsigma$ (§ 204).

§ 192. Final m. Acc. sg. in -m, e. g. *to-m 'the': Skr. $t\acute{a}$ -m, Gr. $\tau\acute{o}$ - ν , Lat. istu-m, Goth. pan-a, Lith. $t\~a$, O.Bulg. $t\~a$; O.Ir. fer n- 'virum'. -m probably occurred after consonants only when the following word began with a sonant, e. g. acc. sg. *bhrātorm a- beside *bhrātorm t-, the former represented by Goth. $br\~opar$ (§ 659, 5), the latter by Gr. $\phi o\'a\tau o\phi a$ (§ 233). * $d\ia e c$ serene sky' and *g'om 'bovem' have probably also arisen in this manner from anteconsonantal * $d\ia e c$ m m m (§ 188).

§ 193. Initial n. *newo-s *newio-s 'new': Skr. $n\acute{a}va$ -s $n\acute{a}vya$ -s, Arm. nor (with r-suffix), Gr. $v\acute{e}o$ - ς , Lat. novo-s, O.Ir. $n\bar{u}e$, Goth. niuji-s, Lith. $na\~uja$ -s, O.Bulg. $nov\~u$. Loc. pl. *nx-su from ner- 'man': Skr. nx- $\check{s}u$, Gr. $a\mathring{v}\delta\varrho\acute{a}$ - $\sigma\iota$. Perhaps anteconsonantal in the combination nr-: cp. Skr. nr-asthi- 'human bone from nar- (dat. $n\acute{a}r$ - \bar{e} Av. $nair\bar{v}$ were new formations after the st. form nar- in the ace. sg. etc.), Gr. $\delta\varrho$ - $\acute{\omega}\psi$ · $\check{a}v\theta\varrho\omega\pi\sigma\varsigma$ Hesych., $\mathring{a}v\delta\varrho$ - $\acute{o}\varsigma$ gen., $\mathring{a}v\delta\varrho$ - $\acute{a}y\varrho\iota a$ 'the spoils of a slain enemy'.

§ 194. Medial n.

Intersonantal. *seno-s 'old': Skr. sána-s, Arm. hin (gen. hnoy), Gr. $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\eta$, Lat. senex, O.Ir. sen, Goth. superl. sinista, Lith. sēna-s. Nominal suffix -men-: Skr. Ved. dat. (inf.) vid-mán- $\tilde{\epsilon}$ 'get to know', Gr. $\tilde{\iota}\delta$ - $\mu\epsilon\nu$ -a, Lat. $n\bar{o}$ -min- $\bar{\iota}$, Goth. gen. na-min-s 'nominis' (§ 660, 1), gen. Lith. ak- $me\tilde{n}$ -s (§ 664, 2) O.Bulg. ku-men-e 'lapidis'. * $p\bar{\ell}$ -no-s * $p\bar{\ell}$ -no-s 'full' (§§ 285, 306): Skr. $p\bar{u}rna$ -s ($\bar{\ell}$), Av. per^ena - (ℓ), Gr. $\pi o\lambda \lambda oi$ ($\bar{\ell}$), O.Ir. $l\bar{u}n$ ($\bar{\ell}$), Goth. fulls (ℓ), Lith. pilna-s O.Bulg. $pl\tilde{u}n\tilde{u}$ (ℓ). 3. sg. mid. * $t\eta$ -nu- $ta\hat{\iota}$, rt. ten-'stretch, extend' (§ 224): Skr. ta-nu- $ta\hat{\ell}$, Gr. τa -vv- τa .

n in the combination ηn as consonantal glide (§ 223, 4). * $t\eta n$ -u- 'stretched, thin': Skr. tan-u-i-i, Gr. $\tau \alpha v$ -v- $\tau \alpha v$ - $\alpha \delta$ - ς , Lat. ten-u-i-s, O.Ir. tan-a Corn. tan-ow.

Postconsonantal. Rt. sneigh- 'snow': Av. snaežaiti 'it snows', Gr. Hom. ἀγά-ννιφος 'much snown upon' Hes. νίφα 'nivem', Lat. ninguit nix, O.Ir. snechta 'snow', Goth. snáivs Lith. sněga-s O.Bulg. sněgŭ 'snow'. *ĝnō- 'know': Skr. part. ˙jnā-tá-s, Gr. part. γνω-τό-ς, Lat. gnōscō nōscō, O.Ir. gnāth 'solitus', OHG. becunodelen 'to give a countersign', O.Bulg. inf. zna-ti. *sup-no-*suep-no- *suop-no- 'sleep, dream': Skr. svápna-s, Arm. kun, Gr. νπνο-ς, Lat. somnu-s, O.Ir. suun O.Cymr. hun, O.Icel. svefn, Lith. sãpna-s, O.Bulg. sŭnŭ. *qoi-nā- 'requital' (rt. qei-): Av. kuṣnā- 'penalty', Gr. nouvή 'requital, penalty, reward', O.Bulg. cěna 'pretium'.

Anteconsonantal. Rt. bhendh- 'bind': Skr. bándhana-m 'a binding' bándhu-š 'relation', πενθεφό-ς 'father-in-law', Lat. of-fendimentu-m 'chin-cloth', Goth. bindan 'to bind'. Participial suffix -nt-: acc. sg. Skr. bhárantam 'forentem', Gr. q έφοντα, Lat. euntem, Goth. giband 'datorem', Lith. ν-ξαπτί 'vehentem'. *ĝhansgoose': Skr. hasá-s, Gr. χήν χην-ός, Lat. anser, OHG. gans, Lith. ξαελ-s; concerning O.Bulg. gasĭ s. § 467, 2. Present st. suffix -ny-: Skr. 3. pl. ci-nv-ánti 'they string together' 3. sg. γ-ην-ά-ti 'brings, sends', Gr. Hom. τίνω Att. τίνω 'I atone for' fr. *τι-ν-Γ-ω, Goth. rinna 'I run' fr. *ri-ny-ō.

§ 195. Final n. Voc. of n-stems: Skr. $tak\S{a}n$ Gr. $\tau\'{e}\varkappa\tau\sigma\nu$ 'carpenter', Skr. $\dot{s}van$ Gr. $\varkappa\dot{v}\sigma\nu$ 'dog'. Loc. sg. of the same st. class: Skr. $k\dot{a}r$ -man ('business') $ud\dot{a}n$ ('water'), Gr. $\delta\dot{o}$ - $\mu\epsilon\nu$ (inf. 'to give'), $al\acute{e}\nu$ ('ever' to $ul\dot{u}\dot{o}\nu$). Ending $-\bar{c}n$ $-\bar{o}n$ in the nom. and acc. of neuter n-stems: Av. $n\bar{a}man$ 'names', prim. Ar. *- $\bar{a}n$, Goth. $nam\bar{o}$ (sg.) prim. Germ. *- $\bar{o}n$, O.Bulg. ime (sg.) prim. Slav. *- $\bar{e}n$. *en 'in': Gr. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$, Ital. en, Germ. in, Pruss. en Lett. l, perhaps also O.Bulg. -e in the loc. sg. kamen-e (§ 219).

§ 196. In the primitive period \hat{n} and \hat{n} only occurred before \hat{k} - and q-sounds. Skr. pf. $\hat{a}n\acute{q}\acute{s}a$ 'he attained', $\acute{q}\acute{s}a$ -s 'share, lot', Gr. $\H{n}\nu\varepsilon\gamma zo-\nu$ 'I brought', Lat. nanc- $\overline{\imath}scor$, O.Ir. con-icc 'he can'. Rt. $a\hat{n}\hat{g}h$ - 'tie together, straiten': $\acute{q}has$ - Av: azah- n. 'distress, need', Arm. anjuk (ancuk) 'narrow', Gr. $\H{a}\gamma\chi\omega$ 'I tie, strangle', Lat. $ang\bar{o}$ angor, O.Ir. cum-ung 'narrow', Goth. agg-vu-s 'narrow' (with v from the other cases), O.Bulg. aza 'string,

fetter'. *penqe 'five' : Skr, páñca, Avm. hing, Gr. $\pi \acute{e}v\tau \varepsilon$, Lat. $qu\bar{\imath}nque$, O.Ir. $c\bar{o}ic$ O.Cymr. pimp, Goth. fimf, Lith. penki (-i a Lith. new formation). Skr. $\acute{a}njas$ - 'salve', anj- $\acute{a}nti$ 'they smear, anoint', Lat. $ungu\bar{o}$, O.Ir. imm (gen. imme, stem *imben-) 'butter', OHG. ancho 'butter'.

The numerous deviations of the \hat{k} - and q-sounds from their original place of articulation and the dependence of the nasals upon the particular organ producing the following explosives and spirants were accompanied, in the later individual developments, by frequent changes in the method of production of original \hat{n} and \hat{n} .

Aryan.

§ 197. Skr. Av. O.Pers. prohibitive particle mā: Gr. μή. Skr. Av. O.Pers. ni- 'down': OHG. ni-dar. Skr. nāma Av. nama O.Pers. nāmā 'name': Lat. nōmen. Skr. jānghā- f. Av. zanga-m. 'heel-bone': Goth. gagga 'I go', Lith. žengiù 'I stride'. Skr. pānca Av. panca 'five': Gr. πέντε, Indg. *penqe.

Rem. Nasals before explosives and final n remained unwritten in O.Pers. e. g. $Kabuj\bar{\imath}ya$ for Kamb- $Ka\mu\beta\dot{\imath}o\eta\varsigma$, 3. pl. baratiy for barantiy = Skr. $bh\dot{a}ranti$ 'ferunt', abara for abaran = Skr. $\dot{a}bharan$ 'ferebant'.

§ 198. Prim. Ar. -nt- fr. Indg. -mt-. 3. sg. imper. Skr. gántu Av. Gāþ. jantū, prim. Ar. *jantu (Skr. g- for j- after gahí etc., § 451 rem.) fr. Indg. *gem-t-u, rt. gem- 'go'. Probably also prim. Ar. -ns- fr. -ms-. *ansa- 'shoulder' (Skr. ása-s) fr. *amsa-, Indg. *omso-: Goth. ams (st. amsa-). Skr. gen. sg. dán 'of a house' = Gr. $\delta \varepsilon_G$ - in $\delta \varepsilon_G \pi o \tau \eta_S$ (§ 204), Indg. *dem-s. Skr. ágan 2. sg. pret. from gam- 'go', fr. orig. *é-gem-s.

In like manner it may be conjectured that n in the combination $\bar{a}nt$ was dropped already in prim. Ar. in the syllable before the chief accent, e. g. gen. sg. $y\bar{a}t$ -as beside acc. sg. $y\bar{a}nt$ -am, part. of ya-mi 'I go'. The accent had here a similar influence as in sat-as fr. *s-yt-as beside sant-am fr. *s-yt-yt(m) (§§ 228. 230), part. of as-mi 'I am' (Hübschmann Das idg. Vocal-syst. 86).

§ 199. Sanskrit.

Sonant + nasal became nasalised sonant before prim. Ar. spirants, and those specially developed in Skr. $d\acute{a}sas$ - n. 'noble deed' fr. prim. Ar. *dansas-: Av. superl. dahišta- = Skr. $d\acute{a}sištha$ -, Gr. $\delta \tilde{\gamma}vo\varsigma$ 'resolution, counsel' (§ 565). $\acute{a}has$ - n. 'distress, need' fr. prim. Ar. * $a\tilde{n}\check{z}has$ - : Av. $az\bar{o}$ 'distress', Lat. anyor, rt. $a\tilde{n}gh$ -. $drh\acute{a}ti$ (beside dfhati) 'he fastens' fr. prim. Ar. * $dhr\tilde{n}\check{z}h\acute{a}ti$, rt. $dher\hat{g}h$ -, a present form like $hrh\acute{a}ti$ 'severs, splits' rt. qert-, $pi\check{s}\acute{a}ti$ 'cuts straight, trims', rt. $pei\hat{k}$ - (cp. § 221). $rah\acute{a}yati$ 'he hastens' fr. prim. Ar. * $ra\tilde{n}jhayati$: Av. renjayeiti 'he quickens, hastens'.

Rem. 1. The nasal vowel both in Skr. and Iran. is generally considered as prim. Ar. But it is in itself very improbable that the a in Skr. rahdyati and Av. mapra- (§ 200), which cannot be prim. Ar., is historically to be separated from the a in Skr. dan and dan (§ 198) can only be explained fr. prim. Skr. *dans and *agans (not fr. *das and *agas). The dropping of the s in dan, dgan was specially Skr., and older than the first appearance of nasalised vowels, s. § 647, 7. Forms like $pi\check{s}dnti$ 'they bruise', $-i\check{s}i$ $-i\check{q}\check{s}i$ endings of the nom. acc. pl. $(har\check{t}\check{s}i$, $\check{a}y\check{\eta}\check{s}i$) cannot, by taking into consideration the change of s to \check{s} in the prim. Ar. period, be considered as a proof that n was, already at this period, absorbed in the preceding vowel (cp. § 556, 1).

n became \hat{n} after j, c. $yaj\hat{n}d$ -s 'veneration': Gr. $\acute{a}\gamma r\acute{o}$ - ς 'holy', Indg. * $ja\hat{g}$ -no-s. $y\bar{a}c\hat{n}\acute{a}$ 'desire, begging', a Skr. new formation to $y\acute{a}cati$ 'he desires, begs'.

The same nasal became cerebral nasal (n) after the cerebral sounds r, r, \tilde{s} . $m_{\tilde{r}}$ - $n\tilde{a}$ -mi 'I bruise, beat in pieces': Gr. $\mu \alpha \rho - \nu \alpha - \mu \alpha \iota$ 'I fight', Indg. * $m_{\tilde{r}}$ - $m\tilde{a}$ -mi. $k_{\tilde{r}}\tilde{s},n\tilde{a}$ -s 'black': Pruss. kirsna- O.Bulg. $\tilde{c}r\tilde{c}n\tilde{u}$ 'black', Indg. *qrsno-s. This assimilation occurred also, under certain conditions (Whitney Skr. Gramm. § 189 ff.), when the two sounds were more widely separated e. g. part. mid. $bh\tilde{a}ra$ - $m\tilde{a}na$ -s: Gr. $q\epsilon\rho\delta$ - $\mu\epsilon ro$ -g. In this case the tongue, which had been brought into the cerebral position by r, r, \tilde{s} , retained this position until the production of the nasal.

Initial mr became br. $br\bar{u}$ - 'speak': Av. $mr\bar{u}$ -. Cp. Gr. $\beta \varrho o r \acute{o}$ - ς fr. * $\mu \varrho o r \acute{o}$ - ς etc. § 204. For exceptions like $mriy \acute{a}t\bar{e}$

'moritur' cp. Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 55. -mbl- from -ml- in ambla-s beside older amlá-s 'sour, sourness': cp. Lat. amāru-s.

Rem. 2. Is the change of mu to nu specially Skr.? The question must be raised on account of the 1. du. mid. injunct. gánvahi, part. perf. act. jaganván from gam- 'go', ranvá- 'delightful' from ram- 'to be delighted'. The process might eventually have to be referred to prim. Aryan — corresponding examples from Iranian have not been handed down to us —, and since Indg. mu is the basis of the forms in question (§ 225), we must further ask whether the dental articulation was not already in existence before the consonantal nasal arose from m.

§ 200. Iranian.

Sonant + nasal became nasalised sonant before prim. Ar. spirants and those which were specially developed in Iran. (§§ 473. 475). We have already mentioned Av. dahišta- and $az\bar{o}$ in § 199. Other examples: Av. $ma\bar{p}ra$ - 'saying': prim. Ar. and Skr. $m\acute{a}n$ -tra-; $axn\bar{a}$ - 'reins': Skr. $ankh\acute{a}yati$ 'he clings to something'; $ta\dot{s}y\dot{a}$ 'stronger' fr. prim. Ar. * $ta\bar{n}cy\bar{a}s$, compar. to tancišta-'strongest'. Concerning the treatment of z + n before spirants I may refer the reader to Bartholomae's Handb. pp. 14. 21.

It is a mere conjecture that a nasalised vowel was also spoken in these cases in O.Pers. The written language was not in a position to represent nasalised vowels as such.

Rem. We have seen in § 199, rem. 1. why the a in dahista etc. is to be regarded as a special Iran. and not a prim. Ar. development. Compare also Av. $praf\bar{d}a$ - satisfied' = prim. Ar. Skr. *tqmptha- (§ 475) with $m^erazay\bar{a}i$ inf. 'stripe, strip off' = prim. Ar. *mqnzahia (pres. Av. $mar^e-zaiti$); in both instances ray is the representative of ray.

Av. n before palatal explosives = Skr. prim. Iran. n. panca 'five': Skr. prim. Ar. pánca. renjayeiti 'he hastens': prim. Ar. *ranjhayati (Skr. raháyati, § 199). Cp. Av. -sc- = Skr. -śc-, e. g. manas ca = Skr. mánaś ca (Gr. μένος τε) 'and mind', § 556, 2.

n became palatalised in Av. before y and i, $\bar{\imath}$. This modification is however only exhibited in a few Mss. anya-: Skr. anya- O.Pers. aniya- 'other'. Verbal prefix ni-: Skr. ni-'down'.

Prim. Iran. final $-\bar{a}n$, $-\bar{a}m$ became in Av. $-\bar{a}$, written -a and -an -am. 3. pl. conj. bara (baran, baram): Skr. prim. Ar.

bhárān 'ferant', prim. f. *bherānt. Acc. pwa (pwam): Skr. prim. Ar. tvám O.Pers. puvām 'thee'. Spellings like " $rvan-\bar{o}$ beside " $rvan-\bar{o}$ (nom. pl. of "rvan- m. 'soul'), dama beside $d\bar{a}ma$ 'creature' (= Skr. dhāma 'effect, stead, place'), where a is likewise to be read as \bar{a} , show that $-\bar{a}n$, $-\bar{a}m$ were the previous stages. Cp. Bartholomae Handb. §§ 14. 78, 4. 79, 3.

Armenian.

§ 201. mi 'lest': Skr. mά Gr. μή. armukn, gen. armkan, 'elbow, bow': Lat. armu-s, Goth. arms.

nist 'seat' nsti-m 'I sit': Skr. nīḍń-s 'place of rest', Lat. nīdu-s, OHG. nest 'nest', Indg. *ni-zd-o- from *ni-sed- 'sit down'. nu 'daughter-in-law': Skr. snuṣň OHG. snur O.Bulg. snǔcha etc. kun 'sleep' fr. *kuvn, *kupn (k- fr. su-, § 162): Skr. svápna-s Lat. somnu-s. ar-nu-m 'I take': Gr. åo-rv-µaı.

anjuk (ancuk) 'narrow' : Skr. ahú-š etc., rt. aĥĝh-. hing 'five' : Skr. páĥca etc., Indg. *perσyc. Cp. § 196.

§ 202. The change of m to v (u). anun (gen. anuan) 'name' fr. *anvan, *anman (ep. § 232). jiun (gen. jean) 'snow' fr. *jivn: Skr. $h\acute{e}man$ -, Gr. $\chi \epsilon i \mu a \chi \epsilon \iota \mu \omega \nu$. See Hübschmann Arm. St. I 75.

m and n disappeared before s. us, gen. usoy, 'shoulder': Goth. ams m., Skr. asa-s, Umbr. onse 'in umero'. mis, gen. msoy, 'flesh': Goth. mimza-n., O.Bulg. meso. amis, gen. amsoy, 'month': Lat. $m\bar{v}ns-i-s$, O.Ir. gen. $m\bar{v}s$ (prim. Kelt. st. $*m\bar{v}ns-i$). Acc. pl. eris 'tres': Goth. pri-ns, Gr. Dor. $\tau o \tilde{v}_s$ fr. $*\tau o u-v_s$; concerning the initial element of eris s. § 263. Acc. pl. z mards 'homines' fr. *myto-ns: cp. Goth. vulfa-ns 'lupos', Gr. Cret. $voule u-v_s$ 'leges'.

Final postvocalic -m disappeared. z mard 'hominem': Skr. $myt\acute{a}$ -m, Lat. equo-m, Gr. $\beta \rho o\tau\acute{o}$ - ν . Cp. § 651, 2.

Greek.

§ 203. $\mu \hat{\epsilon}$ 'me' : Goth. mi-k, Skr. $m\acute{a}m$, Lat. $m\ddot{e}$. $\acute{\eta}\mu \epsilon$ 'half : Skr. $s\ddot{a}m\acute{\iota}$ -, Lat. $s\ddot{e}m\acute{\iota}$ -. $\acute{a}\varkappa\mu\omega\nu$ 'anvil' : Skr. $\acute{a}\mathring{s}man$ - 'stone, thunderbolt'. $\acute{a}\mu q \iota$ 'about' : Lat. amb-.

νέφος 'cloud': Skr. nábhas 'cloud, atmosphere', O.Bulg. nebo 'atmosphere sky'. πότνια 'mistress': Skr. pátn $\bar{\iota}$. Dor. φέροντι (Att. φέροντι) 'they bear': Skr. bháranti.

ενεγκεῖν 'to bring': Skr. pf. απάκα. ὄγκο-ς 'hook': Skr. απλά-s. πέντε 'five': Skr. ράθισα; πέμπτο-ς 'fifth': Lith. peñkta-s.

Rem. The guttural nasal was, as it seems, originally represented in writing by ν . Thus inscrip. e. g. $\vec{\alpha}_{r \times \nu \nu_r u}$, $\vec{\epsilon}_{r \times \nu_r \nu_r u}$. The representation of it by γ first made its appearance, after the g in gn, gm (or $\nu \gamma \nu \vec{\nu} - \vec{\epsilon}_r$, $\vec{\alpha}_r \gamma \mu \vec{\nu} - \vec{\epsilon}_r$) had become n (§ 492).

§ 204. Prim. Greek changes.

-ντ- from ·mt-. γέντο `ne grasped' to $\tilde{v}\gamma$ -γεμος · συλλαβή Hesych. ἀν-τλο-ν 'bilgε-water', to ἀμάω 'I gather in'. Probably also -ν_S from -ms. $\tilde{v}\gamma$ (Cret., thence Att. $\tilde{\epsilon}i\gamma$) fr. *sem-s : cp. μῶνυξ fr. *σμ-ωνυξ, όμ-ό- γ , Lat. sèm-el.

-ref from -mi. $zour\acute{o}-\varsigma$ 'common' fr. *zor μ o- ς *zo μ - μ o- ς : Lat. cum. Cp. β air ω 'I go' fr. * β ar μ o, Indg. * $g\eta$ - $\dot{\eta}\acute{\sigma}$: Lat. veni $\ddot{\sigma}$. On the ι -epenthesis s. §§ 131. 639.

-μβρ-, -μβλ-, -νδρ- fr. -μρ-, -μλ-, -νρ-. The initial nasal disappeared with the development of the explosive. ἄ-μβροτο-ς 'immortal', βροτό-ς 'mortal' ¹); *μροτό-ς was a contamination form of μορτό-ς Hesych. (Skr. márta-s, Indg. *mórto-s) and *μρατό-ς (Skr. mrtά-s), and μορτό-ς itself was also such a form with regard to its accent. μέμβλωχα, βλώσχω ('I come') with *μλω- = orig. *m \bar{l} · (§ 306), aor. ἔμολο-ν. βλίττω 'I cut out the comb of bees' to μέλι μέλιτος 'honey'. ἀνδρ-ός -ῶν (nom. ἀνήρ 'man'), δρ-ώψ · ἄνθρωπος Hesych., δρο-τῆτα acc. 'manhood' (thus with Clemm probably to be read for ἀνδροτῆτα in Hom. II 857, X 363): cp. Skr. nr-asthi- 'human-bone'.

-λν- became -λλ-. When the preceding vowel was short, so called compensation lengthening took place later (cp. § 618). Prim. Gr. *γ*ολνεται (prim. f. *ḡ[-nê-tai], § 306) became Lesb. βόλ-λεται, Att. βούλεται and prim. Gr. *γ*ελνεται (prim. f. *gêl-ne-tai]) Thess. βέλλετει (concerning β- cp. § 428 rem.) Dor. δήλεται, Locr.

α-ρ_ξοτο-ς beside α-μ_ρξ_ξοτο-ς was a new formation from ρ_ζοτό-ς. Cp.
 Prākr. α-riņα- 'guiltless' as a later compound beside an-iriņa- = Skr. an-γņa-.

Delph. δείλεται 'he wishes', rt. gel-. Hom. ονλο-ς 'crisp, fleecy' fr. *Fολνο-ς, prim. f. * $u\bar{l}no-s$: Skr. $\bar{u}rn\bar{u}$ fr. * $u\bar{l}-n\bar{u}$ (§§ 151. 157. 306). Lesb. $\dot{u}\pi-\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\omega$ Dor. $f'\dot{n}\lambda\omega$ Hom. είλω I press tight' fr. fελ-νω. Later, but also probably already prim. Gr., was the assimilation of $-\lambda ν-$ to $-\lambda λ-$ in $\ddot{o}\lambdaλ\bar{v}\mu$ 'I destroy' fr. * $\ddot{o}\lambda-ν\bar{v}-\mu$; έλλό- ς 'a young deer' fr. * $\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda-ν-o-\varsigma$, cp. είλα- $qo-\varsigma$ fr. *ely-bho-s, Lith. $\acute{\epsilon}ln-\dot{l}-s$ m. 'stag', O.Bulg. $jelen-\ddot{\epsilon}$, gen. jelen-e, 'stag'; $\dot{\omega}\lambda\lambda\acute{o}ν \cdot \tau \dot{\gamma}ν \tauου$ $\dot{\rho}ouz/\acute{o}νος$ $z\alpha\mu\pi\dot{\eta}ν$ (Hesysch.) fr. * $\dot{\omega}\lambda-ν-o-ν$, to $\dot{\omega}\lambda\dot{\eta}ν - \acute{\epsilon}νo_S$ (cp. the author Morph. Unt. II 173).

Rem. 1. If, as we conjecture, the -22- in the three last named words was likewise already prim. Greek, the -22- in $\beta\delta\lambda\lambda a\mu a a$ etc., before 'compensation lengthening' took place, was pronounced somewhat differently from that in $\delta\lambda\lambda a\mu a$ etc. The forms $\pi\lambda\lambda a\mu a\mu a$ (to $\kappa\lambda a\lambda a$) and $\pi\lambda\lambda a'-r$ 'quadr Hesych. (to $\kappa\lambda a\lambda a'$) may have only come into existence after the law, whereby $\delta\lambda a\lambda a\mu a$ became $\delta\lambda\lambda a\mu a$, had ceased to operate.

ν disappeared before σ + consonant without 'compensation lengthening'. κεστό-ς 'pierced, embroidered' fr. *κενστο-ς, to κεντέω. δες- in δεσ-πότης fr. *δενς, Indg. *dem-s 'of a house': Skr. dán. β. pl. imper. mid. qερόοθων fr. *qεροσθων. 'Αθήνεξε 'towards Athens' fr. *10ανάνε-δε. δικασπόλο-ς 'law-giver', fr. *δικανς-πολος, an irregular compound with acc. pl.; likewise μογοστόκος 'causing pains' fr. *μογονς-τοκος. Here belong also the various dialectical forms of the acc. pl. of -ο- and -α- stems, as τός, θεός, τάς καλάς (beside τόνς τάνς, τούς τάς) and ες from ενς 'into', which arose before initial consonants: the regular forms were τὸς παϊδας beside τὸνς (τοις) ἀνόρας, ες τοῦτο beside ενς (είς) αὐτό. Lastly πτίσσω 'l pound' fr. *πτινσ-χω: Lat. pīnsiō, and Hom. νίσσομαι I come' fr. *νι-νσ-χο-μαι (redupl. pres.), beside νέρμαι fr. *νεσ-ρ-μαι, ep. νόσ-το-ς, rt. nes- (§ 563, 4).

Rem. 2. Where in the course of the individual dialects $r\sigma + \text{cons.}$ came into existence again, those dialects, in which r disappeared before σ with 'compensation lengthening' (cp. §§ 205. 618), show this lengthening. Thus Att. $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\epsilon\iota\sigma\alpha$ (from $\sigma\pi\acute{\epsilon}r\delta\omega$ 'I deal out') was a new formation after $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\epsilon\iota\sigma\alpha$ (Cret. still $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\epsilon\nu\sigma\alpha$) and $\sigma\pi\epsilon\iota\sigma\omega$; Ion. $\pi\epsilon \tilde{\iota}\sigma\mu\alpha$ 'rope' fr. * $\pi\epsilon\nu(\theta)-\iota\rho\mu\alpha$, a new formation for prim. Gr. * $\pi\epsilon\nu\theta-\mu\alpha$ (cp. Lat. offendimentu-m), like $\tilde{\iota}\tilde{\omega}\sigma\mu\alpha$ for older $\tilde{\iota}\tilde{\omega}\mu\alpha$ etc.

-m became - ν . Acc. sg. $\tau \acute{o} \nu$ 'the' : Skr. $t\acute{a}$ -m, Lat. is-tu-m ; $\acute{o}\iota\nu$ 'sheep' : cp. Skr. $\acute{a}\nu i$ -m. Gen. pl. $i\pi\pi\omega\nu$ 'equorum' : cp. Skr.

Ved. $d\bar{e}\nu \delta m$ 'deorum', Lat. virum. 1. sg. impf. $\check{e}\varphi \epsilon \varrho o \nu$ 'I carried': Skr. $\acute{a}bhara$ -m. $\acute{\epsilon}\nu$ 'unum' fr. *sem; concerning $\acute{\epsilon}\nu_{\mathcal{S}}$ in this §, ep. p. 170. $\chi\theta \acute{o}\nu$ 'earth', $\chi u\acute{o}\nu$ 'snow fr. * $\chi\theta \acute{o}\iota\iota$, * $\chi\iota\acute{o}\iota\iota$: ep. $\chi\theta \acute{a}\iota\iota$ - $a\lambda\acute{o}$ - ς , Skr. $k\check{\varsigma}\acute{a}m$ - and Lat. hiem- Gr. $\delta\acute{v}\varsigma$ - $\chi\iota\iota\iota o$ - ς . The ν in $\acute{\epsilon}\nu$ (and $\acute{\epsilon}\nu_{\mathcal{S}}$), $\chi\theta \acute{o}\iota\nu$, $\chi\iota\acute{o}\iota\nu$ was transferred to the other cases also: $\acute{\epsilon}\nu$ - $\acute{o}\varsigma$, $\chi\theta \acute{o}\nu$ - $\acute{o}\varsigma$, $\chi\iota o\nu$ - $\acute{o}\varsigma$ for the regular forms * $\acute{\epsilon}\iota\iota$ - $o\varsigma$, * $\chi\theta \acute{o}\iota$ - $o\varsigma$, $\chi\iota o\iota\iota o$ - ς .

Concerning prothesis before initial nasals as in $\vec{\alpha}\mu\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\gamma\omega$ 'I milk': Lith. $m\hat{\epsilon}l\hat{\epsilon}u$ s. § 626.

§ 205. Individual dialectical peculiarities.

The uniform omission in writing of the nasal before consonants in Cypr. and Pamphyl., permits the conclusion that a nasal vowel was spoken in these dialects. Cypr. o-ka-to-se = ${}^{\circ}O(\gamma) \varkappa a(r) \tau o \varsigma$, $a-ti = \mathring{a}(r) \tau i$, $pe-pa-me-ro-ne = \pi \varepsilon(\mu) q a \mu \acute{\epsilon} \varrho \omega r$; thus also to-ko-ro-ne = $\tau o(\gamma) \chi \tilde{\omega} \varrho o r$, na-o-to-te = $v a o(r) \tau o(r) \delta \varepsilon$ etc. (§ 653, 3). Pamph. $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \delta \varepsilon = \text{Att. } \pi \acute{\epsilon} r \tau \varepsilon$ (§ 487).

Prim. Gr. $-\pi\mu$ - became $-\pi\pi$ - in Lesb., elsewhere $-\mu\mu$ -. Lesb. $\delta\pi\pi\alpha\tau\alpha$ pl., Hom. etc. $\delta\mu\mu\alpha$ 'eye', to $\delta\pi$ - $\omega\pi$ - α $\delta\varphi$ o $\mu\alpha\iota$. Lesb. $\mathring{\alpha}\lambda\iota\pi\pi\alpha$ beside Att. $\mathring{\alpha}\lambda\iota\mu\mu\alpha$ 'salve', to $\lambda\iota\pi$ - α $\mathring{\alpha}\lambda\iota$ ($\varphi\omega$.

 ν only remained in Cret. and Argive before -s and the voiceless - σ - which arose from assimilation (§§ 489.490). $\tau \acute{o}$ - $\nu \varsigma$ 'the': Goth. βa -ns, Indg. *to-ns. $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu_S$ fr. $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau$ -s, part. to $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \tilde{\iota} \nu \alpha i$ 'to place' πρέπονσα fr. *πρεποντ-μα, fem. to πρέπων 'becoming, fitting'. έσπενσα fr. *ἐσπεντσα, aor. of σπένδω 'I pour out'. πρέπονσι fr. * $\pi \rho \varepsilon \pi o \nu \tau$ - $\sigma \iota$ loc. pl. to $\pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega \nu$. $\mu \eta \nu \sigma \iota$ fr. * $\mu \eta \nu \sigma$ - $\sigma \iota$ loc. pl. to gen. sg. Lesb. $\mu \tilde{\eta} \nu \nu - o \varsigma$ 'of a month'. Elsewhere ν disappeared, since in most dialects it became with the preceding vowel a long nasal vowel (i. e. short vow. + v became long nasal vowel) and then the nasal sound disappeared. Dor. $\tau \omega_{\varsigma}$, πρέπωσα, θής, $\tilde{\eta}$ ς (ξνς); Ion. Att. τούς, πρέπουσα, θείς, ε \tilde{i} ς; Dor. Att. μησί, τάς (τάνς), πᾶσα (πάνσα); Att. 3. pl. ἄγουσι, ἄγωσι (Dor. and prim. Gr. αγοντι, αγωντι). i-diphthongs arose in Lesb.: τοίς, πρέποισα, θείς, είς, ταίς, παῖσα, ἄγοισι, ἄγωσι; here the s-sound must have been the chief factor in the generation of the ι . In Elean, *i*-diphthongs arose in the endings $-o\nu_{\varsigma}$, $*\alpha\nu_{\varsigma}$, prim. El. -ovz, -avz (cp. Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 26 ff.): τοίο, καταξίαιο, but διδώσσα, πᾶσα.

Rem. 1. The stage of nasalisation of the vowel which preceded entire loss of ν is perhaps represented by the Cretan and Argive of the historical period. For we are justified in supposing that the pronunciation was nasal vowel (no longer vowel + nasal), but that the spelling with ν was retained from an older period. Cp. French tonsure, mensonge.

Rem. 2. Arcadian preserved the $r\sigma$ which arose by transition of $-\tau_i$ to $-\sigma_i$ (e. g. conj. $z\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega r\sigma_i$), but had alongside it e. g. ace. pl. $\delta\omega\epsilon\chi\mu\dot{\alpha}_z$ 'drachmas'. Consequently the activity of the law which produced $\delta\omega\epsilon\chi\mu\dot{\alpha}_z$ was already extinct at the time $-r\sigma_i$ arose from $-r\tau_i$ in this dialect. Cp. in Attic the new forms $\theta\epsilon\epsilon\mu\alpha r\sigma_i s$, $\delta\epsilon\mu\alpha r\sigma_i s$ etc. which originated after the completion of 'compensation lengthening' (τ a from τ a rose).

Rem. 3. ϵ_i and $o\nu$, produced by 'compensation lengthening', never were diphthongs. The ϵ_i in Ionic-Attic and Boot. ϵ_i $\theta \epsilon_i \sigma \alpha$ is only graphically like the ϵ_i in Lesb. ϵ_i $\theta \epsilon_i \sigma \alpha$; the latter was a diphthong. Cp. the other phenomena of compensation lengthening § 618.

Italic.

§ 206. Lat. magis, Umbr. mestru 'maior', Osc. mais 'magis': Skr. mahán 'magnus'. Lat. homō, Umbr. homonus 'hominibus', Osc. humuns nom. 'homines': Goth. guma 'homo'. Lat. termō termen, Umbr. termnom-e 'ad terminum', Osc. teremnattens 'terminaverunt': Skr. tárman- n. 'point of the sacrificial rod', Gr. τέρμων 'boundary' τέρμα 'goal'. Acc. sg. Umbr. tota-m, Osc. tovta-m 'civitatem', Lat. equa-m: Skr. áśvā-m 'equam'.

Lat. ne nē, Umbr. Osc. nei-p 'neque, neve, non': Skr. ná Goth. ni Lith. nè 'non'. Lat. dō-nu-m, Umbr. řunu Osc. dúnúm 'donum': Skr. dắ-na-m 'gift'. Lat. cor-n-īx, Umbr. cur-n-ac-o 'cornicem': ep. Gr. zορών-η 'crow'. 3. pl. Lat. feru-nt, Umbr. furfa-nt 'februant', Osc. kara-nter 'vescuntur': Skr. bhára-nti 'ferunt'. Lat. mēns-i-s, Umbr. menzne 'mense': Gr. Lesb. μηνν- Att. μην- fr. *mēns-.

Lat. angō anyor: Gr. ἄγχω 'I press tight, strangle', Av. qzah- 'distress', rt. angh-. Lat. quīnque, Umbr. pumperias Osc. pumperias 'quintiliae', Osc. Πομπτιες 'Quinctius', prim. Ital. **λμετολιμε fr. **perολιμε (§ 336): Skr. pánca, Indg. **peroqe.

 ${\bf R}\,{\bf e}\,{\bf m}.$ The guttural nasal is represented in the Ital. languages by the letter n.

§ 207. Prim. Italic changes.

Prim. Ital. -mt-, the m of which goes back partly to Indg. m (§§ 237. 238), became in the prim. Ital. period -nt-, and in like manner -md- became -nd-. This change seems also to hold good for the -mt- which arose later in the course of individual dialects. Lat. con-trā contrō-versu-s, Osc. con-trud (prep. with loc.) 'contra', to Lat. cum Osc. com. Lat. ad-rentu-s: Skr. gántu-š 'a going', Indg. "gém-tu °; Lat. in-ventu-s : Skr. gatá-s, Gr. βατό-ς, Indg. *gm-t's, rt. gem- 'go'. Lat. centum: Lith. szimta-s 'hundred', Indg. *kmti m. O.Lat. quan de 'quam', Umbr. pane 'quam', Osc. pan 'quam', to quam. Lat. quon-dam, Umbr. ponne pone pune Osc. pún pon 'quom', to quom. Further Lat. fren-dō beside frem-ō (cp. § 349), septen-triōn-ēs septendecim (septem), vērun-tamen (vērum), eun-dem (eum), vēnun-dō (vēnum), quan-diū (quam); Umbr. hon-dra 'infra' hon-domu 'iufimo', Osc. hu[n]-truis 'inferis' to Lat. hum-u-s Gr. γαμ-αί (Danielsson Pauli's Altit. Stud. III 143), Umbr. desen-duf 'duodecim'. Cp. also Osc. Púntiis beside pomtis 'quinquies' and Πομπτιες (latinised Pontius Pomptius): Lat. Quinctiu-s.

Many exceptions to this law were created through analogy and new formations. E. g. Lat. quamde, quamdiā, vērumtamen after the simple forms quam, vērum. Of later origin are also Lat. $\bar{e}m$ -p-tu-s, Umbr. emps 'emptus' (ep. du-etom 'demptum'), Lat. $s\bar{u}m$ -p-tu-s with excrescent p (§ 208).

Further *kyom kye probably also became *kyom-kye already in prim. Ital. (Lat. quonque cunque, Umbr. pumpe) etc. Cp. also Lat. singulu-s fr. *sem-clo-s, princeps fr. *prim(i)-ceps (§ 633). Lat. quomque cumque was formed in the same manner as quamde.

Analogously -ms- probably became -ns-. Cp. § 568 rem., § 570 concerning tenebrae.

§ 208. Latin.

-mi- became -ni-. quoniam fr. *quom-iam. con-jectu-s, con-jungō, con-jūrō fr. *com-i-. veniō fr. *(g)uem-iō, Indg. *gyn-iō, from rt. gem- (§ 239).

-p- as glide in -mpt- (§ 207), -mps-, -mpl- : $\bar{e}m$ -p-tus, $s\bar{u}m$ -p-si, ex-em-p-lum. Cp. Umbr. emps 'emptus'.

-ln- became -ll-. colli-s fr. *col-n-is: Lith. kál-n-a-s 'mountain', Gr. κολων-ό-ς 'hill', orig. st. form *qolen-. pollen (beside polen-tu), developed fr. *polen, gen. *poln-os (see W. Meyer Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII 162): Gr. πάλη 'finest meal' or 'flour'. pullu-s 'young animal, young' fr. *pol n-o-s: Goth. fula, gen. fulin-s 'foal', from a stem *pll-cn.. vellus 'fleece': Lith. vilna 'a single hair of wool' etc. The reason is not clear why assimilation did not take place in volnus, ulna, pōpulnus and others.

-nl- became -ll- in diminutives: asellu-s fr. *asen-lo-s, to asinu-s; homullu-s fr. *homon-lo-s, cp. homun-culu-s.

Rem. These affections may partly or entirely be ascribed to the prim. Ital. period. The Umbr.-Samn. dialects do not furnish sufficient material for the determination of the chronology.

Prim. Lat. -ns-. The nasal was often unwritten already in the oldest inscriptions, e. g. mesibus, cesor, cosol, cosentiont; further also in writers vicesimus and vicensimus, quoties and quotiens, pisare and pinsare etc. Combining this with the clearly ascertained fact that short vowels were spoken long before -ns-(\S 619), it follows that a long nasal vowel was spoken, perhaps leaving the sound of a reduced consonantal element. It is not possible to gain an insight into the course of the process and its extent, owing to the inconsequence and inaccuracy in the representation of the sounds, and the possibility that n as full consonantal nasal was restored from other forms of the formsystem (cp. e. g. scānsum, dēfēnsor dēfēnstrix) 1). In two cases the nasal was apparently entirely lost already at the beginning of historic records.

- 1. In the case of final -ns, when -s had not arisen from an older -ts (ep. § 501). Acc. pl. equōs, ovīs, fructūs fr. *-o-ns, *-i-ns, *-u-ns, pedēs fr. *-ens = Indg. *-ys (§§ 224. 238). Nom. sanguūs fr. *sanguins, a new formation for older neut. sanguen.
- 2. In forms with prim. Lat. -nsl-. pīlu-m 'pestle' fr. *pinslo-m. prēlu-m 'press' fr. *prenslo-m, older *premslo-m (cp. cōnsequor

¹⁾ Cp. among others Seelmann Die Aussprache des Latein p. 273 ff.

fr. *com-sequor, inscrip. quansei and § 207 extr.). ālu-m 'wild garlic' (hereto hālāre, an-hēlāre with inorganic h-, s. Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 491) from rt. an- 'breathe', fr. *an-slo- or *ans-lo (cp. O.Bulg. qch-ati 'to exhale' fr. *on-s-ātī, §§ 219. 588 rem. 2). scāla 'ladder' fr. *scan(t)slā, to scandō. an-hēlō fr. *an-(h)enslō, like scandō: cōn-scendō (Osthoff loc. cit. 115), shows that the dropping of the nasal in the combination -nsl- is younger than the weakening of a to e in the second member of compounds. Here probably also belongs īlicō fr. *in slocō, § 570.

Prim. Lat. final -m (partly coming from Indg. -n § 238). The orthography fluctuated already in the oldest inscriptions, e. g. pocolo beside pocolom poculum. The sound was greatly reduced before words beginning with a vowel. After the close of the vowel in the last syllable there only followed a very imperfect lip-closure. Hence the vowel elision in poets, as Verg. Aen. VIII 386 férru(m) acuánt. Cp. Danielsson Zur Altital. Wortforschung (a separate reprint from Pauli's Altital. Stud. III and IV) p. 14, Seelmann Die Aussprache d. Lat. 356 ff.

§ 209. Umbrian and Oscan.

Prim. Umbr.-Osc. -ns- became -nts- (cp. -mps- in Umbr. emps 'emptus'). This sound-combination was expressed in the native alphabet by -nz- (or -nzs-). Umbr. menzne 'mense'. anzeriatu (beside anseriato) 'observatum', cp. Lat. am-putāre an-quārere. uze (beside onse; on the omission of the n in uze see below) loc. 'in umero': Goth. ams m. 'shoulder', Indg. *omso-: cp. however also § 568, 3 for the Ital. form of this word. Osc. kenzsur keenzstur (beside censtur, censaum) 'censor'.

-mbr- fr. -mr- is probably to be assumed in Umbr. ambr- beside Osc. amfr-, to Lat. amb- Gr. àuqi. Either *amfer, a new formation after ander inter etc., first became *amer- (cp. umen fr. *umben, § 432 a.) by assimilation, then *amr-, ambr-, or else *amer- was an Umbr. new formation from am-, which then led to ambr-.

Prim. Ital. -ns became Umbr. -f, Osc. -ss. Umbr. acc. pl. abrof 'apros' eaf 'eas' avif 'aves', trahaf traf 'trans'; Osc. acc. pl. feíhúss (st. feího-) 'fines', víass 'vias'. Likewise Umbr. zeřef 'sedens' fr. *-ens = *-ents. Cp. Danielsson Pauli's Altit. Stud. III 146 ff. Umbr. Ikuvins 'Igovinus', Osc. Púmpaiians 'Pompeianus' etc. show that these changes are older than the dropping of short vowels before the -s of the nom. sg. Regarding Umbr. f, which goes back in the first instance to f, cp. trahvorfi 'transverse' (§ 501).

That n m n n were reduced in Umbr. before explosives and spirants, follows from their being frequently omitted in writing. us-tetu beside ustentu 'ostendito'. Stem azeriato- aseriato-beside anzeriato- anseriato- 'observatus'. hutra beside hondra 'infra'. dirsas beside dirsans 'dent'. Sa'se beside San'sie voc. 'Sanci'. apr-etu beside ampr-ehtu 'circumito' ambr-efurent 'circumierint'. iveka beside ivenga 'iuvencas'.

In like manner prim. Ital. final -m and -n must have been greatly reduced in Umbr., perhaps only a nasalised vowel was spoken. For they often also here remained unwritten and the letter m stepped into the place of n: -e beside -en in, nome nomen, puplu poplo beside puplum poplom populum; -em in, numem nomen.

In Osc., -n is uniformly written and -m only seldom omitted, mostly in later monuments, e. g. vía (beside víam) 'viam', ísídu (beside ísídum) m. 'idem', íní (beside íním inim) 'et'. If fíísním (Zvetaieff Syll. n. 17, 8) contains -ím = -ín 'in', the same sound-development is to be assumed as in Umbr.

For the development of anaptyctic vowels from nasals in Oscan see § 627.

Old Irish.

§ 210. menme, gen. menman, 'mind' (prim. f. *men-ə-men-§ 110): cp. Skr. mán-man- n. 'mind', Gr. µévoş 'mind', rt. mennem (gen. nime) n. 'sky', nemed n. 'sanctuary' (Gall. nemeto-n): Skr. námati 'he bows, bends', rt. nem-. Particle nu no: Skr. nú, Gr. vv, Indg. *nú 'now'. canim 'I sing': Lat. canō, Goth. hana m. 'coek'. Redupl. pf. ro-se-scaind 'he leapt': Skr. skándāmi 'I jerk, leap', Lat. scandō. šnām inf. 'swim': Skr. sná-ti 'he bathes himself'.

cum-ung 'narrow': Av. azah- 'distress', rt. angh-. com-boing 'confringit': Skr. pf. babhánja 'fregit' pra-bhangá-s 'breaker, pounder', rt. bharng-.

Rem. The letter n is employed to represent m in Keltic as in Latin.

§ 211. It may be concluded that -mt- became -nt- in prim. Keltic from Cymr. Bret. cant O.Ir. cēt 'hundred': Lith. sziñta-s, Indg. *kmtó-m, ep. § 212.

Indg. -m became -n in prim. Kelt. Gall. nom. acc. sg. neut. νεμητο-ν nemeto-n 'sanctuary' (Zeuss-Ebel p. 225). The nasal was often retained in Irish before vowels and mediae at the beginning of the following word, when the preceding vowel had disappeared. Acc. sg. fer n-aile 'virum alium' fr. *μiro-n. Under the same conditions the -n from prim. Kelt. -en = Indg. -m and -n was also retained. M.Ir. deich m-bai 'ten cows' fr. *deken: Skr. dáša, Lat. decem, Indg. *dekm. M.Ir. nōi n-ubla 'nine apples' fr. *nouen: Skr. náva, Indg. *neup. Cp. § 658, 2.

§ 212. Prim. Kelt. n (partly going back to Indg. v, w, § 243) disappeared in Irish — with 'compensation lengthening' when the preceding vowel was short — before t, c, s (f). The vowel was then shortened in unaccented syllables, afterwards became irrational and entirely disappeared under certain conditions (§§ 613. 634).

sēt Cymr. hynt Bret. hent 'way': Goth. sinþs 'a going, a time'. tēt Cymr. tant 'string': Skr. tántu-š 'thread, string', rt. ten-. cēt Cymr. Bret. cant 'hundred': Lat. centu-m, Lith. szinta-s, Indg. *kntó-m (§ 211). ēc 'death' Bret. ancou; according to Windisch to Skr. naś-, Gr. rέχν-ς. brēc 'lie, deceit': Skr. bhraśa-s 'fall, loss'. ēcath 'hamus': Skr. anhá-s 'hook', Gr. ἀγκών 'bow' ὄγχο-ς 'bow, crook, bend', Lat. ancu-s uncu-s, OHG. angul 'angle'. ēcen 'necessity, constraint': Gr. ἀν-άγκη. gēis (gen. du. M.Ir. gēsi) 'swan': Skr. has-á-s OHG. gans 'goose'. Fut. st. sēs- fr.

*suen(t)s- i. e. *suend+s- (suend- 'drive, hunt'), 1. sg. cu-du- $s\bar{c}[s]$ -sa, fut. sec. 1. sg. du- $s\bar{c}sainn$ (Thurneysen Kuhn's Ztsehr. XXVIII 148). Gen. sg. $m\bar{\iota}s$ (nom. $m\bar{\iota}$) 'mensis', st. * $m\bar{c}ns$ - : Gr. Lesb. gen. sg. $\mu\tilde{\eta}\nu r$ -os.

Shortening and disappearance. 3. pl. bérit 'ferunt' fr. *béront(i), prim. f. *bhéronti: Skr. bháranti, Gr. Dor. φέφοντι. ér-mitiu 'honor' (gen. ér-miten) fr. *-mētiu, *-mentiō, fóimtiu 'a remarking' fr. *fó-mētiu etc.: Lat. mentiō; a further formation with n from Indg. *my-tí-, Skr. matí-š, Goth. ga-munds (st. ga-mundi-), rt. men-dér-met, gen. dér-mait, 'a forgetting', fór-mat, dat. fór-mut, 'envy' from stem *-mēto-, *-mento-: Lat. com-mentu-s, Gr. αὐτό-ματο-ς, Skr. matá-s, from the same rt. men-. ὁαc ὁc 'young' fr. *όēc, Cymr. ieuanc, prim. Kelt. *jouyko-s: Skr. yuvašá-s, Lat. juvencu-s, Indg. *-y-ĥό-s (§§ 137. 174). Acc. pl. fíru 'viros', fáthi 'prophetas' fr. *fírō(s), *fáthī(s), prim. Kelt. *uiro-ns, *yāti-ns: ep. Goth. vaíra-ns, gasti-ns.

Rem. Zimmer Kuhn's Ztsehr. XXVII 449 ff. shows that first an assimilation of the explosive to the nasal took place in the prim. Ir. combinations ut, uc in such a manner that the former became voiced. Zimmer, p. 467, says that the new sound probably did not become a pure media in the first instance, but dt, gc. This change was generally not expressed in the writing of O.Irish, while in Mod. Ir. orthography it is expressed, e. g. eug 'death' = O.Ir. ēc, ccud 'hundred' = O.Ir. cēt. Cp. §§ 513. 658, 2.

Where m came together with a following r, l, an excrescent -l- was developed, and then initial m became absorbed. brechtrad, older mrechtrad 'varietas': to Lith. márga-s 'variegated'. blicht, older mblicht mlicht 'milk', bligim, older mbligim 'I milk', to melg 'milk', Gr. å μ é λ ; ω Lat. mulge $\bar{\nu}$ O.Bulg. ml $\bar{\nu}$ z μ 'I milk', rt. mel \bar{g} -. Cp. § 298. bl \bar{u} ith, older ml \bar{u} ith 'tender, soft' (st. *ml \bar{u} ti-), to melim inf. bleith 'molo'; cp. § 306.

Original intervocalic m, written mh, is in Mid. and Mod. Irish a nasalised labial spirant, e. g. nemh 'sky'. That this affection already took place in the O.Ir. period, follows from the fact that mh appears in the Modern language in words, where the nasal already in O.Ir. no longer stood directly after vowels: O.Ir. amprom fr. Lat. improbus also speaks for the great antiquity of this change, since m must here have represented a

spirant. The change of m to a spirant is probably likewise to be also assumed in O.Ir. mebuir fr. Lat. memoria, in this case, however, it may be a question of dissimilation as in Mid.Ir. perf. mebaid = O.Ir. memaid 'he broke'.

Germanic.

§ 213. Goth. midjis OHG. mitti 'medius': Skr. mádhya-s. Goth. qiman OHG. queman 'to eome': Skr. gámana-m 'a going', fr. rt. gem-. Goth. hilms OHG. helm 'helmet', Ags. helm 'protector, helmet': Skr. śárman- n. 'protection'. OHG. camb 'toothed tool, comb': Skr. jambha-s 'tooth, bit', Gr. γόμφο-ς 'plug, bolt, nail', O.Bulg. zaļvũ 'tooth'.

Goth. nahts OHG. naht 'night': Lat. nox, Lith. naht's.s. Goth. manna fr. *manu-\(\bar{o}\) OHG. man 'man': Skr. m\(\alpha\)nu-\(\bar{s}\) 'man'. Goth. \(\alpha\)ins OHG. \(\ella\)in 'one': Lat. \(\oint\)oino-s. \(\bar{a}\)inu-s, prim. f. *\(\oint\)oino-s. Goth. \(\sin\)aivs OHG. \(\sin\)\(\div\)oino': Lith. \(\sin\)\(\div\)ga-s O.Ir. \(\sin\)enchta 'snow', rt. \(\sin\)enchta bindan OHG. \(\beta\)intan 'to bind': Skr. \(\beta\)andhana-m 'a binding', rt. \(\beta\)hendh-.

OHG. zanga Ags. tonge O.Icel. tong 'tongs', OHG. zangar 'biting, sharp': Skr. dáśana-m 'a biting, bite'. Goth. aggvu-s OHG. angi engi 'narrow': O.Bulg. azŭkŭ 'narrow', rt. anĝh-. Goth. stigqan 'to push': Lat. stinguere. Goth. gaggan OHG. gangan 'to go': Skr. janghā- 'heel-bone'.

Rem. n is generally represented in Goth. by g, s. § 25; in West Germ. and Norse by n as in Lat.

§ 214. Prim. Germ. changes.

-md- (m partly going back to Indg. m, § 244) became -nd-. OHG. rant m. O.Icel. rond f. 'edge', to rt. rem- 'cease, rest', Goth. rimis n. 'rest'. Goth. skanda OHG. scanta 'shame', to Goth. skaman (w. verb) OHG. scamēn 'to be ashamed'. O.Icel. sund n. 'swimming' fr. prim. Germ. *s(u)um-da- (§ 180 p. 158). Goth. hund OHG. hunt 'hundred': Lith. sziñta-s, Indg. *kmtó-m. Whereas m remained before þ down to the literary period. Goth. ga-qumþi- 'synagogue', OHG. cumft cunft with early excrescent f, to Goth. qiman OHG. coman 'to come'. OHG. numft nunft 'a taking', to Goth. niman OHG. neman 'to take'. OHG. ramft,

bye-form of rant 'edge', therefore goes back to a * $r\acute{a}m$ - \rlap/pa -(§ 529) '). For OHG. mft from $m\rlap/p$ see § 529. m also remained before s, e. g. Goth. ams 'shoulder': Skr. $\acute{a}sa$ -s, Indg. *omso-s.

-ln- (l partly goes back to Indg. l, § 299) became -ll-. OHG. wella 'wave': Lith. vilnì-s O.Bulg. vlŭnu 'wave'. Goth. fulls OHG. inflec. follēr 'full': Lith. pllna-s O.Bulg. plŭnŭ 'full', Indg. *pl-n6-s.

Further n, as the initial sound of chief-accented n-suffixes, seems to have been assimilated to the descendants of Indg.root-final explosives. The prim. Germ. gemination may be thus explained. E. g. OHG. lecchōn 'to lick', prim. Germ. *likkō- fr. previous Germ. *ligh-nā-, cp. Gr. λιχνεύω, rt. leigh-. Cp. Osthoff Paul-Braune's Beitr. VIII 297, Kluge ibid. IX 169. On the relations of sound-shifting s. §§ 530. 534. 538. 541, 5.

became long²). Goth. OHG. fāhan 'to seize' fr. *fanzana-n: Lat. pangō pāx, Skr. páša-s 'noose, cord', rt. pāk-, with nasalinfix pank- (§ 221). Goth. pāhta OHG. dāhta 'I thought', pret. of paykjan, denchen 'to think': O.Lat. tonyēre 'to know'. Goth. pāhta OHG. dāhta 'appeared', pret. of pugkjan, dunchen 'to seem, appear', from the same root. Goth. bi-āhts 'accustomed': Lith. j-ùnkta-s 'accustomed' (§ 666, 1). Goth. peihan OHG. dāhan 'to thrive' fr. *pinzana-n, older *penzana-n: Lith. tenkû 'I have enough', cp. Ags. pret. pl. dunzon part. dunzen and the OS. causat. thengian 'to complete'; the τ of the present in the Goth. and HG. forms was the occasion of their passing into the analogy of verbs like Goth. steiga 'I ascend' = Gr. στείχω: pret. Goth. páih OHG. dēh (cp. § 67 rem. 2).

Rem. This disappearance of the nasal before χ took place later than the passing of χ into \bar{g} (Verner's law, §§ 530. 541, 4), as is seen by such forms as Ags. $\bar{d}un\bar{g}on$ beside Goth. $\bar{p}eihan$ (s. above), Goth. juggs 'young' beside compar. $j\bar{u}hiza$.

Goth. anda-numti- 'a receiving, taking up' was a new formation after forms like fralusti- 'loss'.

¹⁾ It seems to me not to be absolutely necessary to conclude with Sievers Ags. Gramm. p. 15 that the $\bar{\alpha}\chi$ which arose from $an\chi$ must still have had nasalised $\bar{\alpha}$ down to the period of the separate dialects.

Indg. -m became -n. Acc. sg. *yulfa-n 'wolf' : Skr. výka-m; *kuēni-n 'woman': Skr. -jāni-m; *sunu-n 'son': Skr. sūnú-m; gen. pl. *zebōn 'donorum' : cp. Av. vanam (vanā- 'tree'). When protected by a particle (after the change of -m to -n had taken place) this n was preserved in Goth. pan-a OHG. den Ags. đon-e 'the': Skr. tά-m Gr. τό-ν. Where no such protection was at hand, -n was dropped in the prim. Germ. period at the same time with the Indg. -n after short vowels, whereas it only became reduced after long vowels, and first fully died out in the course of the individual dialects. From *uulfa-n, *kuēni-n, *sunu-n: *yulfa, *kyēni, *sunu = Goth. vulf, qēn, sunu.prim. Norse (runic inscrip.) staina = Goth. stáin 'stone', sunu = Goth. sunu. But fr. *zebon gen. pl. and fr. nom. *zanon 'cock' *tungon 'tongue' (with Indg. -n, cp. gen. Goth. hanins tuggons and the nom. formation Gr. $\alpha i \omega \nu$ etc.): * $z e b \bar{o}^n$, * $\chi a n \bar{o}^n$, *tung $\bar{g}^n = \text{Goth. } gib\bar{o}, \text{ OHG. } hano, \text{ Goth. } tugg\bar{o} \text{ (hence the } f$ nom. Goth. rabjō 'account' and Lat. ratio are not exactly the same, since n had not been dropped in Lat.). With Goth. $tugg\bar{o}$ cp. prim. Norse (runic inscrip.) $Fino = O.Icel.\ Finna.$

§ 215. Gothic and West Germanic changes.

In Goth., m in the combination -mn-, passed into a spirant, which was now written f, now b, e. g. vundu-fn-i f. 'wound, plague', vitu-bn-i n. 'knowledge' with weak form of the suffix -men-, the latter to Skr. vid-men- 'wisdom' (cp. the author Morph. Unt. II 201. 209). Cp. O.Icel. -fn- from -mn-, e. g. nafn 'name' safna 'to collect'.

Rem. 1. In Goth. namn-ē 'nominum' and namn-jan 'nominare' the -mn- was re-introduced through analogy (after namō, namins etc.), just as in O.Icel. dat. sg. yamne beside regular gafne from gaman. n. 'joy'.

In the final syllable of nouns with n- and m-suffixes, if the nasals followed explosives or spirants, there arose in West Germ. through the loss of the short vowel following the nasal (§ 635. 661, 2), y and y, from which a vowel was then developed. Nom. acc. OHG. eban OS. eban Ags. efen 'even' = Goth. nom. ibns acc. ibn, prim. Germ. *ebna-z *ebna(-n). OS. mēthom Ags. mādum 'present, jewel' = Goth. máipms máipm.

(It is possible that a sonantal nasal was also spoken in Goth., ibns, ibn, máipns máipn). In OHG., the vowel, thus developed, penetrated from the final into the medial syllable of these nouns and their derivatives, at first after short syllables, and later after long also, e. g. ebano adv., ebani 'a plain', ebanōn 'to level'; such forms were chiefly due to analogy (ep. Sievers Paul-Braune's Beitr. V 90 ff.). Anaptyctic vowels were also often generated from nasals in OHG. under other circumstances, e. g. wahsamo beside wahsmo m. 'growth' to wahsan 'to grow', brosuma beside brosma f. 'crumb', kinoto beside chnodo 'knot, thong'. An assimilation to the quality of the surrounding vowels may occasionally be observed in the development of OHG. vowels, e. g. ebono, ebonōn, ebini = ebano, ebanōn, ebanō.

Rem. 2. Cp. anaptyxis in the case of w, § 180, and liquids, § 277.

Nasals, like other consonants (§§ 143. 277. 529. 532. 536. 540), were geminated before *i* in West Germanic. OHG. frummian frumman OS. frummian frummean 'to further, promote', to OHG. frum 'apt, fit' OHG. OS. fruma 'advantage'. OHG. wenn(i)an OS. wennian Ags. wennan 'to accustom': Goth. vanjan O.Icel. venja, to Skr. van-'like, love'.

In OHG. -m, which had become final, passed into -n in the ninth cent., a repetition of the prim. Germ. change (§ 214). Dat. pl. tagun, older tagum: Goth. daga-m 'diebus'. 1. pl. geban, older gebam: Goth. giba-m 'damus'. 1. sg. bibēn, older bibē-m 'I tremble at': Skr. bí-bhē-mi 'I am in fear'.

Baltic-Slavonic.

§ 216. Lith. mirtì-s O.Bulg. su-mrĭtĭ f. 'death': Skr. mṛ-ti-ṣ, Lat. mors mortis. Lith. pl. dúmai O.Bulg. dymŭ 'smoke': Skr. dhū-má-s, Lat. fū-mu-s. 1. pl. Lith. vēžame O.Bulg. ve-zemŭ 'vehimus': Skr. váhū-mas, Lat. vehi-mus. Lith. esmì O.Bulg. jesmĭ 'I am': Skr. ás-mi. Lith. limpù 'I remain clinging': Skr. limpāmi.

Lith. $n\ddot{u}ya$ -s O.Bulg. $nag\ddot{u}$ 'naked': Skr. $nagn\acute{a}$ -s, Goth. $naqa\dot{p}s$. Lith. $u\ddot{n}s$ and O.Bulg. on \ddot{u} ona 'that': Skr. $an\acute{u}$ - 'this'.

Lith. sė-men-inis O.Bulg. sě-men-inii 'belonging to seed': Lat. gen. sē-min-is. Lith. pìlna-s O.Bulg. plănăi 'full': Goth. fulls, Indg. *pļ-no-s (§§ 302. 303). Pruss. kirsna-n acc., O.Bulg. ĕrĭnăi 'black': Skr. kṛṣṇá-, Indg. *qṛṣno-s (§§ 302. 303). Lith. mentùrē fem. 'twirling-stick', O.Bulg. matiti 'to bring into tumult, confusion': Skr. mánthāmi 'I stir, turn'.

Lith. slanka slankiu-s 'creeper, slow man', O.Bulg. slakŭ 'crooked': OHG. slango O.Icel. slange slangi m. 'snake', rt. slenq-. Lith. ungurỹs (Pruss. vocab. vocab. vocab; the ending of the word is wrongly written) O.Bulg. agorišti 'eel': Lat. anguilla. Lith. sninga 'it snows': Lat. ninguit.

Rem. n is represented by n in Baltic.

§ 217. -m seems to have become -n in prim. Baltic-Slav. Acc. sg. *to-n 'the' from Indg. *to-m (Skr. $t\acute{a}$ -m): Lith. dial. tan $t\breve{o}n$, generally $t\tilde{a}$ (218), Pruss. s-tan, O.Bulg. $t\breve{u}$.

That for the Slav. form $t\tilde{u}$ first of all * $t\tilde{u}n$ *ton is to be presupposed, is shown by $s\tilde{u}n$ -, in $s\tilde{u}n$ - $\tilde{e}sti$ 'comedere' and other secondary forms of $s\tilde{u} = \text{Pruss.}$ san (san-insle 'girdle'): Skr. $s\tilde{a}m$, Indg. *som. Prim. Balt.-Slav. final -n did not entirely die out after \tilde{a} in Slav., e. g. acc. sg. fem. tq 'the' = Skr. $t\tilde{u}m$, Gr. $\tau\eta'$; cp. § 219.

§ 218. Baltic.

Indg. -mt- remained (while in other Indg. languages it became -nt-). Lith. inf. vémti 'to spit, vomit', supine vémtu, Lett. inf. vemt: cp. Skr. part. pf. vānta- beside vamita-. Lith. remti 'to support': cp. Skr. inf. rántum, to ránati 'he brings to a standstill, makes fast'. Cp. also the m from Indg. m (249) in Lith. szimta-s Lett. si'mts 'hundred': Lat. centu-m; Lith. deszimta-s Lett. desmits Pruss. dessūmts dessympts 'decimus': Gr. δέχατο-ς Goth. taíhunda, beside Lith. deviñta-s Lett. dewits Pruss. newīnts 'nonus', Indg. *newn-to-s.

Nasal + s or sz, ž (= Indg. \hat{k} , \hat{g} $\hat{g}h$, § 412). In words like žąsì-s 'goose' (OHG. gans, Skr. hąsá-s), gręžiù 'I turn, bore' inf. grę̃sz-ti, at-grąža-s 'return' (O.Icel. kringr 'round', Mod.

HG. kring kringel, rt. greûgh-) a nasal-vowel verging into a more or less distinct w is at present heard in a portion of the Lith. dialects, whilst in the greater part the nasal-vowel has entirely died out and a pure long vowel is only spoken (£dsls, grēžiù). The nasal hook has therefore only an etymological signification in the literature of the latter dialects. No complete consistency, however, exists in the use of it.

Rem. When in those dialects, in which the pronunciation \bar{a} for a etc. is the universal rule, e.g. in that with Godlewa, fut. pisiu (pisiu) and pinsiu (I shall twist) is spoken side by side of inf. pinti and piri (piti), it is due to a levelling between the form of the fut. stem and that of the inf. etc.: pinsiu is a new formation after pinti, but piti after pisiu. Cp. the Author Lit. Volksl. und Märch. p. 2884).

Prim. Balt.-Slav. -n after vowels (§ 217) has entirely disappeared in one part of the language, and a pure unnasalised vowel prevails. The written language, which represents this state and in which the nasal hook has only an etymological value, is also here inconsistent. It has, e. g. acc. sg. deva, anā anà, szī, but gen. pl. dëvũ ('deorum') for dëvũ; the latter from *- $\bar{u}n$, older *- $\bar{o}m$ (cp. Ved. $d\bar{e}v\acute{u}m$). Elsewhere, as before sibilants, a nasal vowel, ending in a more or less strongly articulated n, is spoken, e. g. typ, $d\ddot{e}vyp$, or -n remained intact: tan, anan, szin. There are dialects in which all three stages (pure vowel, nasal vowel + 10, vowel + n) occur side by side. It is clear that in addition to differences of accentuation and quantity (s. Bezzenb. Beitr. X 307 ff.) also various relations of sandhi (position in absolute and conditional finality, various initiality of the following word) brought about the state of fluctuation. Unfortunately so little account is taken of wordcombination in the writing down of the forms in question (s. especially Bezzenb. Beitr. VII 163 ff.) that it is at present impossible to obtain a knowledge of the historical state of things.

§ 219. Slavonic.

Before all consonants except i, nasals became, with the preceding vowel, nasalised vowels in prim. Slavonic (cp. § 281

The above is unaffected by Bezzenberger's opposite view (Beitr. VII 167).

- rem. 3). The i and u, thus formed, became then further \bar{i} (O.Bulg. i) and \bar{u} (y, \S 60). O.Bulg. remained at this stage. Examples:
- v-onja 'vapour, smell' from rt. an- 'breathe' (§ 666, 1):
 Skr. pres. 3. sg. ániti. zemlja 'earth': Lith. žĕmē, Lat. humu-s,
 Gr. χαμα'; concerning the excrescent l s. § 147 p. 132.
- 2. e = prim. Indg. prim. Slav. e + nasal. svetŭ 'holy': Lith. szveñta-s, Av. spenta-, cpf. * \hat{k} yento-s. zeba 'dilaçero, frigeo': Skr. jámbha-tē 'snatches at something', causat. jambha'yu-li 'bruises, pounds'. Cp. § 68. e = Indg. on in the acc. pl. konje 'equos' fr. *konjens, older *konjo-ns (§ 84 p. 80).
- q was at the same time the representative of the Indg. sonantal nasals = prim. Sl. in, im (§§ 248. 249). pa-metī f. 'remembrance': Lith. at-mintì-s 'memory', Goth. ga-mundi- 'remembrance', Skr. mati-š 'thought, mind', Lat. mens, Indg. *my-ti-s. desetī 'ten': Lith. dēszimti-s, Skr. dašati-š, Indg. *dekmti-s.
 - 3. a = Indg. o, a (prim. Slav. o) + nasal.

berątĩ 'ferunt': Gr. Dor. φέρο-ντι. ząbũ 'tooth': Gr. γόμφο-ς 'bolt'. Cp. \S 84.

αράκὰ 'narrow': Gr. ἄγχω, Lat. angus-tu-s. achati 'to smell' fr. orig. *an-s-: Lat. anima, ālu-m hālāre fr. *anslo- (§ 208), Gr. ἄνεμο-ς, rt. an-. Cp. § 100.

4. i, y = previous Balt.-Slav. i, u + nasal.

Suffix -ikŭ (-ĭn-ikŭ) = Lith. -inka-s (-in-inka-s), e. g. dvorĭnikŭ 'comes palatii': Lith. dvãrininka-s 'courtier'¹). isto, gen. istes-e, 'testiculus', pl. istes-a 'renes': Lith. ìnksta-s 'kidney, testiculus', Pruss. inxcze 'kidney', Lat. inguen. Acc. pl. gosti 'guests': Goth. gasti-ns. Cp. also plita (beside plinŭta) 'brick' fr. Gr. πλίνθος.

lyko n. 'bast' : Lith. linka-s Pruss. lunka-n. v-ykna 'I learn' : Lith. j-inkstu 'I become accustomed' (§ 666, 1). Acc. pl. syny 'sons' : Goth. sunu-ns.

¹⁾ If this suffix belongs to OHG. -inc O.Icel. -ingr; it is easy to conjecture that it is borrowed from Germ. For Germ. -inga- beside -un-ga- (the author Morph. Unt. II 238, Kluge Nominale Stammbild. 13. 26) rather contains Indg. -en- than -in-.

y was further the continuation of older -on- in the acc. pl. mase. vlūky 'lupos': Goth. vulfa-ns Gr. Cret. rόμο-rg (§ 84); acc. pl. fem. rąky 'manus': cp. Pruss. gennans 'feminas' (§ 615).

-n alone occurred as final in the prim. Slav. period (§ 217), and *- $\bar{a}n$, *- $\bar{e}n$ became -q, -q: *- $\bar{u}n$ = Indg. *- $\bar{o}n$ (§ 92) became -q; *-en and *-en (= Indg. -qn § 248) became -e: *-en became -e: *-en com (= Indg. *-en and *-en om, § 84 p. 80) became -en of O.Bulg. remained at this stage. Examples:

- 1. -α, -ζ. Ace. sg. f. ženą 'wife': Skr. ἀśrām 'equam', Gr. χώσᾶν, Indg. -ā-m. 1. sg. νεεα 'veho': Lat. νεham, cpf. *μεβλā-m. Nom. ace. neut. imζ 'name' fr. *i-mēn: cp. the long vowel in Goth. namō fr. *na-mōn (§§ 195. 214).
- 2. -y. Nom. kamy 'stone' (st. kamen-), formed like Gr. ἄκμων, OHG. hano, Lith. dial. szyp 'dog' (beside szű, Skr. śνά, § 92 rem.).
- 3. -e. Nom. acc. neutr. polje 'field' fr. *-jen, *-jon, Indg. *-jo-m (§ S4 p. S0). J. Schmidt Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 307 perhaps rightly (doubts however remain. s. W. Schulze ibid. 546) traces the -e of the loc. sg. kamen-e back to *en = Pruss. en Gr. $\partial \nu$ 'in' (*kamen loc. like Skr. Ved. kárman, Gr. $\partial \phi_{\mu\nu}$). The -e of the acc. sg., e. g. mater-e 'matrom', fr. *-jn = Gr. -a, Indg. -m (§§ 224. 249).
 - 4. -ĭ. Ace. gostī 'guest' : cp. Skr. ávi-m, Gr. ŏι-v.
- -ŭ. Acc. synŭ 'son': Skr. sūnú-m. Acc. vlŭkŭ 'wolf': Skr. vfka-m, Gr. λύxο-ν.

Rem. 1. Acc. sg. ji 'eum' konjī 'equum' are accordingly not fr. *jo-n, konjo-n, but fr. *ji-n (Lith. jī), *konji-n. S. § 84 rem. 1.

Assimilation took place when nasals of different organs came together. This process was also prim. Slav. kaměnŭ 'made of stone' fr. *kamn-ěnŭ, to kamen- 'stone'. To the nom. pl. graždan-e 'town-inhabitants' (fr. *grādjān-e(s) § 147 p. 132 f.; from gradŭ 'town', ep. Gr. očgariov-eş 'celestial inhabitants') belonged as dat. graždamă and as instr. graždami (Miklosich Vergl. Gramm. III 2 15 f.), fr. *-ān-mŭ, *-ān-mi.

Rem. 2. Cp. the assimilation of -nm- to -m- in ime 'name' (= *ime) and ime 'I grasp, take' (= *ime, ep. vaz-ime, sun-ime, § 666, 1), the

Nasal changes in the prim. Indg. period.

§ 220. J. Schmidt (Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVI 337 ff.) assumes that n, in the final combination long vowel + nasal + s, disappeared in the Indg. prim. language. E. g. Indg. $-\bar{a}s$ in the acc. pl. of \bar{a} -stems (Skr. $\acute{a}\acute{s}v\bar{a}s$ 'mares', Goth. $gib\bar{o}s$ 'gifts', Lith. $rankos-n\grave{a}$ 'into the hands') fr. $-\bar{a}-ns$, cp. -o-ns in the mascul. (Gr. Cret. $v\acute{o}\mu o-v_{\vec{s}}$ etc.). Indg. nom. sg. " $m\bar{e}s$ 'month' fr. " $m\bar{e}ns$ (cp. Lat. gen. pl. $m\bar{e}ns-um$ etc.), hence the Skr. form $m\acute{a}s$. The ending of the nom. sg. masc. part. pf. act. $-\mu\bar{o}s$ (Gr. $sid\acute{o}\acute{c}$, Av. $v\bar{v}d\bar{v}a'$ 'knowing', § 649, 7) fr. $-\mu\bar{o}ns$ (cp. Skr. acc. sg. masc. vidv'as-am etc.).

This hypothesis seems to me worth mentioning in this place as being a possibility which ought to be noticed. At present however it requires a still firmer foundation. We shall come to speak below of some of the forms and form-categories which Schmidt has brought forward in support of his theory.

§ 221. In all Indg. languages we meet with forms having a nasal in the root-syllable, when this ends in an explosive or a spirant, where we have good reason to suppose that the nasal once stood behind the root-syllable as nasal suffix. Forms also often occur with nasal suffix beside those with nasal infix. It is probably here a question of a sound-change which dates back to the Indg. prim. period. But it still remains to be established on the one hand, by what law the nasal-anticipation (or the affection preparing the way for it) at that time now appeared, now not; and on the other hand it must be determined whether the same process or similar processes have not also happened at a later time in the individual languages, e. g. in Italic (cp. Thurneysen Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVI 301 ff.) and thus cause the whole mass of examples to have to be estimated from various points of view. In any case it is no mere accident that the

prim. Indg. change of the voiceless explosives into the voiced at the end of roots (§ 467, 7), so frequently appears in connection with present formations having a nasal infix (Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 328, Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 548), and that the syllable, which had absorbed the vowel into itself, was generally unaccented (the strong forms of the Skr. seventh present-class as chinád-mi do not come into account here).

The nasal anticipation appears most frequently in the present tense, especially in the formation with suffix -é- -ó- (Skr. sixth class), with which the Skr. seventh present-class is closely related (s. the author's article in Morph. Unt. III 148 ff.). Skr. limpámi. Lith. limpà: O.Bulg. pri-lī(p)na, fr. rt. lejp- 'smear stiek'. Skr. chindāmi chinddmi, Lat. scindō, OHG. scintan (cp. also Av. causat. scindayeiti, Gr. σχινδαλμό-ς), from rt. sqhaitsqhaid- 'split, tear'. Gr. πυνθάνομαι, Lith. pa-bundù: O.Bulg. υŭz-bŭna fr. *-bŭdna, fr. rt. bheudh- 'watch, mark'. Skr. yunjátē gunájmi. Lat. jungō, Lith. jùngiu : Gr. ζεύγντμι, from rt. jeug-'harness, yoke'. Lat. pangō, OHG. OS. inf. fāhan (fr. prim. Germ. *fanyana-n, § 214) part. fangan : Gr. πήγνζαι, from rt. $p\bar{a}\hat{k}$ - $p\bar{a}\hat{q}$ - 'bind, make fast'. Lat. $pand\bar{v}$: Gr. $\pi i \tau \nu n u$ 'I spread out'. Gr. λυγγάνομαι 'I have the hiccup' (λύχξ 'hiccup'): Mid. HG. slucken fr. prim. Germanic *slugnona-n (§ 534). Lith. smunkù 'I slide, glide': Mid.HG. smücken 'to dress, adorn' O.Icel. smokkr 'under dress' from prim. Germ. *smuz-n- (§ 530).

Noun formations. Lat. fundu-s 'ground, bottom', O.Ir. bond bonn 'solea', Gr. πύνδαξ 'bottom': Skr. budhná-s 'bottom'. Lat. unda, Pruss. unda- m. 'water', Lett. úde'ns 'water' fr. *undens, Lith. vandũ -eñs 'water' (the Lett. and the Lith. words seem to be due to a contamination of the stems *unda- and *uaden-), to these Skr. unádmi undámi 'I wet, bathe': Skr. udán- udn- 'water, wave', Gr. ΰδατ- from *udn-t- (§ 233) Πλοσ- ύδνη (?), Goth. vutin-, dat. pl. vatn-a-m, 'water'). Here come perhaps also Lat. mēns-

¹⁾ OHG. undea 'wave' can only be compared on the supposition that the root originally ended in t, so that the d in Lat. unda Skr. udánetc. would stand on the same level with the \hat{g} in pangō $\pi'_{ij}\gamma \bar{\nu}_{ij}u$ (beside Lat. pac-, Skr. paš-). See above.

'month', Gr. Lesb. $\mu\eta\nu\nu$ -, O.Ir. $m\bar{\imath}s$ - fr. * $m\bar{e}ns$ - (§ 212) : O.Bulg. $m\check{e}se$ - $c\check{\imath}$, cp. Umbr. menzne 'mense', Mars. mesene 'mense', Skr. $m\check{u}s$ - $m\check{u}s$ -a-.

Rem. Further examples in J. Schmidt's Voc. I 29 ff. (where however Skr. *mánthāmi* and similar forms are to be omitted). The author Morph. Unt. III 148 ff., Osthoff ibid. IV 325 ff., Paul-Braune's Beitr. VIII 268 ff.

B. THE NASALS AS SONANTS1).

§ 222. The Indg. parent language had all four nasals both in a consonantal and sonantal function. \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{P} only occurred before the corresponding explosives.

The sonantal nasals occurred both as short and long, but mostly short. When long, they corresponded morphologically to $\bar{\tau}$ and \bar{u} see § 312.

1. The short sonantal nasals.

§ 223. The mode of treating the short m, n, n, n in the separate languages renders it advisable to divide them into four categories.

¹⁾ Concerning the sonantal nasals and liquids see the author's essay in Curtius' Stud. IX 285 ff. 385, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIII 587 ff. XXIV 255 ff., Morph. Unt. II 151 ff.; J. Schmidt Jen. Literat.-Zeit. 1877 Art. 691, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIV 321 f.; Osthoff Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIV 415 ff., Morph. Unt. I 98 ff. II 14 ff. IV p. IV ff.; Bezzenberger in his Beitr. III 133 ff.; Fick ibid. III 157 ff., IV 167 ff.; de Saussure Mémoire sur le système primitif etc. 6 ff. 18 ff. 239 ff.; G. Meyer Griech. Gramm. p. 9 ff.; Paul in Paul-Braune's Beitr. VI 108 ff. 408 ff.; Kögel ibid. VIII 102 ff.; Kluge Beitr. zur Gesch. d. germ. Conjug. 17 ff.; J. v. Fierlinger Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 437 ff.; Zimmer ibid. 450.

- 1. Unaccented 1) before explosives, spirants, nasals and liquids, and finally, e. g. *tytó-s part. pass. from rt. ten- 'stretch, extend': Skr. tatá-s; *sémy nom. acc. sg. of the neut. stem *sē-men- 'a throw, seed': Lat. sēmen.
- 2. m, n unaccented before i, e. g. * $mni\delta$ 1. sg. pres. from rt. men- 'think': Lith. minin. Here are probably also to be placed the combinations mu, nu, s. § 225.
- 3. With principal accent before consonants, e. g. *s-hti 3. pl. of *és-mi 'I am': Skr. s-ánti.
- 4. m, n before sonants, in which case m, n were spoken as glides, e. g. *tyn-ú- (rt. ten-) 'stretched, thin': Skr. tan-ú-. The glide consonant corresponded to u, i in such Indg combinations as uua, iia, e. g. *duuo = Skr. Ved. duro O.Bulg. duva. S. § 117 p. 110.

The following is an abstract of the usual representation of v in the Indg. separate developments from these four points of view (v) v were similarly treated):

	Ar.	Armen.	Gr.	Ital.	pr. Ir.	Germ.	Balt.	Slav.
1. 2. 3. 4.	a an an an	an? an? an?	α αν αν	en en en en	*en *en? *en *an	un un un un	in in in in	in C Tn

Primitive Indg. period.

§ 224. 1. Unaccented before explosives, spirants, nasals and liquids, and finally.

*kmtó-m 'hundred': Skr. śatá-m, Gr. ε-κατό-ν, Lat. centu-m, O.Ir. cēt, Goth. hund, Lith. szinīta-s. *gm-tó- 'gone', *gm-tí- 'a going' fr. rt. gem-: Skr. gatá-s gáti-š, Gr. βατό-ς βάσι-ς, Lat. in-ventu-s in-ventiō, Goth. ga-qumpi-. *sm- weak stem of *sem-

¹⁾ Here and in the following §§ the prim. Indg. accentuation is always meant, from which the individual languages deviated in many instances. See § 669.

'unus', as prefix 'with', together': Skr. sa- (sa-kýt 'once'), Gr. ά- (άπλόο-ς 'simple'), Lat. sim- (sim-plex). *dekm 'ten': Skr. dáśa, Lat. decem, O.Ir. deich n-; Gr. δέχα also here or fr. *δεχατ (§ 652, 5) = Goth. táihun. Suffix of the acc. sg. -m: Gr. -a, Lat. -em, Goth. -u, Lith. -i, O.Bulg. -e.

*mn-tó- part. pass. fr. rt. men- 'think' : Skr. matá-, Gr. avτό-ματο-ς, Lat. com-mentu-s, O.Ir. dér-met (n. 'a forgetting'), Goth. munda-, Lith. miñta-s O.Bulg. metŭ. *me-my- anteconsonantal weak pf. st. of the same rt. : Gr. μέμα-μεν μεμά-τω, Lat. memen-to, Goth. 1. pl. mun-um (-um as in vit-um: Skr. vidmá Gr. Hom. ἴδμεν 'we know'); cp. Skr. mid. mamn-έ. Pres. st. *tn-név- 'tn-nu- from rt. ten- 'extend, stretch': 3. sg. mid. Skr. ta-nu-te, Gr. τά-νν-ται. -mn- anteconsonantal weak form of the nominal suffix -men-: Skr. śró-ma-ta- n. '(the act of) hearing' (= OHG. hliumunt 'renown'), Gr. σπέρ-μα-τα 'germs', Lat. เงินแง๊-แตน-โน-m, Goth. sniu-mun-do adv. 'in haste'. *pīuu-ró-s 'fat' (cp. Skr. pīvan-, Gr. πτων): Skr. pīvará-s, Gr. πταρό-ς. -us postconsonantal suffix of the acc. pl. : Skr. -as, Gr. -aς, Lat. -ēs fr. *-ens (§§ 208. 619), Goth. -uns. -ntúi, -ntó endings of the 3. pl. mid.: Skr. -atē -ata, Gr. -ata -ato. -mn in the nom. acc. sg. neut. of -men-stems: Skr. nά-ma Gr. ονο-μα Lat. nōmen O.Ir. ain-m n- 'name'.

Rt. form $d\hat{y}\hat{k}$ - 'bite': Skr. $d\acute{a}\acute{s}ati$ (for * $da\acute{s}\acute{a}ti$ like $g\acute{a}chati$ for * $gach\acute{a}ti$, § 672), $\delta\acute{a}zm$ ĕ $\delta\acute{a}zov$; cp. Skr. $d\acute{a}\acute{s}a$ -s 'bite', OHG. zangar 'biting, sharp', zanga 'tongs' ($\delta\acute{\eta}$ \$0 $\mu\alpha$ $\delta\~{\eta}\gamma\mu\alpha$ etc. were later new formations after forms without nasals in the roots).

* $l\eta ghú$ - * $l\eta ghró$ - 'quick, small' : Skr. laghú-š, Gr. $\epsilon\lambda\alpha\chi\dot{v}$ -\$ $\epsilon\lambda\alpha\phi\phi\dot{o}$ -\$\, OHG. lungar.

§ 225. 2. m n unaccented before i. *gm-io T go' from rt. gem-: Gr. βαίνω from *βανμω *βαμμω (§§ 204. 639), Lat. veniō (§ 208). Pres. st. *mn-ie- rt. men- 'think': Skr. mán-ya-tē, Gr. μαίνεται (on the meaning see Curtius Grundz. 103. 312), Lith. miniù O.Bulg. mĭnja. Pres. st. *ghn-i'- from rt. ghen- 'hew': Skr. han-yá-tē pass. (for regular *ghan-yá-tē, § 454 rem.), O.Bulg. žīn-ja T cut off, reap'. Formation of the present from noun stems in -en- -men-, Indg. ending of 1. sg.

-η-iố: Skr. ukṣ̌aṇ-yá-mi to ukṣ̌an- 'bull, ox', brahmaṇ-yá-mi to bráhman- n. 'prayer', Gr. τεκταίνω το τέκτων 'carpenter', σπερμαίνω to σπέρμα 'seed, germ', Goth. glit-mun-ja to *glitmin- 'splendour'.

It is probable that the treatment of mu, nu in Ar. Gr. and Slav. was analogous to that of mi, ni. Skr. Ved. jaganrán Indg. *ge-gm-μόs and jaghanrán Indg. *ghe-ghn-μόs, part. pf. act. from the roots gem- 'go' and ghen- 'hew' (cp. § 199 rem.). Skr. tanv-ť fem. to tanú-š 'stretched' fr. *tų-μ-τ. cp. OHG. dunni 'thin' fr. *pun-u-ia-. Gr. part. βεβα-(f)ω΄ς, γεγα-(f)ω΄ς were formed anew after forms with βα-, γα-. O.Bulg. part. pf. pǐnũ (pτ-ti 'to hang, strain') may have arisen fr. *pũn-rũ (cp. da-vũ from da-ti 'to give'): cp. mǐnijǐ 'minor' to Goth. minniza fr. *mi-nu-iz-ō (§ 184 p. 161). Cp. the author Morph. Unt. II 211 f. 214, Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 404, 436.

§ 226. 3. Accented before consonants. Personal ending of 3. pl. -hti, -ht, e. g. pres. indic. *s-hti, opt. *si-ht *si-ht. imperf. *é s-ht from rt. es- 'be'. Skr. s-anti 'are' ás-an 'were'. Gr. Ion. ¿āāā 'are' fr. *iā-arī (§ 205), El. ¿ar 'sint' fr. *¿ā-Ļ-arī) Bœot. ¿āar 'were' (sī fr. r_i , § 72). Umbr. s-ent 'sunt', O.Lat. s-i-ent 'sint'. O.Ir. it 'sunt', s. § 243. 3. O.Icel eru 'are' prim. Germ. *iz-unħi, O.Icel. vissu OHG. vissun 'they knew' with -un = Gr. -av, Indg. -ht. O.Bulg. jad-tt 'they eat': cp. Skr. ad-ánti; s-aorist bas? from bodą 'I sting': cp. Gr. ¿ðsi\(\xi\)-ar.

§ 227. 4. ηm , ηn (m, n glides) before sonants.

smm-6- 'any one' (rt. sem-): Skr. sama-, Gr. άμό-, Goth. suma-; by the side of this cp. Indg. *sm- in Gr. μ-ῶννξ 'having one claw', fem. μ-ία 'una' (§ 563, 6). *gmm-ố present form from rt. gem- 'go': Skr. ved. gam-ά-mi, OHG. koman Ags. cuman O.Icel. koma; Skr. gamāmi: Av. ā gem-a-p = huv-á-mi: á-hv-a-t etc.

*tyn-ú- 'stretched, thin': Skr. tan-ú-š, Gr. ταν-ν'-γλωσσο-ς, Lat. ten-u-i-s, O.Ir. tan-a. Corresponding to the double suffix form -jo- and -ijo- (§ 120), -ro- and -rro- (§ 287), there existed a

¹⁾ The a in El. Far can, of course, by § 64 also regularly represent an older s. In that case the form would not belong here as a new formation.

Brugmann, Elements

-ṃno- -no- beside. Hence e. g. Skr. Ved. yaj-anά-s beside yaj-nά-s 'veneration of the gods', Gr. στεγ-ανό-ς beside στεγ-νό-ς 'covering', ἐδ-ανό-ν 'food' beside Skr. ánna-m 'food' fr. *ad-na-m. Ved. ganά- Bœot. βανά O.Icel. kona = Indg. *gụn-á-, beside Ved. gnά- Gr. *μνα- fr. *βνα- (in μνάομαι 'I seek a wife for myself', § 492) O.Ir. mnā (gen. sg.) = Indg. *gn-ά- 'wife'; cp. Gr. βιό-ς 'bow' Lith. gijà 'thread' beside Skr. jyά- 'bow-string'. Pres. Arm. lk-anem 'I leave' Gr. $\lambda \mu \mu \pi$ -άνω beside Gr. δάν-νω etc. (Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 407). *μη- 'un-': Skr. an-udrά-s Gr. ἄν-νδφο-ς 'waterless', beside * ψ - before explosives etc., e. g. Skr. α-ράd- Gr. ά-πους 'footless'; in the other languages we have the same form in both cases, Arm. an-, Lat. in-, Goth. un-

On the prim. Indg. interchange between ηm , ηn and m, n after consonants ep. §§ 120. 153.

Aryan.

§ 228. 1. Skr. yáchati Av. yasaiti 3. sg. pres., Skr. yatá- Av. yata- part. pass from Ar. yam- 'to restrain, curb', prim. f. *jn-ské-ti, *jn-tó-. Skr. yahí Av. (Gāp.) gaidī 2. sg. imper. form rt. gem- 'go', prim. f. *gn-dhí. Skr. šatá-m Av. sate-m 'hundred': Lith. sziñta-s, Indg. *kntó-m. Skr. stabh- fr. *stnbh- in stabh-ná-mi 1. sg. pres., tastabh-úr 3. pl. pf. (3. sg. tastámbha) from rt. stembh- (prim. Ar. sthambh-?) 'strengthen, prop up'.

Skr. baddhá- Av. O. Pers. basta- 'bound', Indg. *bhydh + tó-from rt. bhendh- 'bind'; so also bhydh- in Skr. badhnáti 'he binds' badhyátē 'he is bound' and others. Skr. śastá- Av. sasta- 'spoken, valued', prim. f. *ĥys-tó-: Lat. cēnsu-s a new formation for *censtu-s. Skr. hatá- Av. jata- 'struck' (regarding the initial s. § 454 rem.): Gr. φατό-ς, Indg. *ghy-tó-, rt. ghen- 'hew, strike, kill'. Skr. ta-nó-mi Av. ta-nao-mi 'I stretch out': Gr. τά-νν-ται, rt. ten-'stretch out, extend'. Skr. Av. -ma-, -va- as anteconsonantal weak form of the Indg. suffixes -men-, -uen-: loc. pl. Skr. dháma-su, dat. pl. Skr. dháma-bhyas Av. dāma-byō (Skr. dhāman-'effect, law etc.' Av. dā-man- da-man- 'creature'): cp. Gr. ἀνα-θήμα-σι; Skr. párvata-s 'range of mountains' Av. paurvatā-

f. 'mountain', to Skr. párvan- n. 'node, knot': Gr. πείρατα Lesb. πείρατα, Indg. *per-uṇ-to-. Skr. s-at- Av. h-at- weak st. form of the part. of ásmi ahmi 'I am', e. g. in the fem. satí, haiti: cp. Gr. Dor. ἔασσα, Indg. *s-nt-í. Skr. Av. -ma = *-mn in the nom. acc. sg. of -men-stems: Skr. dhá-ma. Av. dā-ma dam-a: cp. Gr. ανά-θη-μα, Lat. nō-men.

Skr. $a \sin \delta ti$ Av. $a \sin a \cos ti$ (§ 398) 'he reaches', prim. f. * $\eta \hat{k}$ - $n \dot{e} u$ -ti: ep. Skr. perf. $a n \dot{q} \dot{s} a$, Gr. $\dot{e} r e \gamma z e \tilde{t} r$. Skr. $b a h \dot{u}$ - \dot{s} 'dense, much' fr. * $b h \eta g h$ - \dot{u} -s: ep. superl. $b \dot{q} h i \dot{s} t h a$ - and Av. b a z a h- n. 'greatness, strength'.

Skr. Av. -ac- 'bent in a certain direction, turned', e. g. in Skr. loc. pl. $praty-\acute{a}k-\check{s}u$ instr. pl. $-\acute{a}g-bhi\check{s}$, fr. *-pg-g, weak st. form to Skr. -a $\hat{n}c$ - Av. -anc-: Gr. $\pi o\delta$ -a π - \acute{o} - ς , Lat. prop-inqu-o-s (ep. Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 249 ff.).

Concerning the qualitative changes of a in Av. see § 94.

§ 229. 2. Skr. gamyát Av. jamyāþ O.Pers. jamīyā 3. sg. opt. from rt. gem- 'go', prim. f. *gm-ié-t1'); Sievers (Paul-Braune's Beitr. VIII 81 ff.) places here Ags. opt. cyme pl. cymen = Goth. *kumjáu pl. *kumeina. Skr. mányatē Av. manyetē 'he thinks', O.Pers. 2. sg. conj. pres. act. maniyāhy: Lith. miniù, Indg. pres. st. *mņ-ié-; corresponding to Skr. manyú-š 'courage, zeal, anger' Av. mainyu-š 'spirit', from rt. men-. Skr. hanyátē Av. janyetē 'he is struck': O.Bulg. žīn-ja 'I cut off, reap'; Skr. hanyát Av. janyāþ 3. sg. opt. 'he may strike', from rt. ghen- 'strike'. Denominative verbs: 3. sg. Skr. udan-yá-ti 'streams' brahmaṇ-yá-ti 'prays', Av. vyāxman-yc-ti 'deliberates'.

 ${\rm R\,e\,m.}$ On the O.Pers. forms ep. § 125 p. 116.

Part. pf. Skr. va-van-ván Av. va-van-vå from Ar. van-'obtain': ep. the weak st. form Skr. vavn-úṣ- Av. vaon-uṣ-. Skr. 1. du. gánvas (for *ganvás, accented after the analogy of the sg.) from gam- 'go': ep. 2. pl. gathá. Skr. udan-vánt- 'rich in water' ātman-vánt- 'animated'.

Concerning the qualitative changes of a in Av. see § 94.

¹⁾ Skr. gam-yất to gm-iyá (1. sg. mid.) as jagan-vấn to jagm-úṣ̃ī (part. pf. act. masc. and fem.). On Iran. initial j- cp § 451 rem.

§ 230. 3. Skr. s- $\acute{a}nti$ Av. h-enti O.Pers. h-atiy (read hanti, § 197 rem.) 'they are', Indg. *s- $\acute{\eta}ti$; with secondary ending Skr. $\acute{a}s$ -an s- $\acute{a}n$ Av. h-en O.Pers. ah-a (read $\bar{a}h$ -an, § 197 rem.). Skr. y- $\acute{a}nti$ Av. y-einti 'they go', Indg. *i- $\acute{\eta}ti$: cp. Gr. $\acute{t}\acute{a}\sigma\iota$; with secondary ending Skr. $\acute{a}y$ -an O.Pers. ay-a (r. $\bar{a}y$ -an). Skr. $s\bar{\imath}$ - $m\acute{a}nta$ - 'boundary, parting': Gr. \acute{t} - $\mu\acute{a}\nu\tau$ - 'thong', Indg. * $s\bar{\imath}$ - $m\acute{\eta}$ -to-, to Skr. $s\bar{\imath}$ - $m\acute{a}n$ - Gr. \acute{t} - $\mu o\nu$ - $\iota\acute{a}$, rt. $sa\dot{\jmath}$ - 'limit, bind'.

On Av. e from a see § 94.

§ 231. 4. saptam-á-s 'seventh': Lat. septimu-s, Indg. *septimm-ó-s, to which probably also belong O.Ir. sechtmad Mid. Cymr. seithuet (§ 634); but O.Bulg. sedmy-jī Pruss. septma-s Lith. sēkma-s fr. *septmó-s. Skr. vanáti 'he gains, loves' sanáti 'he attains' fr. *um-é-ti *sun-é-ti (Av. vanaiti hanaiti either identical with these Skr. forms or like Skr. vánati sánati after class I): Goth. un-vunands 'not rejoicing'. Instr. sg. Skr. bráhmaṇ-ā (bráhman- n. 'prayer') Av. bur sman-a (bar sman- m. 'sacrificial rod') fr. prim. Ar. *-mn-ā, with these cp. Skr. námn-ā (náman- n. 'name'). Antesonantal Skr. Av. O.Pers. an- 'un-': Gr. åv-, Indg. *un-

ghan-á- 'killing' beside -ghn-á- (go-ghmí- 'ox-killing') is similar to gir-a- beside -gr-á- 'swallowing, devouring' (§ 290). On the qualitative changes of the a in Av. s. § 94.

Rem. The Ar. ending of 1. sg. -am e. g. in Skr. as-am 'I was' (cp. Gr. $\bar{\eta}_{\alpha} = \bar{\eta} = *\bar{e}s - m$) and that of the acc. sg. e. g. in Skr. $p\bar{a}d - am$ 'foot' (cp. Gr. $\pi o'\delta - \alpha = *pod-m$) point to -mm. Three explanations are possible. 1. There existed in prim. Indg. beside -m and -m a form -mm (and -m) after the manner of the phenomena discussed in §§ 312. 313. In that case Cypr. $-\alpha r$ in $\dot{c}(r)\delta_{0i}\dot{c}(r)\tau - \alpha r$ (Att. $\dot{\alpha}r\delta_{0i}\dot{\alpha}r\tau - \alpha$) etc. could be directly connected with the Ar. form. 2. -m became -mm, by a special Ar. development, when the following word began with a sonant, i. e. generated an m as glide, under the same conditions as i and w often made their appearance after -i and -u in various languages. 3. -m became -u in prim. Ar. (cp. Skr. $d\hat{a}\hat{s}a$) and this became transformed to -am after the analogy of forms like Skr. ábhara-m, ášra-m. The second possibility seems to deserve the preference, and the first type in consideration of ábharam and ášvam may have been generalised through the side by side existence of \tilde{a} sam u- and *ása t-, pádam a- and *páda t- (cp. J. Schmidt Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 282 f.). Cp. sthātúr § 285.

Armenian.

§ 232. Ksan 'twenty' according to Hübschmann fr. *qisan (concerning y- § 162, and -s- § 408): Skr. višati-, Gr. Dor. Bœot. Fίκατι, Lat. vīgintī, Indg. *μīkmtί- or *μīkmtί- (first syllable uncertain). tasa 'ten' probably fr. Indg. *dekmt: Goth. taihun (cp. § 651, 2). inn 'nine', pl. inun-k' or innun-k: connected most closely with Gr. *¿rfa (¿rra-, ¿ra-, ¿ra-, § 166), beside Skr. náva O.Ir. noi n- Indg. *néun. anun 'name' fr. *anuan *an-man (§ 202): Skr. ná-ma, Gr. ovo-ua, Lat. nō-men, O.Ir. ainm n-, Indg. -mp. an- 'un-' = Indg. *n- and *nn- (Skr. a- an-, Gr. à- àv-, Lat. in-, Goth. un-), e. g. an-ban 'carens ratione et verbo': cp. Gr. ä-qωνος; an-anun 'nameless': cp. Gr. àν-ώνυμος. kan-ai- in pl. kanai-k' 'women': Bœot. βανά O.Icel. kona 'woman', prim. f. *aun-ā-. Present formative suffix -ane-, e. g. lk-ane-m 'I leave' gt-ane-m 'I find': Gr. -arw, $\lambda \iota \mu \pi \dot{a} r \omega$, prim. f. - $\mu n c$ -- $\mu n o$ -1): by the side of this cp. -ne-, e. g. ar-ne-m 'I make', like Gr. δάχ-νω. amarn, gen. amar-an, 'summer' (cp. also amar-ayin 'aestivus' amar-aini 'messis'): OHG. O.Icel. sumar 'summer'.

In the forms tasn, inn, anun the a in the final syllable -an had disappeared by the law of finals (§ 651, 1).

Greek.

§ 233. 1. $\beta\acute{a}\sigma\imath\alpha$ 'I go': Skr. $g\acute{a}ch\bar{a}mi$ 'I go', Indg. *gm- $sk\acute{o}$, from rt. gem-. $\delta g\alpha\imath\acute{o}$ -s 'lovely': Skr. $rat\acute{a}$ -s 'rejoicing, loved', Indg. *rm- $t\acute{o}$ -s, rt. rem- (Skr. $r\acute{a}m$ -a- $t\bar{e}$). -a, ending of the 1. sg. in $\bar{\eta}$ -a 'I was', $\bar{\epsilon}\chi\dot{\epsilon}(f)$ -a 'poured out', $\bar{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\imath\varkappa$ - σ -a 'showed', $\bar{\eta}\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ -a 'knew' etc., Indg. -m.

έχαδον from χενδ- 'seize' (fut. χείσομαι, § 205): Lat. pre-hend- $\bar{\nu}$. έπαθον πεπαθυῖα from πενθ- 'suffer', πένθος. ά-ν $\bar{\nu}$ μι ά-ν $\bar{\nu}$ ω ά-ν $\bar{\nu}$ ω 'I come to the goal': Skr. sa-n $\bar{\nu}$ -mi, Indg. *sy-néy-mi, rt. sen- $\bar{\nu}$).

Only traces found in Ar., as in Skr. Ved. iš-ana-t, Av. peš-anaiti 'merenc-ainīš. See the accidence, and Bartholomae Ar. Forsch. II 94 f.

For a conjecture concerning the strange spiritus lenis in ἄνῦμι ἀνύω see Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 479 f.

 $\tau \alpha$ - 'stretch, span' in $\tau \alpha$ - $\nu \nu$ - $\tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ - $\tau \alpha$ - $\tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ - $\tau \alpha$ - $\tau \varepsilon$ -c etc.: Skr. $t\alpha$ -. $t\alpha$ -nu- $t\acute{e}$, Lat. ten-, ten-tu-s, rt. ten-. $\varphi\alpha$ - 'kill' in $\pi\acute{e}$ - $\varphi\alpha$ - $\tau\alpha\iota$ $\alpha \alpha - \tau \delta - c$. Indg. *ahp-: Skr. ha- $t \alpha - s$ 'struck'. $\gamma \varepsilon - \gamma \alpha - \mu \varepsilon \nu = 1$. pl. pf. to yev- 'gignere', $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ -og. $\ddot{\epsilon}$ -x $\tau \alpha$ - $\mu \epsilon \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}$ -x $\tau \alpha$ - $\tau \sigma$ = Skr. $\dot{\alpha}$ - $k \dot{\xi} \alpha$ - $t \alpha$, aor. to ατεν- Skr. kšan- 'kill'. Lesb. ἄμμες Att. ήμεῖς 'we', prim. Gr. *à-oue- (§ 565): Skr. a-sma- (dat. asmá-bhyam 'nobis'), Goth. un-s, Indg. *n-sme-. -α-, -μα-, weak form of the nominal suffixes -en-, -men-: $\varphi \circ \alpha - \sigma i$, to $\varphi \circ \varepsilon \nu$ - 'midriff, mind'; $i' \delta - \alpha - \tau$ -, to Skr. ud-án- ud-n- 'water'; ονό-μα-σι: Skr. nά-ma-su, to nά-mannά-mn- 'name'; ονό-ματα like Lat. cōgnō-menta. Suffix of the acc. pl. -as: Skr. -as, Lat. -es (fr. *-ens, § 208) etc., Indg. -us, e. g. νῆας 'ships': Skr. nāv-as Lat. nāv-ēs; ὀφούας 'eye-brows': Skr. bhrúv-as. Ending of the 3. pl. mid. -αται -ατο: Skr. -ατē. -ata, Indg. -ntái, -ntó: ήαται, ήατο 'they sit, sat': Skr. ásatē, άsata; perf. κεκλίαται τετράφαται, opt. γενοίατο etc. εν-νέ(F)α 'nine' (orig. 'nine in all', èv- 'in'): Skr. náva, Arm. inn (§ 232). O.Ir. $n\bar{o}i$ n- (Lat. novem after the analogy of septem, decem), cp. § 152.

έλαχο-ν from λεγχ-, cp. pf. λέλογχα.

Rem. o in εἴκοσι beside Fίκατι 'twenty' etc. does not really represent Indg. sonant nasal. See the author's Griech. Gramm. p. 68 f.

§ 234. 2. βαίνω 'I go': Lat. veniō, Indg. *gm-iō, § 204. So also καίνω 'I kill', prim. f. *km-iō, to καμ-ό-ντες 'the dead': Skr. śαπαγāπi 'I put to death, destroy'; ν crept into ἔκανον, κέκονα from καίνω. Lesb. κταίνω 'I kill' fr. *κτη-μω, rt. κτεν-μαίνεται: Skr. πάπγατē, Lith. miniù, rt. men- (s. § 225). Analogously τιταίνω 'I stretch out', τεκταίνω 'I build', σπερμαίνω 'I fructify' (cp. Goth. glitmunja 'I shine') etc. τέκταινα fem. to τέκτων 'carpenter', gen. sg. τεκταίνης like Goth. laûhmunjōs (nom. μαίhmun-i 'lightning'); cp. the author Morph. Unt. II 195 ff., Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 452 f. On the i-epenthesis see §§ 131. 639.

§ 235. 3. 3. pl. $-\alpha\nu\tau\iota$, Att. $-\bar{\alpha}\sigma\iota$ fr. $-\hat{\eta}ti: \hat{\iota}-\bar{\alpha}\sigma\iota$ 'they go': Skr. y- $\hat{\alpha}nti$; $\hat{\alpha}\gamma$ - $\nu\hat{\nu}-\bar{\alpha}\sigma\iota$ 'they break in pieces, crush': cp. Skr. $\hat{s}ak$ -nuv- $\hat{\alpha}nti$, Indg. *-nuu- $\hat{\eta}ti$. Participial suffix $-\alpha\nu\tau$ - = Indg. - $\hat{\eta}t$ - in $\delta\varepsilon$ / $\hat{\xi}$ - $\alpha\nu\tau$ -, $\hat{\nu}\pi\varepsilon\rho$ - κ / $\hat{\nu}\delta$ - $\alpha\nu\tau$, $\hat{\alpha}$ - κ / α / μ - α / ν -, π - α / ν - π -(§ 166 p. 147).

Rem. Some hold ϵ_r , and others α to be the regular representation of accented sonant nasal. The facts seem to us to agree best with the hypothesis given above.

Italic.

§ 237. The Indg. sonant nasals became in the prim. Ital. period en, em, en, and thus fell together with Indg. e + nasal. In the same manner as in the latter combination e passed into i in Latin, e. g. $sin-gul\bar{\iota}$ (Indg. *sin-) like $tingu\bar{\varrho}$: Gr. $\tau \dot{\epsilon}_{i}\gamma \dot{\varphi}$, s. § 65 p. 53.

§ 238. 1. Lat. sim-plex sin-gulī: Gr. ά-παξ, Indg. *sηone'. centu-m: Lith. sziñta-s 'hundred', Indg. *kntó-m. vīgintī:
Gr. fίχατι (cp. § 232); vīcēsimu-s fr. *vīcent+timo- (§ 208):
Gr. Βωοτ. fīχαστό-ς. decem, Umbr. desen-duf 'duodecim':
Skr. dάξα.

cēnsu-s (for regular *censtu-s), Osc. an-censto nom. sg. fem. 'incensa' censtom-en 'in censum': śastú- 'spoken, valued', Indg. *kys-tó-. ēnsi-s: Skr. así-š 'sword'. Lat. emō, Umbr. emantur 'accipiantur', Osc. pert-emest 'perimet', fr. *vm-ō = Lith. imù O.Bulg. imq 'I seize, take' (§ 219 rem. 2) from rt. nem- 'allot' (Gr. $v\epsilon\mu\omega$ 'I deal out, possess', Goth. nima 'I take'); *vm-ō first became *vm-ō, thence vm-ō. vm-co. Skr. vm-co. Germ. -vm-co. Skr. vm-co. Skr. vm-co. Germ. -vm-da-: vm-testā-mentu-m (fr. *vm-testā-mentu-m), Osc. abl. vm-ta or *vm-ta: Goth. vm-ta (vm-ta) 'youth', cpf. *vm-ta or *vm-ta. vm-ta. vm-ta

¹⁾ Either the nom. sg. and loc. pl. of these Gr. substantival numerals in -a's were the occasion of their passing into the analogy of δ -stems (cp.

-ōsu-s e. g. in vīrōsus (n preserved in formōnsus etc.) explained by Osthoff 1) as fr. *-ouensso-, *-o-unt+to-, orig. the weak form of the suffix -uent- (Skr. -vant-, Gr. -fsvr-), -to- participial suffix as in ūbertu-s, vetustu-s, urgrōtu-s etc. Participial st. rudent-: Skr. rudat- (Indg. *rudnt-; beside the strong form *rudont-: Skr. rudánt-), from rudō: Skr. rudámi 'howl, moan'. Acc. pl. ped-ēs, Umbr. ner-f' 'nerones, proceres' fr. prim. Ital. *-ens (§§ 208. 209), Indg. *-us: Skr. -as, Gr. -ac. nō-men, Umbr. nu-mem no-me 'nomen', Indg. *-mn: Skr. ná-ma, Gr. ŏvo-μa, O.Ir. ainm n- 'name'; analogously ungu-en, Umbr. um-en 'unguen': O.Ir. imb n- n. 'butter', cp. Gr. ἄλειφ-α, κάρā fr. *καρασ-α; concerning the Umbr. endings -em, -e, -en s. § 209.

dingua lingua (§ 369): Goth. tuggō OHG. zunga 'tongue'; if O.Bulg. j-ęzykŭ Pruss. insuwis 'tongue' also belong here, it follows that the prim. f. is *dŷāh-yā, and that d- has been dropped in Baltic-Slavonic; s. Bezzenberger in his Beitr. III 134 f., Bersu Die Guttur. 148 f. juvencu-s, Umbr. ivengar 'iuvencae': Skr. yuvašá-s.

- § 239. 2. $veni\bar{o}$ veniunt (transferred into the analogy of verbs in $-\bar{\iota}ve$): Gr. $\beta\alpha\dot{\iota}\nu\omega$, Indg. * $gm-\dot{\iota}\dot{o}$, rt. gem- 'go' (cp. § 208).
- § 240. 3. Umbr. s-ent 'sunt', O.Lat. s-i-ent 'sint', s. § 226. The participial st. s-ent- 'being' (Lat. prae-sēns, Osc. prae-sentid 'praesente') corresponds both to the accented form Skr. s-ánt-Indg. *s-ήt- and to the unaccented form Skr. s-at-, Gr. ἐατ-Indg. *s-ηt-.
- § 241. 4. tenu-i-s fr. Indg. * $t\eta n$ -ú-, § 227. $hem\bar{o}$ ($n\bar{e}m\bar{o}$ fr. *ne- $hem\bar{o}$) acc. O.Lat. $hem\bar{o}n$ -em: Goth. guma, gen. gumins, 'man'; these forms stand to Pruss. smoy Lith. $\check{z}m\tilde{u}$ 'man' (pl. $\check{z}m\acute{o}n\acute{e}s$) in the same relation as Indg. * $g\eta n\acute{a}$ to * $gn\acute{a}$ 'wife' (§ 227).

septimus, decimus, nōnus (in the first instance fr. *noueno-s, O.Lat. inscrip. noine) fr. *septymn-o-s, *dekymn-o-s, *neuyn-o-s,

νέποδε; for regular *νεποτε; § 327), or there existed a prim. Indg. interchange of tenuis and media (§ 469, 7).

¹⁾ communicated by letter.

s. § 231. Superlative suffix -timo- (op-timu-s): Skr. -tamá- (yatamá-s 'which of many', relat.). Goth. -tuma- -duma- (af-tuman- 'latter', ldei-duman- 'left'), Indg. *-tymó-. There exists a doubt regarding terminus, to termen (cp. Umbr. term-nom-e 'ad terminum', Osc. teremnattens 'terminaverunt'), as to whether we have here prev. Ital. nn, or whether old n first became vocalic in Ital. itself, since gen. sg. termin-is could exactly correspond to Skr. tárman-as (cp. bráhman-ā, § 231). The same doubt exists with regard to fēmina, dominu-s beside domnu-s, lāmina beside lāmna and many other similar forms. Furthermore -in- could here also be Indg. -en-1)

O. Irish.

§ 242. Indg. nasalis sonans and Indg. e — nasalis consonans had fallen together in Irish before consonants, as in Ital. But they still existed apart in prim. Keltic, as is shown by the different treatment in the Britt. branch (see Zimmer Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 450). Antesonantal -yn- (according to Thurneysen) became -an- already in prim. Kelt.; ep. § 298, 3.

§ 243. 1. cēt 'hundred': Cymr. Bret. cant Corn. cans. Lith. szim̄ta-s, Indg. *k̄m̞tó-m. fiche, gen. fichet, 'twenty': Mid. Cymr. ugeint Corn. ugans Skr. viśati-, Lat. vīgintī. cēt, unaccented form cita-, 'with': Cymr. cant Corn. cans, Gr. κατά. dēt 'tooth': Cymr. dant Corn. dans Goth. tunħ-u-s (ep. § 244), Skr. dut- (weak st. form in instr. sg. dat-ά etc. beside the strong dánt- = Gr. δδόντ- OHG. zand OS. tand Lith. dant-ì-s), Indg. *d-n̄t- (strong *d-ó-n̄t-), participle from rt. ed- 'eat'; the indic. would be *d-é-ti. ér-mitiu 'honor': Lat. mentiō, Skr. mati-ṣ, Indg. *mn̄t-i-s from rt. men- 'think'. óac óc 'young': Cymr. ieuanc O.Corn. iouenc Bret. iaouank, Lat. juvencu-s, Skr. yuvašá-s.

deich n- 'ten': Skr. dáša, Lat. decem. nōi n- 'nine': Skr. náva, Indg. *neyy. ainm n- 'name': Gr. ὄνο-μα, Indg. -my.

¹⁾ Cp. the conjectures of Thurneysen Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVI 308 and of Stolz Lat. Gramm. p. 166 f.

On the treatment of the prim. Ir. combination -en- in these words cp. §§ 211. 212.

- 2. Examples for Indg. -mi-, -wi- are unknown to us.
- 3. it 'sunt' (proclitic) with Cymr. ynt goes back to *enti. This was an analogical transformation of *senti = *s-\u00fcti ti (\u00a7 226), s. Zimmer Kelt. Stud. II 133.
- 5. tana: Corn. tanow Bret. tanav 'thin', Skr. tanú-š, Indg. *tņn-ú-. samail 'likeness, picture': Cymr. hafal 'similis', Gr. άμ-α, Indg. *sηm-. sechtmad 'septimus' fr. *sechtanad, s. § 231.

Germanic.

§ 244. 1. Goth. OS. hund OHG. hunt O.Icel. hund-raā 'hundred': Lith. szimta-s, Indg. *kmtó-m. Goth. taíhun 'ten': cp. Lith. dēszimt, pl. dēszimt-s (the -t in dēszimt cannot of course be a final -t which has remained from the Indg. period), taíhunda 'tenth': Lith. deszimta-s, Gr. δέκατο-ς, prim. f. *dekmt and *dekmto-s. The Goth. stem tigu- 'decade', originated in the dat. pl., tigum fr. *tezum-m(i): cp. Skr. daśábhiš fr. *dekm-bhis; tigum, associated with sunu-m, occasioned the passage into the u-declension. Goth. ga-qumpi- 'a meeting, synagogue' (q for regular k §§ 180. 444 b.), OHG. cumft cunft 'a coming' (for the f s. §§ 214. 529): Skr. gáti-š, Gr. βάσι-ς, Lat. in-ventiō, Indg. *gm-ti- from rt. gem- 'go'. Prim. Germ. final -un = Indg. -m became by § 214 Goth. -u, acc. sg. tunp-u 'dentem' fōt-u 'pedem': Gr. -α, Lat. -em.

Goth. bundum OHG. buntun O.Icel. bundom 'we bound', to band bant batt 'I bound' (rt. bhendh-): cp. Skr. tastabhimá to tastámbha (rt. stembh- 'fasten') and Gr. πεπαθυῖα to πέπουθα (πευθ- 'suffer'). Goth. vunds OHG. wunt 'wound': Gr. *Fατόin Fατάλαι· οὐλαί Hesych. (cod. γατάλαι), from rt. μεη- (Goth. vinnan 'to suffer, feel pain'). OHG. wuntar OS. wundar 'wonder': Gr. ἀθοέω 'I gaze at, observe' fr. *Fαθοέω. Goth. undar OHG. untar 'under': Skr. adhás 'below' ádhara-s 'the lower' adhamá-s 'lowest' (concerning Lat. īnferu-s īnfimus cp. § 389 rem.). Goth. t-unħ-u-s 'tooth': Skr. d-at- etc., s. § 243; tunħ- was due to a levelling of the prim. Germ. st. form *tánħ- (OHG. zan, § 527)

and *tund-, Indg. *dónt- and *dụt-, s. § 530. The same participial suffix -μt- in Goth. hulundi f. 'cave' (cp. § 301). Suffix -munda- = Skr. -mata-, Gr. -ματο-, Lat. -mento-, Indg. *-mụ-tó-: Goth. sniu-mundō adv. 'hastily', OHG. hliu-munt 'renown'. Ending of the acc. pl. Goth. -uns = Skr. -as, Gr. -aς, Lat. -ēs, Indg. *-μs: Goth. tunp-uns 'teeth' fōt-uns 'fcet' brōpr-uns 'brothers' aŭhsn-uns 'oxen' 1)

Goth. pugkjan OHG. dunchan 'to seem, appear', prim. f. 1. sg. * $t\hat{\eta}\hat{g}$ - $i\hat{\sigma}$ or * $t\eta g$ - $i\hat{\sigma}$: O.Lat. $tong\bar{e}re$ 'to know', from rt. $te\hat{n}\hat{g}$ - or $te\eta g$ -. Part. pass. OHG. slungan 'wound' O.Icel. slungenn 'slung', inf. OHG. slingan O.Icel. slyngva: Lith. slinkti 'to ereep, sneak', rt. $sle\eta g$ -.

§ 245. 2. Goth. kunja- (nom. acc. kuni) OHG. cunni O.Icel. kyn 'race, generation' fr. *ĝų-jo- rt. ĝen- 'gignere'; with these Gr. δμό-γνιο-ς 'of the same race' (Goth. sama-kunja-), which represented a secondary form *ĝn-iio- (§.142 p. 128); kunja-probably corresponds to Lat. geniu-s ingeniu-m. Goth. munjau opt. to munan 'to think' rt. men-, prim. f. of the strong opt. stem *mų-iė-. Stem form Goth. lauhmunjō-, e. g. gen. sg. lauhmunjōs (nom. lauhmuni f. 'lightning'), fr. *-mų-iā-, s. § 234. Goth. glit-munja 'I shine' fr. *-mų-iō: cp. Gr. σπερμαίνω 'I fructify', Skr. l-ralmuni yūm: 'I pray'. O.Icel. symja 'to swim', pres. st. prim. Germ. *s(ų)um-ja- (on the loss of the ų s. § 180 p. 158), prim. f. *sųų-jo- (Sievers Paul-Braune's Beitr. VIII 86).

-nni- fr. -ni- in West Germ., e. g. OHG. gen. sg. cunnies cunnes dat. pl. cunnium cunnum (Ags. cynnes cynnum) = Goth. kunjis kunjam. Cp. § 215.

§ 246. 3. Ending of 3. pl. - úti, - út in O.Icel. eru 'are', O.Icel. vissu OHG. wissun 'knew', s. § 226.

§ 247. 4. Goth. sums O.Icel. sums 'any one': Skr. sam-α-, Gr. άμ-ό-, Indg. *sηιm-ό- from rt. sem-. Goth. un-vunands 'not rejoicing': Skr. vanáti 'he gains, loves', Indg. 3. sg. *uηn-é-ti. In like manner Goth. munan 'to think' (rt. men-) and OHG.

¹⁾ To be read thus I. Cor. IX. 9 instead of Ms. form auhsunns s. Kögel Paul-Braune's Beitr. VIII 115.

koman OS. Ags. cuman O.Icel. koma 'to come' the latter to Skr. gamáti (rt. gem-). Superlative suffix -duman-, to Skr. -tamá- Lat. -timo-, Indg. -tnmó-, see § 241.

On the change of u to o before o or a in the following syllable in West Germ. and Norse cp. § 51. This change did not take place before nasal + consonant, hence OHG. part. buntan (§ 244) beside koman etc.

Baltic-Slavonic.

§ 248. The Indg. sonant nasals became i + nasal in the prim. Balt.-Slav. period. This i was open and still separate from Indg. i, as follows from the fact that Indg. in is represented in Slav. by $\bar{\imath}$ before explosives and spirants, whereas Indg. v in the same combinations is represented by v. See § 219. We denote the prim. Baltic-Slav. and prim. Slav. equivalents of v, v by v in v. Throughout the whole Baltic branch v in arose from v in, so that all distinction between these and Indg. v in v was lost.

§ 249. 1. Lith. deszi^mta-s O.Bulg. desetŭ 'tenth': Gr. δέκατο-ς, Goth. taihunda, Indg. *deĥntó-s. Lith. kiñiszta-s 'stuffed, stopped', O.Bulg. čṛstǔ 'dense', to Lith. pres. kemszù 'I stuff, stop'. Lith. giñti 'to be born' (pres. gemù), pri-gimtì-s 'inborn peculiarity', probably from rt. gem- 'come' (Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 103): cp. Skr. gáti-š, Gr. βάσι-ς, Goth. ga-qumpi-, Indg. *gm-ti-. Lith. riñti 'to become composed in mind' rimta-s 'fast': Skr. ráti-š 'rest, repose, ease' ratá- 'tarrying with something, devoted to a thing, being pleased with something', Gr. ἐρατό-ς 'lovely' (§ 233), rt. rem-. Acc. sg. Lith. dùkter-ṭ O.Bulg. dŭšter-e 'daughter', prim. Baltic-Slav. *dukter-in: Gr. θυγατέρ-α; Lith. νἔžant-ţ 'vehentem': Gr. φέροντ-α, Lat. vehent-em.

Lith. at-minti-s 'memory', O.Bulg. pa-metī 'remembrance': Skr. mati-š, Lat. mentiō, Goth. ga-mundi-, Indg. *my-ti- from rt. men-. Lith. giñti 'to drive (cattle)' ap-ginti-s 'defence', O.Bulg. žeti 'to hew, mow': Skr. hati-š 'a blow', Indg. *ghy-

ti-s; Lith. ginczià and giñczia-s¹) 'combat, quarrel': Skr. hatyā 'a killing', OHG. gundea (Hildebr. 60 gūdea) 'fight, combat', Indg. *ghŋ-tiá-, from rt. ghen-. Pruss. newīnts Lith. deciñta-s O.Bulg. devetň 'ninth' (concerning the first syllable of the word s. § 68 rem. 1): Goth. niunda, Gr. ἔνωτος fr. *ἐν-Γατο-ς (§ 166). prim. f. *neuŋ-tó-s; ep. also O.Bulg. devetī 'nine': Av. navaiti- 'ninety'; Skr. navati- 'ninety'. Lith. tṛṣti 'to stretch one's self out, extend' (beside tṛṣin 'I stretch'): Skr. vi-tasti-ṣ 'a span' (causat. tạṣáyati 'he draws to and fro'), epf. *tŋṣ-ti-s, from rt. tens-, ep. also Germ. puns- in the OHG. part. gi-dunsan 'swollen', to pres. dinsan.

Concerning Lith. imù O.Bulg. ima fr. *pm-\u00f3 and O.Bulg. ime fr. *p-m\u00e7n see \u00a7 219 rem. 2.

Pruss. insuwi-s O.Bulg. j-zykŭ 'tongue', perhaps to Lat. dingua lingua Goth. tuyyō s. § 238; Lith. lëživi-s for *įžuvi-s through a popular confusion with lëžiù 'I lick'. O.Bulg. pṛṣtū f. 'fist': OHG. fāst 'fist' (prim. Germ. *fuɔzṣti-z, s. § 214 p. 181, and § 527 extr.), cpf. *pūk̄sti-s, possibly to Goth. jiggrs 'finger'. Lith. liūkti 'to bend one's self' liūkṛs 'inclined, bent', beside lenkiù 'I bend' lankù 'valley' (O.Bulg. lṛṣti 'to bend' can be both Lith. liūkti and leūkti 'to bend', cp. Leskien Arch. f. slav. Phil. V 507. 527).

§ 250. 2. Lith. miniù 'I think of', O.Bulg. mĭnja 'I think': Skr. mányatē 'thinks', Indg. pres. st. *mṇ-jé- from rt. men-. O.Bulg. žĭnja 'I cut off, reap': Skr. hanyátē 'is struck', Indg. pres. stem *ghṇ-jé- rt. ghen-. Here probably also belong part. perf. act. pĭnŭ (pres. pĭna 'I stretch out, hang') from *pĭnvŭ and tĭnĭhŭ 'thin' (compar. tĭnĕjĭ) fr. *tĭnr-ĭ-kŭ, like Skr. tanrī fr. *tṇ-ṇ-ī (§ 225).

§ 251. 3. - $\acute{\eta}ti$ in O.Bulg. jad- $\acute{\eta}ti$ 'they eat', - $\acute{\eta}t$ in O.Bulg. aor. bas- $\acute{\eta}$ from $bod \acute{\eta}$ 'I sting, stab', see § 226. It is possible on account of Skr. $d\acute{u}d$ -ati that the -ati in dad-ati 'they give' (dad- Lith. $d\mathring{u}d$ -) arose from -ati (with unaccented ati).

§ 252. 4. Lith. pinù 'I bend' O.Bulg. pĭna 'I stretch out,

¹⁾ Thus spoken in the dialect of Godlewa and probably also elsewhere. Kurschat (Gramm. p. 28) writes giñczas.

hang', Lith. minù 'I tread on' O.Bulg. mǐna 'comprimo', Lith. ginù 'I check, keep off', Russ. žnu 'I cut off, reap' (on the loss of the ĭ s. § 36), like Skr. vanāmi (§ 231). Part. pf. act. fem. Lith. mìnusi (minti 'to think of') O.Bulg. pīnuši (pṛti 'to stretch out'), cp. Skr. Ved. jajan-úr (beside jajn-úr) 3. pl. pf. act. from jan- 'beget', tatan-é (beside tatn-é) 1. sg. pf. mid. from tan- 'stretch, extend'.

Rem. The Zographos gospel has occasionally \bar{u} for \bar{i} before non-palatal vowels, e. g. $t\bar{u}ma$ 'darkness' (prim. f. * $t\eta m-\bar{a}$) beside $t\bar{i}m\bar{i}n\bar{u}$ 'dark'. Cp. § 36 rem.

2. Long sonant nasals.

§ 253. The question, first discussed by de Saussure, as to which sounds and sound-combinations have arisen in the Indg. languages from long nasalis sonans (Mémoire sur la système primitif des voyelles 1879 p. 239 ff.; cp. besides especially Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV p. IV and p. 280, Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 30. 55. 331. 367. 373 ff.), has not hitherto, in many respects, been satisfactorily solved, just as in the case of the Indg. long sonant liquids (§ 306). The probable results of the investigations hitherto made, are as follow: — In Ar. $\bar{a} = \bar{v}$, \bar{v} , corresponding to a = v, v. In Gr. between consonants \bar{a} (Ion. Att. v) = \bar{v} , \bar{v} , initially $v\bar{a}$ - (Ion. Att. v) - \bar{v} -. In Lat. $v\bar{a}$ and $v\bar{a}$ -. In Arm. initially $v\bar{a}$ - from \bar{v} -. Nothing in any degree certain has, as yet, been determined for the other languages. Examples:

2. pl. pret. Skr. ágāta Gr. Dor. ἔβāτε Ion. Att. ἔβητε, cpf. *έ gīŋté rt. gem- 'go'. The stem form *ḡŋ- forced its way into the sg. act. also, hence Skr. ágām ágās ágāt Gr. ἔβην ἕβης ἔβη beside Skr. ágamam ágan ágan.

Skr. jātá-s 'born, son' Av. zāta-, Skr. jāti-š f. 'birth', Lat. gnātu-s nātu-s, nātiō (cp. also Gall. Cintu-gnātu-s 'first-born' according to Thurneysen Keltorom. 2), from rt. ĝen- 'gignere'. Analogously Skr. sātá- from san- 'reach', vātá- from van- 'to like', ghā-ta-s 'killing; blow, a putting to death' ghā-ti- 'blow, a wounding' from han- 'strike, kill' etc.

Skr. $j\bar{a}n\bar{a}mi$ Trecognise, know', O.Pers. 3. sg. pret. $ad\bar{a}n\bar{a}t$ (= Skr. $dj\bar{a}n\bar{a}t$), prim. f. $*g\bar{v}-n\bar{a}-mi$, Lat. $gn\bar{a}ru$ -s, prim. f. $*g\bar{v}-r\dot{o}$ -s (formed like $d\bar{u}$ -ru-s), rt. gen- 'noscere'.

Skr. átā- f. 'rim, door-frame', Arm. -and in dr-and 'door-post, threshold', Lat. anta 'four-cornered door-pillar, pilaster' 1).

Skr. ātí-ṣ f. 'a water-fowl', Gr. νῆσσα 'duck' fr. *rāτ-ζα, (with these also Lat. anas anitēs, OHG. anut, Lith. ánti-s 'duck' we venture to make no assertion as to their phonetic-relations).

Skr. $y \tilde{a}tar$ - 'wife of the husband's brother'; that the \bar{a} arose from \bar{y} is probable on account of Gr. $\epsilon l \nu \acute{a}\tau \epsilon \varrho \epsilon g$, Lat. $janitr \bar{\iota} c \bar{\iota} s$, O.Bulg. jetry.

Further $\bar{a} = \bar{u}$ in the Skr. nouns $j\tilde{a}$ -s (loc. pl. $j\tilde{a}$ -su) 'born, creature, being' (here orig. also pra- $j\tilde{a}$ -'progenies', which, going out from forms like loc. pl. pra- $j\tilde{a}$ su, passed into the \bar{a} -declension), $v\bar{a}ja$ -s \hat{a} -s' 'lending power' (cp. $v\tilde{a}ja$ -sati- \hat{s} - \hat{s} -f. 'a reaching of power', Skr. san-'reach, attain' and 'distribute, administer'). With $\bar{a} = \bar{\psi}$ samana- $g\tilde{a}$ -s 'going to the place of assembly'. These nouns are on the same footing as $g\tilde{a}$ r 'commending; praise', $p\tilde{a}$ r 'citadel', s. §§ 306. 312.

Skr. Ved. $n\ddot{a}$ - $m\ddot{a}$ 'nomina' probably fr. *- $m\bar{y}$, hence $n\ddot{a}$ - $m\ddot{a}$: $n\ddot{a}$ - $ma = pur\ddot{u}$: $pur\dot{u}$.

Greek $r\dot{\alpha}$ - $r\eta$ - 'un-' in Dor. $r\dot{\alpha}$ - $\pi o r r o g$ Hom. $r\eta$ - $\pi \epsilon \varrho \delta \eta g$ etc., Umbr.-Samnit. an- 'un-' in Umbr. an-hostatu 'inhastatos' Osc. an-censto nom. sg. 'incensa' etc. fr. $*\bar{v}$ - (W. Schulze Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 606).

LIQUIDS.

A. LIQUIDS AS CONSONANTS.

Indg. primitive period.

§ 254. One of the most difficult questions of Indg. phonology is to determine how many liquids the Indg. prim. language possessed and what their nature was (cp. Sievers Phonetik³ p. 104ff.).

If the Av. ἄπαξ λεγόμενον αϊργά- should mean 'door-post' and be connected with Skr. ἄτα- (Zimmer Altind. Leben 154), its first syllable

That there were at least two liquids, is seen by the circumstance that the European languages and Armenian agree in presenting r in many cases and l in others. r e. g. in Arm. berem Gr. $q \neq \varrho \omega$ Lat. $fer\bar{\varrho}$ O.Ir. berim Goth. baira 'I bear' O.Bulg. bera 'I take'. l e. g. in Arm. li (gen. lioy) Gr. $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} - \varrho \eta_S$ Lat. $pl\bar{\varrho} - nu - s$ 'full' O.Ir. $l\bar{\iota} naim$ 'I fill', O.Icel. fleire 'more' flestr 'most', Lith. p l l na - s O.Bulg. $p l \bar{\iota} n \bar{\iota}$ 'full'.

A fixed law of representation has not yet been gained for the Skr. liquids.

The Skr. equivalents of Europ.-Arm. r are 1) mostly r. $bh\acute{a}r\bar{a}mi$: Gr. $\phi\acute{e}\rho\omega$. 2) l. $l\acute{u}\~ncati$ 'he picks, plucks, plucks out': Gr. $\delta \phi\acute{v}\sigma\sigma\omega$ 'I dig', Lat. $runc\bar{a}re$ 'to weed out', Lith. $runk\~u$ 'I become wrinkled' $ra\~uka-s$ 'wrinkle'. 3) r, later l. rup-lup 'tear, break': Lat. $rump\~o$, Ags. $re\acuteofan$ O.Icel. $rj\~ufa$ 'to break, tear in pieces'.

Corresponding to europ.-Arm. l we have 1) in most cases r. $pr\bar{a}t\acute{a}$ -s 'filled': Lat. $pl\bar{e}tus$ (according to O. Weise Bezzenb. Beitr. VI 115 Skr. r always corresponds to Gr. λ after \hat{k} -sounds, e. g. Skr. $\dot{s}r\acute{a}vas$: Gr. $\varkappa\lambda\acute{\epsilon}Fo\varsigma$). 2) l. $tul\acute{a}$ 'balance': Gr. $\tau\acute{a}\lambda\alpha\tau\tau\sigma\nu$ 'balance', Lat. $toll\ddot{o}$, O.Ir. tallaim 'I take away', Goth. pulan 'to endure, suffer'. 3) r, later l. rip- lip- 'smear': Gr. $\lambda\acute{l}\pi\sigma\varsigma$ n. 'fat', Lat. lippu-s 'blear-eyed', Goth. bi-leiban 'to remain' (cp. Gr. $\lambda\iota\pi\alpha\omicron\acute{\epsilon}\omega$ 'I persist in a thing'), Lith. $limp\grave{u}$ O.Bulg. pri-li(p)na 'I stick, glue'.

The laws for these differences still remain to be investigated. It does not seem to be a mere accident that Skr. l = Arm. Europ. l occurs much more frequently than Skr. l = Armen. Europ. r^{-1}).

must in that case be strong-grade. The interpretation of the passage in question is however doubtful.

^{1) &}quot;There is hardly a root containing an l which does not show also forms with r; words written with the one letter are found in other texts, or in other parts of the same texts, written with the other. In the later periods of the language they are more separated, and the l becomes decidedly more frequent, though always much rarer than the r (only as 1 to 7 or 8 or 10)". Whitney Sanskrit grammar § 53.

Old Iranian had only r as opposed to Arm.-Europ. r and l and Skr. r and l. O.Pers. l only in loan-words. It still remains to be investigated whether, and how far the l-sounds in the younger Iran, dialects are to be taken into account for fixing the development of liquids in pre-historic times.

With this state of things 1) it seems to be most suitable to put down the Indg. primitive forms after the standpoint of the Europ. languages and Armenian, thus e. g. *bhérō 'I bear', *plētó-s 'filled'.

Rem. W. Heymann 'Das *l* der idg. Sprachen gehört der idg. Grundsprache an', Weimar 1873, p. 1 ff., and D. Pezzi Glottologia aria recentissima, Torino 1877, p. 17 ff., give a summary of the older views, which have been put forth, regarding the previous history of the *r*- and *l*-sounds met with in the Indg. languages in historic times.

§ 255. Indg. r. Rt. reydh- 'to be red': Gr. ἐρνθρό-ς Lat. ruber (gen. rubrī) Umbr. rofu 'rubos' O.Ir. ruad Goth. rúuþs 'red', O.Icel. rođra f. 'blood', O.Bulg. rŭdrū Skr. rudhirá-s 'red'. Stem *dhuer- 'door, gate' (with gradation): Arm. durn gen. dran, Gr. Aread. θέρ-δα 'out' Att. θέρα, Lat. pl. forēs, O.Ir. dorus. Goth. daúr, Lith. pl. dùrys, O.Bulg. dvorŭ ('yard'), Skr. dvár- dúr-(d for dh § 480). Stem *qetuer- 'four' (with gradation): Arm. čor-k', Gr. Delph. rέτορες, Lat. quattuor, O.Ir. cethir, Goth. fidvōr, Lith. ketver' 'by fours' O.Bulg. četvero (distrib.), Skr. catrāras. Rt. μerŷ- 'effect': Arm. μονς Gr. βέργον ἔργον ΟΗG. werah were 'work', Av. var'εa- 'a working'. Rt. srey- 'flow': Gr. ὁεῦμα 'river', O.Ir. sruaim 'stream', OHG. stroum 'stream'. Lith. sravěti 'to flow tricklingly' O.Bulg. o-strovũ 'island', Skr. srávati 'flows'.

Nominal suffix -ter-, e. g. *mā-ter- *mā-tr- 'mother': ace. sg. Arm. mair, Gr. uŋrɛˈo̞a, Lat. mātrem. O.Ir. māthir n-, OHG. muoter, Lith. móteri ('wife') O.Bulg. mutere, Skr. mātúram:

¹⁾ Indg. 7 beside r could be held as definitely proved if Fortunatov's rule had a firmer basis viz.: that, where in Skr. a cerebral consonant appears as representative of an original liquid + dental, this liquid is the regular correspondent of Europ.-Arm. 7 (Bezzenberger's Beitr. VI 215 ff.). F's examples, to which a few others have been added by Windisch Kuhu's Ztschr. XXVII 168, are for the most part etymologically very uncertain, nor are unexplained exceptions wanting. Cp. § 259.

voc. Gr. $\mu\tilde{\eta}\tau\varepsilon\varrho$, Skr. $m\tilde{a}tar$. r as element of the ending of the 3. pl. mid.: Lat. sequentu-r O.Ir. sechiti-r 'they follow', Skr. $riric-r\acute{e}$ perf. from ric- 'to let loose, leave'.

r as consonantal glide after r, e. g. *grr-ú- 'heavy', see §§ 284. 287.

§ 256. Indg. l. Rt. leiq- 'leave': Armen. lk-ane-m Gr. λείπω Lat. linquō O.Ir. lēcim 'I leave', Goth. leihva 'I lend', Lith. lēhù 'I leave' O.Bulg. otŭ-lēhŭ 'remnant', Skr. rinākti 'lets loose, leaves'. Rt. gel- 'fall, drop': Gr. βολή 'a throw', OHG. quellan 'to spring, gush', Lith. gāla-s 'end', Skr. gála-ti 'trickles down'. Rt. μelq- 'drag, tear, draw': Gr. ελεω 'I drag, draw', ελεος 'wound, sore', Lith. velhù O.Bulg. vlēha 'I drag, draw'; to the same root Skr. rfka-s 'wolf'. Rt. klei- 'lean': Gr. κλίτω 'I lean, bow', Lat. clīvo-s 'hill', O.Ir. cloen 'slant, unjust, wicked', Goth. hláins 'hill', Lith. sɛlaīta-s 'mountain-slope', Skr. śráya-ti 'leans against, puts to'.

Nominal suffixes -lo- -lā- -lu-. Arm. dai-l da-l 'beestings', Gr. $\theta\eta$ - $\lambda\eta'$ 'teat, nipple' $\theta\tilde{\eta}$ - $\lambda\nu$ - ς 'nourishing, suckling, of female sex', Lat. $f\bar{e}$ -lāre 'to suck', O.Ir. de-l 'teat, dug', OHG ti-la 'a woman's breast', Lith. pirm-dēlē 'she who has given birth for the first time', cp. Skr. $dh\bar{a}$ -rū- $\tilde{\varsigma}$ 'sucking', Kurd. $d\bar{e}$ -l 'little woman'.

l as consonantal glide after l, e.g. root form ll-, s. §§ 284. 287.

Aryan.

§ 257. Indg. r. Skr. rādha-ti 'brings about, prepares, satisfies' Av. rāđaiti 'prepares, gives' O.Pers. avahya-rādīy 'on that account, therefore': Goth. ga-rēdan 'to provide' O.S. rādan 'to advise, help', O.Bulg. raditi 'to provide, take care of'. Skr. pári Av. pairi O.Pers. pariy 'about, over, against': Gr. πέφι, Lat. per- in permāgnus. Perf. Skr. da-dárŝ-a Av. dā-darŝ-s-a 'I saw': Arm. tes-ane-m 'I see' (§ 263), Gr. δέφεφμαι δέδοφεα, O.Ir. perf. ad-chon-darc 'I saw', Goth. ga-tarhjan 'to mark out', rt. derĥ-. Skr. pra- Av. O.Pers. fra- 'before': Gr. πφό, Lat. prōd prō, O.Ir. ro, Lith. pra- O.Bulg. pro-, Indg. *pro

'before'. Skr. ud-rá- Av. ud-ra- a water-animal : Gr. νδ-ρο-ς νδ-ρā 'water-serpent', O.Icel. otr OHG. ottur 'otter', Lith. úd-ra O.Bulg. vyd-ra 'otter'.

Skr. rikh- likh- 'scratch, tear', rēkhā- lēkhā- 'scratched streak, stroke, line': Gr. ἐρείχω 'I tear open, up', Lith. rēkiù 'I cut, plough'.

§ 258. Indg. l. Skr. rēcáya-ti Av. raccayeiti 'leaves, abandons': Arm. lk-ane-m Gr. λείπω etc., see § 256. Skr. śrάr-as- n. 'renown', Av. srav-ah- n. 'word, prayer', Skr. śru-tú-Av. srū-ta- 'heard, renowned': Arm. lu 'audible' (?). Gr. zλείσος zλέος n. 'renown' zλυτό-ς 'renowned', Lat. in-clutu-s. O.Ir. clū 'renown' cloth 'renowned', Goth. hliuma m. 'ear, hearing', OHG. Ludo-wīg 'Κλυτόμαχος', O.Bulg. slovo n. word'.

Skr. rōca-tē 'lights' rōká-s 'light' lōká-s a lighting, open space', Av. raocah- n. 'splendour' O.Pers. rancah- n. 'day': Arm. lois, gen. lusoy, 'a light, Gr. λεικό-ς 'white', Lat. lūκ lūκ-is, O.Ir. lōche 'lightning', Goth. liuhaþ 'light', Lith. laūka-s 'palish' O.Bulg. luča 'beam, ray', root forms leuq- and leuk- (ep. § 467). Skr. uda-prú-t- 'swimming in water' pláv-a-tē 'swims', Av. fra-fravaiti 'wavers to and fro': Arm. lua-na-m 'I wash', Gr. πλίω 'I sail, swim' πλυτό-ς 'washed', Lat. pluit, O.Ir. luath 'quick', OHG. flewen flouwan 'to rinse, wash', Lith. pláuti 'to rinse'.

Skr. lúbhya-ti 'feels a strong desire': Lat. lubet libet, Goth. liufs O.Bulg. ljubŭ 'dear'. Skr. nābhī-la-m 'private parts': Gr. όμφα-λό-ς 'navel, umbo', Lat. umbi-l-īcu-s, O.Ir. imb-l-iu 'navel', OHG. nubolo 'navel'.

§ 259. Skr. r was spoken cerebral (hence the change of prim. Ar. n to p in its vicinity, § 199), l dental.

r became h in absolute finality. Voc. mátah 'O mother': Gr. $\mu \tilde{\eta} r \epsilon \rho$. antáh 'in the inside': Lat. inter. Cp. § 647, 3.

From a liquid with a following dental explosive or nasal often arose a cerebral explosive, or a nasal. katú-š kútuka-s 'sharp, biting': Lith. kartù-s 'bitter'. yanú-s 'band, crowd': Gr. ἀγείρω ἀγορά. jathúra- 'belly, womb': Goth. kilþei womb'. ānί- 'the part of the leg just above the knee, axle peg': Gr. ἀλίνη ἀλλό-ν fr. *ώλ-ν-ο-ν, Lat ulnu. panu-s 'wager, stipulation,

promised reward: Lith. peł̃na-s 'profit'. In like manner probably láṣ-āmi 'I long, desire' fr. *la-ls-, cp. lā-las-a-s 'eager for, desirous', Lat. lascīvo-s. It is still unexplained from what point of view such forms, as opposed to others in which the liquid apparently under similar conditions remained, are to be judged. It is not improbable that intermixture of dialects has taken place. Cp. p. 209 foot-note and in addition to the literature quoted there Fröhde Bezzenberger's Beitr. III 130 ff.

Nasal from liquid through dissimilation. can-cūryatē fr. older Ved. car-cūryátē 'moves quickly', intens. fr. car-. can-cala-s 'moving to and fro', from cal-. pam-phulyatē, intens. to phálati 'springs assunder'. Cp. § 282.

r underwent transposition before certain consonant combinations, e. g. from $dar\dot{s}$ - 'see' fut. $drak\dot{s}y\dot{a}mi$ (cp. Gr. $\delta\dot{\epsilon}\varrho\dot{\varsigma}\varrho\mu\alpha\iota$) perf. 2. sg. $dadr\dot{a}\dot{s}tha$ inf. $dr\dot{a}\dot{s}tum$; from tarp- 'to satiate one's self' perf. 2. sg. $tatr\dot{a}ptha$ beside $tat\dot{a}rptha$; from sarp- 'crawl' fut. $srapsy\dot{a}mi$ beside $sarpsy\dot{a}mi$ aor. $\dot{a}sr\bar{a}psam$ beside $\dot{a}s\bar{a}rpsam$.

§ 260. Avestic. Before i- and u-vowels an anaptyctic i or u was prefixed to initial r-. irista- part. fr. raep- 'to be connected with'. "rusta- part. fr. raod- 'to grow forth'. An anaptyctic vowel arose from medial r before and after consonants, which, when r preceded, was generally represented by e. Perf. dādarēsa: Skr. dadárša, Gr. δέδορμα, from rt. derĥ- 'see'. e also after er = Indg. r, l, e. g. part. kereta-: Skr. krtá- 'made', prim. f. *qrto- (§ 288). Other vowels more seldom, e. g. pres. s"ru-nao-mi from sru-: Skr. śru- 'hear': siri-nao-mi (ni-sirinaomi 'I hand down') from sri-: Skr. śri- 'direct towards, direct to'. Further e was suffixed to final r, e. g. antare 'between': O.Pers. atar Skr. antár. Cp. §§ 624. 649, 4.

r became spirant before k, p, t, when the next preceding sonant had the chief accent. This pronunciation of the r was represented by hr before k, p, but hrt became \S . This law also obtained for $er = \operatorname{Skr} . r$ (§ 288). mahr-ka- m. 'death, destruction' fr. * $m\acute{a}r-ka$ - beside mar^aka - (with the same meaning) = $\operatorname{Skr} . mark\acute{a}$ - m. 'an injuring of the sun, a darkening'. vehrka-:

Skr. výka- 'wolf', Indg. *yĺqo-. kehrp- 'form, body': Skr. kýp- 'form, appearance'. mašya- (mašiya-): Skr. Ved. mártiya-O.Pers. martiya- 'mortal being, man'. a-meša-: Skr. a-mýta- 'immortal' beside mer'ta-: Skr. mytá-. Cp. Bartholomae Ar. Forsch. II 35 ff. and § 674.

Rem. Prim. Ar. final -rt, -rt always appear as $-r^c \mathcal{D}$, $-\epsilon r^c \mathcal{D}$, e. g. $ha-k\epsilon r^b \mathcal{D} = \operatorname{Skr.} sa-k\dot{\gamma}\dot{\tau}$ 'once'. The law, whereby -t became $-\mathcal{D}$ (§ 649, 6), was therefore older than the change of rt to ξ .

ur- was transposed with u-prefix, e. g. $rv\bar{u}ta$ - n. 'a determining': Gr. $f_{\rho\eta\tau\dot{\rho}-\varsigma}$ 'determined, settled'. See § 157.

§ 261. Old Persian. \vec{s} , whose exact pronunciation cannot be closely defined, appears for prim. Ar. tr = prim. Iran. pr. \hat{si} : Av. pri- Skr. tri- 'three'. $pu\hat{sa}$: Av. pupra- Skr. putra-'son'. Gen. $pi\hat{sa}$: Av. $pipr\bar{o}$ Gr. $\pi\alpha\tau\rho\delta\varsigma$ 'of a father'. See §§ 353. 473, 2.

Armenian.

§ 262. Indg. r. erek 'evening': Gr. ἔρεβος n. Goth. riqiz-a-n. Skr. rάjas- n. 'darkness', Indg. *regos. e-re-k 'three' (e-prothetic, § 263): Gr. τρεῖς, Lat. trēṣ, O.Ir. trī, Goth. preis, O.Bulg. trije, Skr. tráyas, Indg. *tréṇ-es 'three'. cer 'old man': Gr. γέροντ-Skr. járant- 'old man'. skesur, gen. skesri, 'mother-in-law': Gr. έκνρά Lat. socru-s Corn. hweger Goth. svaíhrō O.Bulg. svekry Skr. švašrū- 'mother-in-law'. tu-r 'gift': Gr. δῶ-ρο-ν, O.Bulg. da-rū. dustr, gen. dster, 'daughter': Gr. θυγάτηο.

Indg. l. liz-u-m 'I lick': Gr. λείχω Lat. lingō O.Ir. ligim 'I lick', Goth. bi-láigō 'I lick', Lith. lēžiù Skr. réhmi léhmi 'I lick', rt. leigh-. ail, gen. ailoy, 'alius': Gr. ἄλλο-ς Lat. aliu-s O.Ir. aile Goth. aljis 'other'.

§ 263. r became \dot{r} before n (occasionally also in other cases without any clear reason). $du\dot{r}n$, gen. dran, 'door', an extension of the Indg. st. *dhur- with the suffix -en-: Gr. Arcad. $\theta\dot{v}\varrho$ - δa 'out'. $\dot{f}e\dot{r}n$ -u-m 'I warm myself', beside $\dot{f}er$ 'warmth' $\dot{f}erm$ 'warm': Gr. $\theta\dot{e}\varrho o \in \theta\dot{e}\varrho u\dot{o}$ - ε , Lat. formu-s. Thus also when r goes back to Indg. r, e. g. $ga\dot{r}n$ 'lamb': Skr. $\dot{u}r$ - $a\dot{n}$ -a-s (ur- fr. *uur-, § 157), Gr. gen. $\dot{a}\varrho$ -v- $o\dot{\varepsilon}$, Indg. *ur+en- (§ 291).

λ appears in some words for Indg. r and l, without the special cause being known. $ast\lambda$, gen. $aste\lambda$, 'star, constellation': Gr. $d\sigma\tau\eta\varrho$ ǎστρο-v Lat. stella fr. * $ster-l\bar{a}$ Bret. sterenn Goth. $stairn\bar{o}$ Skr. star- 'star'. $e\lambda n$, gen. $e\lambda in$, 'hart': Gr. $\dot{\varepsilon}\lambda\lambda\dot{o}_{\varsigma}$ 'a young hart' fr. * $\dot{\varepsilon}\lambda$ -v-o- ς , $\ddot{\varepsilon}\lambda a\varphi o$ - ς 'hart' fr. *el- η -bho-s, Cymr. elain 'hind', O.Bulg. jel-en- \breve{i} 'hart'.

br, tr, $b\lambda$ were transposed to rb, rt, λb . surb, gen. srboy, 'clean': Skr. $\dot{s}ubh-r\dot{a}-s$ 'bright, pretty'. kirtn, gen. krtan, 'sweat': Gr. $i\delta\varrho\omega_S$. $e-\lambda bair$ 'brother' (e- prothetic, s. below): Lat. $fr\bar{a}ter$, Skr. $bhr\dot{a}tar$ -.

Initial liquid developed a prothetic vowel which appears as e, α :

Original initial liquid. *e-rek* 'evening': Skr. *rájas-. αλυēs* 'fox': Skr. *lōpāśa-*.

Secondary initial liquid. e-re-li 'three': Skr. tráy-as. e-λbair brother': Lat. frāter. α-λbeur 'a spring' fr. *bλeur, older *bλeu(a)r: Gr. φρέαρ 'a well' fr. *φρη-Γαρ.

Rem. o-prothesis perhaps in orc-a-m 'I break open' and olb-a-m 'I bewail'. See Hübschmann Armen. Stud. I 46. 47.

Indg. r was dropped in tes-une-m 'I see': Gr. $\delta \epsilon_{\varrho \varkappa \varrho \mu u}u$. The reason of its dropping out is unknown.

Greek.

§ 264. Indg. r. $\partial \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ 'I stretch out': Lat. $reg \bar{\varrho}$, O.Ir. imper. \bar{e} -rig 'erect thyself', Goth. uf-rakja 'I lift up'. $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \varrho \bar{\alpha}$ 'beyond, further' $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \varrho \acute{\alpha} \omega$ 'I pass through' $\pi \acute{\varrho} \varrho \circ \varsigma$ 'passage, ford, way': Arm. $he \acute{r}i$ 'far, distant', Lat. per-egre 'in a foreign land', O.Ir. ire 'ulterior', Goth. farjan 'to sail, drive', O.Bulg. $per \acute{q}$ 'I traverse', Skr. $p\acute{\alpha}ra$ -s 'more distant, farther'. $v'\pi \grave{\epsilon} \varrho v'\pi \acute{\epsilon} \varrho \varrho$ (fr. * $v'\pi \acute{\epsilon} \varrho \iota$, §§ 131. 645 final 2) 'over' $v'\pi \acute{\epsilon} \varrho o \circ \varsigma$ 'pestle': Lat. s-uper, Goth. ufar 'over' $ufar \bar{\varrho}$ 'thereon': Skr. $up\acute{\alpha}ri$ 'above'. $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \varrho \delta \varrho \iota \iota \iota$ 'I break wind': OHG. ferzan 'to break wind', Lith. $p\acute{e}rd \acute{\epsilon} i\iota \iota$ 'I break wind', Skr. $p\acute{\alpha}rd at\bar{e}$ 'breaks wind'. $v' \acute{\epsilon} \varrho \sigma \varrho \iota \iota \iota$ 'I become dry': Lat. $torre\bar{\varrho}$ fr. *tors- $e\bar{\iota}\bar{\varrho}$, Goth. ga- $p\acute{a}rsa$ 'I wither', Skr. $tar \acute{\epsilon} a$ -s 'thirst'.

τοέω (aor. Hom. τοέω-σαι) 'I flee, tremble' τοέμω 'I tremble': Lat. tremō, Lith. trimù 'I tremble (with cold)' O.Bulg. tr.sa 'I shake', Skr. trásāmi 'I tremble at, quake'. ἀζ-ρό-ς 'field': Lat. ager, Goth. akrs 'field'. Skr. άζτα-s 'a plain'. πῦο πἔο-ός 'fire': Arm. hur, gen. hroy, 'fire', Umbr. pure 'igne'. OHG. fūir fiur 'fire'. ἄ-πῦ-ρο-ς 'powerless, without authority' κῦ-ρος 'power': Skr. śắ-ra- Av. sū-ra- 'strong. sublime'.

§ 265. Indg. l. $\lambda \ell \chi o_{S}$ n. 'bed' $\ddot{a}-\lambda o_{\chi}o_{S}$ 'bed-mate' (\dot{a} - fr. \dot{a} -. § 564): Lat. lectu-s, O.Ir. lige 'bed', Goth. liga 'I lie', O.Bulg. sa- $log\breve{u}$ adj. 'consors tori'. $zo\lambda-\omega r$ - \dot{o} -s 'hill': Lat. colli-s fr. *col-n-i-s, ex- $cell\breve{v}$, Ags. hyll 'hill', Lith. $k\dot{u}l$ -n-a-s 'mountain' $kel\ddot{u}$ 'I raise'. $u\dot{\epsilon}'\lambda\dot{\delta}\omega$ 'I melt, make liquid': OHG. smelzan 'to melt. dissolve'. $z\lambda\dot{\epsilon}_{\pi}-\tau\omega$ 'I steal': Lat. $clep\~o$, Goth. hlifa 'I steal', Pruss. au-klipts 'concealed'. zav- $\lambda\dot{o}$ -s 'stalk': Lat. cau-li-s 'stalk' cau-lae 'holes, openings', Lith. $k\dot{u}u$ -la-s 'bone'.

§ 266. Affections of Gr. ϱ and λ (partly proceeding from Indg. r, l, § 292).

The one liquid was sometimes put in the place of the other by dissimilation, e. g. κεq αλ-αργία beside κεq αλ-αλγία 'head-ache', to ἄλγος, μορμολύττω 'I frighten' beside μόρ-μορο-ς 'fear', θηλητήρ (Hesych.) beside θηρητήρ 'hunter'; or one of the two liquids was dropped, e. g. φατρία beside φρατρία 'brotherhood, clan', δρύ-φωκτο-ς fr. δρύ-φωκτο-ς 'fence', ἔκ-παγλος 'frightful' fr. *ἐκ-πλαγ-λο-ς, to ἐκ-πλαγήνω; or the first liquid was changed to a nasal, e. g. γαγγαλίζω 'I tickle' fr. *γαλγαλιζω (beside γαργαλίζω). Cp. § 282. Probably also by dissimilation Cret. (Gortyn.) μαίτυρες fr. μάρτυρες 'witnesses'; palatal l was the intermediate stage between ρ and ι.

Initial ϱ , λ underwent vowel-prothesis. $\hat{\epsilon}$ - $\varrho v \theta \varrho \phi$ - ς 'red': Latruber, Skr. rudhirá-s. $\delta \varrho v' \sigma \sigma \omega$ 'I dig': Lat. runcō. $\hat{\epsilon}$ - $\lambda \alpha \varphi \varrho \phi$ - ς 'nimble': OHG. lungar. $\hat{\alpha}$ - $\lambda \hat{\iota} v \omega$ 'to spread over, besmear': Lat. li- $n\bar{\varrho}$, O.Ir. le-nim 'I cling to'. Cp. § 626. Ibid. also concerning medial anaptyxis.

The combinations sr- and yr- became ϕ - i. e. voiceless r, the former in prim. Gr., the latter in the course of the individual dialects, e. g. $\dot{\phi}\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ 'I flow' fr. Indg. *srey- $\bar{\phi}$, Skr. srávāmi, to which

also Coreyr. $\varrho hoFal$ Hom. ϱoai 'streams'; Att. $\varrho \eta \tau \varrho \bar{\alpha}$ 'a saying' = El. $F\varrho \alpha \bar{\tau} \varrho \bar{\alpha}$. Cp. §§ 164. 565.

Anteconsonantal λ was pronounced l in Cret. (Russ. 15), and as such passed into u, e. g. $\alpha'z\dot{\alpha}$: Ion. $\dot{\alpha}\lambda z\dot{\eta}$ 'strength', $\theta \epsilon \dot{\nu}\gamma \omega$: Hom. $\theta \dot{\epsilon}\lambda \gamma \omega$ 'I enchant, cheat' (ep. Lith. § 280).

λ before τ , θ became ν in certain Doric districts, e. g. $\varphi i \nu \tau \alpha \tau \sigma_S$ 'dearest', $\tilde{\eta} \nu \theta \sigma \nu$ 'I came'.

Italic.

§ 267. Indg. r. Lat. re-s, Umbr. re-per 'pro re': Skr. rá-s (instr. rāy-á) 'wealth'. Lat. rēx rēgis, Osc. regaturei dat. 'rectori', Marruc. regen[a dat. 'reginae': Gall. -rīx 'king' in Dumnorīx etc., O.Ir. rī gen. rīy, Skr. ráj-an- 'king'. Lat. ferō, Umbr. ferar 'feratur', Volsc. ferom 'ferre', Marruc. ferenter 'ferentur': Gr. φέρω, Skr. bhárāmi. Lat. vertō, Umbr. co-vertu 'convertito', Osc. Εερσορει 'Versori, Τροπαίω': Goth. vairpan 'to become', Lith. versti and vartiti 'to turn, direct to' O.Bulg. vratiti 'to turn, direct to', Skr. *vártatē* 'revolves, rolls'. Lat. serpō: Gr. $\xi \rho \pi \omega$, Skr. $s \acute{a} r p \bar{a} m i$, Indg. * $s \acute{e} r p \bar{o}$ 'I creep, crawl'. Lat. termō termen, Umbr. termnom-e 'ad terminum', Osc. teremnattens 'terminaverunt' : Gr. τέρμων 'boundary' τέρμα 'goal', Skr. tárman- n. 'point of the offering rod'. Lat. frīgus, Umbr. frehtef 'refrigerans, frigefaciens': Gr. ὁῖγος 'frost', prim. f. *srīgos (§ 570). Lat. caper capra, Umbr. cabriner gen. 'caprini': Gr. κάπρο-ς 'boar', O.Icel. hafr 'he-goat'. Lat. ācer ācris, Osc. a krid abl. 'acri': Gr. "az-oo-g 'point', Lith. asztrù-s O.Bulg. ostrŭ 'sharp', Skr. catur-aśra- 'four-cornered'.

§ 268. Indg. l. Lat. lūx lūcis, Osc. Luvkis 'Lucius': Gr. λευκό-ς 'white'. Lat. luō re-luō so-lūto-s: Gr. λέω 'I loose', O.Icel. lyja 'to crush, shatter', Goth. láus 'loose', Skr. lu-ná-mi 'I cut, cut down, annihilate'. Lat. gelu, Osc. γελαν 'pruinam': O.Icel. kala 'to freeze' Goth. kalds 'cold', O.Bulg. žlědica 'snow-rain'. Lat. sōl: Gr. η'έλιο-ς ήλιο-ς Mid. Cymr. heul Corn. houl Goth. sauil O.Icel. sōl Lith. sáulė, Skr. Ved. suvar (gen. sūr-as) Av. hvar' 'sun'. Lat. flōs flōris, Osc. Fluusaí dat. 'Florae', Sabin. Flusare abl. 'Florali': O.Ir. blāth 'blossom' Cymr. blodau

'flos', Goth. blōma m. 'flower' OHG. bluot 'blossom'. Lat. clūni-s: Cymr. clun 'hip', O.Icel. hlaun 'buttock', Lith. szlaunì-s 'hip, upper part of the thigh', Skr. śróni-ś Av. sraoni-š 'buttock, hip' '). Lat. āla fr. *acslā (cp. §§ 503. 570): OHG. ahsla Ags. eaxl O.Icel ocl 'axle', prim. Germ. *azslō.

§ 269. Latin. Affections of prim. Lat. r, l (partly arising from Indg. r, l § 295).

The one liquid was often put in the place of the other by dissimilation: r for l, e. g. in caeruleu-s 'azure' fr. caelu-m, suffix -cro- in lucru-m simulācru-m lavācru-m etc. from -clo- (saeclu-m), which arose from *-llo- (§ 367), suffix -āri- from -āli- in exemplāri-s mīlitāri-s lūnāri-s etc. (ep. aequāli-s nāvāli-s etc.); l for r, e. g. in vulgar Latin pelegrīnu-s (Italian pellegrīnu) fr. per-egrīnu-s. r was dropped by dissimilation in prae-stīgiae beside prae-strīgiae, frāgāre beside frāgrāre etc. For a like reason nasal from liquid in cancer cancrī fr. *carcro-: Gr. *\timesaoziro-s' Skr. \text{karkata-s'} crab'. Cp. § 282.

r disappeared before s + consonant. $com-pesc\bar{v}$ 'I constrain' fr. *-per(c)- $sc\bar{v}$ *par(c)- $sc\bar{v}$, to Skr. parc- 'to put in connexion'. $posc\bar{v}$ fr. *por(c)- $sc\bar{v}$: Skr. $prch\acute{a}mi$ 'I ask', Indg. *prk- $sk\acute{v}$ from rt. prek-. tostu-s fr. *tors-tu-s, to $torre\bar{v}$. $M\bar{a}spiter$ beside older $M\bar{a}rspiter$. Tuscu-s beside Umbr. Tursco-Tusco-.

ll fr. rl. stella from *ster-lā: cp. Gr. ἀστή ρ Bret. sterenn Goth. stairnō 'star'. agellu-s fr. *uger-lo-s, older *agy-lo-s (§ 633). paullu-s fr. *paur-lo-s: Gr. πατ ρ ο-ς.

ss, s from rs (from rss) in prossum prosa quossum sūsum etc. $= pr\bar{o}rsum$ etc. It is uncertain whether the preceding long vowel was here a co-operating factor. This is not proved by vorsus morsus etc., since r may here have been restored by levelling (ep. $vort\bar{o}$ $morde\bar{o}$).

Gr. κλόνις 'coccyx' will also belong to this category, notwithstanding its problematical vocalism. Cp. the author Zum heut. Stand der Sprachwiss. 70 f.

ri, ro became er through the intermediate stage r in certu-s = Gr. $z_0ur\delta$ - ς , a_0er = Gr. $a_1r_0\delta$ - ς etc. See §§ 33. 623 rem. 1. 633.

Just as medius arose from *medio-s, and mortuo-s from *mortuo-s (§§ 135. 170), so also postconsonantal l became sonantal, and thus arose -ol-, -ul-, -il-. This process seems first to have been effectuated in the archaic period.

pō-culu-m, older pō-colo-m, sae-culu-m, piā-culu-m (Umbr. piha-klu 'piaculorum') etc. beside which also pō-clu-m, sae-clu-m etc. Analogously -bolo-, -bulo-, -bili- fr. -blo- (Gr. -θλο-), -bli-, as tabola tabula fr. *tablā (Umbr. tafle e 'in tabula'). angulu-s (Umbr. anglom-e 'in angulum') fr. *anclo-s (§ 499), to ancu-s uncu-s and OHG. angul. populus populu-s (Umbr. poplom 'populum'). nōmen culātor fr. nōmen-clātor. Concerning coculu-m and torculu-s ep. also § 431 c.

Rem. A similar origin of er from r seems to me very doubtful in pl. $gener\bar{\iota}$ (cp. Gr. $\gamma \alpha \mu \beta \rho o i$), umeru-s (cp. Umbr. onse in umero' Goth. ams shoulder), numeru-s (cp. Osc. Niumsieis 'Numerii'). See § 568, 3.

§ 270. Umbrian. r often remains unwritten before s, e. g. fasiu fasio beside farsio 'farrea', pesnimu beside persnimu 'precamino'. In like manner the orthography fluctuates with final r, e. g. emantu beside emantur 'emantur', -pe beside -per 'per, pro'. In these cases r must have experienced a weakening.

§ 271. Oscan. r and l became sonantal before and after consonants, and then arose an anaptyctic vowel. This took the quality of the preceding vowel when the liquid came first in the consonant combination, and that of the following vowel when it did not come first. 1) aragetud 'argento', amiricatud 'inmercato, non mercato', Maueosuse 'Mamercius', teremniss 'terminibus', Alafaternum 'Alfaternorum' to Lat. albu-s. 2) sakarater 'sacratur' beside oaxooo 'sacrum' or 'sacra', pútúrúspíd nom. 'utrique' beside pútereí-píd loc. 'in utroque', Vestirikiíúí dat. 'Vestricio', zicolom 'diem' beside loc. zikel[ei] abl. ziculud. Beside these however without anaptyxis altrei dat. 'alteri', alttram 'alteram', ehtrad 'extra' etc., see § 627.

Cp. also Pelign. Alafis 'Alfius', Salaratur 'Salvator', pristafalacirix (Lat. *prae-stabulātrīx) 'antistita', sacaracirix (Lat. *sacrātrīx) 'sacrificans' (-cr- fr. -tr-, § 367).

Old Irish.

§ 272. Indg. r. roth 'wheel'. Gall. petor-ritum 'four-wheeled chariot': Lat. rota, OHG. rad n. 'wheel'. Lith. rātu-s 'wheel'. Skr. ráthu-s 'vehicle, war-chariot'. daur (gen. daro) Cymr. derwen 'oak' (to which O.Ir. drnid Gall. Druidue 'druids'?): Gr. δόρν 'wood, shaft, spear' δρῦ-ς 'tree, oak', Goth. trin 'tree', Lith. darvà 'resinous wood' O.Bulg. drēvo 'wood, tree', Skr. dáru drá-Av. dāuru n. 'wood'. orc 'pig': Gr. πόρχο-ς Lat. porcu-s OHG. farh farah Lith. pañsza-s O.Bulg. prase, prim. f. *porko-s 'pig, sucking-pig'. brai Mid. Ir. 'eye-brows': Gr. δηρῦ-ς OHG. brāwa O.Bulg. brāv-ĭ Skr. bhrā-š 'eye-brow'. fe-r 'man': Lat. vir Goth. vaír Lith. výra-s Skr. vīrá-s, Indg. *yi-ró-s and *yī-ró-s 'man'. Comparative suffix Indg. -tero-: air-ther 'East' (to ar 'before, ante') lērithir 'more diligent'. Gr. πό-τερο-ς 'uter' δμά-τερο-ς 'rawer', Lat. i-teru-m, Skr. ka-tará-s 'uter'. e-ter e-tir 'between': Lat. in-ter, Skr. an-tár.

§ 273. Indg. l. lōthur Mid. Bret. louazr 'alveus, 'canalis' Gall. lautro 'balneo': Arm. log-ana-m 'I bathe myself', Gr. λονω 'I wash' λοντρό-ν 'bath', Lat. lavō lautu-s. gel 'white': Lat. helus (holus, olus), helvo-s, OHG. gelo (infl. gelawēr) 'yellow', Lith. želù 'I grow green' O.Bulg. zelije n. 'greens, vegetables' zelenŭ 'green', Skr. hári-š Av. zairi-š 'yellowish'. salann Cymr. halan 'salt': Arm. aλ (gen. aλi) Gr. äλς Lat. sāl salis Goth. salt O.Bulg. solĭ 'salt'. melg 'milk': Gr. ἀμέλγω 'I milk', OHG. melchan 'to milk', Goth. miluks 'milk' (§ 628), Lith. mélžu 'I milk', O.Bulg. mlěsti 'to milk'. lār Cymr. llaur 'pavement, floor': Ags. flōr 'house-floor' MHG. vluor 'corn-field, ground, soil'.

§ 274. A transposition of vowel + liquid is assumed in flaith 'dominion' (Goth. valdan 'to rule'), frass 'shower of rain' fr. *urastā (Skr. varšá-s 'rain') and in many other words (Zeuss-Ebel G. C. 168, J. Schmidt Voc. II 370 ff., Windisch Ir. Gramm. § 79). It is unknown to us within what limits this sound-

process is to be acknowledged and by what it has been occasioned.

Rem. A great number of the examples brought forward are to be separated viz. all those cases in which ri re, li le depend upon Indg. r, li (§ 298), and those in which the liquid with the accompanying vowel was the continuation of r, li specially developed in Ir. through $sampras\bar{a}rana$, as du-fu-tharcair 'voluit' fr. *du-fu-throwir from -thracair (§ 634).

Germanic.

§ 275. Indg. r. Goth. rapjo 'account', OHG. redia reda 'account, a talking over, speech': Lat. ratiō. OHG. riozan Ags. reótan 'to complain, weep', OHG. rōz 'a weeping, complaining': Lat. rudō 'I bray', Lith. raudà 'plaint' O.Bulg. rydają 'plaint', Skr. rudámi 'I lament, weep'. Goth. ga-tairan 'to destroy, demolish', OHG. fir-zeran Ags. teran 'to loosen, destroy': Gr. δέρω 'I skin, flay', Lett. nů-daras f. pl. 'chips of bark' O.Bulg. dera 'I skin', Skr. perf. dadára 'he burst'. Goth. ga-dars OHG. gi-tar perf. 'I dare, venture': Gr. Aeol. θέρσος 'courage', Θερσίτης Θέρσιππος (θέρσος : θάρσος = πένθος : πάθος), Skrdhárṣāmi 'I dare, venture'. Goth. fraihnan Ags. friznan O.Icel. fregna 'to ask': Lat. precor procu-s, Lith. praszýti O.Bulg. prositi 'to demand, ask for', Skr. praš-ná-s 'a questioning'. Goth. tag-r n. OHG. zahar m. 'tear': Gr. δάχ-ρν Lat. dacruma lacrima O.Bret. dacr O.Ir. der 'tear'. Nominal suffix Indg. -tro-: Goth. maúr-þr Ags. mor-þor n. 'murder', OHG. ruo-dar n. 'oar': ep. Arm. araur, gen. arauroy, 'plough' (-ur- = -tr-, § 360), Gr. açoτρο-ν 'plough', Lat. claus-tru-m 'inclosure, bolt', Skr. śró-tra-m 'ear'.

§ 276. l. Goth. láu-n OHG. lōn O.Icel. laun 'pay, reward': Gr. ἀπο-λαύω 'I enjoy' λη/ς 'booty', Lat. lucru-m, Laverna 'goddess of thieves', O.Ir. lō-g luag luach n. 'pay, reward' (?), O.Bulg. lov-ŭ 'hunt, capture'. Goth. lisan OHG. lesan O.Icel. lesa 'gather, collect': Lith. lèsti 'to pick up with the beak'. Goth. viljáu opt. 'velim' pl. vileima 'velimus', inf. viljan OHG. wellan, OHG. wala f. O.Icel. val n. 'choice': Lat. velim velle, Lith. vēlyti 'to wish, grant, advise' O.Bulg. voliti 'to be willing', Skr. va-vára perf. 'chose himself, preferred' vára-s 'a wish'. Goth. valdan OHG. waltan 'to rule,

govern': Lat. valeō, O.Ir. flaith f. 'dominion'. Goth. hlutrs (ū?) OHG. hlūtar 'clear, pure': Gr. κλύζω 'I wash out, clean' fr. *κλυδ-ιω, κλύδων 'a wave'. OHG. slingan 'to entwine, wind, to creep like a serpent', O.Icel. slyngra slyngja 'to sling': Lith. slenkù 'I crawl' slankù 'creeper', O.Bulg. sląkŭ 'crooked', rt. slenq-. OHG. nebul 'fog', O.Icel. nifl- in compounds 'darkness': Gr. νεφέλη, Lat. nebula, O.Ir. nēl.

§ 277. West Germanic.

Examples of dissimilation. In OHG. l from r, e. g. in murmulon murmulunga beside murmuron 'to murmur' murmurunga 'a murmuring': Lat. murmurāre 'to murmur, grumble', Gr. μυρμύρειν μορμύρειν 'to murmur, roar, ripple'; in the loanwords turtul-tūba fr. Lat. turtur, martela beside martera martru 'torture, rack' martolon beside martoron martron 'to torture, rack' fr. Greek-Lat. martyr martyrium. Cp. § 282. Dropping of r, l. OHG, criskimmön beside criscrimmön cristcrimmön 'to gnash the teeth with rage', cp. OS. gristgrimmo 'a gnashing of teeth'. It is not so certain that OHG. bior Ags. beór O.Icel. björr 'beer' are related to OHG. briuwan 'to brew', Greek-Thrac. βρῦτο-ν a kind of beer, Lat. de-fratu-m; in case they do, the dropping of the root r must be ascribed to the prim. Germ. period (*b(r)ey-ra-). Many bring Goth, fugls OHG, fogal 'bird' together with OHG. fliogan 'to fly'; the dissimilatoric disappearance of the *l* would also here be prim. Germ. (* $f(l)u_{\overline{3}}$ - $l\alpha$ -).

In the final syllable of nouns with r- and l- suffixes, when r and l followed a spirant or explosive, through the disappearance of the short vowel (§ 635) after the liquid, there arose in West Germ. r and l out of which a new vowel was developed, in OHG. OS. a, Ags. e, o. Nom. acc. OHG. acchar OS. accar Ags. accar Goth. nom. akrs acc. akr, Gr. accar OHG. acchar OS. acchar OHG. acchar OS. acchar OHG. acchar OS. acchar OHG. acchar OS. acchar OHG. acchar OHG. acchar OS. acchar OHG. acchar OS. acchar OHG. acchar OHG

¹⁾ The contrast between vair stiur fr. *vairz *stiurz and akrs speaks for akrs (§ 660, 6). But monosyllabic akrs may then have arisen from dissyllabic akrs.

OHG. forced its way from the final into the medial syllable of these nouns and their derivatives, at first after short syllables, and then later after long also, e. g. acchares 'of a field', fugaton 'to catch birds' (cp. Sievers in Paul-Braune's Beitr. V 90 ff.). Here must further be mentioned the development of a vowel between liquids and h which spreads throughout the whole extent of OHG., and in which OS. also to a certain extent shared, e. g. OHG. OS. ferah 'soul, life': Goth. fairhvu-s 'world'; OHG. OS. bi-felahan 'to hide, bury': Goth. filhan. Anaptyxis is also found between r and guttural and labial consonants especially in Oberdeutsch (Upper German), e. g. purag purue beside burg 'citadel, city': Goth. baurgs; peragan beside bergan 'to conceal': Goth. bairgan; arapeit beside arbeit 'work, toil': Goth. arbáips; aram beside arm 'arm': Goth. arms. The vowels thus developed frequently become assimilated to some vowel in their vicinity, e. g. hungirita 'hungered' to hungar 'hunger'; fingirīn 'finger-ring' to fingar 'finger'; wuntoron beside wuntaron 'to wonder at'; puruc beside purag 'city'; piricha = pircha bircha 'birch-tree' : Lith. bérža-s.

Rem. 1. In these cases it is partly a question of a prim. Germ. r and l, which depended upon Indg. g, l, c. g. the orig. f. of OHG. burg Goth. bairgs must be put down as *bhygh-, s. § 299.

Rem. 2. Cp. the anaptyxis with w § 180, and nasals § 215.

l became geminated in West Germ. before i, e. g. OHG. willio willo OS. willio Ags. willa 'wish, desire : Goth. vilja, rt. yel-. See § 143 p. 129. Cp. the similar gemination of nasals § 216 and spirants and explosives (§§ 529. 531. 535. 540).

Baltic-Slavonic.

§ 278. Indg. r. Lith. rúgiu rángēju O.Bulg. rygaja 'I have eructation, belch': ἐρεύγομαι 'I disgorge', Lat. \bar{v} -rūgō 'I vomit forth', OHG. it-ruchen 'to chew over' Ags. roccettan 'to spit out'. Lith. pūrai pl. 'winter-wheat', O.Bulg. pyro n. 'spelt': Gr. $\pi\bar{v}\rho\delta$ - ς 'wheat'. Lith. kertù 'I hew', O.Bulg. črěsti 'to cut': Skr. kártana-m 'a cutting'. Lith. barzdù O.Bulg. brada 'beard':

Lat. barba OHG. bart 'beard'. Lith. kraŭja-s 'blood' krùvina-s 'bloody', O.Bulg. krŭv-ĭ 'blood' krŭvinŭ 'bloody' : Gr. zośa; 'flesh', Lat. 'ruor. O.Ir. erā 'blood'. OHG. rō (infl. rawēr) O.Ieel. hrār 'raw, uneooked', Gr. kravya-m kravíṣ- 'raw meat'. Lith. bēbru-s and bēbru-s O.Bulg. bebrŭ 'beaver' : Lat. jiber O.Corn. befer (to which Gall. Bibr-ax) OHG. bibar 'beaver', Skr. babhrú-ṣ adj. 'brown', as subst. a kind of great ichneumon, Indg. *bhe-blevu-s.

§ 279. Indg. l. Lith. lóju 'I bark', O.Bulg. laja 'I bark, seold': Gr. λῆ-ρο-ς 'idle talk', Lat. la-trāre 'to bark', Goth. lai-lōun 'they reviled' Skr. rāya-ti 'barks, barks at'. Lith. pelē 'mouse' pālsca-s 'faded', O.Bulg. plavī 'whitish' pelešī 'gray': Gr. πιλιό-ς πελιδνό-ς πελιδο-ς 'colourless, gray, pale', πολιό-ς 'gray. hoary', OHG. falo (infl. falaurēr) 'pale, faded', Skr. palitá-s 'gray'. Pruss. dalpta-n a smith's tool for drilling holes, O.Bulg. dlato n. 'chisel': OHG. delban Ags. delfan 'to dig'. Lith. blendiid-s 'I darken myself' (of the sun) prý-blinde 'evening twilight', O.Bulg. blęda 'I err': Goth. blinds 'blind' blandan sik 'to intermingle'. Lith. mig-là and myg-là (also myglà and myglē') O.Bulg. mīg-la 'fog': Gr. Hom. δμίχ-λη (Skr. mihirá- 'cloud', s. § 287).

§ 280. Lithuanian.

The difference between l (hard) and l (soft) is sharper than elsewhere in the south-eastern districts boarding on those where Slav. is spoken; in some parts of the former territory, e. g. in Godlewa (Govern. Suwalki), anteconsonantal al sounds almost like the diphthong au, e. g. in $m\'{a}lku$ 'fire-wood', cp. Cret. $a\'{v}z\'{a} = a\~{l}z\'{a} \lessapprox 266$). Following palatal vowels then also occasion a softened pronunciation of the liquid, when they are separated from it by one or more consonants (the separating consonants are also thus softened): hence e. g. nom. sg. $t\'{l}ltu$ -s ('bridge'), but loc. $t\'{l}lte$.

Rem. The East Lith. dialect of Szyrwid († 1631) not only shows I before a, o, u, but also before c and c, e. g. slepin I conceal, saule 'sun'. Garbe, Lit. u. lett. Drucke des 16. u. 17. Jahrh. IV p. XXXIV sqq.

¹⁾ Cp. also Polab. râuk 'wolf', râuno 'wool' = Slov. rolk, rolna; French haut = Lat. altus; Du. woud = Mod.HG. wald, Swiss-Alem. (Bero-

Dissimilation. $katru\tilde{t}$ 'in what direction? whither?' fr. * $katru\tilde{r}$, cp. $ku\tilde{r}$, $kitu\tilde{r}$. The ending of the so-called verba punctiva -eriu (1. sg. pres.) became in East Lith. (also in Lett.) -eliu when preceded by r, e. g. $b\acute{a}rkszteliu$ fr. $b\acute{a}rkszteriu$ 'I knock a little' (then by analogy also stikteliu 'I beat a little' for stikteriu etc.). purpulinis 'purple' from and beside purpurinis. Analogously Lett. $skr\~odelis$ 'tailor' fr. $skr\~odelis$. Cp. § 282.

§ 281. Slavonic.

-tel- $\tilde{\imath}$, the suffix of nomina agentis, opposed to -ter- -tor- etc. of other languages (e. g. da-tel- $\tilde{\imath}$ 'giver': Gr. voc. $\sigma\tilde{\omega}$ - $\tau\varepsilon\varrho$ nom. $\delta\mu\tilde{\eta}$ - $\tau\varepsilon\iota\varrho\alpha$ acc. $\delta\omega$ - $\tau\varepsilon\varrho$ - α , Lat. da-tor, Skr. $d\bar{a}$ -tar-) seems to have come by its l through dissimilation, and to have arisen regularly in forms like $\tilde{z}r\tilde{\imath}$ -tel $\tilde{\imath}$ 'sacrificer' ora-tel $\tilde{\imath}$ 'arator' oritel $\tilde{\imath}$ 'destroyer'. Cp. § 282.

Rem. 1. It seems to me improbable that the Lith, fem. -klé belongs directly here, i. e. to feminines like Gr. $\psi \dot{\alpha} \lambda - \tau \varrho \iota \alpha$ Lat. vic- $tr\bar{\iota}$ -x Skr. $d\bar{\alpha}$ - $tr\bar{\iota}$ (J. Schmidt Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV 29). I connect it with -kla- = Lat. -clofrom *-tlo-.

Prim. Slav. interconsonantal -or- -ol- and -er- -el- appear in O.Bulg. as -ra- -la- and -rĕ- -lĕ-. prasę 'sucking-pig': Lith. parsza-s, Lat. porcu-s. brada 'beard': Lith. barzdà, Lat. barba, OHG. bart. vlakŭ 'draught' in oblakŭ 'cloud' from *obvlakŭ (properly 'a veiling cover'): Lith. úĕ-valka-s 'a cover', Gr. δλχό-ς. brĕza 'birch-tree': Lith. bérža-s, OHG. pircha. vlĕka 'I draw': Lith. velkù, Gr. Ελχω. Corresponding to this mode of treatment, initially, e. g. ralo 'plough' fr. *or-dlo (-dlo- = Gr. -θλο-): ep. Lith. ár-kla-s 'plough' (-kla- = Lat. -clo- from *-tlo-); ra-tajĭ 'husbandman': Lith. ar-tóji-s; but alkati beside lakati 'to hunger': Lith. álkti 'to hunger'.

Rem. 2. In the treatment of o, e + liquid between consonants the Slav. languages took various directions: O.Bulg. prase Serv. prase Czech prase — Polish prosie Upper Sorab. proso Lower Sorab. prose — Russ porosiá. Perfect agreement only exists in a few words initially, e. g. O.Bulg. ralo = Serv. Slov. Russ. ralo Czech rádlo Pol. Upper Sorab. Low, Sorab. radlo. The investigations hitherto made concerning these phenomena

Münster; s. Brandstetter Die Zischl. der Mundart von B.-M. 1883 p. 12) fergaustere = vergalstern.

(cp. especially J. Schmidt Vocal. II 66 ff., Leskien Declin p. XVI ff., Arch. f. slav. Phil. III 86 ff.) have not yet led to a solution of the question, what the change was, which the pre-Slavonic forms first underwent in prim. Slav. and what formed the last previous stage for all the individual dialectical formations. For the position between consonants it seems to me most probable that or ol, or il first of all became pro flo, pre fle, e. g. *porse became *prose. It is highly probable that the initial differences, which cannot be here brought forward in detail, represent sentence-doublets.

Rem. 3. The abandoning of the forms *porsy *rolk\tilde{u}\$ is connected with a characteristic of the whole prim. Slav. history of sounds, which may here be disposed of 1). The Slav sought to restore everywhere an open syllable (ending in a sonant). Compare besides the sound-change here in question, which in the first instance is supported by the change of 'z\tilde{v}no to 'zr\tino etc. (\{\xi} 302), the development of nasal vowels from vow. + nas. before consonants, e. g. \(sv_t\tilde{u}\) 'holy' fr. *svent\tilde{u}, \{\xi} 219; the simplifying of consonant groups, as e. g. \(uv_t\tilde{u}\) 'nephew' fr. \(uv_nvpt(\tilde{t}\tilde{t}, \xi\tilde{u}\tilde{u}\tilde{u}\) 'stephos, \{\xi} 665, 4. A similar phenomenon exists in French. The tendency prevails also here to make all syllables open, the reason of which is here due to the weak expiratory accentuation (Ph. Kaufmann Die Gesch, des consonantischen Auslauts im Franz., Lahr 1886). Nothing prevents our accepting a like reason for Slavonic.

Changes of liquids in the prim. Indg. period.

§ 282. The beginning of the processes of dissimilation, referred to in §§ 259, 266, 269, 277, 280, 281, probably goes back to the prim. Indg. period.

Already at this period e. g. an original ger-ger- (or with other vowel-grade, e. g. ger-gr-) 'to gargle. swallow, gulp down' may have become ger-gel- by dissimilation or an orig. gel-gel- (gel-gl-) have become ger-gel- (ep. Lat. gurguliō, OHG. querechela 'gullet, windpipe', Lith. gargulà'ju 'I gargle, rattle in the throat'; the latter may of course be a loan-word from German, Mod.HG. gurgel is borrowed from Lat. 2). A satis-

¹⁾ My attention was drawn to it many years ago by Rob. Scholvin, but I do not know whether it has been observed elsewhere.

²⁾ Forms like Gr. γέργερος: βρόγχος (Hesych.), γαογερεών 'uvula' do not stand in the way of this theory. For firstly dissimilation need not have been extended to all the derivatives of reduplicated roots, and secondly, the original equality may have been restored again partly by assimilation and partly by form-association.

factory explanation is thus arrived at for a number of roots ending in a liquid, and often having full reduplication, which also show now r, now l unreduplicated finally (cp. e. g. Lat. $vor\bar{a}re$ and gula). It would be worth while to investigate more fully whether the nominal suffixes -tro- and -tlo-, beside -dhro- and -dhlo- are due to dissimilation.

In like manner the substitution of a nasal for a liquid by dissimilation might have had its beginning in the prim. Indg. period, cp. e. g. Skr. bam-bhara-s 'bee', Gr. πεμ-φοηδών a kind of wasp, cp. the author Curtius' Stud. VII 329. 357 f.

B. LIQUIDS AS SONANTS.1)

§ 283. The question, how many different, and what liquids were spoken in the Indg. prim. period (§ 254), equally concerns both sonant and consonant liquids. As sonants we represent them by l and r, and as consonants by l and r.

Sonantal liquids like syllabic nasals were spoken both long and short in the Indg. prim. period (§ 222), but mostly short, and from a morphological point of view they corresponded, when long, just as long sonantal nasals, to $\bar{\imath}$ and $\bar{\imath}$, see § 312.

1. Short sonant liquids.

- § 284. Owing to the treatment in the developments of the individual languages we distinguish three cases.
- Before explosives, spirants, nasals, μ and finally,
 e. g. *my-tό-s part fr. root mer- 'die', Skr. my-tά-s; *oudhy nom. acc. neut. 'udder', Gr. οὖθωφ.
- 2. Before *i*, e. g. **mṛ-ié-* pres. st. from root *mer-* 'die', Lat. *mor-ior*.
- 3. Before sonants in which case r and l were spoken as glides, e. g. *qqr-il-s 'heavy', Skr. guril-s. Cp. § 223, 4.

¹⁾ Cp. the literature quoted in the foot note to p. 190. To the proofs that sonant liquids were spoken in the Indg. prim. period, we add § 285 rem. a new, and as it seems to us, indisputable one.

The following is a survey of the usual representation of r in the individual languages arranged from these three points of view. (The treatment of l is analogous).

	Skr.	Av.	Arm.	Greek.	Ital.	Kelt.	Germ.	Dalt.	Slav.
1.		€1*	ar	ea, ae (initially and absolutely finally only ae)	or		•		
2.	ur, ir	ϵr	arz	ue ,	or	?	11 † *	i.	<i>îr</i> ·
3.	ur, ir	ar	ar.	αų 🐐	or	a_i	di^*	ir	ĭr

Prim. Indg. period.

 \S 285. 1. Before explosives, spirants. nasals. y and finally.

*mr-ti-s 'dying, death' from rt. mer-: Lat. mors mortis, Lith. mirti-s O.Bulg. sŭ-mriti. Skr. mrti-š; *mr-tó- part. from the same root (neut. as abstract noun): OHG. mord Ags. O.Icel. mord n. 'murder', Skr. mytá-s 'dead' mytá-m 'death'. 'bhy-ti-s 'a bearing, bringing': Lat. fors forte, O.Ir. brith 'a bearing', Goth. ga-baúrps OHG. gi-burt 'birth', Skr. bhrti-s 'a bearing, nursing, maintenance'. Tense stem * $dr\hat{k}$ - \acute{r} - from rt. $der\hat{k}$ - 'see': Gr. Edoaze doazór, Skr. údrša-t dršá-nt-. *urt+tó- part. from root yert- 'turn, direct to': Lat. vorsu-s. O.Bulg. vrista f. 'state, eondition', Skr. vrttá-s part., vrttá-m subst. 'state, situation'. *qetyr-to-s 'fourth', to *qetyer- 'four' : Gr. τέτρατο-ς τέταρτο-ς. Lith. ketvirta-s O.Bulg, četvritu. "pp-tr- "bhrā-tr- anteconsonantal weak forms of the stems *pstér- 'father' *bhrá-tor- 'brother': Gr. dat. plur. πατρά-σι, Goth. dat. pl. fadru-m, Skr. loc. pl. pitý-šu; Skr. bhrātr-putra- 'brother's son', Goth. brōþru-lubō 'brotherly love' (the latter may also like the nom. pl. broprjus be a new formation).

*ulqo-s 'wolf' from rt. uelq- 'drag, tear along'. Arm. gail, Goth. vulfs, Lith. vilka-s O.Bulg. vlükü, Skr. výku-s. Weak

present st. form *pi-pl- from rt. pel- 'fill' : Gr. ἐμ-πίπλα-μεν, Skr. pipṛ-más; part. *pl-nó-s 'filled, full' from the same root : Goth. fulls (fr. prim. Germ. *ful-na-z, § 214 p. 181), Lith. pilna-s O.Bulg. plűnű, Av. per-na-.

Rem. In the same manner as suffix nasals forced their way into the interior of the root syllable, when this contained an i or u, e. g. *jung-(Skr. yunjátē, Lat. jungō, Lith. jùngiu) fr. *jug-n- (s. § 221), so also when the root contained r or l as sonant. E. g. Skr. kyntámi 'I cut' kyntá-tra-m 'chip, refuse', Lith. krintù 'I fall off' (of leaves, fruit etc.), rt. gert-. Lith. dris- in drīs-ti 'to become courageous, venture' fr. dhīns- (cp. Skr. dhīns-in-'courageous'), rt. dhers-. O.Bulg. kret- in kretaja 'flecto' krena 'deflecto (fr. *kret-na, § 545) fr. *qrnt- (cp. Skr. krnát-ti 'twists thread, spins'), rt. qert-. Goth. rruggō f. 'snare' fr. *urngh- from root uergh- (OHG. wurgen 'to strangle' MHG. er-wergen 'to strangle', Lith. reržiù 'I string together, straiten'). O.Ir. lingim 'I leap' (cp. perf. 3. sg. le-bluing for *fe-bluing after the initial sound of the pres. form) fr. 'ulng-, to Skr. válgāmi 'I leap' (§ 173), is uncertain. And in a similar manner, as e. g. in Lat. the nasal extended itself from the pres. jungo to other forms belonging to the same root ($j\bar{u}nctu$ -s, con-junx etc.). there was formed in Lith. after dr/s- a pres. dręsû (cp. mężû 'I make water' for minžu in Bezzenberger's Beitr. zur Gesch. der lit. Spr. 41, after the ratio of renkû: rinkaŭ etc.) and a fem. subst. drąsà 'boldness'; in Slav. after kręt- an adj. krątŭ 'tortus'; in Germ. after urung- a pres. *uringo (Ags. uringun 'to turn, press' OHG. ringan MHG. ringen 'to move to and fro, wind with exertion') and an adj. *uranga-z (O.Icel. range 'crooked, wrong' M.Eng. wrang Mod.Eng. wrong); in Ir. after *wling- the perf. le-blaing (s. above), whereas Lith. krintil, placed on a line with limpù etc., gave the impetus for the formation of kritaŭ krisiu etc. These nasalised forms of roots in liquid + consonant in the European languages furnish the best proof of the existence of sonant liquids in prehistoric times. For the suffix nasal can only have been forced over by a root form like dhrs-, not by one like dhers- and dhors-, since forms like dherns- or dhorns- are unheard of (just as beside jung- there appears no jeung- or joung-).

Final γ , ℓ . Skr. nom. acc. neut. $sth\bar{a}$ -tf 'standing', beside which $sth\bar{a}$ - $t\ell r$ (Lanman Noun-inflection p. 422) as representative of original * $st\bar{a}$ - $t\gamma r$ i. e. of a form originally only used before initial sonants, see § 287; $sth\bar{a}tf$: $sth\bar{a}t\ell r = d\acute{a}\acute{s}a$ 'ten': $p\acute{a}dam$ 'pedem' (§ 231 rem.). Gr. $o\acute{v}\theta$ - $a\varrho$ 'udder' f π - $a\varrho$ 'liver' fr. *- γ , beside which $\acute{v}\delta$ - $o\varrho$ 'water' probably fr. *- $\bar{\gamma}$. Lat. jec-ur fem-ur fr. *-or = *- γ , beside which $\bar{u}b$ -er, whose -er can be explained from *-ar *- $\bar{\gamma}$. - γ : $\bar{\gamma}$ = -u: - \bar{u} (in Skr. $pur\acute{u}$ Gr. $\pi o\lambda\acute{v}$: Skr. $pur\acute{u}$ Lat. $corn\bar{u}$). Armen. $a\lambda beur$ (gen. $a\lambda ber$) 'source, spring'

fr. *albey(a)r (§§ 263. 651), Gr. q_0 éaq 'a well' fr. * q_0 ηf_{aq} . Cp. § 306 and the accidence.

Gr. particle $\alpha \rho$ indicating a natural sequence etc., Lith. ir and, also fr. *p. The form $\rho \alpha$ was probably developed before forms beginning with a consonant. $\alpha \rho \alpha$ and Cypr. $\epsilon \rho(\alpha)$ fr. $\rho \alpha$ by prothesis (§ 266)? Cp. the author Ber. d. sächs. Ges. d. Wissensch. 1883 p. 37 ff.

§ 286. 2. Before i. *mṛ-ié- pres. stem from rt. mer-'die': Lat. mor-ior, Av. mer'-yēmi. *spṛ-ié- pres. fr. root sper-: Gr. οπαίρω ἀσπαίρω 'I struggle convulsively', Lith. spiriù 'I push with the foot'. *sql-ié- pres. from rt. sqel-: Gr. σκάλλω 'I stir up, hoe', Lith. skilù 'I strike fire'.

§ 287. 3. Before sonants with a following r, l as glide. *gṛṛ-ú-s 'heavy' : Gr. βαρ-ύ-ς, Goth. kuứr-u-s, Skr. gur-ú-ў. *grr-é- pres. st. from ger- 'devour' : O.Bulg. žĭr-ą, Skr. gir-ámi gil-ámi, with change of accent girāmi (Atharvaveda VI 135, 3). Nominal suffix -rro- beside -ro-, like -ijo beside -jo- (§ 120) and -uno- beside -no- (§ 227), e. g. *is-rró-s : Gr. Coreyr. lugó-s Boot. etc. ἐαρός (Hom. Att. ἱερό-ς, formed after the analogy of διε-9ό-3 φοβε-9ό-3 etc.) 'active, fresh, strong, holy', Skr. iš-irú-s 'hastily, active, fresh' beside Hom. τρό-ς Lesb. Τρο-ς fr. *τσ-ρο-ς (ep. Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 149 ff., Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 439); Skr. rudh-irá-s 'red' beside Gr. ἐρνθ-ρό-ς; Gr. ἰθ-αρό-ς 'clear, serene' beside Skr. *īdh-r-iya-* 'belonging to the clear sky'; Gr. νδ-αρό-ς 'watery' beside αν-υδ-ρο-ς 'waterless'; σιν-αρό-ς beside $\sigma w \theta - \rho \phi - \varsigma$ (for the -\delta - see § 204 p. 170) 'injurious'; cp. also Skr. mih-irá- 'cloud' beside Hom. daiz-kŋ O.Bulg. mǐg-la 'fog' $(\S 279)$. Root form t/l- beside tel-: Gr. $\tau \alpha \lambda - \alpha \zeta$ 'enduring', O.Lat. tulō 'I endure', Goth. paláip 'endures', O.Bulg. til-o 'bottom' (properly 'surface', cp. Skr. tul-a-s 'surface, bottom'), Skr. tul-a-'balance' tul-aya-ti 'he raises up'.

Aryan.

§ 288. 1. Indg. r(l) = Skr. r, Av. er (ehr before k and p, and $e\check{s}$ fr. *ert in chief accented syllables, in other cases

before consonants er^{ϵ} , see § 260), O.Pers. ar; the pronunciation of this O.Pers. ar must still have been different from that of ar = prim. Ar. ar (e. g. inf. cartanaiy 'to make'); it was perhaps r (cp. Hübschmann Kuhn's Zeitschr. XXVII 108 ff.).

Skr. prchámi Av. per'sāmi 'I ask', O.Pers. aparsam 'I asked' (= Skr. $\acute{a}prcham$): Lat. $posc\bar{o}$ fr. *por(c)- $sc\bar{o}$, Indg. * $pr\hat{k}$ - $s\hat{k}\hat{\sigma}$; Skr. $prch\bar{a}$ - 'question, inquiry' : OHG. forsca 'investigation, question', Indg. *prk-skā- f., from rt. prek-. Skr. kr-tá-Av. ker'-ta- O.Pers. kar-ta-'made', part. from rt. ger-. Skr. dhršnő-mi Av. dereš-nao-mi I dare, venture, O.Pers. a-darš-nau-š 'he dared'; Skr. dhrš-á-nt- part. 'courageous' : Goth. qa-daúrsan 'to dare, venture', Gr. θρασύ-ς θαρσύ-ς 'courageous', from rt. dhers-. Skr. mr-tá- 'dead' Av. mer-ta-, Skr. a-mr-ta- 'immortal' Av. a-meša-: OHG. mord 'murder', Indg. *mr-tó-, from rt. mer-. Skr. byh-ánt- Av. ber z-ant- 'great, high' from rt. bher gh-; the same root-form bhrĝh- in Gall. brigi- (in Brigiani, Are-brigium etc.) O.Ir. bri gen. breg 'mountain'. Skr. krp- 'form, appearance', Av. kehrp- 'form, body': Lat. corp-us. Skr. $fk\S a$ -s Av. $er^e x \S \bar{o}$ 'bear': Arm. arj, Gr. agzzo-g, Lat. ursus fr. *orcso-s. Dat. pl. Skr. pitý-bhyas Av. pter byō 'patribus': cp. Gr. πατρά-σι, Goth. fadru-m.

Skr. pṛthư-ṣ Av. per pu-s 'broad', Gr. πλατύ-ς: O.Ir. lethan O.Cymr. litan, probably also OS. folda O.Icel. fold 'earth' (cp. Skr. pṛthvt pṛthivt 'earth') '). Skr. vṛka-s 'wolf' Av. vehrkō 'wolf': Goth. vulfs, Lith. vilka-s.

For Skr. klp-tá- Av. ker^ep-ta- 'put in order, fixed', there is no certain corresponding form in the other languages.

On Skr. final $-\gamma = \text{Indg. } -\gamma$, see § 285.

From pre-Aryan gen. sg. *bhrá-tr-s 'brother's' arose -trš (§ 556, 1), Skr. -trr before voiced sounds (cp. -ir -ur fr. -iš -uš, § 647, 6), hence by § 290 -tur, bhrátur. The same formation is shown by Av. ner'š from nar- 'man'. See the accidence.

Owing to the limited extent of the monuments, it is impossible to arrive at anything definite regarding O.Pers. u

¹⁾ Lith. platù-s'broad' does not belong here, it has the same vowel-grade as brangùs 'dear', baugùs 'dreadful' etc.

(written \bar{u}) = r in $a-k\bar{u}-nav-am$ = Skr. $\acute{a}-kr-nav-am$ 'I made' etc. (beside kar-ta- = Skr. kr-ta- 'made'). Cp. Bartholomae Ar. Forsch. II 67 f.

§ 289. 2. Indg. r before i seems to have been represented in Av. from the oldest period onwards, in the same manner as before other consonants: mereyeiti like Lat. morior, Indg. *mṛ-jé-taj 'dies'; kereyctē 'is made, effected'. The Skr. forms mriyátē kriyátē are, as we have seen § 120 p. 112, of a different nature. O.Pers. amariyatā 'he died' may be amaryatā (s. § 125) p. 116), and would then correspond to Av. mer yeiti; but it may also, according to the O.Pers. mode of writing, be read amriyatā, in which case it would be equal to Skr. *ámriyata*.

ry does not seem to occur in initial syllables in Sanskrit. Optative (precative) forms as kriyāt kriyāsma from kar- 'make', as well as $j\bar{a}griy\bar{a}t$ (Ait. Brāhm.) opt. of $j\bar{a}garmi$ 'I watch' are to be judged of in the same manner as mriyátē. Forms like jűryati 'becomes feeble' from rt. ĝer-, tīryati (ava-tīryati 'descends') from rt. ter- go back to original Fi (s. § 306), and are on a level with mt-ya-te 'disappears' from rt. mei- 'lessen', $\dot{s}r\bar{u}$ -ya-tē 'is heard' from rt. $\hat{k}leu$ - etc. As regular representatives of Indg. ri, there can only be taken into account on the one hand jāgryāt (beside jāgriyāt) — how many such forms are extant? — and on the other, forms like opt. kuryāt from kar-'make', turyát tuturyát from tar- 'to press through', a-juryá-s not becoming old' from rt. ger- (the author Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIV 282 ff.). I am inclined to think that the latter category exhibits the regular development (except that ur took the place of ir, s. § 290) and that forms like jāgryāt were later new formations (after $j\bar{a}qrhi$ etc.).

Rem. This view might be put forth with greater certainty, if the possibility did not exist that the ur of kuryā- was taken over from the weak stem of the opt. *kurī- (3. sg. mid. *kurī-tá) = Indg. *qṛr-ī- (§ 290).

§ 290. 3. Skr. ur ir, Av. O.Pers. ar (concerning Av. or fr. ar see § 94).

Skr. purú- Av. parao- pouru- O.Pers. paru- 'much', prim. f. *pllú- from rt. pel- 'fill'. Skr. girí-š Av. gairi-š 'mountain': cp. Lith. gìria gìre f. 'forest'. Skr. gurú- 'heavy, vehement, hard', Av. gouru- 'contrary, peevish': Gr. βαρύ-ς, Goth. kaŭru-s. Gen. abl. sg. Skr. gir-ás Av. gar-ō 'of a song' (instr. pl. Skr. gīrbhiṣ́ fr. *gṛ-bhis, § 306). Skr. tirás Av. tarō 'through, over', from root ter- 'press through, overstep'. Skr. girámi 'I swallow': O.Bulg. žīra, from rt. ger-; in like manner Skr. tirámi 'I press through', kirámi 'I pour out', sphurámi 'I push away' etc.; tirámi: tárāmi = vanámi: vánāmi (§ 231) = huvátē: hávatē 'invokes'. It cannot be decided whether Av. sparāmi 'I push, jerk' is the same as Skr. sphurámi or a new formation after Skr. class I.

Finally. Skr. -ir Av. $-ar^{\circ}$, the ending of the 3. pl. pf. act., e. g. Skr. as-ir Av. $arb-ar^{\epsilon}$ from rt. es- 'be'. These forms are on a level with the nom. acc. neut. stha-tir § 285 p. 228.

The change between ur and ir in Skr. was very probably originally evoked by the varying timbre of the neighbouring sounds. Preceding or following labials developed the form ur; cp. sphuráti; Skr. úrana-s 'ram' fr. *uur-an-a-s (§ 157), *uur-an-(cp. Arm. guirn, gen. gairin, § 291) beside Gr. fao-v- fr. *ur-n-(§§ 164. 292); gur-u- (opposed to gir-u-) etc. The orig. phonetic state became obscured through a great number of new formations made by analogy.

Rem. The present of kur- plays a principal part in the question as to how Skr. ur and ir are to be explained. It may be remarked that I trace the Ved. imperat. kuru- back to *qrr+u; -u was an appended particle like -dhi in i-hi Gr. i-hi 'go' (Thurneysen Kuhu's Ztschr. XXVII 180). After the relation of bhuru: bharatu, there was formed to kuru the 2. pl. kurutu, in the indic. kurutha. For the rest see the accidence.

The side by side existence of Skr. gurú- Av. gouru- and Skr. ά-gru- Av. α-γru- 'maidenish' (cp. Skr. gurví 'gravida') ¹), of Skr. gir-a- -gil-a- 'swallowing' and tuvi-grá- 'swallowing much' etc., corresponds to that of ghan-á- and -ghn-á- 'killing' (§ 231).

Armenian.

§ 291. 1. barjr, gen. barju, 'high' fr. *bhrĝh-u-: Skr. brh-ánt-, O.Ir. bri (§§ 288. 298). hare, gen. harei, 'question',

Here come probably also Lat. gravi-s as gr-av-i-s, ep. Gr. ταν-α(F)-δ-; beside ταν-ν- 'stretched' and Skr. pyth-iv-i beside pyth-iv-(p. 230).

harçane-m 'I ask' with c fr. (k)sk: Skr. prcha, OHG. forsca (§ 288). mard 'man': Skr. mrta-s. arj, gen. arjoy or arju, 'a bear': Skr. fksa-s. ard-ar 'just, upright': Skr. r-ta-s 'suitable, right'. arciv and arcui 'eagle' (v fr. p, §§ 330. 483): Skr. rjipya- 'stretching oneself, taking up in flight' (of birds of prey), Av. er-zifya- 'stretching oneself; falcon'. kar- 'four' (kar-a-sun 'forty') fr. *(q)tur- (§ 360) = Gr. τou - in τou - $\pi s \zeta a$ 'table' (properly 'fourfeet').

Finally: $a\lambda beur$ 'source' fr. * $a\lambda beu(a)r$ § 285.

gail, gen. gailoy, 'wolf', firstly by epenthesis fr. *galio-s = Skr. vyha-s etc.? Cp. § 455.

- 2. Examples for ri, li are not to hand.
- 3. yarn, gen. yarin, 'lamb', Indg. st. *μγγ-en-: Skr. úr-an-a-s fr. *μυγ- (§§ 263. 290). sar, gen. saroy, 'height, summit, declivity': Skr. śίγαs- Av. surah- n. 'head', rt. ker- (Lat. cerebru-m cernuo-s, O.Icel. hjurse 'crown of the head'). kuλin, gen. kuλnoy, 'acorn': Gr. βάλ-ανο-ς, Lith. gll-é (Lat. glāns seems to stand in a similar relation to these words as Gr. πολύ-ροην 'rich in sheep' fr. *-Fρ-ην to Arm. garn Skr. úran-α-s).

Greek.

§ 292. 1. δρατό-ς δαρτό-ς 'skinned': Av. der'ta-'cut, mown', Lith. nu-dirta-s 'skinned'; δάρσι-ς 'excoriation': Skr. dṛti-ṣ 'leatherbag' (cp. Gr. δέρμα 'hide'), Goth. ga-taúrÞs 'a destroying', from rt. der-. Hom. ταρπόμεθα τετάρπετο from τέρπω 'I delight': Skr. tṛpāmi (not found), tṛpyāmi, tṛpṇōmi 'I satiate myself, become satisfied', rt. terp-. Aor. ἔπαρδο-ν to πέρδω 'pedo'; the same root form in OHG. furz 'crepitus ventris', Lith. pirdi-s m. 'crepitus ventris' pirdà 'qui pedit' Russ. perdět 'pedere' (prim. Slav. *pĭrděti). μάρναμαι 'I fight' and Coreyr. βαρνάμενος fr. *βραναμενος (§ 204): Skr. mṛ-nā-mi 'I bruise, beat in pieces'. Analogously βαρδῆν· τὸ βιάζεσθαι γυναῖχας 'Δμπραχιῶται, fr. *βραδῆν: Skr. mṛd-nā-ti 'presses vehemently' (cf. Lat. comprimere feminam). τετράφαμεν το τέτροφα (τρέπω 'I turn'), like Skr. dadṛšimā to dadārša (darš- 'see'). τρασιά ταρσιά 'a drying-kiln' to τέρσομαι 'I become dry': Skr. tṛṣyā- 'thirst' tṛṣyāmi 'I am thirsty', rt. ters-. πραχνόν· μέλανα

Hesych.: Skr. pýš-ni-š 'spotted'; beside which, with other vowel-grade περινό-ς. κραδ-ίη καρδ-ία 'heart': Lat. cor cord-is, O.Ir. cride, Lith. szirdì-s O.Bul. srĭdī-ce.

τέτλα-μεν 1. pl. pf. from tel- 'bear', like γέγα-μεν to γεν-'gignere' (§ 233). ἔσταλται 3. sg. pf. mid. to στελ- 'order', like τέταται to τεν- 'stretch' (233). πλατύ-ς 'flat, broad' : Skr. pṛthú-ṣ̆ (§ 288).

It has not been definitely determined on what the varying position of the liquid depends ($\rho \alpha \lambda \alpha$ and $\alpha \rho \alpha \lambda$). Form association can only be assumed for certain cases, e. g. $\delta \alpha \rho \tau \delta - \varsigma$: $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \delta o \rho \dot{\alpha}$; $\dot{\epsilon} \varkappa \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \gamma v$: $\varkappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \omega \varkappa \dot{\epsilon} \varkappa \lambda o q \alpha$. Cp. the author Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIV 258, Osthoff Morph. Unt. II 144 f.

α always came first initially. ἄρπτο-ς 'bear': Skr. γkšα-s. ἄρσην 'masculine': Skr. γšαbhά-s 'bull' fr. *γsη-bhο-s. ἄρ-νν-μαι 'I attain, gain' μίσθ-αρνο-ς μισθ-άρνη-ς 'hired servant': Skr. γ-ηδ-mi 'I attain, gain'.

Likewise $-\alpha \rho$ fr. - γ finally, see § 285.

In Aeol. οο οο from οα αο, as Lesb. στοότος μέμορθαι, Βωοτ. στοοτό-ς.

§ 294. 3. $\beta\alpha\varrho\dot{v}$ - ε 'heavy': Skr. $gur\dot{u}$ - $\check{\xi}$. Coreyr. $l\alpha\varrho\dot{o}$ - ε 'holy': $i\check{\xi}ir\check{a}$ -s (§ 287). $\pi\dot{a}\varrho o\varepsilon$ 'in front, before, sooner': Skr. $pur\dot{a}s$ before, in front'. The preterites $\check{\epsilon}\pi\tau\alpha\varrho o\nu$ 'I sneezed' $\check{\epsilon}\beta\alpha\lambda o\nu$ 'I threw' go with Skr. $gir\dot{a}mi$, O.Bulg. $\check{\epsilon}ira$, Lat. $tul\bar{o}$ (§ 287); $\check{\epsilon}$ - $\beta\alpha\lambda$ - ε : $\check{\epsilon}$ - $\pi\lambda$ - ε , $\check{\epsilon}$ - $\pi\lambda$ - ε - τ o 'versabatur' = Skr. \acute{a} -huv-a-t: \acute{a} -hv-a-t 'he called to' (ep. §§ 153. 159). Here belong also passive aor. like $\check{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\alpha}\varrho\eta\nu$ $\check{\epsilon}\beta\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\nu$; $\check{\epsilon}$ - $\beta\dot{\alpha}\lambda$ - η - ν : $\check{\epsilon}$ - $\beta\lambda$ - η - ν = $\check{\epsilon}$ - $\varphi\dot{v}$ - $\eta\nu$: O.Bul. $b\check{\epsilon}$ from *bu- $\check{\epsilon}$ (§ 184).

General Greek $o\varrho$, $o\lambda$ in ξ - $\pi o\varrho$ -o- ν $\pi o\lambda$ - ν - ς etc., concerning which see § 306.

Italic.

1. Lat. porca 'the ridge between two furrows', Umbr. Mars. pl. porculeta 'porcae' (Pliny): O.Bret. rec 'sulco' Cymr. rhych 'furrow' (cp. Thurneysen Keltorom. p. 74 f.), OHG. furuh Ags. furh f. 'furrow'. Lat. vorsu-s, Umbr. Osc. vorsum ('quod Graeci πλέθρον appellant, Osci et Umbri vorsum', Frontinus de limit. p. 30, 9), Umbr. trah-vorfi 'transverse': Skr. vrt-tá-, from rt. uert- 'turn' (§ 285). Lat. fors forte, Osc. fortis 'forte', Pelign. forte gen. 'fortunae' : Skr. bhr-ti-ş (§ 285). Lat. or-tu-s part., Umbr. orton orto 'ortum': Skr. r-tá-s part. 'raised'. Lat. portu-s porta: Av. pešu-š 'ford' hu-per pw-i f. 'having a good ford' (§§ 260. 288), Gall. -ritum 'passage' in Augusto-ritum (with Latinised ending), OHG. furt m. ford'. sporta sportula: Gr. σπάρ-το-ν 'rope, cable'. cornu-s cornu-m: Gr. κράνο-ς κράνο-ν 'cornel-tree'. porru-m fr. *porso-m (§ 571) : Gr. πράσο-ν 'a leek'. forcti-s fortis: Skr. part. drdhá-s 'fast, strong' (to darh-), prim. f. $*dhr\hat{g}h+t\acute{o}$ -, rt. $dher\hat{g}h$ -. tostu-s from *tors-to-s: Skr. $tr\check{s}$ -t \acute{a} -s frough, hoarse'. mo-mord-imus: Skr. ma-mrd-imá 'we crushed, pounded', rt. merd-; cp. also vort-imus : Skr. va-vrt-imá. ursu-s : Skr. ŕkšabear' (§ 288).

On the dropping of r in Lat. forms as tostu-s, $posc\bar{o}$ (§ 288) see § 269.

Lat. mulsu-s part., molta multa 'a fine, penalty', Umbr. motar gen. 'multae', Osc. moltam 'multam' fr. prim. Ital. *molk-tā-: Skr. mr-ṣtá- part., indic. mr-ṣámi 'I touch, feel'. Lat. mulctu-s: Lith. milszta-s 'milked', O.Ir. mlicht blicht 'milk', from rt. melĝ-. Analogously the Lat. participial forms oc-cultu-s from rt. \hbar el-'cover, conceal': OHG. helan 'to hide' (concerning the apparently contradictory oquoltōd of the S. C. de Bacch. 15 see Bersu Die Gutturalen und ihre Verbindung mit v im Lat. p. 41); pulsu-s to pellō: Gr. $\pi a \lambda \tau \acute{o}$ -s 'brandished, hurled' etc., tollō fr. *tl-nō, from rt. tel-.

Rem. o before l + cons. (except ll) uniformly became u in Latin, whereas the vowel fluctuated before r, cp. curtu-s: Gr. $\varkappa a_0 \tau \delta - \varsigma$ 'shorn, cut', fornu-s, later furnu-s: Skr. ghr-nd-s 'heat, glow'. A law for this irregularity has not been discovered.

Finally, -ur fr. -r, jec-ur, see § 285.

§ 296. 2. Lat. morior: Av. mer eyeiti 'dies' (§ 289). horior O.Lat. = hortor, probably to Umbr. heriest 'volet' Osc. herest 'volet' and identical with Gr. $\chi \alpha i \varphi \omega$ 'I rejoice', to which it was the causat. form ('I make pleasure, joy'); hortor would accordingly be from a *horto-= $\chi \alpha \varphi \tau \circ -\varsigma$ 'wished for'. orior oriuntur fr. * γ - $i \circ -\varsigma$, to ortu-s: Skr. $\gamma t \circ -\varsigma$ (§ 295).

§ 297. 3. O.Lat. $tul\bar{o}$, rt. tel-. $oc\text{-}cul\bar{o}$ either fr. * $\hat{k}ll$ - \hat{o} or * $\angle cel\bar{o}$ (= O.Ir. celim OHG. hilu) through the influence of the l. gul- in gula $gul\bar{o}$ rather from *gll- (cp. Skr. gira--gila'swallowing', gili- \hat{s} f. gilana-m 'a swallowing', § 290) than from *gel- prim. Ital. * $g^{\mu}el$ -, since in the latter root form *vel- would be expected (cp. $veni\bar{o}$, veru § 432 b), hence gula: OHG. chela 'throat' = Gr. Bæot. $\beta av\tilde{a}$: Goth. $qin\bar{o}$ 'wife; $gul\bar{o}$ was formed like Goth. skula 'debtor' (§ 301).

Old Irish.

§ 298. 1. General Keltic ri (re), li (le).

O.Ir. bri gen. breg (from *brix *brigos) 'rising ground', Gall. brigi- (in Brigiani an Alpine race, Are-brigiu-m etc.), Cymr. Bret. bre: Skr. byh-ánt- (§ 288). O.Cymr. rit 'ford', Gall. Augusto-ritu-m: Av. peṣu-ṣ, Lat. portus, OHG. furt (§ 295). O.Ir. drech 'face' fr. *drica prim. f. *dṛkā: Skr. dṛṣ- 'look', Gr. ĕδρακον 'I saw', rt. derk-. O.Ir. brissim 'I break', brisc Bret. bresk 'fragile, apt to break', according to Stokes' conjecture (Mém. de la soc. de lingu. V 420) from rt. bherdh-: Gr. πέρθω 'I lay waste, destroy' aor. ἔπραθο-ν; on brisc ep. § 516. O.Ir. lethan O.Cymr. litan 'broad': Skr. pṛthú-ṣ (§ 288).

roots as *bher-*, the part. perf. pass. in *-te*, *brithe brethe* 'brought', and the part. necessitatis in *-ti*, *brithi brethi* 'ferendus'. *cride* 'heart': Gr. κραδ-ίη (§ 292).

bligim 'I milk' from *mligim (§ 212): O.Bulg. mlŭza, Indg. prim. f. *mlĝ-ō from rt. melĝ-; to which mlicht blicht 'milk': Lat. mulctu-s. clethi 'celandum' to celim 'celo': Lat. oc-cultu-s. Inf. blith to melim 'molo'.

When such syllables with ri, li = Indg. r, l became voiceless in composition in Irish, r, l arose anew through vowel reduction when another syllable followed (samprasāraṇa). From these a vowel was then again developed. Thus e. g. eperthe part. pass. 'oblatus' from *éd-brithe through the intermediate stage *-brthe. See § 634 and Thurneysen Rev. Celt. VI 315.

- 2. Examples for the representation of ri, li are unknown to me.
- 3. As yn became an, so also rr, ll became ar, al. maraim 'I remain': cp. Lat. mora, rt. (s)mer- (§ 589, 3); mora may just as well represent *(s)mrā as *(s)morā. scaraim 'I separate, divide' Cymr. ysgar 'separatio', to O.Ir. scorim scuirim 'I unharness' scor 'an enclosure for unyoked animals' Cymr. ysgor f. 'rampart, bulwark': cp. Lith. skiriù 'I separate, divide'. -al- perhaps in samail 'likeness, picture' fr. *symm-lli- (cp. § 243, 4). Cymr. malu 'I grind, grind to powder' is more certain: cp. O.Ir. melim 1).

Germanic.

§ 299. 1. Prim. Germ. ur, ru.

Goth. paúrs-u-s OHG. durri O.Icel. purr 'dry, withered': Skr. tṛṣ-ú-ṣˇ 'covetous, gaping from dryness'; Goth. paúrstei f. OHG. durst m. Ags. đyrst m. 'thirst': Skr. tṛṣ-tá- 'hoarse, rough': Goth. paúrsjan 'to thirst' (originally a strong verb like vaúrkjan s. below): Skr. tṛṣ-yāmi 'I am thirsty'; Goth. part. ga-paúrsans: Skr. Ved. part. tṛṣ-āṇá-s and ta-tṛṣ-āṇá-s, from rt. ters-. Inf. Goth. ga-daúrsan OHG. turran Ags. durran 'to dare, venture':

¹⁾ My attention was drawn to these examples for the representation of T, I in Keltic by Thurneysen.

Skr. dhṛṣ-á-nt- part. 'bold'; 1. pl. Goth. ga-dairs-um 'we dare': Skr. dadhṛṣ-imá (cp. also vair̄pum 'we became': Skr. vavṛtimá, Lat. vortimus); opt. Goth. ga-dairs-jáu: Skr. dadhṛṣ-yām; OHG. ca-turst f. 'boldness': Skr. dhṛṣ-ṭi-ṣ f. 'boldness', from rt. dhers-. Goth. vairkjan OHG. wurchen 'to work, effect': Av. ver-zyetti 'effects', Gr. ὁεςω 'I do' for regular *ὁάζω from *Foaγ-μω (ε after the analogy of ἔοςω, ἔοδω etc.), Indg. *wyŷ-jō, from rt. uerŷ-. Goth. pair-nu-s OHG. dorn 'thorn': O.Bulg. trīnŭ 'thorn', Skr. tṛṇa-m 'grassblade'. OHG. zoraht OS. toraht torht 'bright, clear' (for the anaptyctic vowel s. § 277): Skr. dṛṣ-tá- 'seen, appearing, revealing', Indg. *dṛk-tó-s, from rt. derk-. Goth. haūrn OHG. horn 'horn': Gr. záovoş 'horned cattle' (Hesych.) and Lat. cornu, in case the latter had not Indg. o.

Goth. brößru-m dat. pl. of brößar 'brother': cp. Skr. loc. pl. bhråty-šu. Part. OHG. rohhan 'revenged, avenged' (beside inf. Goth. vrikan OHG. rehhan): Skr. part. vavyjāná- pres. várjati 'turns off', pari-vargá-s 'a laying aside'. So also part. Goth. ßruskans OHG. droskan 'thrashed', beside inf. ßriskan dreskan, Goth. brukans OHG. brohhan 'broken' beside inf. brikan brehhan, OHG. sprohhan 'spoken' beside inf. sprehhan etc. Goth. trudan O.Icel. troða 'to tread', OHG. trotta f. 'a press' beside OHG. inf. tretan; Goth. trudan: OHG. tretan = OHG. koman: Goth. qiman (§ 247).

Goth. vulfs, OHG. wolf 'wolf': Skr. výka-s. Goth. fulls OHG. fol (infl. follēr) 'full': Lith. pìlna-s, Av. per na-. Goth. skulds part. 'obliged', OHG. sculda 'debt', to indic. Goth. skal OHG. scal 'I shall' (related to Lat. scelus?). Goth. pf. pl. fra-guldum part. -guldans to inf. fra-gildan 'to repay'. Goth. part. *hlufans to hlifan 'to steal' (ep. Gr. κλέπτω, ἐκλάπην) like brukans to brikan? Goth. lustu-s 'desire', probably to Lat. lascīvo-s, Skr. lā-las-a-s 'desirous, eager for' láṣāmi 'I desire' fr. *la-ls-ā-mi (§ 259).

The diversity of the position of the liquid (ur and ru) was in part undoubtedly due to other forms of the same form-system, cp. e. g. Goth. *pruskans*: *priskan* with *paúrsans*: *paírsan*. The independent forms have not yet been satisfactorily settled;

cp. the author Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIV 258 ff., Osthoff Morph. Unt. II 49. 145. It however seems to me probable (cp. Kluge Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVI 90) that these forms are *ur*, *ul*.

On the change of u to $a\hat{u}$ (before r) in Goth, and u to o in West Germ, and Norse see § 51.

§ 300. 2. Goth. opt. skuljáu to skal 'I shall', as munjáu to man 'I think' (§ 245). OHG. hulla MHG. hülle f. 'veil, cover' fr. prim. Germ. *zuljō, to OHG. helan 'to conceal', rt. kel-.

§ 301. 3. Part. pret. pass. Goth. baúr-ans (baíran 'to bear'), ga-taúr-ans (ga-taíran 'to tear in pieces'), stulans (stilan 'to steal'), OHG. boran, zoran, stolan: ep. O.Bulg. part. pret. pass. tīr-enŭ to tīrā 'I rub' fr. rt. ter- (§ 305) and Skr. ti-stir-ānā-s part. pf. mid. from rt. ster- 'strew'. Goth. skulan OHG. scolan 'to be obliged', part. Goth. skulands 'obliged', probably also Goth. vulands 'seething' (to OHG. walm 'heat'): ep. pres. Skr. girāmi O.Bulg. žīrā from rt. ger- 'swallow' (§ 287). Goth. skula OHG. scolo 'debtor', like Ags. cuma 'advena' OHG. willi-como 'welcome' from rt. gem- (ep. § 247). OHG. hol O.Icel. holr 'hollow', Goth. hulundi f. 'a hollow' (ep. § 244), to OHG. helan 'to conceal': ep. Gr. καλ-τά 'hut, barn'. On Goth. aú, West Germ. Norse o see § 51.

Baltic-Slavonic.

§ 302. Indg. r, l uniformly became ir, il in the prim. Baltic-Slav. period. Though it cannot be strictly proved, it is nevertheless probable, that the i in these combinations differed from Indg. i in the same manner as the i in in = Indg. n. See § 248. We accordingly put the prim. Baltic-Slav. forms down as n, n.

Thence in Lith. ir, il.

In prim. Slav. $\tilde{i}r$, $\tilde{i}l$. r and l retained this position in O.Bulg. before i and sonants, in other cases they were transposed $(r\tilde{i}, l\tilde{i})$. The labial timbre of the l caused the neighbouring \tilde{i} to pass into \tilde{u} . But the orthography is very inconsistent, and it would be difficult to decide how far the regular state has

been disturbed in the living language by form-association. Cp. § 305 rem.

Rem. 1. That \tilde{w} , not $r\tilde{i}$ or \tilde{j} was also spoken in prim. Slav. before consonants in such cases as $\tilde{e}r\tilde{i}n\tilde{u}$ 'black' (= Pruss. kirsna-, Skr. $kr\tilde{j}$, \tilde{u} -'black', Indg. 'qj:sna-) is proved on the one hand by the transition of a preceding k to \tilde{e} (§ 461), in which all Slav. dialects took part (Serv. e), and on the other by the dropping of the s in $\tilde{e}r\tilde{i}n\tilde{u}$, which is only conceivable on the assumption of an older form " $\tilde{e}\tilde{i}rsn\tilde{u}$ (s. §§ 585, 2. 588, 6).

Rem. 2. The treatment of prim. Slav. ir, il varied greatly in the different Slav. dialects. Suffice it here to remark that Serv. Slov. and Czech went back again to Indg. liquida sonans, e. g. O.Bulg. $\check{crinii} = \operatorname{Serv}$. crn, Slov. \check{crn} , O.Czech $\check{crn}\check{y}$ (the latter the def. form of the adj. = O.Bulg. \check{criny} - $\check{j}i$).

§ 303. 1. Lith. žirni-s m. 'pea', O.Bulg. zrīno 'corn': Goth. kaúrn 'corn'. Lith. szirszū, gen. szirszeñs, O.Bulg. srī-šen-ī 'hornet, gad-fly': OHG. hornaz 'hornet' fr. prim. Germ. *zurzn-ata-z, cpf. *kṛs-en- *kṛs-n- (cp. Lat. crābrō § 306). Lith. kirmi-s m. 'worm', O.Bulg. črīmīnū 'red' (ĕrī-vī 'worm' with a diff. suffix): Skr. kṛmi-š 'worm'. Lith. kir̄sta-s 'hewn', O.Bulg. črīta 'I cut': Skr. kṛttá-s 'cut off', from rt. qert-. Lith. viržŷs 'cord' viržēti 'to bind with cord', O.Bulg. vrīza 'I bind, close': OS. wurgil 'a strangling cord' OHG. wurgan 'to strangle', from rt. yerŷh-. Lith. tir̄p-ti 'to grow stiff, to become feelingless', Russ. terpnut 'to grow stiff (prim. Slav. *tīrpnati): Lat. torpeō (Lat. torp- = Indg. *tṛp- or torp-?).

O.Bulg. 2. sg. opt. (imper.) rici 'say' orig. f. *rqoi-s, 1. sg. pres. reką, cp. the accidence.

Lith. vìlna 'a single hair of wool', O.Bulg. vlăna 'wool': Goth. vulla 'wool' fr. *vulna (§ 214 p. 181). Lith. vilkiù 'I am clad in, with', Lith. vilkęs O.Bulg. vlŭkŭ part. pret. act. to velkù vlčką 'I drag': Gr. ανλαξ 'furrow' (*Fλαχ-) beside ελχω, rt. μelq-. Lith. vìlgau 'I moisten', O.Bulg. vlŭgŭkŭ 'moist': OHG. volchan n. wolcha f. 'cloud', from rt. μelq-. O.Bulg. dlŭgŭ 'duty': O.Ir. dliged 'lex, officium' O.Ir. dligim 'I deserve, am entitled to', Goth. dulgs 'debt', rt. form dhlgh-. O.Bulg. zlŭva 'husband's sister': Gr. γαλόως γάλως.

§ 304. 2. Lith. spiriù: Gr. σπαίρω, skilù: Gr. σπάλλω, s. § 293. Analogously diriù 'I skin' (Szyrwid's dirru is probably to be read thus) rt. der-, giriù 'I praise' rt. ger-. O.Bulg. vĭrja 'I boil up, seethe' 2. sg. vĭriši (inf. vĭrĕti) might be put here under the supposition that the original inflection of the present was *vĭrješi etc., like žĭnja žĭnješi (§ 250); cp. Czech vru (in O.Bulg. form *vĭra) like tĭra mĭra etc. (§ 305). Lith. pl. tìlės, gen. tìlā, 'board in the bottom of a boat', O.Bulg. tīlja 'bottom': cp. Skr. túlya- 'equal, straight', rt. tel-.

§ 305. 3. Lith. *mìrusi* O.Bulg. *mĭrŭši* part. pf. fem. 'mortua', O.Bulg. *mĭrą* 'morior', rt. *mer*-. Analogously pres. Lith. *pilù* 'I cast, shed' from rt. *pel*- 'fill', O.Bulg. *žĭrą* 'I swallow' = Skr. *girámi gilámi*, rt. *ger*-, tĭrą 'tero' and others.

Rem. $\vec{u}r$ occasionally occurs for $\vec{v}r$ before non-palatal vowels in the Zographos gospel, e. g. $d\vec{u}rati$ for $d\vec{v}rati$ 'to split, tear in pieces', and vice versa, $z\vec{v}t$ loc. beside $z\vec{u}t$ nom. 'bad' etc. Cp. § 36 rem.

2. Long Sonant Liquids.

§ 306. Regarding the representation of Indg. \bar{r} , \bar{l} in the individual languages there still remains much that is doubtful just as in the case of Indg. \bar{v} , \bar{v} . We hold the following developments as certain: Skr. $\bar{i}r$, $\bar{u}r$ (cp. ir, ur = Indg. $\hat{l}r$ or $\hat{l}l$ § 290), Av. O.Pers. ar; Gr. oq od and ow low, finally wo; Lat. ar (al) and $r\bar{u}$ $l\bar{u}$; Kelt. $l\bar{u}$; Germ. ar, al.

Indg. \tilde{r} .

* $\bar{r}dhu\acute{o}$ -s 'upright': Skr. $\bar{u}rdhv\acute{a}$ -s, Gr. $\acute{o}\varrho\theta\acute{o}$ - ς , Lat. arduo-s from prim. Ital. *arpuo-s (§ 170 p. 150, § 370).

* \$\vec{v}\$-m\delta-s 'arm, bow': Skr. \$\vec{v}rm\delta-s\$ Av. \$ar^ema-\$, Armen. \$ar-mukn\$, Lat. \$armu-s\$, Goth. \$arms\$, O.Bulg. \$ram\vec{v}\$ fr. *orm\varepsilon\$ (\§ 281).

*\vec{r}-ti-s: Lat. ars artis, OHG. art 'manner'. From the same root Skr. \vec{r}-t\u00e1-m' \text{propriety, right manner'.}

stỹ- from rt. ster- 'spread' : part. perf. pass. Skr. stīr-ņú-s Av. star^e-ta- Gr. στρω-τό-ς Lat. strā-tu-s, Gr. στρῶ-μα Lat. strā-men 'straw', Gr. ἔστρω-ται στόρ-ντμι and others.

 $\hat{g}_{\bar{l}}$ - from rt. $\hat{g}er$ - 'grind': Skr. $j\bar{\imath}r$ - $n\acute{a}$ - 'ground to pieces, wasted away', Lat. $gr\bar{a}$ -nu-m 'grain'.

 $p\bar{q}$ - (cp. Skr. purás Gr. πάρος § 294) : Skr. pűr-vα-s 'the one in front', Gr. πρῶτο-ς Dor. πρᾶτο-ς 'the first' from *πρω΄- \mathcal{F} -ατο-ς (cp. τρ/τ-ατο-ς 'the third'), Dor. πρᾶν 'formerly' fr. *πρω \mathcal{F} άν, Att. πρώ \mathcal{F} ην 'lately' fr. *πρω \mathcal{F} ιᾶν (O.Bulg. pr \tilde{v} -vy- \tilde{f} ν 'the first' : Skr. p \tilde{u} r-va-s = p $l\tilde{u}$ -n \tilde{u} 'full' : p \tilde{u} r-n \tilde{a} -s etc., s. below).

 $k\bar{r}s$ -: Skr. $\dot{s}\bar{\imath}r\dot{s}$ - \acute{a} -m 'head' Gr. $\varkappa \acute{o} \varphi \sigma$ - η 'head, temples'.

 $g\bar{r}$ - from rt. ger- 'swallow': Skr. $g\bar{v}r$ - $n\acute{a}$ - part. pass., $g\acute{v}r$ -yagerund, $g\bar{v}r$ - $n\dot{i}$ - \mathring{s} 'a swallowing', Gr. $\beta\iota\beta\varrho\iota\acute{u}$ - $\sigma\iota\omega$ 'I eat, devour'. $\beta\varrho\omega$ - $\tau\acute{\eta}\varrho$ 'eater, devourer' $\beta\varrho\~{\omega}$ - $\iota\iota\omega$ 'food'.

 $p\bar{r}$ - 'to share in': Gr. $\pi\acute{e}$ - $\pi\varrho\omega$ - $\tau\alpha\iota$, Lat. pars partis (beside porti \bar{v} fr. *pr-ti-). If $pari\bar{v}$ also belongs to this root, as is assumed by Curtius Grundz. 282, it must be traced back to * $p\bar{r}$ - $i\bar{v}$, cp. Skr. $j\bar{u}ry\bar{u}mi$ from rt. $\hat{g}er$ - 'fall away, become fragile'.

Skr. $\dot{s}\bar{u}r$ - $t\acute{a}$ - 'destroyed', Av. a- sar^e -ta- 'unhurt', root form $\bar{k}\bar{r}$ -.

Gr. $\pi\varrho\omega\xi$ - $\kappa\varrho\zeta$ 'a drop' : cp. $\pi\varrho\alpha\kappa\nu\delta$ - ν (§ 292 p. 233), $\pi\varepsilon\varrho\kappa\nu\delta$ - ξ 'sprinkled', Skr. $pf\dot{s}ni$ - $\dot{\xi}$ 'speckled, variegated'.

Lat. $r\bar{a}d\bar{\iota}x$ fr. * $\mu r\bar{a}d$ - (§ 168) * $\nu q\bar{\imath}d$ -: cp. Gr. $\dot{\varrho}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\varrho}-a\mu\nu o$ -s' shoot, sprout' Goth. $\nu a\dot{\imath}xts$ root' fr. * $\nu q\dot{\imath}d$ -.

Lat. $cr\bar{a}t\bar{e}s$ pl. fr. * $q\bar{q}t$ -: cp. Gr. $\varkappa\dot{a}\varrho\tau$ - $\alpha\lambda\rho$ -s 'basket' Goth. haurds 'door' OHG. hurt 'hurdle-work, hurdle' fr. *qqt-.

Lat. $cr\bar{a}br\bar{o}$ 'hornet' fr. * $cr\bar{a}s-r\bar{o}$ (§ 570) * $\hat{k}\bar{r}s-;$ beside which Lith. $szirsz\tilde{a}$ etc. fr. * $\hat{k}rs-$, § 303.

Lat. quartus fr. *ctvarto-s, Indg. *qtu\bar{r}-to- (beside Indg. *qetu\bar{r}-to- \\$ 285).

Gr. $\vec{v}\delta$ - $\omega \varphi$ 'water' from *- $\bar{\tau}$; perhaps corresponding to Lat. $\vec{u}b$ -er fr. *-ar, *- $\bar{\tau}$. Cp. § 285 p. 228.

Indg. [.

 $p\bar{l}$ - from rt. pel- $(pl\bar{e}$ -) 'fill' : Skr. $p\bar{u}r$ - $n\acute{u}$ -s 'filled' imper. $p\bar{u}r$ - $dh\acute{u}$ 'fill', Gr. $\pi o\lambda \lambda ol$ 'many' fr. * $\pi o\lambda - \nu\acute{o}$ - (or $\pi o\lambda - \iota\acute{o}$ -?), O.Ir. $l\bar{u}n$ O.Cymr. laun 'full'.

*ūl-nā f. 'wool': Skr. ūrnā, Lat. lāna (cp. §§ 151. 157. 168), Gr. οὖλο-ς 'fleecy' fr. *Fολνο-ς (§ 204).

* $m\bar{l}dh$ - : Skr. $m\bar{u}rdh$ -án- 'height, highest part, head', Gr. $\beta\lambda\omega\theta$ - $\rho\dot{o}$ - γ 'high-grown'.

* $d\bar{l}gho$ - 'long': Skr. $d\bar{\iota}rgh\acute{a}$ -s Av. $dar^e\gamma a$ - O.Pers. darga-, Gr. $\delta o\lambda \iota \chi \acute{o}$ - ς (with enigmatical ι).

*u\(\bar{l}\)-mi-s 'ebullition': Skr. \(\bar{u}v\)-mi-\(\sigma\) 'wave' (\(\sigma\) 157), Ags. \(wielm\)
wylm 'ebullition, wave' prim. Germ. *\(\pi\)almi-z. To which OHG.
OS. \(wallan\) 'to be agitated, boil up' prim. Germ. *\(\pi\)almana-n
(\(\sigma\) 214), cp. \(wellan\) 'to roll, move'.

Skr. sthūṇā- 'post, stake' fr. *sthūlnā-, orig. *stl-nā-.

Gr. Lesb. $\beta \delta \lambda \lambda o - \mu \alpha \iota$ Att. $\beta o \nu \lambda o - \mu \alpha \iota$ (beside Dor. $\delta \eta \lambda o - \mu \alpha \iota$) 'I will, wish, desire' fr. * $q\bar{l}$ -no-, rt. qel- (§ 204).

Lat. lātu-s 'carried' probably fr. *t\(\bar{t}\)-to-s, rt. tel-.

Lat. $cl\bar{a}d$ - $\bar{c}s$: to per- $cell\bar{o}$ fr. *- $celd\bar{o}$, part. -culsu-s from *kld+to-, Gr. Hom. \check{s} $z\lambda a\sigma\sigma a$ 'broke' $z\lambda a\delta$ - $\acute{a}\sigma ai$ · $\sigma \epsilon i\sigma ai$ Hesych. Cp. § 369.

O.Ir. blāith 'tender, soft' fr. *mlā-ti-s prim. f. *m\bar{t}-ti-, to melim 'I pound'; Cymr. blawd 'meal' fr. *m\bar{t}-to-. On bl- fr. ml-see \\$ 212.

The side by side existence of $\rho\omega$ and $\sigma\varrho$ in Gr. and $r\bar{\alpha}$ and ar in Lat. may be compared with that of Gr. $\rho\alpha$ and $u\varrho$, Germ. ru and ur fr. Indg. g (§§ 292. 299). Gr. $o\varrho$, Lat. ar fr. * $\omega\varrho$, * $\bar{\alpha}r$ were possibly shortened after the same principle as that which gave rise to Gr. part. $\check{\alpha}sr\tau$ - 'blowing' (indic. $\check{\alpha}\eta\mu\iota$) and Lat. vent-u-s fr. * $u\bar{e}$ -nt- (§§ 611. 612). " $\delta\omega\varrho$ especially speaks in favour of this theory; for " $\delta\omega\varrho$: $\sigma r\delta\varrho v\bar{\nu}\mu\iota = \check{\alpha}\eta\nu$: $\check{\alpha}sr\tau$ - and $\delta\dot{\nu}z\omega$: $\delta\dot{\nu}z\omega s$ (§§ 85. 611).

Skr. gir 'praise' fr. *gir- \S *gir- \S *gir-s loc. pl. gir \Sit fr. *gir-su and pir 'fixed place' fr. *pir- \S *pi-s loc. pl. pir \Sit fr. *pi-su correspond to nouns like jir-s fr. *gir-s \S 253 p. 207. But between the nom. sg. gir pir and instr. sg. gir puri nom. pl. giras piras (gir-pur- fr. *gr-*pi- \S , \S 290) there exists precisely the same relation as between nom. sg. dhi- \Sit ('thought') bhi- \Sit ('earth') and instr. sg. dhi-gir dhi-gir dhi-gir ('thought') hi-gir ('earth') and instr. sg. dhi-gir dhi-g

It is remarkable that in Greek antesonantal $o\varrho$, $o\lambda$ and anteconsonantal $\varrho\omega$ $o\varrho$, $\lambda\omega$ od frequently stand to each other in the same relation as Skr. ir ur and $\bar{v}r$ $\bar{u}r$, since one would expect $u\varrho$ $u\lambda$ as the representative of Indg. antesonantal yr,

[l] (§ 294). ἔ-πορ-ο-ν 'gave, brought': πέ-πρω-ται. ἔ-τορ-ο-ν 'pierced': $\tau\iota$ -τρώ-σzω '1 master, injure, wound' (ep. Skr. tur-ά- 'advancing; injured, wound': $t\bar{u}ry\bar{u}mi$ '1 overpower' $pr\dot{a}$ - $t\bar{u}rti$ - \dot{s} 'a mastering, combat'). ἔ-θορ-ο-ν 'leapt, sprang': θ ρώ-σzω θ όρ-ννμαι. ἔ-μολ-ο-ν 'went, came': β λώ-σzω. β όλομαι '1 will, wish': Lesb. β όλλομαι. π ολ- \dot{v} - ς 'much' (Skr. $pur\dot{u}$ - \dot{s}): π ολλοί '1). It may be inferred that the development of the vowel from short sonant liquid was influenced by the quality of the long vowel developed from \bar{t} , \bar{t} . Compare the transition of * θ α- τ ό- ς and * δ α- τ ό- ς to θ ε- τ ό- ς and δ ο- τ ό- ς through the influence of θ η - and δ ω- (§ 109 c.). If this inference is right, there must once have stood beside π όλ- ι - ς 'city' (Skr. $pur\bar{\tau}$ pur- $\dot{\tau}$ - \dot{s} , Lith. pil)-s) an anteconsonantal π ολ- or π λω- = Skr. $p\bar{u}r$ - ($p\bar{u}r$ -bhí \dot{s} etc.).

ABLAUT (VOWEL GRADATION)2).

§ 307. By ablaut or vowel gradation we understand such quantitative, qualitative and accentual differences of the sonantal element of a root- or suffix-syllable, as were not called forth by sound-laws which were in operation at the time of the individual development of the Indg. languages, but had their origin either directly or indirectly in prim. Indg. differences. With them are further often also connected consonantal differences in the syllable containing the sonantal element. The following, e. g., stand in ablaut relation to one another: Lat. da-tus: $d\bar{o}$ -num; s- $i\bar{e}$ -s (O.Lat. for $s\bar{s}$ s): s- \bar{s} -mus; Gr. $\check{a}\gamma$ - ω : $\sigma\tau\varrho\alpha\tau$ - $\bar{a}\gamma$ - $\acute{o}\varsigma$ (Ion. Att. $\sigma\tau\varrho\alpha\tau$ - $\eta\gamma$ - $\acute{o}\varsigma$); $\lambda\varepsilon\iota\pi$ - $\varepsilon\iota\nu$: $\lambda\iota\iota\pi$ - $\acute{o}\varsigma$: $\lambda\iota\pi$ - $\varepsilon\bar{\iota}\nu$; $\check{a}\gamma$ - ε - $\tau\varepsilon$: $\check{a}\gamma$ -o- $\mu\varepsilon\nu$; $\mu\eta$ - $\tau\acute{e}$ ϱ - ε s: $\mu\eta$ - $\tau\varrho$ - $\acute{o}\varsigma$: $\mu\eta$ - $\tau\varrho$ \acute{a} - $\sigma\iota$; Goth. $\alpha\iota\dot{u}hs$ -in: $\alpha\iota\dot{u}hs$ -an-s: $a\iota\dot{u}hs$ -n- \bar{e} (loc. sg., nom. pl., gen. pl. of $\alpha\iota\dot{u}hs$ \acute{o} x'): Skr. $p\acute{a}c$ -ami 'I cook': pak- $t\acute{a}s$ 'cooked' (difference of accentuation).

²⁾ The most important literature has been mentioned on p.31-32 footnote 2. To this may further be added Leskien Der Ablaut der Wurzelsilben im Litauischen, Leipzig 1884.

Rem. 1. Accordingly the difference, e. g., between Gr. $\tilde{\epsilon}_{-\gamma r\omega - \nu}$ $\tilde{\epsilon}_{-\gamma r\omega - \mu \epsilon \nu}$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}_{-\gamma r\omega - \nu}$ $\gamma r\delta_{-r\tau \epsilon \epsilon}$ has nothing to do with ablaut; since $\gamma r\omega_{-\nu \epsilon}$ became $\gamma r\omega_{-\nu \epsilon}$ by a special Greek shortening, s. § 611; nor the contrast between Goth. pagkju 'I think' and $p\bar{a}htu$ 'I thought' (s. § 214 p. 181), nor that between OHG. $ird_{-\bar{\nu}n}$ 'earthen' and $erd_{-\bar{\alpha}}$ 'earth' (s. § 67 p. 57) etc.

Rem. 2. Indg. long vowels, which from etymological considerations are shown to be the product arising from the contraction of two vowels (§§ 111—115), are rightly not put under ablaut as separate members. Cp. e. g. abl. sg. *ekūūd (Lat. equō) fr. *ekūvo-a·d nom. pl. *ekūvōs (Skr. ášvās) fr. *ekūvo-es (§ 115) beside nom. sg. *ekūvo-s (Lat. equos) voc. sg. *ekūve (Lat. eque) etc. Cp. § 314.

§ 308. \underline{i} and \underline{u} with a preceding or following vowel ($a\underline{i}$, $\underline{i}a$) stand, so far as relations of ablaut are concerned, on a level with consonant nasals and liquids. The following root-syllables, e. g., correspond to each other:

bheidh-, bhoidh- (Gr. $\pi\epsilon(\theta-\epsilon\iota, \pi\epsilon'-\pi\circ(\theta\epsilon))$) (bhendh-, bhondh- (Goth. bind-ip, band) bheudh-, bhoudh- (Goth. bind-ip, baup)) (derk-, dork- (Gr. $\delta\epsilon\varphi x_{-\epsilon}\tau u\iota$, $\delta\epsilon-\delta \varrho x_{-\epsilon})$ supp-, supp- (O.Icel. svef-n, Lith. sap-nu-s): prek-, prok- (Lat. prec-or, proc-us).

The sonants i and u appear in the place of i and u in the ablaut-grade, which is deprived of the vowels e o, just as sonant nasals and liquids in the place of the consonant.

```
 \begin{array}{l} bhidh\text{-} (\text{Gr. } \vec{\epsilon} = \pi \iota \theta = \epsilon) \\ bhudh\text{-} (\text{Goth. } bud\text{-}ans) \\ sup\text{-} (\text{Gr. } \vec{\epsilon} = \tau \iota \theta = \epsilon) \\ & : p_r \hat{k}\text{-} (\text{Gr. } \vec{\epsilon} = \delta \varrho \alpha \varkappa = \epsilon) \\ & : p_r \hat{k}\text{-} (\text{Lat. } posc\bar{o} \text{ fr. } *p_r(\hat{k})s\hat{k}\bar{o}, \S 288). \end{array}
```

§ 309. We distinguish six ablaut-series. They all have one grade in which the vowel of the syllable has entirely disappeared. Representing this by 0, we have the following vowel-series:

1. e-series: 0, e, o, \bar{e} , \bar{o} .

2. \bar{e} -series: 0, ∂ , \bar{e} , \bar{o} .

3. \bar{a} -series: 0, \bar{o} , \bar{a} , \bar{o} .

4. \bar{o} -series: 0, \bar{o} , \bar{o} .

5. α -series: 0, α , (o?), $\bar{\alpha}$, $\bar{\sigma}$.

6. o-series: 0, o, \bar{o} .

Many attempts have already been made (the most recent by Hübschmann Das idg. Vocalsystem, 1885) to systematise these series morphologically, i. e. to place together those phases of the different series, which belong to a definite morphological category, e. g. the root-syllable of participles formed with -to- or of the present indic. formed with the so-called thematic vowel. A parallelism clearly exists in many cases. E. g. part. *d+tó-s 'given' (Skr. dēvá-tta-s 'god-given') to *é-dō-m 'I gave' (Skr. á-dā-m) from rt. dō-, as *i-tó-s 'gone' (Skr. itá-s) to *é-ei-m, contracted *ēi-m 'I went' (Skr. áy-am) from rt. ei-. Or *kr-n-úti 3. pl. 'they sever, break' (Skr. śṛ-n-ánti) to *kṛ-n-ánti 1. sg. (Skr. śṛ-ná-mi) with present suffix -nā-, as *qi-ny-úti 3. pl. 'they pile up' (Skr. ci-nv-ánti) to *qi-néy-mi 1. sg. (Skr. ci-nō-mi) with present suffix -ney-. On this principle, the three first series e. g. have been parallelised in the following manner:

	Weak	grade	Medium	gr.	Strong gr.
$e ext{-series}$:	0	(e).	e	•	o
$\tilde{e} ext{-series}$:	0	э.	$ar{e}$		\bar{o}
\vec{a} -series:	0	а,	\bar{a}	,	ō,

from which the members \bar{e} and \bar{o} of the e-series were excluded because parallels were not found to them (they appear e.g. in Gr. $\pi\alpha$ - $\tau\eta'\rho$ and $\delta\omega$ - $\tau\omega\rho$, Dor. $\pi\omega'\varsigma'$ foot) in both the other series.

The investigations instituted in this direction have not yet gone far enough to let us give a system of gradation-series completely worked out on this principle. And it is questionable whether we have a right to aim at the attainment of such a system at all, in the sense in which it is usual to do so. Several strata of formations, distinct in their time of origin, seem to overlie each other. In those which arose earlier, much may have been obliterated by transference of forms before the new cause of gradation came into activity, and the later phonetic law which called new distinctions into being did not act in the same manner as the older law or laws. In this case it is from the outset impossible to expect that parallels can be found everywhere.

§ 310. Clearness prevails most of all in the case of the weak grade, which is recognisable in each ablaut-series and assumes in most series a distinct twofold shape. It is due to a reduction of the vowel, which was caused through the chief accent lying on the following syllable. Cp. e. g. the participles, $*d+t\acute{o}$ -s from rt. $d\bar{o}$ - and *i-t\acute{o}-s from rt. $e\acute{t}$ -, quoted in § 309. This connexion between accentuation and the phase of ablaut is best recognisable in Skr., since this language had most faithfully preserved the prim. Indg. accentuation (§§ 669. 672).

What we denote as the 'weak' stem-form in the accidence, has weak grade vocalism.

Rem. Many phenomena of younger phases are instructive for the relation of drk- to pt- etc. (§ 308). E. g. by the West Germ. law of syncope OHG. *hōrita 'heard', *brannita 'burnt' appear as hōrta, branta, whereas *zimbrita 'built' (= Goth. timrida) became *zimbrita, whence historic zimbarta; prim. Germ. *ulfaz 'wolf' became OHG. volf, whereas prim. Germ. *akraz became *akrz, whence OHG. acchar (§§ 623 rem. 1. 635).

Opposed to the weak grade we call the other phases of each series the strong grades and distinguish strong grade 1. 2. etc. It is not known whether the strong grades, having the same number in the various series, always came into existence under the same conditions of accentuation etc.

What we denote as the 'strong' stem-form in the accidence, has strong grade vocalism.

1. e-series.

§ 311. The scheme is:

Weak grade		i	Strong	g grad	le	
a. unaccented	b. secondary accented	1	2	3	4	
0	(e)	é	0	$ec{ar{e}}$	\bar{o}	

This series appears most completely carried through in the nominal suffixes -(t)er-(-[t]or-), -en-, -men- and in the stem ped- 'foot':

$$\begin{array}{c} -(t)r^{-} \\ -(t)r^{-} \end{array} \right\} \qquad \begin{array}{c} -(t)\tilde{r}r^{-} \\ -(t)\tilde{r}r^{-} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} -(t)\tilde{e}r^{-} \\ -(t)\tilde{e}r^{-} \\ -(t)\tilde{e}r^{-} \end{array} - (t)\tilde{e}r^{-} \\ -(t)\tilde{e}r^{-} \\ -(t)\tilde{e}r^{-$$

Wg. a. -tr-: Gr. gen. sg. $\pi\alpha$ -τρ-ός, Skr. instr. sg. pi-tr-ά, Goth. gen. sg. fa-dr-s. -tr-: loc. pl. Gr. $\pi\alpha$ -τρά-σι, Skr. pi-tr-šu, Goth. dat. pl. fa-dru-m. — Wg. b. -trr-: perhaps Skr. nom. acc. $sth\bar{a}$ -túr (§ 285). — Str.g. 1. -tér-: acc. sg. Gr. $\pi\alpha$ -τέρ-α, Skr. pi-tár-am, Lith. dik-ter-i O.Bulg. $d\bar{u}$ š-ter-e. — Str.g. 2. -tor-: acc. sg. Gr. φ ρά-τορ-α, Skr. svás- \bar{a} r-am, O.Ir. siair, Goth. br \bar{o} -par svist-ar. — Str.g. 3. -tér-: nom. sg. Gr. $\pi\alpha$ -τήρ δο-τήρ, Skr. pi-tά $d\bar{a}$ -tά, Lat. pa-ter (§ 655, 4), O.Icel. fader fadir, Lith. duktě O.Bulg. $d\bar{u}$ šti. — Str.g. 4. -tōr-: nom. sg. Gr. φ ρά-τωρ δ ω'-τωρ, Skr. bhrá-tā dā-tā, Lat. da-tor (§ 655, 4), O.Ir. siurr Lith. ses-a.

The change between e and o and between \bar{e} and \bar{o} seems

here (cp. the material collected together by Collitz in Bezzenberger's Beitr. X 34 ff.), as also frequently in other circumstances, to have been so regulated that e and \bar{e} originally stood in chief-accented syllables, o and \bar{o} in the next following syllable. 1)

Wg. a. pd-: Av. fra-bd-a- (-bd- fr. *-pd- § 469, 2) 'the instep of the foot'. — Wg. b. ped-: Gr. Lesb. Bœot. πεδ-ά (instr.) 'after, with' (cp. Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 574), πεζό-ς, gen. sg. Lat. ped-is Skr. pad-ás. — Str.g. 1. ped- (whether it occurs, is uncertain). — Str.g. 2. pod-: acc. sg. Gr. πόδ-α Skr. $p\vec{a}d$ -am, nom. pl. Arm. ot- \mathcal{E} (§ 330 rem.), to which Lat. tri-podāre. — Str.g. 3. pēd-: Lat. nom. sg. pēs, perhaps also Lith. $p\dot{e}d-\dot{a}$ 'foot-print'. — Str. g. 4. $p\bar{o}d-:$ Gr. Dor. $\pi\omega\varsigma$, Goth. $f\bar{o}t-u-s$. - Umbr. du-purs-us 'bipedibus' either Str.g. 2 or 4, according as u is to be understood as \breve{o} or \bar{o} . Skr. nom. sg. $p \check{a} t$ either Str.g. 3 or 4.

Rem. In the Indg. prim. language the simple form perhaps had in the nom. sg. * $p\dot{e}d$ -, acc. sg. * $p\dot{e}d$ - (Lat. ped-em?), whereas the compounds (cp. Skr. aštá-pāt, Gr. dzid-nov; etc.), and also the simple form, when in accent it entered into a close connexion with preceding words, had *-pod- and *-pod-. Then levellings in various directions took place 2).

For further information regarding these declensions with gradation see the accidence.

§ 312. The weak grade.

In formative syllables ending in an explosive or a spirant, the weak grade has only two forms, while four appear in those ending in i, u, nasal, liquid, since the unaccented as well as the secondary accented phase assumes two forms according as a sonant or a consonant follows:

¹⁾ Cp. G. Meyer in Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIV 248, Mahlow Die langen Voc. 161, Fick Gött. gel. Anz. 1880 p. 421 ff., Möller Paul-Braune's Beitr. VII

²⁾ By this it is not implied that this change in the vowel quality is to be maintained for all monosyllabic gradating nouns. These nouns were not all created in the same period.

	•			
rt. ped-: rt. ed-: rt. sneigh-: rt. leug-:	pd- (Av. fra d- (Gr. ἄρι-σ	-το-ν 'breakfas' νίφα)	ped- (Skr.	
rt. bheu-:	before sonants	before cons.	before son.	before cons. $bh\bar{u}$ -
rt. gem-:	gni- str-	gņ- str-	gņim- stŗr-	gīņ - s∤ <u>r</u> -,
T7	1	l		

Examples for the three last named roots are:

Rt. bhey- 'become'. bhy-: Skr. á-bhv-a-, Gr. $\dot{v}\pi\epsilon\rho\eta$ (a λ o- ς fr. * $\dot{v}\pi\epsilon\rho$ - η F- $\iota\alpha\lambda$ o- ς (§ 166), O.Bulg. bĕ fr. *by-č (§ 184). bhu-: Gr. $\dot{q}\dot{v}$ - σ - ς , Lat. fu- $t\bar{u}r$ u-s. bhuy-: Skr. gen. sg. bhuv-ás, Gr. $\dot{\epsilon}q\dot{v}\eta\nu$. bhū-: Skr. bhū-ti- bhū-man-, Gr. $\dot{q}\ddot{v}$ - $\mu\alpha$.

Rt. gem- 'go'. gm-: Av. 3. sg. act. γm - α -p, O.Pers. 3. sg. mid. α -gm- α - $t\bar{\alpha}$. gm-: Skr. $g\alpha$ - $t\acute{\alpha}$ -s, Gr. $\beta\alpha$ - $\tau\acute{o}$ -s, Lat. in-ven-tu-s. gmm-: Skr. $g\alpha$ -mi, OHG. kom- α n. $g\overline{m}$ -: Skr. $\acute{\alpha}$ - $g\overline{\alpha}$ - $t\alpha$, Gr. $\check{\epsilon}$ - $\beta\bar{\alpha}$ - $\tau\bar{\epsilon}$.

Rt. ster- 'spread, strew'. str-: Lat. str-uere. str-: Skr. str-tá-s, Gr. $\sigma\tau\rho\omega$ - $\tau\delta$ - ς . strr-: Skr. pf. mid. ti-stir- $\dot{\epsilon}$ ti-stir- $\bar{a}n\dot{\alpha}$ -s, O.Bulg. 1. sg. pres. st \dot{r} -a. st $\dot{\bar{r}}$ -: Skr. st $\dot{\bar{r}}$ r- $n\dot{\alpha}$ -s, Gr. $\sigma\tau\rho\omega$ - $\tau\delta$ - ς $\sigma\tau\delta\rho\nu\bar{\nu}\mu^{\iota}$ (§ 611), Lat. stratu-s.

The parallelism between $-\bar{\imath}$, $-\bar{\imath}$, $-\bar{\imath}$, $-\bar{\imath}$, etc. on the one hand and $-i\dot{\imath}$, -uu, -vn, -vr on the other is clearly seen e. g. in such forms as Skr. $bh\dot{u}$ - $\check{\imath}$ 'world' gen. bhuv- $\check{\imath}$ s, $bhr\dot{u}$ - $\check{\imath}$ gen. bhruv- $\check{\imath}$ s Gr. $\check{\imath}$ $\phi o\bar{v}$ - ς gen. $\check{\imath}$ gen. bhruv- $\check{\imath}$ s Gr. $\check{\imath}$ $\phi o\bar{v}$ - ς gen. $\check{\imath}$ gen. $\check{\jmath}$ gen

§ 313. That both phases of the weak grade — here as in all other ablaut-series — originated in the different degree of the stress-accent (§ 667, 3), is a probable result of Osthoff's investigation (Morph. Unt. IV).

The sound-form to which we have given the name of weak grade b., shows a less degree of weakening and regularly appears wherever a secondary stress remained upon the syllable. The phase a originated whenever through any circumstances, connected with position in the sentence, the syllable lost its secondary accent and became accentless. Such circumstances are priority of another member of a compound, the prefixing or suffixing of a formative syllable etc.

That position of a syllable at the beginning of a sentence or member of a sentence occasioned secondary accent, may be concluded from the numerous double forms as Av. fra-bd-aand Skr. pad-ás; Av. haurva-fš-u- 'the whole beast' (-fš- from *-ps-, § 473) and pasu-Skr. paś-ú- 'beast' (Indg. * $pe\hat{k}$ -ú-); Skr. á-bhv-a- 'contrary to all existence, monstrous' and gen. bhuv-ás: su-bhru-š 'having a beautiful brow' and bhrū-š 'brow'; qōghn-á-s 'cow killer' and ghan-á-s 'destroyer'; á-gr-u-š and gurú-š (§ 290); Gr. $\pi o \lambda \dot{v} - \tau \lambda \bar{\alpha} g$ and $\tau \dot{\omega} \lambda \bar{\alpha} g$. We may probably assume also that forms like *quá- (Ved. quá-, O.Ir. gen. sg. muā), *Îlutó-s (Skr. Śrutú-s, Gr. zhvró-c etc.), *strtó-s (Skr. strtá-s, Gr. στρατό-ς) beside *annά- (Ved. ganά-, Boot. βανά, O.Icel. kona), * $\hat{k}l\bar{u}t\dot{o}$ -s (OHG. $hl\bar{u}t$), * $st\bar{r}t\dot{o}$ -s (Gr. $\sigma\tau\rho\omega\tau\dot{o}$ -g, Lat. $str\bar{u}tu$ -s) originally had their position regularly in such combinations as *sá gná 'this wife', *tóm klutóm 'this renowned man' (= τον κλυτόν). Naturally as soon as accentless and secondary accented forms were firmly established levelling took place, e. g. Skr. prá-pada-m 'point of the foot' ánašta-pašu-š 'he who loses nothing from his herd' a-guru-š 'not heavy' beside the more archaic Av. fra-bda- haurvafšu- Skr. á-gru-š.

It is worth noticing how double forms have been often called into use for euphonic reasons and through the striving after etymological clearness. In forms like *peqtó-s 'cooked' *peqtí-s 'cooking' (Skr. paktá-s paktí-š, Gr. πεπτό-ς πέψι-ς, Lat. coctu-s coctiō from *quecto-s *quectiō §§ 172. 431 a), *spektó-s 'spied' (Skr. spaštá-s, Lat. spectu-s), a generalisation of the secondary accented form took place in the system of verbal forms belonging to one unity, since *pqtó-s *spktó-s etc. had become obscure

by their modification; *pqtó-s is however perhaps still represented by Gr. 6-πτό-ς with prothetic vowel. Of the double forms prim Ar. *z-dhi and *az-dhi 'be' (rt. es-) Skr. generalised the second form in spite of s-más s-thá s-tá etc., since *dhí from *zdhí was too indistinct; from *azdhí came regularly ēdhí (§ 591): the first form was retained by Iranian, Av. z-dī. If a combination of consonants preceded formative syllables ending in i, u, nasal or liquid, the antesonantal secondary accented form was often generalised. Thus we have *bhru- beside *bhrū- 'brow', but no *bhru- beside *bhruu-, only *sruu- not *sru- beside *sru-('flow') (Skr. \acute{a} -susruv-a-t, Gr. $\acute{\epsilon}\rho\rho\dot{v}\eta$), only $\acute{k}lij$ -, not $*\acute{k}lij$ - beside *ĥli- ('lean') (Skr. ši-šriy-ē, Gr. Hom. κε-κλί-αται). Compare further postconsonantal *-nuu-nti in Skr. śak-nuv-ánti Gr. áyνύ-āσι with Skr. šak-nu-más Gr. άγ-νυ-μεν and with Skr. cinv-ánti, the Skr. gen. sg. várt-man-as (prim. f. *vert-myn-os or -es) with the loc. pl. várt-ma-su (prim. f. *uert-mu-su) and the gen. sg. ná-mn-as. Cf. §§ 117. 120. 153. 159.

Rem. The question as to how the two phases of the weak grade developed phonetically from the forms of the strong grade, has received different answers and is even now not ripe for settlement. We may refer to the latest discussions by Hübschmann loc. cit. p. 181 ff. and Bremer Paul-Braune's Beitr. XI 264. 267.

Specially deserving of attention is the circumstance that we may very often be in doubt as to whether we should refer a secondary accented form of the weak grade of a root to the e- or \bar{e} -series, e. g. $p_{\bar{\ell}}^{-}$ 'fill' (§ 306) $g_{\bar{\ell}}$ 'live' (§ 37) to pel- $ge_{\bar{\ell}}$ -, or to $pl\bar{e}$ - (Lat. $pl\bar{e}$ -tu-s, Skr. $pr\bar{u}$ - $t\dot{u}$ -s) $g_{\bar{\ell}}\bar{e}$ - (Gr. $\zeta_{\bar{\eta}}^{-}$ from * $\zeta_{\bar{\eta}}^{-}$ ϵt , Av. $jy\bar{u}$ -tu-s 'life') just as the optative suffix - $\bar{\iota}$ -stands to the strong form - $i\bar{e}$ -. Cp. Hübsehmann loc. cit.

§ 314. The strong grade.

On the grades e and o there is nothing to add here to the observations in \S 311.

The phases \bar{e} and \bar{o} present difficulties in so far as in the case of many forms with \bar{e} and \bar{o} in the separate languages the question arises whether they first obtained their long vowel in the period after the Indg. prim. period had broken up, or whether the long vowel was inherited with the rest of the ablaut.

Forms with such an old \bar{e} \bar{o} were cited in § 311. Others are nom, Gr. $Z_{\bar{e}}\dot{v}_{\varsigma}$ from * $d_{\bar{e}}\dot{q}_{s}$ (§ 611) Skr. $d_{\bar{e}}\dot{q}_{s}\dot{v}_{\varsigma}$ 'sky'; Lat.

Rem. Observe that the \bar{e} of forms of the weak perf. stem as Lat. $s\bar{e}dimus$ Goth. $s\bar{e}tum$ from rt. sed-'sit' have nothing te do with the \bar{e} of the strong grade. \bar{e} arose here from \bar{e} by lengthening, $s\bar{e}d$ - from *se-zd- orig. *se-s(e)d-. See §§ 590. 591. 594 and the accidence. The same reduplicated root-form was apparently at the basis of many non-perfect verbal forms and noun formations which for the most part were formed later from the perfect. Thus Lith. $s\bar{e}dmi$ $s\bar{e}d\bar{z}im$ O.Bulg. $s\bar{e}\bar{z}dq$ 'I sit' Lat. $s\bar{e}d$ - $\bar{e}s$ $s\bar{e}d$ - $\bar{e}re$ to perf. Lat. $s\bar{e}d$ -imus; Armen. mit 'sense' Gr. $\mu r \delta o \mu a$ 'I devise' OHG. $m\bar{a}za$ 'a measure' to perf. Goth. $m\bar{e}t$ -um O.Ir. ro $m\bar{e}d$ -ar 'judicavi' from rt. med- (Gr. $\mu s \delta a$ Goth. mita Lat. modu-s etc.); Lat. $t\bar{e}gula$ Lith. $st\bar{e}giu$ 'I thatch a roof', rt. steg-; Goth. anda- $n\bar{e}ms$ 'pleasant', rt. uem-; etc. How far the assumption of such origin for the \bar{e} should extend, is certainly still doubtful. Cp. the author, Morph. Unt. IV 414, Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 82 f. 110 f.

We have further to distinguish according to § 307 rem. 2 the \bar{e} and \bar{o} which have arisen by contraction. Especially to be mentioned here is the \bar{e} in the forms of roots beginning with a vowel, as ed- 'eat' es 'be' etc. In the perf. stem $\bar{e}d$ - (Lat. $\bar{e}d$ -imus Skr. $\bar{a}d$ -imú etc.) \bar{e} arose by contraction of the e of the root with the e of the reduplication, in the imperf. stem $\bar{e}d$ - (Skr. $\bar{a}d$ -am by contraction of the e of the root with the augment e (§ 114). From this now originated to some extent a new root-form $\bar{e}d$ -, from which came Lat. $\bar{e}s$ -t- $\bar{e}s$ -u-s, Lith. $\bar{e}d$ -mi $\bar{e}d$ -i-s, Goth. uz- $\bar{e}ta$ etc., and which called into being Gr. $\bar{e}d$ -u-d-i-i-after the analogy of the ablaut \bar{e} -series. Cp. author loc. cit., Osthoff loc. cit. 122 ff. 148 ff.

On the other hand it must remain quite undecided if many other \bar{e} and \bar{o} forms were proper ablant vowels of the \bar{e} -series i. e. whether directly inherited or introduced by analogy. Besides sporadic forms as Gr. $\bar{i}_i\pi\alpha\varrho$ Av. $y\bar{a}kar^e$ (beside Lat. jecur Skr. yakýt) 'liver' the Skr. Vyddhivowels come especially into consideration. It is quite conceivable that e. g. the s-aorists a-bhāut-sam (rt. bheydh-) a-drāk-ṣām from *a-dārk-ṣām § 259 (rt. der \hat{k} -) a-jāi-ṣām (rt. ge \hat{i} -) a-bhār-ṣām (rt. bher-) represent an Indg. aorist formation with long root-vowel; ep. Lat. rēxī tēxī, O.Bulg. nesū rēchū basū and observe that in Gr. ĕyevoa ĕreģwa ĕretoa Ēzeģva the ϵ of the root syllable may have been shortened from \bar{e} (§ 611). Cp. the accidence.

2. \bar{e} -series.

8	315.	The	scheme	is:
- 25	OIO.	1110	DOLLOILLO	10.

•	Weak grade a. unaccented b. secondary accented 1. 2.			
a. unaccented	b. secondary accented	1.	2.	
0	ð	$ar{e}$	$\bar{o},$	
e. g. from rt. dhē-	'put, place':			
dh-	dhə-	$dh \bar{e}$	$dh\bar{o}$	

Wg. a. dh-: Skr. 1. pl. du-dh-más, O.Bulg. 1. sg. deždq from *de-d-q(§ 147), Lith. 3. sg. džsti i. e. *dé-d+ti (§§ 544. 552). — Wg. b. dh-a-: Skr. part. -dhi-ta-s hi-tá-s, 3. sg. aor. d-dhi-ta, Gr. θ -t-o-c ξ - θ -s-o, Lat. cr \bar{e} di-tu-s from *cr \bar{c} da-tu-s§ 109 d (Skr. \dot{s} rád-dhita-m neut. 'trusted, believed'). — Str.g. 1. dh \bar{e} -: Gr. τ i- θ η- μ u $\dot{\alpha}$ rá- θ η- μ a, Skr. dá-dhā-mi dhā-man- neut. 'seat, place', Goth. ga- $d\bar{e}$ -ps OHG. tā-t OHG. part. gi-tām, inf. Lith. dέ-ti O.Bulg. dě-ti, Lith. fut. dέ-siu, O.Bulg. aor. dě-ch \bar{u} . — Str.g. 2. dhā-: Gr. θ α-uβ-c 'heap', Goth. dāms 'judgment', OHG. tuot 'does' orig. *dhā-ie-ti.

From rt. lēd- 'let': — Wg. b. lɔd-: Lat. lassu-s, Goth. lats OHG. laz 'weary, lazy'. — Str.g. 1. lēd-: Goth. lētan 'let'. — Str.g. 2. lōd-: Goth. perf. lai-lōt.

The Gr. ε (Wg. b.) in $\theta \varepsilon - \tau \delta - \varsigma$, $\dot{\varepsilon} - \tau \delta - \varsigma$ etc. had taken the place of regular α , see § 109 c.

Skr. da-dh- $m\acute{a}s$ bears the same relation to dhi- $\check{s}v\acute{a}$ (imper., cp. Gr. $\theta \dot{\varepsilon}$ -o) as Av. haurva- $f\check{s}$ -u- does to pas-u- Skr $pa\acute{s}$ - \acute{u} -(§ 313 p. 251), that is, the unaccented weak grade phase in da-dh- $m\acute{a}s$ was occasioned by the prefixing of the reduplicated syllable. Greek $\tau \dot{t}$ - $\theta \varepsilon$ - $\mu \varepsilon v$ was a new formation for $*\tau \iota$ - θ - $\mu \varepsilon v$.

Other examples of this ablaut-series in Hübschmann loc. cit. 71 ff.

3. ā-series.

§ 316. The scheme is:

W	Strong	grade	
a. unaccented	b. secondary accented	1.	2.
0	ð	\bar{a}	ō.

From rt. $bh\bar{a}$ - 'speak': — Wg. b. Gr. $\varphi \alpha - \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, Lat. $f\alpha$ -teor. — Str.g. 1. Gr. $\varphi \bar{\alpha} - \mu \hat{\iota}$, Lat. $f\bar{a}$ -ma $f\bar{a}$ -bula (Armen. $b\alpha$ -n ' $\lambda \acute{o} \gamma o \varsigma$ ' here or to wg. b. ?). — Str.g. 2. Gr. $\varphi \omega - \nu \acute{\eta}$.

From rt. stā- 'stand': — Wg. a. st-: Skr. weak stem of the part. perf. act. ta-sth-úṣ- (e. g. fem. tasth-úṣ-), savyē-ṣṭhar- '(the warrior) who stands on the left (of the charioteer)' Av. rapae-star- 'standing on the war-chariot, warrior' from *-sth+tar-. — Wg. b. stə-: Skr. 3. sg. aor. ά-sthi-ta, part. sthi-tá-s, sthi-ti-ṣ 'a standing', Gr. στα-τό-ς στά-σι-ς στα-τήο, Lat. sta-tu-s sta-tiō, Goth. staps 'stead, place', Lith. sta-taā 'I place', pl. stā-kli-s 'loom', O.Bulg. sto-ja 'I stand'. — Str. g. 1. stā-: Skr. aor. ά-sthā-m, sthā-man- 'standing-place, strength', Gr. ε-στη-μι ε-στη-μων, Lat. stā-men Stā-tor, O.Ir. -tau 'am' from *stā-jō, Goth. stō-ma 'basis, substance' stōls 'stool, seat', Lith. pa-stó-ju 'I become something' sto-mā 'stature', O.Bulg. sta-ja 'I stand'. — Str.g. 2. stō- cannot be established with certainty, it may be represented by Skr. perf. 3. sg. ta-sthā-ú.

Skr. savyē-šṭhar- : Gr. $\sigma\tau\alpha$ - $\tau\eta\phi$ = Av. haurva-fš-u- : Skr. paš-ú- (§ 313 p. 251).

Other examples of this ablaut-series in Hübschmann loc. cit. 91 ff.

4. ō-series.

§ 317. The scheme is:

From rt. $p\bar{v}$ - 'drink' : — Wg. b. $p\bar{\sigma}$ - : Gr. $\pi o - \tau \acute{o} - \nu \pi \acute{o} - \sigma \iota - \varsigma \pi o - \tau \acute{\eta} \varrho \iota o - \nu$. — Str.g. $p\bar{v}$ - : Skr. $p\bar{u}$ -t \acute{u} r- 'drinker', (ir. $\pi \check{w}$ - $\mu \alpha \pi \acute{\varepsilon}$ - $\pi \check{w}$ - $\pi \acute{e}$, Lat. $p\bar{v}$ -tor $p\bar{v}$ -culu-m.

Gr. o (wg. b.) in $\delta o - \tau \acute{o} - \varsigma = \pi o - \tau \acute{o} - \nu$ etc. had taken the place of regular a; the latter is preserved in $\delta \acute{a} - \nu o \varsigma$. See § 109 c.

Skr. $d\bar{v}\dot{a}$ -tta- $bh\dot{u}ga$ -tti- da- $dm\dot{a}s$ to Gr. δo - $\tau \dot{o}$ - ς Lat. da-tu-s Skr. $d\acute{\iota}$ -ti- $\dot{\varsigma}$ like Av. haurvu- $f\acute{s}$ -u- to Skr. $pa\dot{s}$ - \acute{u} - (§ 313 p. 251).

Other examples of this ablaut-series in Hübschmann loc. cit. 99 ff.

5. a-series.

§ 318. The scheme is:

	•	Weak	grade	Strong	grade
a.	unaccented	b.	secondary accented	1.	2.
	0		(a)	lpha	$\bar{a}.$

From rt. $a\hat{g}$ - 'drive, lead': — Wg. a. \hat{g} -: Skr. $p\acute{a}ri$ -j-man'wandering about' j- $m\acute{a}n$ - 'path'; here also Gr. \H{o} - γ - μ o- ς 'path'
with prothetic \mathring{o} - (as in \mathring{o} - $\pi v\acute{o}$ - ς , see p. 252)? — Wg. b. $a\hat{g}$ -:
Skr. aj- \acute{a} -s 'driver' = Gr. $\mathring{a}\gamma$ - \acute{o} - ς , Skr. aj- $ir\acute{a}$ -s 'quick' = Lat. ag-ili-s (with transition into the i-declension), Skr. pass. aj- $y\acute{a}$ - $t\bar{e}$, Gr. part. $\mathring{e}\pi$ -ax- $v\acute{o}$ - ς . — Str.g. 1. $a\hat{g}$ -: Skr. $\acute{a}j\bar{a}mi$, Armen. ac-em, Gr. $\check{a}\gamma$ -w, Lat. ag- \bar{o} , O.Ir. ag-aim, O.Icel. inf. ak-a. —
Str.g. 2. Skr. $\check{a}j\acute{i}$ - $\check{\varsigma}$ 'a race', Gr. $\sigma\tau \rho a\tau$ - $\eta v\acute{o}$ - ς , Lat. amb- $\bar{a}g$ - $\bar{e}s$.

From rt. bhag- 'allot, enjoy, eat': — Wg. a. bhg-: Skr. perf. mid $bh\bar{e}j$ - \acute{e} , an irregular transformation (see the accidence) of

original *bhe-bhg-. — Wg. b. bhag-: Skr. bhak-tá-m 'portion, food', Gr. φαγ-εῖν 'to eat'. — Str.g. 1. bhag-: Skr. bháj-āmi 'I allot, enjoy' (in case this had not taken the place of *bhajámi, if so, it had wg. b.) bhága-s 'distributer, giver of blessings', Av. baγa- 'god', O.Bulg. bogŭ 'god'. — Str.g. 2. bhāg-: Skr. perf. ba-bháj-a, bhāg-á-s 'share, lot'.

From rt. $ia\hat{g}$ - 'honour': — Wg. a. $i\hat{g}$ -: Skr. part. $i\hat{s}$ - $t\hat{a}$ -s, ytv-ij- 'sacrificing at the regular time'. — Str.g. 1. $ia\hat{g}$ -: Skr. $y\hat{a}j$ - $\bar{a}mi$, Gr. $\tilde{a}\zeta_0\mu\alpha\iota$ $\tilde{a}\gamma_0\varsigma$; here doubtless also, notwithstanding the suffixal accentuation, Skr. yaj- $\hat{n}\hat{a}$ -s Gr. $\hat{a}\gamma$ - $r\hat{o}$ - ς (since we should rather expect $\bar{\imath}\hat{g}$ - as wg. b.). — Str.g. 2. $i\bar{a}\hat{g}$ -: Skr. ati- $y\bar{a}j\hat{a}$ -s 'honouring exceedingly' causat. $y\bar{a}j\hat{a}y\bar{a}mi$.

From rt. dau- 'burn': — Wg. a. du- (du-): Skr. du- $n\acute{o}$ -ti 'burns, puts to grief'. — Wg. b. $d\bar{u}$ - duu-: Skr. $d\bar{u}$ - $n\acute{a}$ -s 'burnt, tortured', Gr. $\delta \acute{v}(\mathcal{F})$ - η 'woe, pain' — Str.g. 1. dau-: Skr. dav-a-s 'fire-brand', Gr. $\delta al\omega$ (from * $\delta a\mathcal{F}$ - $l\omega$) 'I light up' $\delta \acute{a}o_{\mathcal{F}}$ 'fire-brand, torch'. — Str.g. 2. $d\bar{a}u$ -: Skr. $d\bar{a}v$ - \acute{a} -s 'fire-brand', Gr. perf. $\delta \acute{e}$ - $\delta \eta$ - ε , $\delta \acute{a}\mathcal{F}$ - ιo -v $\delta \acute{\eta}\iota o$ -v $(\pi \tilde{v}\varrho)$.

From rt. aidh-'burn': — Wg. a. idh-: Skr. part. iddhá-s 'kindled, pure' idh-má-s 'fire-wood', Gr. lθ-αρό-ς 'serene, pure'. — Wg. b. τ̄dh-: Skr. τ̄dh-r-iya- 'belonging to the serene sky', Lat. pl. τ̄d-ūs scil. noctēs 'the clear nights', OHG. τ̄t-al 'pure, clear'. — Str.g. 1. aidh-: Skr. ḗdhas- 'fire wood', Gr. aiθω 'I kindle', Lat. aestus aestūs, O.Ir. aed 'fire', OHG. eit 'rogus, ignis'.

Skr. instr. sg. fem. nas-å nom. du. fem. nås-ā Ags. nas-dyrlu ('nostrils') OHG. nasa Lat. nār-ēs Lith. nós-i-s etc., proves the existence of an Indg. noun nom. sg. *nås(-s) acc. sg. *nås-n (str.g. 2), gen. sg. *nas-ós -és (wg. b.) 'nose'.

Other examples in Hübschmann loc. cit. 114 ff.

An unmistakable parallelism exists with the e-series. Observe especially also the forms of the nominal suffixes -ā-:-a-=
-o-:-e-, e. g. acc. sg. *eĥųā-m (Skr. áśvām, Lat. equam): voc.
*eĥųa (Skr. ámba, Gr. νύμφα, O.Bulg. źeno) = acc. sg. *eĥųo-m
(Skr. áśva-m, Lat. equo-m): voc. *eĥųe (Lat.eque, Gr. ἵππε).

It still of course remains questionable, how the o is to be explained which frequently occurs in this ablaut-series, e. g.

Gr. $\varkappa \acute{o}\sigma \mu o - \varsigma$ 'ornament' beside $\varkappa \acute{s} - \varkappa \alpha \sigma - \tau \alpha \iota$ 'is excellent' (Skr. 3. pl. perf. $\acute{s}\vec{a} - \acute{s}\alpha d - \acute{u}r$). See Osthoff in Hübschmann loc. cit. 190 f.

Rem. It seems to me hardly possible to carry out the hypothesis, indicated by Osthoff loc. cit. 191. Cp. e. g. the feminine suffix $-a - : -\bar{a} - :$

6. o-series.

§ 319. The	e scheme is:		
V	Veak grade	Strong	grade
a. unaccented	b. secondary accented	1.	$\overline{2}$.
0.5	(0)	ó	\bar{o} .

From rt. oq- 'see': — Wg. b. and str.g. 1. oq-: Gr. δπτέο-ν δψι-ς δψομαι δμμα, Lat. <math>oc-ulu-s, Lith. akλ-s O.Bulg. ok0 'eye'. — Str.g. 2: $\bar{o}q$ -: Gr. δπ-ωπ-ε ωψ.

From root od- 'smell': — Wg. b. and str.g. 1. od-: Gr. ὄζει οδμη', Lat. od-or ol-o ol- $e\bar{o}$. — Str.g. 2. $\bar{o}d$ -: ο'-ωδ-ε εν'-ωδης, Lith. ο' džiu 'I smell (something)'.

From rt. bhod- or bhodh- 'sting, prick, dig': — Wg. b. bhod(h)-: Lat. fossu-s fossa. — Wg. b. or str.g. 1. bhod(h)-: Lat. fodiō, O.Bulg. boda 'I sting', Lith. badaũ 'I prick'; to which also Gr. $\beta \delta\theta$ - ϱo - ς $\beta \delta\theta$ - $\bar{\nu}vo$ - ς 'ditch', in case we may assume that β had taken the place of π after the analogy of $\beta a\theta v'$ - ς $\beta \dot{\varepsilon}v\theta o\varsigma$ (the root would then certainly be bhodh-). — Str.g. 2. $bh\bar{o}d(h)$ -: Lat. $f\bar{o}d\bar{\iota}$, O.Bulg. basŭ aor. (cp. § 314 rem. p. 253), badaja 'I sting'.

Wg. a. would exist in Gr. $\partial r \iota r \iota r \varrho v$ right opposite, straight on, wg. b. in the form $\partial r \iota \iota r \varrho v$, if this adverb is really related to $\partial r \iota r \iota r \varrho \varrho v$ I push back, stop and this latter belongs to the o-series (cp. Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 267 f. 345).

Other examples in Osthoff loc. cit. 343 ff. and in Hübschmann loc. cit. 167 ff.

EXPLOSIVES.

§ 320. The so-called stop-consonants are in reality glides, implosive and explosive. The transition does not take place between two sounding elements, but between a sound and a

moment of absence of sound; it is of secondary importance that the mediae are mostly pronounced 'voiced'. If we regard language as a genetic product, the moment of the absence of sound is the material part in explosives which corresponds to the nucleus of the other elements of language. But it can of course only be heard by observing the implosive and explosive glides, and these are of paramount importance for the comprehension of language as an acoustic phenomenon. At all events the names stop-sound and explosive-sound only imperfectly indicate the nature of these elements of language. Cp. Flodström Bezzenberger's Beitr. VIII 1 ff.1).

§ 321. We consider the history of the Indg. explosives from two points of view, in as much as we ask ourselves the questions: what has been their fate as regards their place of articulation and what as regards their form of articulation?

According to the place of articulation we have to distinguish four classes of Indg. explosives:

- 1. Labials, more accurately bilabial or labio-labial (p-sounds): stop between the upper and lower lip;
- 2. Dentals (t-sounds): stop through articulation of the fore part of the tongue against the inner wall of the upper teeth or against their sockets;
- 3. Palatals (k-sounds): stop through articulation of the middle part of the back of the tongue against the back part of the hard palate, cp. say the k in English kid;
- 4. Velars (q-sounds): stop through articulation of the hind part of the back of the tongue against the soft palate (velum palatinum), cp. say the k in Mod.HG. kunst.

Rem. 1. Some use the term gutturals instead of velars. We avoid this name because it is apt to give rise to a confusion of this class of consonants with the palatals (3), since these are likewise often called gutturals. Many call classes 2 and 3 'the two guttural series' of the Indg. primitive language.

Rem. 2. In writing the Indg. prim. forms we employ k, kh, g, gh only when we leave it undecided whether the explosive had a palatal or velar articulation, e. g. rt. kneigh- § 423.

¹⁾ See now also Sievers Phonet. 3 33 ff.

These prim. Indg. sounds often left their old place of articulation in the development of the separate languages. The sphere of articulation, into which the sound was transferred, was either one which had already from of old been taken up with the production of explosives, e. g. Lat. quinque O.Ir. cōic 'five' fr. Indg. *penqe (transition of p- to a velar, see §§ 336. 339), or was a new one. To these new places of articulation belong:

the labio-dental position: articulation of the lower lip against the upper teeth, e. g. OHG labio-dental f from bilabial \hat{f} from Indg. p (§ 342);

the interdental: the edge of the tongue stops up the fissure between the two rows of teeth, e. g. Gr. spirant θ (p) from Indg. dh (§§ 365. 495);

the cerebral or cacuminal: the tip of the tongue is turned up and drawn back and articulates against the highest part of the dome of the palate, e. g. Skr. $t \not d \not dh$ from Indg. $t \not d dh$ (§§ 352. 355. 358).

Rem. 3. Some finer distinctions, e. g. between postdental and supradental sounds (Sievers Phonet. ³ 54 ff.), need not be considered here.

§ 322. According to the form of articulation we must likewise distinguish four classes.

First of all comes into consideration the difference which calls for the division into tenues and mediae. Its characteristic feature is the greater or less tension to which the parts of the mouth are put in the formation of explosives, e. g. a more energetic closing and opening of the lips takes place with p than with b. Hence the names fortis and lenis are also used instead of tenuis and media.

The mediae may be produced with or without voice (§ 16). In the prim. Indg. period they were probably voiced throughout and remained so almost everywhere, where they did not pass into fortes.

The Indg. tenues and mediae were pronounced both with and without aspiration. The aspiration is indicated by placing an h after the respective sound: th, bh etc.

In the Indg. primitive period there accordingly existed:

- 1. unaspirated tenues, e. g. t;
- 2. unaspirated voiced mediae, e. g. d;
- 3. aspirated tenues, e. g. th;
- 4. aspirated voiced mediae, e. g. dh.

In the developments of the separate languages the form of articulation suffered numerous changes, to which the name sound-shifting has been given. These changes partly happened in such a manner that the result of the change represents another of the forms of articulation belonging to this class which had been handed down from the Indg. prim. language, e. g. Indg. dh became d in Iranian, Keltic and Baltic-Slavonic, and th in Greek (§§ 481. 495. 524. 542. 549). But in the various stages of the separate developments we also meet with other kinds of sounds in the place of the Indg. explosives, viz.:

affricatae i. e. explosives with a following homorganic spirant, e. g. Arm. c from Indg. \hat{g} (§§ 409. 484), HG. pf, z (i. e. ts), ch (i. e. $k\chi$) from Indg. b, d, \hat{g} g (§ 533);

spirants (fricatives), e. g. Germanic f from Indg. p (§ 527 ff.);

h i. e. voiceless vowel (§ 16), e. g. HG. h from Indg. \hat{k} , q (§ 529);

consonantal vowels, e. g. Armen. -aur- from -atr-(§§ 360. 483), M.Cymr. seith = Ir. secht 'septem' (§ 339);

long vowels as representatives of original short vowel + explosive, e. g. O.Ir. ēn 'bird' from (O.Bret.) etn (§ 518);

nasals e. g. Lat. mn from Indg. pn (§ 500);

liquids, e. g. Lat. l from d (§ 369).

The Indg. sound also often entirely disappeared, e. g. p in Keltic (§ 339).

Lastly it may be pointed out that the explosive, after it had become a sound of another kind, not rarely became again an explosive later, e. g. Indg. *rudhró- 'red' (Gr. $\frac{2}{5}ov\theta\rho\phi'-\varsigma$) became Lat. rubro-, the combination -dhr- having passed through the stages -thr-, -pr-, -fr-, -br- (§ 509). It thus happened

sometimes that the prim. Indg. form and place of articulation were reached again, e. g. in OHG. fater 'father', whose t represents Indg. t (Skr. $pit\tilde{a}$ Gr. $\pi a \tau \eta \rho$ etc.), which had become t after having passed through the various stages p, \bar{d} , d (§ 528 ff.).

§ 323. The system of the Indg. explosives was accordingly the following:

		Places of articulation					
			linguo-palatals				
		labial	labial dental palatal velar				
forms of articulation	ten.	p b	$egin{pmatrix} t & & \\ d & & \end{aligned}$	\hat{k}	g g		
of art	ten. asp.	ph	· th	$\hat{k}h$	qh		
forms	voic. med. asp.	bh	dh	$\hat{g}h$	gh		

We now consider the history of these explosive sounds singly, beginning first of all with their places of articulation. We shall not treat the tenues aspiratae until section 2. (B., §§ 475. 553). These sounds seem to have been comparatively rare in the prim. Indg. period and present no special peculiarities in their place of articulation as compared with the other explosives.

A. THE EXPLOSIVES ACCORDING TO THEIR PLACE OF ARTICULATION.

1. Labial explosives.

Indg. primitive period.

§ 324. Tenuis p. *pə-tér- 'father': Skr. pitár- Armen. hair Gr. πατής Lat. pater O.Ir. athir Goth. fadar. *pro 'fore, before': Skr. pra- Gr. πςς Lat. prōd prō O.Ir. ro Lith. pra-O.Bulg. pro-. Rt. suep- 'sleep': Skr. svápiti 'sleeps' svápna-s 'sleep, dream', Armen. kun (gen. knoy) 'sleep' (k- from su-, see

§ 162), Gr. $"v\pi vo-\varsigma"$ 'sleep', Lat. sopor somnu-s, O.Ir. suan 'sleep' (§ 339 rem.), Ags. swefan 'to sleep' O.Icel. svefn 'sleep', Lith. sāpna-s 'dream' O.Bulg. sŭpati 'to sleep' sŭnŭ 'sleep'. *sérpō 'I creep, crawl': Skr. sárpāmi Gr. εonw Lat. serpō. Rt. spek-'spy': Skr. spáš-'spy, watchman', Lat. cōn-spiciō au-spex, OHG. spehōn 'to spy'.

Suffixal elements with p seem not to have existed in the prim. Indg. period, if we must not put p here in certain cases where it is usually regarded as 'root-determinative', e. g. $sthap-\acute{a}yami$ 'I cause to stand, erect' OHG. $stab\bar{e}n$ 'to be stiff' stab 'staff', from the root $st\bar{a}$ -.

§ 325. Media b. This sound occurred more rarely in the Indg. prim. period than any of the other explosives. *pib- or perhaps *bib- 'drink': Skr. pibāmi O.Ir. ebaim (prim. Kelt. *pibō. § 339) Lat. bibō 'I drink', possibly a reduplicated form; its relation to the root $p\bar{o}$ - with the same meaning (§ 317) is not clear (for an uncertain conjecture see Osthoff Morph, Unt. IV 43). Rt. lāb-: Skr. rámbatē lámbatē 'hangs down loosely, sinks down', Lat. lābitur. Rt. bar- bal- (cp. § 282 on ger- gel-): Skr. balbalā-karōmi 'I stammer', Gr. βάρβαρο-ς, Lat. balbus balbūtīre. *-bd-, unaccented weak grade form to ped-'foot' (§§ 311. 469, 2): Skr. upa-bd-á- 'a stamping, trampling', Gr. ἐπί-βδ-αι 'day after the festival' (cp. $\pi \varepsilon \delta \hat{\alpha}$ on foot, next afterwards, after', Armen. het yet behind, after, with'). Root-form dheyb-: O.Ir. fu-domain (compar. fu-dumnu) Cymr. dwfn 'deep' (prim. Kelt. -bn-, § 520), Goth. diups 'deep' MHG. tümpfel 'pool', Lith. dubù-s 'deep, hollow' dumburys 'a hole in the ground filled with water' daubà 'ravine, defile' O.Bulg. dŭbri 'dale, ravine'; beside which dheup- in Ags. dyfan 'to dive' OHG. tobal 'a hollow in a forest', O.Bulg. dupli 'hollow' (§ 221 p. 188 f. § 469, 7). Cp. §§ 328, 331, 334, 337. 340. 343. 346.

b seems not to exist in suffixal elements.

§ 326. Media as p. bh. *bhérō 'I bear, carry': Skr. bhárāmi Armen. berem Gr. φέρω Lat. ferō O.Ir. berim Goth. baíra O.Bulg. berą. *bhrū-*bhruų- 'eye brow' (§ 312): Skr. bhrū-š Gr. ἀφρῦ-ς Mid.Ir. gen. du. brūad OHG. brāwa Lith. bruv-i-s

O.Bulg. brŭv-ĩ. *nébhos n. 'cloud, atmosphere': Skr. nábhas Gr. νέφος Lith. debes-ì-s (with unexplained d- for n-) O.Bulg. nebo, cp. also Gr. νεφέλη Lat. nebula O.Ir. nēl (fr. *neblo-) OHG. nebul 'cloud, fog'. *ĝombho-s: Skr. jámbha-s 'tooth', Gr. γόμφο-ς 'tooth', OHG. chamb 'comb', Lith. žamba 'mouth' O.Bulg. zabŭ 'tooth'.

Formative suffix of noun stems -bho-: Skr. v_I ša-bhá-s I ša-bhá-s 'bull' Gr. $\check{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha$ -qo- ς 'stag' $\check{\epsilon}\varrho\iota$ -qo- ς 'buck'. -bh- in case suffixes: Skr. instr. pl. -bhi $\check{\varsigma}$ etc., Armen. instr. sg. -b instr. pl. -bk, Gr. - $q\iota(v)$, Lat. -bus, ti- $b\bar{\iota}$ $n\bar{o}$ - $b\bar{\iota}$ s, O.Ir. dat. pl. -b, O.Bulg. te-bě 'tibi'.

Aryan.

§ 327. Indg. p. Skr. pychámi Av. $per^esāmi$ 'I ask' O.Pers. 2. sg. imper. $pars\bar{a}$: Lat. $posc\bar{o}$ from *por(c)- $sc\bar{o}$ (§ 269), OHG. forsca 'question', rt. $pre\hat{k}$ - ($py\hat{k}$ -). Skr. $nap\bar{a}t$ Av. $nap\bar{a}t$ O.Pers. $nap\bar{a}t$ 'descendant, grandchild': Gr. pl. $v\acute{\epsilon}\pio\delta\epsilon\varsigma$ 'children' (with δ instead of τ after the analogy of $\pi\acute{o}\delta\epsilon\varsigma$ through the resemblance of the nom. sg. * $v\epsilon\pi\omega\varsigma$ to $\pi\acute{\omega}\varsigma$ 'foot'), Lat. $nep\bar{o}s$, Mid-Ir. $ni\epsilon$ (gen. niath) 'sister's son', OHG. nefo 'nephew, relation'. Skr. $sp\acute{a}\acute{s}$ - Av. spas- 'spy': Lat. au-spex.

The change of organ remains unclear in Skr. $\xi th\bar{\nu}v$ - $\xi th\nu\bar{\nu}$ 'spit' (pres. $\xi th\hat{\nu}\bar{a}mi$ part. $\xi th\nu\bar{u}t\acute{a}$ -): Gr. $\pi \tau \acute{\nu}\omega$ from $*(\sigma)\pi_{k}\bar{\nu}$ - $\iota\omega$ (§ 131 p. 119), Lat. $spu\bar{o}$, Goth. speiva, Lith. $spi\acute{a}u$ -ju.

In Iranian p became f before consonants, and was probably pronounced as a labio-dental, e. g. Av. O.Pers. fra—Skr. pra-'before', see § 473, 1.

§ 328. Indg. b. Skr. buk-kāra-s the roaring of a lion: Gr. βίντης 'howling', Lat. būcina 'a crooked horn' or 'trumpet', O.Bulg. bučati 'to bellow'. Skr. sabar- 'nectar': OHG. saf Ags. sæp 'juice'; rt. sab- was perhaps a secondary form of sap- in Lat. sapiō (§ 469, 7). Skr. upa-bd-ά- 'a stamping, trampling', Av. upa-bd-a- 'foot', see § 325. Upon *ab-bhias, dat. abl. pl. from ap- 'water', rests Av. aiwyō; on Skr. adbhyás adbhíš see rem. 2. Cp. § 325.

Rem. 1. Prim. Ar. b in the combination bdh = bh + t, as Skr. $d_f bdh a$ 'wound, twisted', Av. $der^e w da$ - m. 'a twist, plait' (for w from b see § 478),

from rt. derbh-. It has not been determined how this combination was pronounced in the Indg. prim. period, see § 552.

Rem. 2. The d in Ved. $adbhi\S$, instr. pl. from ap- 'water', and in sa- $s\acute{p}dbhi\S$, instr. pl. from sa- $s\acute{p}p$ -, appellation of ten divinities and the offerings dedicated to them, cannot phonetically have taken the place of b. It was probably caused by the analogy of $n\acute{a}dbhi\S$ from *nabd- $bhi\S$ (stem napt-'descendant'). See Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 600 f.

§ 329. Indg. bh. Skr. bháv-ati Av. bavaiti 'becomes, is' O.Pers. 3. sg. conj. bavātiy, Skr. bhú-mi- Av. bū-mi- O.Pers. bu-mi-, 'earth': Gr. φύ-σι-ς 'nature', Lat. fuam futūru-s, O.Ir. buith 'existence', OHG. būan 'to dwell, build', Lith. bú-ti O.Bulg. by-ti 'to be', rt. bheu-. Skr. bhrátā Av. brāta O.Pers. brātā 'brother': Arm. eλbair (§§ 263. 360) Gr. φοάτωο Lat. frāter O.Ir. brāthir Goth. brōpar Lith. broter-čli-s (demin.) O.Bulg. bratrū 'brother'. Skr. abhí Av. aiwi (Gāp. aibī) O.Pers. abiy 'hither': to Goth. bi OHG. bī 'by'. 3. pl. perf. Skr. babhr-úr Av. bawr-ar', Indg. *bhe-bhr-, from rt. bher- 'bear, carry'.

Armenian.

§ 330. Indg. p. Initially mostly h- before vowels. hing 'five': Skr. p'anca Gr. $\pi\'ev\tau \epsilon$ Lith. penki. heri 'distant': Skr. p'ara-s' more distant, on the other side', Gr. $\pi\'ev\bar{\alpha}v$ 'on the other side', O.Ir. ire 'ulterior', Goth. fa'irra 'distant'.

Rem. For this h we sometimes find y, e. g. yawray beside hauru 'step-father', to Gr. $\pi \acute{a} \tau \varrho \omega$; 'uncle'; yi-sun 'fifty' beside hing 'five' (Hübschmann Ztschr. d. deutsch. morg. Ges. XXXV 656, Arm. Stud. I 45. 74). The phonetic relation is not clear. With loss of h-, otn 'foot' pl. ot-k? Gr. $\pi \acute{a} \acute{b} - \varepsilon \acute{c}$.

ev 'and, also' : Skr. ápi 'also', Gr. ἔπι 'on, to'. evtn 'seven' : Skr. saptá Gr. ἑπτά Lat. septem O.Ir. secht n- Lith. septynì 'seven'.

ep'em 'I cook' probably to Greek ξψω. p'oit (gen. p'utoy) 'σπουδή', to σπουδή (Hübschmann Ztschr. d. d. m. Ges. XXXVI 117).

§ 331. Indg. b. Here belongs perhaps bu and bu-ēč 'owl': Gr. $\beta \dot{\nu} \bar{\alpha} - \zeta \beta \dot{\nu} \zeta \alpha$ ($\beta \tilde{\nu} \zeta \alpha$?) 'horn-owl', Lat. $b \bar{u} b \bar{o}$; probably an onomatopoetic formation; in this case b would not have been shifted to a tenuis like the other Indg. mediae (§ 484).

§ 332. Indg. bh. ba-n (gen. bani) ' $\lambda \acute{o}\gamma o - g$ ': Gr. $\phi \eta - \mu \acute{u}$ 'I say' $\phi \omega - r \acute{\eta}$ 'voice', Lat. fa-teor $f\bar{a}$ -ma. $a\lambda beur$ 'source, spring' (§ 263): Gr. $\phi o \acute{e} \acute{a} \varrho$ 'well, fountain'. arbi 'I drank' arb 'a drinking-bout': Gr. $\acute{o}o \acute{e} \acute{e} \omega$ 'I sip', Lat. $sorbe\bar{o}$, Lith. $srebi\grave{u}$ 'I sip', rt. srebh-; Armen. arb- and Lat. sorb- from Indg. srbh- (§§ 291. 295). surb 'clean, pure': Skr. $\acute{s}ubh$ -r \acute{a} -s 'bright, smart', cpf. * $\acute{k}ubh$ -r \acute{o} -s (§ 263).

Suffix -a-vor e. g. lus-a-vor 'clear': Gr. λευχο-φόρο-3. Instr. sg. mardo-v pl. mardo-vk (from mard 'man') beside dster-b dster-bk (from dustr 'daughter'), cp. § 326.

Greek.

§ 333. Indg. p. $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \tau$ -o- $\mu \alpha u$ 'I fly' aor. $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\pi \tau$ -o- $\mu \eta \nu$: Skr. $p \dot{a} t \bar{a} m i$ 'I fly' aor. $\dot{a} p a p t a - m$, Lat. $p e t \bar{o}$, O.Bret. e t n O.Ir. $\bar{e} n$ (§ 518) 'bird', OHG. f e d a r a 'feather'. $\pi \lambda \dot{\epsilon}(F)$ - ω 'I swim': Skr. $p l \dot{a} v a t \bar{e}$ 'swims', Armen. l u a n a - m 'I wash', Lat. p l u i t, O.Ir. l u a t h 'quick', OHG. f l e w e n flouwen 'to rinse, wash', Lith. $p l \dot{a} u j u$ 'I rinse' O.Bulg. p l o v a 'I sail'. $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \dot{o} \dot{v} \dot{v} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{o}$ 'over': Skr. $u p \dot{a} r i$ 'above', Lat. s-u p e r, Goth. u f a r 'over'. $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho n$ - ω 'I refresh, delight': Skr. $t a r p \dot{a} y \bar{a} m i$ 'I satiate, satisfy', Lith. $t a r p \dot{a}$ 'a thriving' Pruss. e n-t e r p o 'it is of use'.

πτ became ττ in Thessalian and Cretan, e. g. Thess. Δεττίναιος, to Att. Δεπτίνης; ἀττᾶς from ἀπ τᾶς; cp. Thess. Δτθόνειτος = Αφθόνητος, Cret. ἔγρατται = Att. γέγραπται, to γράφω 'I write'.

§ 334. Indg. b. βαβάζω 'I chatter' βαμβαίνω 'I stammer' βαμβαλνίζω 'I chatter with the teeth': Lat. babulu-s 'chatterer', Mod.HG. babbeln pappeln. βδέω 'I break wind' represents Indg. bzd-, weak grade form to pezd-: Sloven. pezdēti 'to break wind' Pruss. peisda 'buttock', Lat. pēdō from *pezdō (§ 594), cp. bd- weak grade form to ped- § 328. Cp. §§ 325. 328. 331.

§ 335. Indg. bh. φαγεῖν 'to eat': Skr. bhájāmi 'I allot, enjoy' bhaktá-m 'portion, food' bhága-s 'sharer out' Av. baγα-'god' O.Bulg. bogŭ 'god', rt. bhag-. ὀμφαλό-ς 'navel': Skr. nábhi-š 'navel' nābhīla-m 'private parts', Lat. umbō umbilīcu-s, O.Ir. immlind 'umbilicum' (§ 525), OHG. naba 'wheel-nave' nabolo 'navel')

Pruss. nabi-s 'navel, wheel-nave' Lett. naba 'navel'. ¿¿¿quró-ç 'orphan': Armen. orb 'orphan', Lat. orbu-s, O.Ir. orbe 'hereditas', Goth. arbi 'inheritance'.

The f, which arose from prim. Greek ph (φ) (§ 495), was first of all bilabial. Since the letter φ was employed to represent the f which had arisen from p (θ) in Boot. and Epirot., f = ph seems here also to have been labio-dental (see loc. cit.)

Italic.

§ 336. Indg. p. Lat. $p\bar{a}x \ pung\bar{o}$, Umbr. pase 'pace', Osc. Paakul 'Paculus': Skr. $p\bar{a}\dot{s}a$ -s 'string, cord', Gr. $\pi\dot{\eta}\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}\mu\iota$ 'I fasten', MHG. vuoge 'joint' Goth. $f\bar{a}han$ 'to seize', rt. $p\bar{a}\dot{b}$ - $p\bar{a}g$ -. Lat. opus, Umbr. osatu 'operato, facito', Osc. úpsannam 'operandam, faciundam': Skr. $\dot{a}pas$ - $\dot{a}pas$ - n. 'work, religious deed', OHG. uoba 'holyday' uobo 'cultivator of the land'. Lat. op- in op-tine \bar{o} $ostend\bar{o}$ from *ops-tend \bar{o} , ob, Umbr. ostendu 'ostendito, adhibeto', Osc. úp eísúd 'apud id': Gr. $\check{s}\pi\iota$, Skr. $\acute{a}pi$, Indg. ablaut e:o. Lat. caper capra, Umbr. cabriner gen. 'caprini': Gr. $\varkappa\dot{a}\pi\varrho o$ - ς 'boar', O.Icel. hafr 'buck'.

Lat. $qu\bar{\imath}nque$, Umbr. pumpeřias Osc. pumperias "quintiliae", Osc. $Ho\mu\pi\nu\iota\epsilon\varsigma$ 'Quinctius', prim. Ital. "kyenkye, which arose from "penkye (Skr. páñca etc.) by assimilation. Lat. $coqu\bar{o}$ from "quequ \bar{o} (§ 172, 3. 431 a), $pop\bar{\imath}na$ is probably borrowed from Osc. (pure Lat. form $coqu\bar{\imath}na$), prim. It. "kyeky \bar{o} from "peky \bar{o} (Skr. pácāmi, Gr. $\pi\acute{e}\sigma\sigma\omega$). On the Umbr.-Samn. p from ky cp. § 431 a. The similar assimilation of initial p exists also in Keltic in the same two words (§ 339), Germanic has it perhaps also in the numeral five (§ 444 a). This change is possibly older than the separate history of these languages.

Prim. Ital. pt became ft in Umbr.-Samn., as kt became χt (§ 502); Umbr. ht from ft. Osc. scriftas pl. 'scriptae' Umbr. screihtor pl. 'scripti' screhto 'scriptum'. Cp. Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 183.

§ 337. Indg. b. Lat. lūbricu-s: Goth. sliupan 'to slip'. Lat. lambō: OHG. laffan 'to lick' pret. luof; rt. lab- would be a secondary form to lap- (§ 469, 7), if we may assume

old p for Gr. λάπτω Armen. lapem 'I lick'. Lat. labium procably to Ags. lippa m. OHG. lefs m. 'lip'; Kluge explains the difference in the root-vowel by assuming that labium arose for *lebium through association with lambō. Cp. §§ 325. 328. 331. 334. 346.

§ 338. Indg. bh. Lat. ferō, Umbr. ferar 'feratur', Marruc. feret 'feret': Skr. bhárāmi 'I bear'. Lat. flōs, Sabin. Flusare abl. 'Florali', Osc. Fluusaí dat. 'Florae': O.Ir. blāth 'bloom', Goth. blōma m. 'flower'. Lat. ti-bī si-bī, Umbr. te-fe 'tibi', Osc. t(i)-fei 'tibi' sí-feí 'sibi': cp. Skr. tá-lhy-um 'tibi', O.Bulg. te-bĕ 'tibi'. Lat. albu-s, Umbr. alfu 'alba', Osc. Alafaternum 'Alfaternorum': Gr. ἀλφό-ς 'white leprosy'. Lat. amb-itu-s, Osc. amfr-et 'ambiunt' (for Umbr. ambr- see § 209): Gr. ἀμφί Gall. ambi- 'circum'.

Rem. How is Lat. barba, for which we should expect *furba (OHG bart O.Bulg. brada), to be explained? All the attempted explanations known to us, are unsatisfactory.

According to Seelmann (Ausspr. d. Lat. 294 f.) Lat. f was, up to the middle of the imperial period, "a hybrid sound, which might equally well be described as an interdental dorsal spirant with bilabial increase of narrowing, as a bilabial spirant with dorso-bidental increase of narrowing", then f became labiodental. It seems to follow from the m in amfr- that f was bilabial in Oscan.

Old Irish.

 \S 339. Indg. p. Apparently nowhere retained as p in Keltic.

It was dropped initially in the prim. Kelt. period. O.Ir. ēn O.Bret. etn 'bird', rt. pet- 'fly', see § 333. O.Ir. il 'much, manifold', compar. lia Mid.Cymr. llei: Skr. purú-š compar. neut. práyas, Gr. πολύ-ς πλείων, Lat. plūs, Goth. filu 'multum' compar. O.Icel. fleire fleiri. O.Ir. lār O.Cymr. laur Corn. lor leur 'pavement': Ags. flōr 'house floor' MHG. vluor 'cornfield, ground'. athir 'father': Gr. πατήφ. O.Ir. orc 'pig': Gr. πόφαο-ς Lat. porcu-s OHG. farh farah Lith. parsza-s 'pig'. O.Cymr. rit 'ford', Gall. in Augusto-ritum: Av. peṣu-š 'ford', Lat. portu-s, OHG. furt (§§ 295. 298).

Intervocalic p disappeared at the same period. Mid.Ir. niw (gen. niath) 'sister's son', Mid.Cymr. ney nei (pl. neyeynt nyeint) 'brother's or sister's son': Skr. nápāt Lat. nepōs (§ 327). O.Ir. $t\bar{e}$ (pl. $t\bar{e}it$) 'hot' from *te(p)ent- (ep. § 212): Skr. tápati 'warms, burns', Lat. $tepe\bar{o}$, O.Bulg. topiti 'to warm'.

-pt- probably became ft—cht already in prim. Kelt. (cp. Low German nicht, lucht = OHG. nift, luft) and thus fell together with Indg. $\hat{k}t$ and qt (§§ 436. 517): O.Ir. -cht-, Britann. -it-. O.Ir. secht Mid.Cymr. seith Corn. seyth Bret. seiz 'seven': Skr. sapta, Lat. septem etc. O.Ir. necht O.Corn. noit 'neptis': Skr. Ved. napti- \hat{s} 'daughter, granddaughter', Gr. avsyub-s 'first cousin' (from * $avs\pi\tau vo$ -s, § 489), Lat. nepti-s, OHG. nift 'neptis, privigna', O.Bulg. netij 'nephew, cousin' from *neptij (§ 545).

Rem. How was -pn- treated? O.Ir. suan Cymr. hun 'sleep', which undoubtedly belong to Skr. svapna-s etc. (see § 324), point to *sōno-, this latter may have arisen from *sopno- through the intermediate stages *sofno- *sohno- (cp. § 518), but *sopno- may represent Indg. *supno- (cp. O.Ir. bond bonn 'solea' Cymr. bon 'stem, base' from *bundo-s, Lat. fundu-s, § 50). The historical forms however might also have arisen from *sopno-through the intermediate stages *sovno- *sopno-

Prim. Kelt. *kuenkye 'five' = Indg. *penqe, *kueky- 'cook' = Indg. *peq-. O.Ir. cōic (§ 212) O.Cymr. pimp Corn. pymp Bret. pemp 'five'. Mid. Cymr. popunyes 'pistrix', O.Corn. peber 'pistor' popei 'pistrinum'. See § 336.

§ 340. Indg. b = prim. Kelt. b. O.Ir. ebaim 'bibo', Corn. evaf 'bibam' from *ebam: Skr. $pib\bar{a}mi$ (§ 325). -mn- from -bnin O.Ir. fu-domain 'deep', see §§ 325. 520.

§ 341. Indg. bh = prim. Kelt. b. O.Ir. bri gen. breg 'mountain', Gall. brigi- (in Brigiani, Are-brigium etc.): Skr. brh-ánt- 'great, high', Armen. barjr 'high', root form bhrgh-(§§ 288. 291). O.Ir. biu 'sum', M.Cymr. bydaf 'ero', like Lat. $f\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}$ $f\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}$ etc. from Indg. *bhu- $i\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}$, rt. bheu- (§§ 170. 175). O.Ir. com-boing 'confringit': Skr. pra-bharraga-s 'breaker, bruiser'. O.Ir. braigim 'pedo' Gaelic braigh- 'to give a crackling sound, to burst, explode': Lat. fragor $frang\bar{\jmath}\bar{\imath}$, Goth. brikan 'to break'. Corn. befer 'beaver', Gall. Bibr-ax: Skr. babhra- $\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}$ adj. 'brown', subst. a kind of great ichneumon, Lat. fiber OHG. bibar Lith. $b\bar{\imath}bru$ -s (and $b\bar{\imath}bru$ -s) O.Bulg. $bebr\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}$ 'beaver', Indg. *bhe-bhru-s.

O.Ir. imb imm 'around, about', Gall. ambi- : Gr. $\alpha\mu\phi$ Lat. amb- Osc. amf-r- (§ 338). Cp. § 525.

Dat. tri-b 'tribus' : cp. instr. Skr. tri-bhíš dat. abl. tri-bhyás, to which also Gall. ματρε-βο rαμανσικα-βο 'matribus Nemausicis'. Cp. § 526.

Germanic.

§ 342. Indg. p. Goth. speivan OHG. $sp\bar{\imath}wan$ 'to spit': Gr. $\pi\tau\bar{\nu}\omega$ 'I spit' fr. * $(\sigma)\pi_{\ell}\bar{\nu}_{-\ell}\omega$ (§ 131), Lat. $spu\bar{\sigma}$, Lith. $spi\acute{\alpha}u$ -ju O.Bulg. plju-ja (fr. prim. Slav. * $(s)pj\bar{u}$ -ja § 147) 'I spit'. OHG. $speh\bar{\sigma}n$: Skr. $sp\acute{a}s$ -'spy, watchman', Lat. $c\bar{\sigma}n$ - $spici\bar{\sigma}$, rt. $spe\hat{k}$ -.

Goth. faihu OHG. fihu 'cattle': Skr. pášu Lat. pecu 'cattle', Indg. *pēĥu n. Goth. fraihnan (pret. frah) OHG. frāgēn 'to ask': Skr. praš-ná- 'a questioning', Lat. precēs pl., procu-s, Lith. praszýti O.Bulg. prositi 'to demand, request', rt. preĥ-. Goth. hlifan 'to steal' hliftu-s 'thief': Gr. κλοπή 'theft' κλέπτη-ς 'thief', Lat. clepō, rt. qlep-. OHG. nefo Ags. nefa 'nephew': Skr. nápāt etc., see § 327. Goth. af (ab-u, with interrogative particle u) OHG. aba 'away' off': Skr. ápa Gr. ἄπο 'away off'. Goth. bi-leiba OHG. bi-lību Ags. be-līfe 'I remain': Skr. riptá-liptá- 'besmeared' limpāmi 'I smear, fasten to', Gr. λίπος n. 'grease' λιπαρέω 'I persist in', Lat. lippu-s 'blear-eyed', Lith. limpù (pret. lipaū) O.Bulg. pri-līplja (inf. -līpēti) 'I remain sticking, cling'.

Goth. f was bilabial. So, too, was OHG. f in the period of the oldest monuments. In the course of the OHG. period however f became labio-dental, as is shown by nf from older mf (see Kögel Üb. d. Keron. Glossar 58 f.).

The initial f in Goth. fimf OHG. fimf finf 'five' is perhaps not to be traced directly back to Indg. p (Indg. *penge), but to $k\mu$ (* $k\mu$ enk μ e). See §§ 336. 444 rem. 1.

§ 343. Indg. b. Goth. slēpan OHG. slāfan 'to sleep' (orig. 'to be slack'), OHG. slaf Low G. slap 'slack': O.Bulg. slabŭ 'slack, weak', rt. slēb-. Goth. ráupjan OHG. roufen 'to pluck', rt. reub-, a secondary form of reup- in Ags. reófan O.Icel. rjūfa 'to break, rend', Skr. rup- lup- 'tear, shatter', Lat. rumpō (§ 469, 7). Cp. §§ 325. 328. 334. 337. 346.

§ 344. Indg. bh. Goth. beitan OHG. bīzzan bīzan 'to bite': Skr. bhēdāmi 'I split, break down', Lat findō, rt. bheid-. Goth. bōka f. 'letter' (of the alphabet) OHG. buocha: Gr. φηγό-ς a kind of oak bearing an esculent acorn, Lat. fāgu-s 'beech'. Goth. blēsan OHG. blāsan 'to blow' OHG. blāen 'to swell, blow': Lat. flāre. Goth. liuba- (nom. liufs) OHG. liob 'dear': Skr. lúbhyāmi 'I feel a strong desire', Lat. lubet libet, O.Bulg. ljubŭ 'dear', rt. leubh-. Goth. kalbō OHG. kalba 'a female calf': Skr. gárbha-s 'embryo, the young', Gr. δολφό-ς δελφύ-ς 'matrix', δέλφαξ 'pig', rt. gelbh-.

For the pronunciation of Goth. f (nom. liufs acc. liuf see § 539) ep. § 342.

Baltic-Slavonic.

§ 345. Indg. p. Lith. pelē 'mouse' pálsza-s 'sallow, pale', O.Bulg. plavũ 'whitish' pelesũ 'gray': Skr. palitá-s 'gray', Gr. $\pi \epsilon \lambda i \delta \cdot \varsigma$ 'gray', OHG. falo (infl. falawēr) 'sallow, pale'. Lith. plakù 'I strike, scourge', O.Bulg. plačą 'I weep': Gr. $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega$ 'I strike' $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\eta}$ 'a blow', Lat. plangō plāga, Goth. flōkan 'to bewail', rt. plāq- plāg- (§ 469,7). Lith. tirpti 'to grow stiff, become numb' (pret. tirpaū), Russ. terpnut 'to grow stiff, become numb': Lat. torpeō.

k in Lith. $s\grave{e}kma-s$ 'septimus' from p (cp. Pruss. sepma-s beside septma-s) or from t? Cp. § 377.

§ 346. Indg. b. Lith. skabù-s 'cutting' skaběti 'to cut', O.Bulg. skoblĭ 'radula' : Lat. scabō, from rt. sqab-, secondary form of sqap- in Gr. σκαπάνη 'spade, hoe', O.Lat. scaprēs 'scabby, rough', Goth. skaba 'I shave' (§ 469, 7). Cp. §§ 325. 328. 343.

Lith. g for b (regularly?) in dùgna-s 'ground', to O.Bulg. dŭno 'ground' fr. *dŭb-no (§ 548), rt. dheyb-, see § 325.

§ 347. Indg. bh = prim. Baltic-Slav. b. Lith. $bij\acute{o}$ -ti-s 'to be in fear' baj-u-s 'fearful', O.Bulg. boj-a-ti s? 'to be afraid': Skr. $bh\acute{a}y$ -a- $t\bar{e}$ 'is in fear'. Lith. $blend \check{z}i\check{u}'$ -s 'I obscure myself' (of the sun), O.Bulg. bled a 'I err': Goth. $bland an \ sik$ 'to have intercourse with', rt. bhlend h-. Lith. $\check{z}embu$ 'I shoot, bud'

(properly 'I split myself, burst'), O.Bulg. zębą 'I tear in pieces': Skr. jambháya-ti 'he pounds, destroys' Av. zembayeitē 'he destroys'.

2. Dental Explosives.

Indg. primitive period.

§ 348. Tenuis t. Rt. ten- 'stretch, extend': Skr. ta-nō-mi 'I stretch out, extend' tan-ú-š 'thin, small', Gr. τείνω 'I stretch', Lat. ten-tu-s part., ten-u-i-s, O.Ir. tana Cymr. teneu 'thin', Goth. panja 'I stretch' OHG. dunni 'thin', Lith. dial. tenva-s O.Bulg. tīnīkŭ 'thin'. Stem *trei- *tri- 'three': nom. pl. Skr. tráy-as Arm. e-re-k Gr. τρεῖς Lat. trēs O.Ir. trī Goth. preis Lith. trỹs O.Bulg. trije trije. Rt. pet- 'to move quickly through the air, fly, fall': Skr. pátāmi 'I fly, fall', Gr. πέτομαι 'I fly', Lat. petō 'I reach after something, desire' im-petu-s 'a falling against, attack', O.Bret. etn O.Ir. ēn 'bird', OHG. fedara 'feather'. Rt. vertō, Goth. vair̄pan 'to become', Lith. ver̄sti vartýti O.Bulg. vratiti 'to turn'. Rt. ster- 'spread, strew': Skr. stṛ-nō-mi Gr. στόρ-νō-μι 'I spread, strew', Lat. ster-nō, O.Bret. strouis 'stravi', Goth. stráuja O.Bulg. stīrą 'I spread'.

Frequently in suffixes. Participial suffix -to-, as *ĥlu-tó-s heard, renowned': Skr. śru-tá-s Gr. κλυ-τό-ς Lat. in-clu-tu-s O.Ir. clo-th OHG. Hlot-hari Lothar (*Κλυτό-στρατος, as it were). Ending of the 3. sg. -ti -t, of the 3. pl. -nti -nt, as *bhére-ti 'bears' *bhéro-nti 'they bear': Skr. bhára-ti bhára-nti, Gr. Dor. pl. φέρο-ντι, Lat. vehi-t vehu-nt, O.Ir. pl. beri-t, Goth. baíri-þ baíra-nd, O.Bulg. bere-tī beratī.

§ 349. Media d. *deĥm *deĥmt 'ten': Skr. dáśa Arm. tasn Gr. δένα Lat. decem O.Ir. deich n- Goth. taihun Lith. dēszimt dēszimti-s. Stem *dui- 'two', nom. acc. du. masc. *duō *duuō 'two': Skr. dvi-, Ved. dvá duvá, Gr. δι-, δω-δενα δύω, Lat. bi-, duō, O.Ir. dā, Goth. tvái (pl.), Lith. dù masc. (fr. *duŭ) dvì fem., O.Bulg. dva dŭva. Rt. ueid- 'see, know': Skr. véda Arm. git-e-m Gr. οἶδα 'I know', Lat. videō, O.Ir. ad-fiadaim 'I announce, relate', Goth. váit 'I know', Lith. véida-s 'face, coun-

tenance', O.Bulg. vědětí 'to know'. Rt. perd- 'to break wind': Skr. párdatē Gr. πέρδεται 'breaks wind', Ags. feortan OHG. ferzan 'to break wind', Lith. piřdi-s m. 'crepitus ventris'.

§ 350. Media asp. dh. Rt. dhē-'set, place, do': Skr. dhā-ma 'law, manner, dwelling-place', Arm. d-ne-m 'I set', Gr. ἀνά-θη-μα 'that which is set up, an offering', Lat. fa-c- in artifex faciō, con-dere (§ 370), Goth. ga-dē-þs (st. ga-dēdi-) 'deed', Lith. dĕ-ti O.Bulg. dĕ-ti 'to lay'. Rt. reudh- 'to be red': Skr. rudhirá-s Gr. ἐριθρό-ς Lat. ruber O.Ir. ruad Goth. ráuþs (st. ráuda-) O.Bulg. rūdrŭ 'red'. Rt. bhendh- 'bind': Skr. bándhana-m 'a binding', Gr. πενθερό-ς 'father-in-law' (ep. Skr. bándh-u-š 'a relation'), Lat. of-fendimentu-m 'chin-cloth', Goth. bindan 'to bind'.

More rarely as t, oftener as d in suffixes. Nominal suffix -dhlo: Gr. $\theta \not\in \mu \varepsilon \theta \lambda a$ pl. 'foundation', Lat. $tr\bar{\iota}-bulu-m$ 'a thrashing sledge' Czech $r\acute{a}-dlo$ (O.Bulg. ra-lo fr. * $r\bar{a}-dlo$, §§ 548. 551) 'plough'. Ending of the 2. sg. imper. -dhi: Skr. $\dot{s}ru-dhi$ Gr. $\varkappa \lambda \tilde{\upsilon}-\theta \iota$ from rt. $\dot{k}le\psi$ - 'hear', O.Lith. veizdi veizd O.Bulg. $vi\check{z}d\bar{\iota}$ 'see' from rt. $\mu e\dot{\iota}d$ - (see § 547). Ending of the 2. pl. mid. Skr. $-dhv\bar{e}$ -dhvam, Gr. $-\theta \varepsilon$.

Aryan.

§ 351. In dg. t. Pronominal stem Skr. Iran. ta-'the, this', e. g. in acc. Skr. tá-m Av. te-m, O.Pers. in ai-ta- 'this': Gr. τό-, Lat. tam, Goth. pa-, Lith. ta- O.Bulg. to-, Indg. *to- 'the, this'. Skr. áti Av. aiti O.Pers. atiy 'over': Gr. ἔτι 'moreover, still', Lat. et etiam, Indg. *éti. Skr. ásti Av. asti O.Pers. astiy 'is': Gr. ἔστι, Lat. est, Goth. ist, Indg. *és-ti 'is'.

§ 352. t became t after § (= Indg. \hat{k} or s) in Sanskrit. $a \not s t \not a = Av$. $a \not s t a$ 'eight': Gr. $\delta \varkappa \tau \omega$ etc., see § 360. $u \not s t \not a$ - 'burnt' = Av. $u \not s t a$ - 'roasted': Lat. $u \not s t u - s$, Indg. * $u \not s - t \not a$ - from rt. $e \not u \not s$ -. See §§ 399. 556, 1.

-tc- became -cc-. ucca- 'high' from prim. Ar. *utca- (ut- = ud- 'on high').

- $t\dot{s}$ - became -ech- (-ch-). $pacch\acute{a}s$ 'in the manner of pāda, in hemistichs' from *pat- $\dot{s}as$ (pat- = pad- 'foot').

Rem. In certain manuscripts of the Vājasanēyi-sahitā -tkn-, -tkmare written for -tn-, -tm-, e. g. patknā 'mistress', patkman- n. 'flight'. k apparently here represents the glide arising from the sudden raising of the uvula (see Sievers Phonet. ³ 63. 160 f.).

 \S 353. In Iranian, t was partly changed into a spirant with a different position of articulation.

Av. O.Pers. \bar{p} , Av. \bar{d} were interdental, as in Av. pwam O.Pers. puvam (read pvam) = Skr. tvam 'thee', Av. acc. pl. $f^e\bar{d}r$ - \bar{o} 'patres' fr. *ptr-as, see § 473, 2.

Av. $\not\! pk$ from tk, as $a\not\! pka$ - = Skr. $\not\! atka$ - 'dress', see § 473, 2 Av. $\not\! s$ fr. rt, as $ma \not\! sya$ - ($ma \not\! siya$ -) 'a mortal being, man' = Skr. $m \not\! artiya$ - O.Pers. martiya-, see §§ 260. 288. 474.

O.Pers. šc from tc (Skr. cc), as aniyaš-ciy = Skr. aniyác-cid 'any other'. šy (written šiy, § 125 p. 116) from py = prim. Ar. ti, as hašiya- = Av. haipya- Skr. satyá- 'true'. š fr. pr = prim. Ar. tr, as ši- = Av. pri- Skr. tri- 'three'. See §§ 261. 473, 2.

§ 354. Indg. d. Skr. $d\bar{u}$ -rá- Av. O.Pers. $d\bar{u}$ -ra- 'far, distant': Gr. Hom. $\delta \varepsilon \dot{v}$ -o- $\mu a \dot{u}$ 'I am at a loss for', rt. $de\mu$ -. Skr. Av. dru- 'wood': $\delta \varrho v$ -ró μo - ς 'felling timber', Goth. triu n. 'tree'. Skr. $s\acute{a}d$ -as- Av. $ha\bar{d}$ -i \dot{s} - O.Pers. had-i \dot{s} - n. 'seat', weak pf. stem Skr. $s\bar{e}d$ - Av. hazd- prim. Ar. *sa-zd- (§ 591): Gr. $\varepsilon \delta$ -o ς n. 'seat', Lat. $sede\bar{o}$ $s\bar{e}d\bar{\tau}$, O.Ir. suide 'seat', Goth. sitan 'to sit', Lith. $s\acute{e}d\check{e}ti$ O.Bulg. $s\acute{e}d\acute{e}ti$ 'to sit'. Skr. chind- $a\acute{n}ti$ 'they cut off, tear', Av. $s\dot{v}$ -ml- $s\dot{v}$ - $s\dot{v}$ - $s\dot{v}$ 'he breaks': Gr. $\sigma \chi v r \delta$ - $a \lambda \mu \dot{o}$ -s 'a splinter', Lat. scind- \bar{o} .

§ 355. d became d after z (= Indg. z) in Sanskrit; z was then dropped. $n\bar{\imath}d\acute{a}$ -s 'nest': Arm. nist 'seat, possession',

Lat. $n\bar{\imath}du$ -s, OHG. nest 'nest', Indg. *ni-zd-o- 'sitting down, abode' from ni 'down' and rt. sed- 'sit'. See § 591.

-dj- became -jj-. újjiti-š 'victory' from *ud-jiti-š. Analogously ujjhitá- 'forsaken, given up' fr. *ud-jhita-, see § 406.

§ 356. d partly became an interdental spirant \bar{d} in Av., as $dad\bar{a}iti = \text{Skr. } d\dot{a}d\bar{a}-ti$ 'gives' from rt. $d\bar{o}$ -, see § 478.

Prim. Ar. du- appears as (dv- and) \underline{db} - $(G\overline{ap}, db$ -), b-. $dbi\check{s}$ - $(G\overline{ap}, d^abi\check{s}$ -) = Skr. $dvi\check{s}$ - 'hate'. $bit\overline{\iota}m$ $(G\overline{ap}, d^abit\overline{\iota}m)$ = Skr. $dvi\check{t}ya$ -m 'secundum'. See § 159 p. 143.

§ 357. Indg. dh. Skr. dhárš-āmi 'I dare', Av. darš-i-š 'vehement' O.Pers. a-darš-nau-š 'he dared': Gr. θρασ-ν-ς 'bold' Θερσ-ττη-ς 'the insolent one', Goth. gu-dars 'I dare', Lith. drĩs-ti 'to dare' (§ 285 rem.), rt. dhers-. Skr. mádhu Av. matu n. 'sweetness, honey': Gr. μέθν 'wine', O.Ir. mid OHG. metu meto 'mead', Lith. medù-s O.Bulg. medŭ 'honey', Indg. n. *médhu. 2. sg. imper. Skr. śru-dhí 'hear', Av. i-đi O.Pers. i-dīy 'go': Gr. ἴ-θι 'go'. Skr. mádhya- Av. maiāya- 'medius': Gr. μέσο-ς 'medius', Lat. mediu-s, O.Ir. medōn 'medium', Goth. midji-s 'medius', O.Bulg. mežda 'boundary', Indg. *medh-jo- 'medius'.

§ 358. dh became dh after \check{z} (from old \hat{g} or z) in Sanskrit; \check{z} was then dropped. $l\acute{e}dhi$ 'licks' from * $l\bar{e}\check{z}dhi$, * $le\check{\iota}\hat{g}dhi$ i. e. * $le\check{\iota}\hat{g}h+ti$. $m\bar{\iota}dh\acute{a}$ - 'battle prize, combat': Av. $m\bar{\iota}\check{z}da$ - 'wages, pay', Gr. $\mu \iota \sigma \theta\acute{o}$ - ς Goth. $mizd\bar{o}$ O.Bulg. $m\check{\iota}zda$ 'wages, pay', Indg. * $mizdh\acute{o}$ -. See §§ 396. 404. 591.

§ 359. In Iranian, where the Indg. and prim. Ar. mediae asp. fell together with the mediae, the former underwent the same changes as the latter, e. g. Av. maāu (§ 357) as daāāiti. See § 356.

Armenian.

§ 360. Indg. t. taram-i-m taram-i-m 'I fade, wither': Skr. tarşa-s 'thirst', Gr. τέρσομαι 'I become dry', Lat. torreō tostu-s, O.Ir. tart 'thirst', Goth. paursu-s 'withered'. tanjr 'dense': Av. superl. tancista- 'strongest', Goth. peihan 'to thrive' fr. prim. Germ. *penzana-n, MHG. ge-dīhte adv. 'frequently' O.Icel. pēttr 'close, tight' fr. prim. Germ. *penzto- (§ 67)

rem. 2), Lith. tenkù 'I have sufficient' tánku-s 'close'. ut' 'eight': Skr. Ved. aṣṭá (§ 352) Gr. ἀντώ Lat. octō O.Ir. ocht n- Goth. ahtáu Lith. asztůnì 'eight'.

t after s. astλ (gen. asteλ) 'star, constellation': Skr. stár-Gr. ἀστήρ Lat. stella Bret. sterenn Goth. stairnō. sast (gen. sasti) 'a reviling, reproach': Skr. šās-tί-š 'a punishment, command' šās-tár 'chastiser, commander'.

d after r, n. mard 'homo': Skr. $myt\acute{a}$ -s (§ 291). -and in dr-and dr-andi 'door-post, threshold': Skr. $\acute{a}t\ddot{a}$, Lat. pl. antae, see § 253 p. 207.

 \mathcal{K} fr. tu. $\mathcal{K}o$ 'tuus' $\mathcal{K}ez$ 'to thee': Skr. $tv\acute{a}m$ 'thou', Gr. Cret. $\tau\mathcal{F}\acute{\epsilon}$ Att. $\sigma\acute{\epsilon}$ 'thee' (§ 166 p. 147), O.Bulg. $tvo\acute{\jmath} \check{\iota}$ 'thy'. $\mathcal{K}u\acute{\imath}$ -'four' ($\mathcal{K}a\acute{r}$ -a-sun 'forty') fr. *(q)tur- = Gr. $\tau \varrho a$ -, in $\tau \varrho \acute{a}$ - $\pi s \zeta a$ 'table' (orig. 'four-foot'). Cp. § 162.

Intervocalic t became i and then disappeared. hair (pl. har-k) father', mair (pl. mar-k) mother': Gr. πατήο, μήτηο. heru adv. 'last year': Gr. Dor. πέρυτι, O.Ir. urid MHG. vert 'last year'.

-atr- became -aur-. Gen. haur 'of a father', maur 'of a mother': Gr. θατο-ός, μητο-ός. araur 'plough': Gr. ἄροτρο-ν.

-rh-, later -h-, from -rti- or -rtr-. marh mah (gen. marhu mahu) 'death', to Skr. mrtyú-š 'death' or to Goth. maúrpr 'murder'; concerning ar from Indg. x see § 291.

§ 361. Indg. d. tiv 'day': Skr. instr. div-ā 'by day', Gr. gen. Δι(f)-ός, Lat. diē-s, Cymr. dyw 'day', Lith. dēva-s 'god'. ta-m 'I give': Skr. dά-dā-mi Gr. δί-δω-μι Lat. dō 'I give', Lith. dử-ti O.Bulg. da-ti 'to give'. het (gen. hetoy) 'foot-print', het yet 'behind, after' (§ 330 rem.): Skr. pad-ά-m 'foot-step, foot-print', Gr. πεδ-ὰ 'after, with' gen. ποδ-ός 'of a foot', Lat. gen. ped-is, Goth. fōt-u-s 'foot', Lith. pėd-ὰ 'foot-print'. kirtn 'sweat' (rt fr. tr, § 263): Skr. svéda-s Gr. ίδοώς Lat. sūdor Cymr. chwys OS. swēt OHG. sweiz 'sweat', rt. sueid-.

§ 362. Indg. dh. diem 'I suck': Skr. dháyāmi 'I suck, drink', Gr. θή-σατο 'he sucked', Lat. fē-lāre 'to suck', Mid.Ir. dī-th 'he sucked', Goth. daddja 'I suckle', O.Bulg. doja 'lacto'. dustr (gen. dster) 'daughter': Skr. duhitár- (d- fr. dh-, § 480) Gr. θυγάτηο Goth. daúhtar Lith. duk-tē O.Bulg. dŭšti 'daughter'.

Greek.

§ 363. Indg. t. τελαμών 'a strap for bearing anything' τάλαντο-ν 'a balance': Skr. tulā 'balance', Lat. tollō, Goth. puláip 'suffers, endures'. Γέτος ἔτος n. 'year': Skr. vats-ά-s 'year', Lat. vetus-tu-s 'aged, old', O.Bulg. vetŭchŭ 'old'. στίζω 'I prick' στιζική 'a prick, mark': Skr. tig-mά-s 'pointed, sharp' (for t- fr. st- see § 589, 3), Lat. īn-stīgūre, Goth. stiks 'moment of time' OHG. stih 'a prick'. Participial suffix -nt-, acc. sg. φέρο-ντ-α 'ferentem': Skr. bhára-nt-am 'ferentem', Lat. eu-nt-em, Goth. giba-nd 'datorem', Lith. νεἔα-nt-į 'vehentem'.

 $\pi\pi$ from $\tau\pi$. Hom. $z\acute{\alpha}\tau\pi\varepsilon\sigma\varepsilon$, aor. to $z\alpha\tau\alpha-\pi i\pi\tau\omega$ 'I fall down'. Hom. Lesb. $\"{\delta}\pi\pi\omega\varsigma$ 'how' fr. $"\acute{\delta}\tau\pi\omega\varsigma$ i. e. "σ $F\acute{\delta}\delta$ (Goth. sva 'so') + indef. $\pi\omega\varsigma$; the simplification of the double consonant in $\"{\delta}\pi\omega\varsigma$ ensued from the model of the uncompounded $\pi\~{\omega}\varsigma$; cp. $\"{\delta}\tau\tau\iota$ ($\"{\delta}\tau\iota$) fr. "σ $F\acute{\delta}\delta+\tau\iota$.

§ 364. Indg. d. Nominal prefix $\delta v \varsigma$ - 'mis-, bad, ill' e. g. in $\delta v \varsigma$ - $\mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma$ 'ill disposed': Skr. $du \S$ -, Armen. t- ('un-' e. g. t- $g \bar{e} t$ 'unknowing', t-mar di 'inhumanus', see Hübschmann Ztschr. d. deutsch. morg. Ges. XXXVI 120 f.), O.Ir. du-do-, Goth. tuz-OHG. zur-, Indg. *dus- 'mis-, ill, bad, hard, un-'. $\eta \delta$ - \dot{v} - ς 'sweet, pleasant': Skr. $sv \bar{a} d$ - \dot{u} - \mathring{s} 'sweet, well-tasted', Lat. $su \bar{a} de \bar{o}$ (properly 'I exhibit something agreeable'), Goth. $s \bar{u} t s$ ($s \check{u} t s \, s$) 'sweet'. $\mathring{v} \delta$ - $\omega \varrho$ 'water' $\mathring{a} v$ - $v \delta \varrho o$ - ς 'waterless': Skr. ud- $\acute{a} n$ - 'water' an- $udr \acute{a}$ -s 'waterless', Armen. get (gen. getoy) 'river' (g- fr. u-, § 162), Lat. unda, Goth. $vat \bar{o}$ n. 'water', Lith. $van d \tilde{u}$ O.Bulg. vod a 'water' (cp. footnote to p. 189). $u\acute{s} \lambda \delta o \mu a u$ 'melt, grow liquid': OHG. smilzu 'I melt, grow liquid', rt. smeld-.

 δ became d in El. at an early period (later also elsewhere), which was written ζ on the oldest El. inscriptions, e. g. ζίααια, fειζώς. See § 491.

 $\lambda\lambda$ fr. $\delta\lambda$ in Lacon. $\xi\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$ 'seat', to $\xi\delta\sigma_{\varsigma}$, perhaps also in $\tilde{\nu}\lambda\lambda\sigma_{\varsigma}$ 'ichneumon' (Curtius Grundz. 5 248).

§ 365. Indg. dh. $\theta \vec{v} - \nu \omega$ 'I rush or dart along' $\theta \vec{v} - o \varsigma$ 'frankincense' $\theta \vec{v} - \mu \acute{o} - \varsigma$ 'courage, passion': Skr. $dh \vec{u} - m \acute{a} - s$ Lat. $f \vec{u} - m u - s$ 'smoke', OHG. $t \vec{u} m a l \vec{o} n$ 'to revolve, reel', Lith. pl. $d \acute{u} - s$

mai O.Bulg. dy-mŭ 'smoke'. $a\check{i}\theta$ -ω 'I burn': Skr. $\acute{e}dh$ -a-s 'firewood', Lat. $aed\bar{e}s$ orig. 'burning-place, hearth', O.Ir. aed 'fire', OHG. eit 'funeral-pile'. $\check{a}v\theta$ ος n. 'germ, blossom, flower': Skr. $\acute{a}ndh$ as n. 'vegetable'.

In several dialects prim. Gr. θ i. e. th became at an early period the interdental spirant p, which partly moved forwards to f. S. § 495.

Italic.

§ 366. Indg. t. Lat. termō termen, Umbr. termnom-e ad terminum', Osc. teremnattens 'terminarunt': Skr. tárman-n. point of the sacrificial rod', Gr. $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \varrho \mu \omega \nu$ 'boundary', $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \varrho \mu \omega$ 'end, goal'. Lat. tovo-s tuo-s, Umbr. tover gen. 'tui' Osc. tuvai dat. 'tuae': Skr. táva 'tui', Gr. $\tau \epsilon(\digamma) \acute{o} - \varsigma$ 'tuus', Lith. tavàs-is 'thine'. Lat. quatuor quattuor, Umbr. petur- 'quadru-' Osc. petiro-pert 'quater': Skr. catvár-as Gr. Ion. $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \varrho - \epsilon \varsigma$ O.Ir. cethir Goth. fidvōr 'four', Lith. ketverì 'by fours', O.Bulg. distrib. četvero. Comparative suffix -tèro- -tro-, Lat. u-ter, Umbr. podruh-pei 'utroque' Osc. pú-túrús-píd pl. 'utrique': Skr. katará-s Gr. $\pi \acute{o} - \tau \epsilon \varrho o - \varsigma$ Goth. hva-par Lith. ka-trà-s 'which of the two', O.Bulg. ko-tory-jī 'which'.

§ 367. In the prim. Ital. period tl became kl except, as it seems, initially and in the combination stl (cp. Umbr. gen. sg. Tlatie to Lat. $l\bar{a}tu$ -s fr. * $tl\bar{a}to$ -s, Indg. * $t\bar{l}$ -to-s, Lat. $stl\bar{c}s$ stlocu-s). Nominal suffix -klo- fr. -tlo- (Gr. - $\tau\lambda o$ - e. g. $\tilde{a}v$ - $\tau\lambda o$ - ς 'bilge-water'): Lat. sae-clu-m sae-culu-m, $pi\bar{a}$ -clu-m $pi\bar{a}$ -culu-m (-culo- fr. -clo-, § 269), Umbr. piha-klu 'piaculorum', Osc. sakara-klúm 'sacrum' pu-klo- 'son' = Skr. pu- $tr\acute{a}$ -s 'son'; for the change of this -klo- to -kro- by dissimilation as in Lat. $lav\bar{a}$ -cru-m, see § 269.

This change, which was caused by the lateral articulation (instead of the coronal) of the t anticipating the l, repeated itself in the course of the individual dialects. Here belong e. g. Lat. ex-anclare fr. Gr. $\mathring{\epsilon}\xi$ -anthe $\mathring{\epsilon}r$ 'to draw out', Vulgar Latin veclu-s (Italian vecchio) from vet(u)lu-s. In the Lat. historic period it extended itself also to the combination stl, hence such

spellings as sclis sclataris beside stlis stlataris. In like manner Umbr. pers-clu pes-clu 'supplicatione' beside Osc. pes-tlúm 'sacellum, templum'.

Rem. The same phenomenon in Lith., see § 377. Cp. also Mod.Gr. $\xi_{\alpha\gamma\gamma\lambda\lambda'\zeta\omega} = 0$.Gr. $\xi_{\xi-\alpha\gamma\lambda'\lambda'\omega}$, $\sigma\epsilon\bar{\nu}_{\lambda}\lambda_0$ 'beet' = 0.Gr. $\sigma\epsilon\bar{\nu}_{\tau}\lambda_{0-r}$ (Foy Lautsystem der gr. Vulgärspr. 7); Gipsy lang. kockli 'scissors' = katlin Skr. kartrī, schuklo fr. schutlo 'sour' (Bugge Kuhn-Schleicher's Beitr. I 154); Czech dial. klustej = tlusty 0.Bulg. tlūstyjī 'thick' klouci = tlouci 0.Bulg. tlūšti (beside tlěšti) 'to strike' (Miklosich Vergl. Gramm. I 2 506, Burda Kuhn-Schleicher's Beitr. VI 245).

-tr- seems to have become -cr- in Pelignian : sacaracirix 'sacrificans' = Lat. *sacrā-trī-x, ep. § 271.

Lat. -cc- from -tc-. siccu-s (to siti-s): O.Ir. sesc Cymr. hysp 'dry, withered, barren', cpf. *sitqo-s (§§ 419.516). peccō fr. *petcō i. e. *ped-cō, to pēs impediō etc. floccu-s probably fr. *flotco-s i. e. *flod-co-s, to Gr. $\varphi\lambda\omega\delta\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$ 'to rend'. Analogously accipiō, acquīrō fr. *atc., *atqu. i. e. ad+c., qu.; quicquam fr. *quitquam i. e. quid+quam (quidquam is the etymological spelling). Cp. further appellō fr. *atp. i. e. ad+p., topper 'forthwith' fr. *totper i. e. *tod (cp. is-tud, Skr. tád 'the' neut.) + per, cp. sem-per parum-per.

§ 368. Indg. d. Lat. dīcō, Umbr. deitu 'dicito' Osc. deíkum 'dicere': Skr. diśāmi 'I show, point out', Gr. δείκννμι 'I show', Goth. ga-teiha 'I announce, proclaim'. Lat. dīvo-s deu-s (cp. § 172, 2), Umbr. deveia 'divina' Osc. deívaí dat. 'divae': Skr. dēvá-s O.Ir. dia Lith. dēva-s 'god'. Lat. ed-ō, Osc. edum 'edere': Skr. ád-mi Arm. ut-em Gr. ἔδ-ω Goth. it-a 'I eat', Lith. ěd-u 'I eat' O.Bulg. jad-ĭ f. 'food'. Lat. mod-estu-s mod-u-s, Umbr. meř-s mers 'ius' fr. *medos: Arm. mit (gen. mt-i) 'thought', Gr. μέδ-ο-μαι μήδ-ο-μαι 'I consider, plan', O.Ir. midiur 'puto', Goth. mita 'I measure'. Lat. pēdō fr. *pezdō (§ 594): Gr. βδέω 'I break wind' etc., see § 334.

§ 369. l appears for d (initially before vowels, medially between vowels) in about twelve Lat. words. E. g. $l\bar{e}vir$: Skr. $d\bar{e}v\acute{a}r$ - Arm. taigr Gr. $\delta\bar{a}\acute{\eta}\varrho$ Lith. $d\bar{e}ver$ -i-s 'husband's brother'; limpa: Osc. Diumpaís 'Nymphis' (§ 49 p. 42); la-cruma, older dacruma; Gr. $\delta\acute{a}\varkappa\varrho\nu$ O.Bret. dacr Goth. tagr 'a

tear'; $ol\bar{o}$ $ole\bar{o}$ beside odor: Gr. $\delta\delta\mu\eta'$ 'smell', Lith. $\ell'd\check{z}iu$ 'I smell something'; soliu-m beside $sede\bar{o}$: Gr. $\delta\delta$ -os 'seat'; solum solea: Gr. $\delta\delta\delta$ -s 'way' $\delta\delta\alpha\varphi$ -s 'bottom, ground', O.Bulg. choditi 'to go'; $\bar{u}l\bar{t}g\bar{o}$ beside $\bar{u}du$ -s $\bar{w}idu$ -s with suffixal d; $m\bar{u}lu$ -s probably from *mazdo-s: OHG. mast 'mast' (§ 596). This change, due to the dorsal articulation of the d, was possibly not occasioned by any special phonetic conditions whereby d stood in the words in question opposed to other Lat. words containing d, but had its origin in some local dialect.

Rem. 1. I decidedly hold the forms with r=d as ar=ad (arbiter ar-vorsus), apor = apud for non-Latin, s. Corssen Ausspr. I 2 238 ff., Jordan Krit. Beitr. 92 ff., Stolz Lat. Gramm. \S 51. These words were imported from one of the Umbr.-Samu. dialects. Cp. this \S lower down.

d y became b,e. g. bi-s: Skr. $d v \text{\'i-} \S$ 'twice'. See \S 170 p. 150.

dl became ll. sella fr. *sed-lā: Gr. Lacon. ἑλλά 'seat' § 364, Goth. sitls 'seat'. rāllu-m 'ploughshare', to $r\bar{a}d\bar{o}$: Skr. $r\acute{a}d\bar{a}mi$ 'I scratch'. Cp. also grallae 'stilts', to gradior, with $d=\operatorname{Indg}$. dh: Goth. griþs (st. gridi-) 'step, grade', O.Bulg. grędą 'I come' (§§ 370. 511).

ld became ll. sallō (salsu-s): Goth. saltan 'to salt'. percellō, to clād-ēs fr. *k̄l̥d- (§ 306 p. 243): Gr. Hom. κλάσσαι 'to break', κλαδάσαι σεῖσαι Hesych. (per-culsu-s = κλαστό-ς, cpf. *k̄l̥t'to-s i. e. *k̄l̞d+to-). The d in *saldō and *per-celdō did not belong to the root. molli-s fr. *moldu-i-s: Skr. mṛd-ú-š 'soft, tender', fem. mrd-v-t̄.

Rem. 2. Observe the limited period of the operation of this soundlaw. valdē fr. validē, caldus fr. calidus did not suffer this assimilation.

dg became gg:agger fr. *ad-ger.

In Umbrian intervocalic and final d passed into a sound (r and rs), which we conjecturally denoted in § 23 as a strongly spirant r. te-ra de-rsa 'det' te-rust di-rsust 'dederit': Lat. de-dī, Skr. pres. dá-dā-mi perf. Ved. da-dā, Gr. δί-δω-μι δέ-δο-μαι, rt. dō- 'give'. per-i pers-i 'pede' du-purs-us 'bipedibus': Lat. ped-, Gr. ποδ- 'foot'. as am-ar 'ad aram' ars-veitu 'advehito': Lat. ad O.Ir. ad- Goth. at. In a few instances simply r or s is written instead of rs, as ar- beside ars-, a-tropusatu beside aha-tripursatu '(abs)tripodato'.

Rem. 3. The forms řeře 'dedit' and řunu 'donum' arose from association of the initial d to the medial ř of the forms teřa teřust etc.

A similar change of the d is shown in Volse. ar-patitu, with ar = Lat. ad (how the verbal form itself is to be understood is doubtful), and in Mars. apur finem 'apud finem'. The D in Pelign. VIDAD ('videt' or 'viderat') may denote a similar r-sound.

§ 370. Indg. dh became p in the prim. Ital. period, and it may be assumed that this spirant in the same period was shifted to f uniformly initially, medially before and after r. before l and after u(y), perhaps also after m. Medial f then became b in Lat. Lat. fēlūre fīliu-s, Umbr. feliuf filiu acc. 'lactantes': Skr. dháyāmi etc., see § 362. Lat. foru-m Umbr. furo 'forum', prim. Ital. *pworo-m: Skr. dvār- 'door' (for regular *dhvār-, § 480), Lith. dvāra-s O.Bulg. dvorū 'yard'. Lat. forti-s O.Lat. forcti-s: Skr. drdhá-s 'firm, strong' fr. *dhrždha-s i. e. Indg. *dhrgh+to- (§§ 482. 552). Lat. rubro-, Umbr. rufru 'rubros': Gr. ἐρυθρό-s O.Bulg. rŭdrŭ 'red', O.Icel. rođra f. 'blood', Indg. *rudh-ró-s. Lat. combr-ētu-m a kind of rush: Lith. szvendrai pl. a kind of sedge or reed, cpf. *kuendhro- (Lat. co- fr. *cue-, § 172, 3). Italic suffix -fro- = Gr. - θ 00-, Lat. $\dot{c}r\bar{b}ru$ - m^{-1}), verte-bra, Osc. Vena-fru-m name of a town ('hunting place, hunting ground' according to Corssen's probable conjecture). Lat. barba (on the initial b see § 338 rem.): OHG. bart O.Bulg. brada 'beard'. Italie suffix -flo- = Gr. - $\theta\lambda$ o-, Lat. sta-bulu-m (-bulofr. -blo-, § 269), Umbr. sta-flarem 'stabularem', Pelign. pri-stafalacirix 'antistita' fr. *-sta-flā-crīx (§ 271) = Lat. *praestabulātrīx. Lat. rōbu-s, Umbr. rofu 'rubos', prim. Ital. *roufo-: O.Ir. ruad Goth. ráups (st. ráuda-) 'red', cpf. *roudho-s; Lat. rūtu-s was a loan-word from an Umbrian-Samn. dialect. Lat. ūber n.: Skr. ū́dhar, Gr. οῦθαο OHG. ūtar 'udder'. Lat. jubeō O.Lat. joubeo beside jussi jussus; ss proves the origin of the

¹⁾ The suffix-form -dhrā- might be maintained for OHG. rī-ttara Ags. hrī-dder 'sieve', but they also admit of being traced back to -/rā-. Cp. Kluge Nominale Stammbildungslehre der altgerm. Dial. p. 46.

b from dh, see § 552. If Lat. lumbu-s belongs to OHG. lenti 'loins' O.Bulg. ledvija 'loins, kidneys', it must be traced back to a *lomdho-s; the origin of p fr. dh would then be older than the change of m to n before dentals (§ 207). Cp. Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 533 f.

In other cases Lat. d and Osc. f stand opposite to each other medially. Lat. mediu-s, Osc. mefiai loc. 'in media': Skr. $m\acute{a}dhya$ -s etc., see § 357. Lat. $aed\bar{e}s$ $aed\bar{\iota}li$ -s, possibly Osc. gen. sg. Aiifineis (Osc. aidil was a Lat. loan-word): Skr. $\acute{e}dha$ -s etc., see § 365. vidua: Skr. $vidh\acute{a}v\bar{a}$ O.Ir. fedb Goth. $viduv\bar{o}$ O.Bulg. $v\check{\iota}dova$ 'widow'. $con-d\bar{o}$ con-ditu-s beside fa-c- $i\bar{o}$, from rt. $dh\bar{e}$ - 'place, lay, do' (§ 350). Lat. d points to p as the direct previous stage, and thus the Osc. f cannot be prim. Ital. f.

That d, not b, appears after r in Lat. arduo-s fr. prim. Ital. *arpuo-s (: Skr. $\bar{u}rdhv\acute{a}-s$ Gr. $\acute{o}\varrho\theta\acute{o}-\varsigma$, § 170 p. 150, § 306), was due to the combination $p_{\mathcal{U}}$.

Rem. Concerning the f in Lat. $\bar{\imath}nferu$ -s $\bar{\imath}nfimu$ -s which are generally connected with Skr. $adham\acute{a}$ -s 'undermost', Goth. undar 'under' (cp \S 244), cp. the conjecture given in \S 389 rem.

Old Irish.

§ 371. Indg. t = prim. Kelt. t. temen 'dark, gray' temel 'darkness': Skr. $t\'{a}mas$ - n. 'darkness', Lat. tenebrae, OHG. demar 'twilight', Lith. $tams\`{a}$ 'darkness'. tarathar 'borer': Gr. $τερ\'{ε}ω$ 'I bore, pierce' τέρετρο-ν 'borer, gimlet', Lat. $ter\={o}$ terebra. ocht n-'eight': Gr. $\'{o}xτω$ etc., see § 360. alt t-preterite to alim 'I foster, bring up'. tiagaim 'I stride, go' with loss of initial s-(§ 575): Gr. στείχω 'I go', Goth. steiga 'I ascend', O.Bulg. stignā 'I come', rt. steigh-.

cēt (read cēd) Cymr. cant 'hundred': Skr. śatá-m Gr. ξ-κατό-ν Lat. centu-m Goth. hund Lith. szim̃ta-s. See §§ 212. 513.

Spirantal th, d after vowels. mā-thir 'mother': Skr. mātā Gr. μήτηο Lat. māter. Gen. be-thad dat. be-thid (nom. beo-thu life'), suffix -tūt-: Lat. -tūt-. See § 514.

§ 372. Indg. d = prim. Kelt. d. derc 'eye' air-dirc 'conspicuus, renowned': Skr. $dad\acute{a}r\acute{s}a$ 'I saw', Arm. tes-ane-m,

Gr. $\delta \epsilon_0 \varkappa_0 \mu \omega_i$ 'I see', Goth. ga-tarhjan 'to mark out'. $d\bar{e}t$ 'tooth': Skr. $d\acute{a}nt$ - Gr. $\delta \delta o\acute{v}_S$ Lat. $d\bar{e}ns$ Goth. tunp-u-s Lith. dant-i-s 'tooth'.

d was spirantal e. g. in cride 'heart': Arm. sirt (gen. srti) Gr. καρδία κραδίη Lat. cor (gen. cordis) Goth. hairtō Lith. szirdì-s O.Bulg. srĭdice 'heart'. See § 522.

nn from nd c. g. in ro se-scaind redupl. pf. 'he leapt': Skr. skánd-āmi 'I jerk, leap', Lat. scand-ō. See § 520.

Assimilation of the d in the prep. ad-= Lat. ad to a following g and b, when ad- had the chief accent. accaldam acaldam 'address, conversation' beside ad-gladur 'I address'. $ap\bar{e}lugud$ 'adulation' fr. * $idd-l\pi^{-1}ugud$. cc, c is to be read as gg, and p as bb. See § 519.

§ 373. Indg. dh = prim. Kelt. d. fu-domain 'deep', Cymr. dwfn 'deep', to which probably also domun 'world' Gall. $Dubno-r\bar{\iota}x$ $Dumno-r\bar{\iota}x$ ('world-king'): Goth. diups 'deep' etc., see § 325. Mid.Ir. $d\bar{\iota}$ -th 'he sucked': Skr. $dh\acute{a}y\bar{a}mi$ etc., see § 362. ard 'high' (to which probably Arduenna silva): Skr. $\bar{\iota}urdhv\acute{a}$ -s Gr. $\acute{o}\varrho\theta\acute{o}$ - ς 'upright' Lat. arduo-s (§§ 306. 370). meld-ach 'acceptus, gratus': OHG. milti OS. mildi 'mild, kind'.

d was spirantal e. g. in riad 'a driving': Gall. rēda 'vehicle', OHG. rītan 'to move on, drive, ride' O.Icel. rīđa 'to travel, ride', rt. reidh-. See §§ 522. 526.

Compare further *iud*- 'combat' in Brit. proper names as O.Cymr. *Iud-nerth* (*nerth* 'power, strength') *Iud-ri* (*ri* 'king'): Skr. *yúdh*- 'fight, combat'.

Germanic.

§ 374. Indg. t. Goth. OHG. ist 'is': Skr. ás-ti etc. Goth. raihts OHG. reht 'right': O.Pers. rāsta- 'straight, right', Gr. δοεκτό-ς 'stretched out', Lat. rēctu-s, prim. f. *rēk-to-s. Cp. § 528.

Goth. paúrnu-s OHG. dorn Ags. đorn O.Icel. porn 'thorn': Skr. tý-ṇa-m 'blade of grass', O.Bulg. trīnŭ 'thorn'. Goth. anpar OHG. andar Ags. ōđer 'other': Skr. an-tará-s Lith. añ-tra-s 'other'. Goth. vaírpan OHG. werdan Ags. weorđan 'to become'. Skr. várt-a-tē etc., see § 348.

Goth. háid-u-s 'manner', OHG. heit Ags. hād 'state, condition, property': Skr. kēt-ú-š 'luminous phenomenon, appearance, picture, form'. Goth. OS. hund O.Icel. hund-rad OHG. hunt 'hundred': Skr. satá-m etc., see § 371.

With the exception of -tk-, which became -sk-, in all combinations in which Indg. t underwent a shifting in prim. Germ., there arose first of all the interdental spirant p, which partly became d. In Goth, p remained everywhere, d partly remained, and partly became d. In HG, p and d became explosives again. For details see § 527 ff.

Prim. Germ. initial pl- from pre-Germ. tl- became pl- in West Germ. and Norse. OHG. fliohan Ags. fleón O.Icel. flija 'to flee': Goth. pliuhan. OHG. flēhan 'to caress, flatter, ask urgently', Ags. flāh O.Icel. flār 'deceitful, false': Goth. ga-plāihan 'to caress, console, speak friendly to'. Etymological equivalents for these words are wanting in the other Indg. languages.

In Middle German dialects tw- = prim. Germ. pu- passed into kw- (qu-). Mod.HG. $qu\ddot{u}ngen$ $qu\ddot{u}ngeln$ (beside $zu\ddot{u}ngen$): MHG. twengen 'to put under constraint' (cp. the orthography tquang for twane in Grimm's D. Gramm. I ² 355 rem. of the new reprint), O.Icel. pvinga 'to compel'. MHG. querch Mod.HG. querch (beside Mod.HG. zwerch): MHG. twerch twer 'cross, oblique', Goth. pvairhs 'angry'. Cp. OHG. $qu\bar{t}fal\bar{o}n$ § 375.

§ 375. Indg. d. Goth. tiuhan OHG. ziohan Ags. teón 'to draw': Gr. δαι-δύσσεσθαι · ἕλεεσθαι Hesych., Lat. doucō dūcō, rt. deuk-. Goth. triggva f. 'covenant' OHG. triuva 'fidelity' (§ 179 p. 157): Pruss. druwi f. 'faith', Gr. δοοόν · lσχυρόν. Δογεῖοι (Hesych.). Goth. haírtō OHG. herza Ags. heorte O.Icel. hjarta 'heart': Gr. 2αρδ-ία etc., see § 372. Goth. vitan OHG. wizzan 'to know', Indic. váit weiz 'I know': Skr. véda etc., see § 349.

OHG. z was the affricata ts, whereas zz (the oldest Mss. have zz after both long and short vowels, finally z) was a voiceless spirant, whose position of articulation seems to have been

somewhat different from that of s (Paul in Paul-Braune's Beitr. I 168 f.).

Prim. Germ. tu-= Indg. du- appears in Alemanic in the OHG. period as qu-, $qu\bar{t}fal\bar{v}n$ 'to doubt' beside $zw\bar{t}fal\bar{v}n$: Goth. tveifts 'doubt', to prim. Germ. *tui- OHG. zwi-= Indg. *dui'two', see § 349. Cp. the younger qu-= prim. Germ. pu- in Middle German § 374.

§ 376. Indg. dh. Goth. dags OHG. tag Ags. dag O.Icel. dagr 'day': Skr. ni-dāghá-s 'heat, hot time, summer', Lith. dãga-s dagà 'harvest' (properly 'hot time'), cpf. *dhogho-s, from rt. dhegh-'burn'. Goth. bindan Ags. bindan OHG. bintan O.Icel. binda 'to bind': Skr. bándhanam etc., rt. bhendh-, see § 350.

Goth. ana-biudan 'to command, order' Ags. beódan OHG. biotan O.Icel. bjōđa 'to offer': Skr. bốdhāmi 'I awake, mark, perceive', Gr. πεύθοιαα πυνθάνομαι 'I inquire, ask', Lith. bùdinti 'to awake' O.Bulg. bǔdčti 'to wake, watch over', rt. bheudh-.

đ arose first of all in every combination in prim. Germ. This returned to an explosive in West Germ. throughout, and partly in Goth. For details see § 537 ff.

Baltic-Slavonic.

§ 377. Indg. t. Lith. taszýti O.Bulg. tesati 'to chop': Skr. tákṣ̄āmi 'I make, fashion', Gr. τέzτων 'carpenter', Lat. texō, O.Ir. tāl 'carpenter's axe'. Lith. szvit-čti O.Bulg. svĭt-čti 'to shine brightly': Skr. śvit-rá-s śvēt-á-s 'brilliant, bright', rt. kuejt-. Lith. kert-ù 'I hew, strike' O.Bulg. črĭt-ą 'I cut': Skr. kynt-ámi 'I cut, split', rt. qert-. Lith. at-min-tì-s 'memory' O.Bulg. pa-mē-tī 'remembrance': Skr. ma-tí-ṣ etc., Indg. *my-tí-s 'a thinking of, meditating' (rt. men-).

tl became kl (cp. gl fr. dl, § 378) in Lith. and Lett., as in Italie (§ 367). Suffix -kla-= Lat. -clo--culo-: Lith. ir-kla-s Lett. -ir-kl-s 'oar', Lith. se-kla Lett. se-kla 'seed' (cp. Lat. sae-clu-m sae-culu-m), Lith. gany-kla Lett. gani-kla 'pasture, cattle-range'. The previous stage tl was preserved in Prussian: eb-sentliuns 'having marked' opposed to Lith. fen-kla-s

'mark' (Burda Kuhn-Schleicher's Beitr. VI 245). Cp. also the loan-word Lith. turklēli-s 'turtle-dove' (from which kurklēli-s), which is still turtlelis in Bretken.

k for t in East Lith. (Godlewa) rykmetỹs fr. ryt-metỹs 'the early morning' and in West Lith. tìknaga-s from tìtnaga-s 'firestone, flint'; to which also sèkma-s 'septimus' (cp. § 345)?

§ 378. Indg. d. Lith. dirti 'to skin', O.Bulg. dera 'I skin, tear': Skr. dy-nā-mi 'I burst, tear', Gr. δέρω 'I skin', Cymr. Corn. darn 'bit, portion', Goth. ga-taira 'I tear, destroy', rt. der-. Lith. raudà 'lamentation', O.Bulg. rydaja 'I lament': Skr. rudāmi 'I mourn, weep', Lat. rudō 'I bray, roar', Ags. reótan OHG. riozan 'to mourn, weep'. Lith. grūda-s 'corn' graudù-s 'brittle, fragile', O.Bulg. gruda 'clod': O.Icel. grautr OHG. gruzzi 'grit'. Lith. piūdi-s 'crepitus ventris': Skr. párdatē Gr. πέρδεται 'breaks wind', OHG. ferzan 'to break wind', rt. perd-.

gl from dl (ep. kl from tl, § 377) in Lith. and Lett. Lith. $\tilde{e}gl\dot{e}$ 'fir' beside Pruss. addle Polish jodla; $d=\mathrm{Indg.}\ d$ or dh? Lett. segli pl. 'saddle' fr. sedli, rt. sed-. Cp. Kašub. $\tilde{s}aglo=\mathrm{Polish}\ \tilde{s}adlo$ 'edge, point' with suffix $-dlo-\mathrm{Indg.}\ -dhlo-.$

§ 379. Indg. dh = prim. Baltic-Slav. d. Lith. $d\dot{e} - d\tilde{e}$ 'uncle' $d\tilde{e} - da$ -s 'old man', O.Bulg. $d\check{e} - d\check{u}$ 'grandfather': Gr. $\tau \dot{\eta} - \theta \dot{\eta}$ 'grandmother', $\tau \dot{\eta} - \theta \dot{t} - g$ 'aunt' (τ - fr. θ -, § 496), $\theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} o - g$ 'uncle'. Lith. $dabin\mathring{u}$ 'I adorn, clean', O.Bulg. doba 'opportunitas' $dobr\check{u}$ 'good': Goth. ga - dabip 'it is fit, becoming' $ga - d\tilde{\iota} f s$ (st. $ga - d\tilde{\iota} ba$ -) 'becoming, proper', Lat. faber 'skilful, workman'. Lith. $ved\mathring{u}$ O.Bulg. $ved\mathring{u}$ 'I lead, lead home': Skr. $vadh\check{u} - \check{s}$ 'bride, maiden', O.Ir. fedim 'I bring, lead'.

Rem. O.Bulg. $\check{z}ega$ 'I burn' cannot be separated from Lith. dega Skr. $d\acute{a}h\bar{a}mi$ etc., rt. dhegh- (§ 376). deg- must have become geg- (whence $d\check{z}eg$ - $\check{z}eg$ -, see § 463) by assimilation in prim. Slavonic. This is shown by Russ. iz-gaga 'heart burn' (Leskien Handbuch ² p. 12).

3. Palatal Explosives 1).

§ 380. Indg. \hat{k} , $(\hat{k}h_1)$ \hat{g} , $\hat{g}h$ usually appear in Greek, Ital., Keltic, and Germanic as explosives or as such sounds, for which

¹⁾ On the palatal and velar explosives in the Indg. languages see Ascoli Fonologia comparata del sanscrito del greco e del latino, Torino

explosives are to be presupposed as the immediately previous stage; whereas in Ar., Armen., Baltic-Slav., and Albanian they usually appear as spirants (in Armen. at the same time as affricatae) or their continuations.

It is possible and not improbable, that this diversity reflects a prim. Indg. difference of articulation, that the original explosives were spoken with a spirantal colouring (as affricatae?) in one portion of the Indg. parent language, whilst they remained pure in the other. This dialectical difference was then transmitted to the individual developments. Cp. § 417.

We classify the individual languages in the following §§ in accordance with this difference and give below an abstract of the usual representation of the palatals in the various languages.

Idg.	Gr.	Ital.	O.Ir.	Germ.	Skr.	Av.	Arm.	Alb.	Lith.	Slav.
ĥ	×	c	c	$k, \chi(h), g$ 3	š, š	s, š	8	s	sz	s
\widehat{g}	γ	g	g	k	j, (ž)	æ, ž	c	δ	ž	z
$\widehat{g}h$	χ	h, g	g	9 ਤ	74	z	j, z	8	SI S	z

e Firenze 1870 (translated by Bazzigher and Schweizer-Sidler under the title 'Vorlesungen über die vergleich. Lautlehre des Sanskrit etc.', Halle 1872) p. 107 ff., Studj critici II (1877) p. 25 ff.; Havet Revue critique 23. Nov. 1872, 7. Mar. 1874, Mém. de la soc. de lingu. II 261 ff.; Fick Die ehemalige Spracheinheit der Indogerm. Europa's 1873 p. 1 ff.; J. Schmidt Jen. Lit.-Zeit. 1874 Art. 14. 1875 Art. 588. 1877 Art. 247, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV 64 ff.; H. Möller Die Palatalreihe der idg. Grundspr. im Germ., Leipz. 1875, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIV 427 ff.; Windisch Kuhn-Schleicher's Beitr. VIII 25 ff.; Hübschmann Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIII 21 ff. 384 ff.; Benfey Gött. Nachr. 1876 p. 297 ff.; Leskien Declin. im Slav.-Lit. und Germ. 1876 p. XXIV f.; G. Curtius Stud. VII 267 ff.; Bezzenberger in his Beitr. II 151 ff. VI 236; K. Verner in Morph. Unt. I 116 ff.; F. Müller Sitzungsber. d. Wiener Ak. 1878 p. 3 ff.; Kluge Beitr. zur Gesch. d. germ. Conj. 1879 p. 42 ff.; Frödhe Bezzenb. Beitr. III 12 ff.; Collitz ibid. 177 ff.; d'Arbois de Jubainville Mém. de la soc. de lingu. IV 422 ff.; Osthoff Paul-Braune's Beitr. VIII 256 ff.; the Auth. Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV 306 f., Morph. Unt. IV 407 ff.; Bersu Die Gutturalen und ihre Verbindung mit v im Lateinischen, Berlin 1885.

Indo-Germanic prim. period.

§ 381. Tenuis k. *kntó-m 'hundred' : Gr. ξ-κατό-r Lat. centu-m O.Ir. cēt Goth. hund + Skr. satá-m Lith. szimta-s. *syékuro- 'father-in-law', *syékrű- 'mother-in-law' : Gr. ξενφό-ς, ξενφά, Lat. socer, socrus, O.Corn. hvoigeren, hvoeger, Goth. svaihra m., OHG. swigar f. + Skr. śváśura-s, švašrű-š, Arm. skesur f. Lith. szeszura-s m.¹); on the initial Skr. ś- Arm. sk- Lith. sz- see §§ 557, 4. 562. 587, 2. Rt. yejk- 'enter in' : Gr. Foïzo-ç olzo-ç 'house', Lat. vīcu-s, Goth. veihs (gen. veihsis) n. 'district' + Skr. viśámi 'f enter, come', Alb. vise pl. 'places', Lith. vēszēti 'to be a guest, tarry', O.Bulg. vīsī 'village'. *októy *októ 'eight' (cp. § 645 final 1) : Gr. ο΄στώ Lat. octō O.Ir. ocht n-. Goth. ahtáu + Skr. aṣṭāń aṣṭā Lith. asztůn O.Bulg. os-mī.

Seldom in suffixal elements. **junn- \hat{k} ó-s or **junn- \hat{k} ó-s 'youthful' : Lat. juvencu-s O.Ir. ōac ōc (§§ 137. 174) Goth. juggs (jūggs?) + Skr. yuvašá-s.

§ 382. Media ĝ. ĝen- ĝnō- 'get to know, know' : Gr. γι-γνώ-σχω 'I perceive', Lat. gnō-scō nō-scō, O.Ir. ad-gēn-sa perf. 'cognovi', Goth. kann 'I know' + Skr. jā-nā-mi 'I perceive, know' (§ 253) Av. ā-zainti- 'knowledge, explanation', Arm. caneay aor. 'I knew', Lith. žin-ôti 'to know' O.Bulg. zna-ti 'to know'. Rt. melĝ- 'strip off, milk' : Gr. ἀμέλγω Lat. mulgeō O.Ir. bligim OHG. milchu 'I milk' + Skr. mgjáti 'wipes, rubs, cleans', part. pass. mgṣṭά- Av. mar'zaiti 'strips', Lith. mélžu O.Bulg. mlŭza 'I milk'.

Prim. Indg. suffixes with \hat{g} seem not to occur.

§ 383. Media asp. ĝh. Gr. χιών 'snow' χειμών 'winter', Lat. hiems, O.Ir. gam O.Cymr. gaem O.Corn. goyf 'winter' (ep. § 392) + Skr. himá- 'frost, snow' Av. zima- 'winter', Arm. jiun 'snow', Alb. dimem 'winter', Lith. žëmù O.Bulg. zima 'winter'. Rt. μeĝh- 'vehere': Gr. ὄχο-ς 'carriage', Lat. vehō, O.Ir. fēn 'carriage' (§ 526), Goth. ga-vigan 'to move' + Skr. vihati Av.

¹⁾ Kurschat writes szesziwus. The palatal pronunciation of the second sz is probably due to assimilation with the initial sz- which had been palatalised by the following c.

vazaiti 'leads, goes', Alb. viéθ 'I steal' (orig. 'I lead away'), Lith. vežì O.Bulg. vezą 'veho'.

Prim. Indg. suffixes with $\hat{g}h$ seem not to occur.

a. The language-group with explosives.

Greek.

§ 384. Indg. k. καρδ-ία, Ion. κραδίη 'heart': Lat. cor O.Ir. cride Goth. hairtō 'heart' + Skr. śraddhá- f. 'confidence' (§ 282), Arm. sirt Lith. szirdì-s O.Bulg. srĭdīce 'heart'. κλυ-τό-ς 'renowned': Lat. in-clutu-s O.Ir. cloth 'renowned', OHG. Hlot-hari Lothar = *Κλυτό-στρατο-ς + Skr. śru-tú-s 'heard, renowned', Arm. lu 'audible' fr. *slu-? (s. Hübschmann, Armen. Stud. I 33), O.Bulg. slov-o 'word', rt. kleu-. εἴκοσι, Βœot. etc. fiκατι 'twenty': Lat. vīcēsimu-s, O.Ir. fiche 'twenty' + Skr. višatí-š 'the number twenty', Arm. ksan 'twenty' fr. *gsan *gisan (g- fr. u-, § 162). πόρκο-ς 'pig': Lat. porcu-s O.Ir. orc OHG. farh farah + Lith. parsza-s O.Bulg. prase 'pig'.

 \hat{k} i became σσ, ττ. μάσσων 'longer' : Av. masyå 'greater'. See § 489.

Prim. Gr. $x\tau = \text{Indg. } \hat{k}t$ and qt became $\tau\tau$ in Cret. εργο-διώττ $\hat{\alpha}$ - $\varsigma = \text{Att.}$ εργο-διώττη- ς 'taskmaster'. νυντί Ioc. sg. = Att. νυντί (with qt, § 427 c).

§ 385. Indg. \hat{g} . $\gamma \varepsilon \dot{\nu} \omega$ 'I let taste': Lat. gus-tu-s, O.Ir. to-gu 'choice', Goth. kiusa 'I test, choose' + Skr. $j\acute{o}$ - \check{s} -a- Av. $zao\check{s}$ -a- m. 'favour, kindness', rt. $\hat{g}eus$ -. $\acute{o}o\acute{e}\gamma\omega$ 'I reach': Lat. $reg\bar{o}$, O.Ir. imper. \bar{e} -rig 'stand up', Goth. uf-rakja 'I reach up' + Skr. $r\acute{a}j$ - $i\check{s}tha$ - Av. raz- $i\check{s}ta$ - 'straightest, most just', Lith. $r\bar{a}$ - $\check{z}yti$ -s 'to keep on stretching oneself'. $\check{e}o\gamma o$ - ν 'work': Goth. $vu\acute{u}rkja$ 'I work, effect' + Av. $ver^ezyeiti$ 'effects, completes', Arm. gorc 'work', rt. $yer\hat{g}$ -.

 $\hat{g}i$ became ζ ($\sigma\delta$), $\delta\delta$. $\tilde{a}\zeta o\mu a i$ 1 stand in awe of, to $\tilde{a}\gamma$ -10- ς , rt. $i\hat{a}\hat{g}$ -. See § 493.

§ 386. Indg. $\hat{g}h = \text{prim. Gr. }kh$. $\chi \alpha \mu \alpha i$ on the ground: Lat. humu-s, $hom\bar{o}$ (= δ $\epsilon \pi \iota \chi \theta \delta i \nu \sigma g$), Goth. guma 'man' + Skr. jm- αi s (§§ 469, 8. 554 rem. 1) Av. $z^{\epsilon}m$ - \bar{o} (§ 407) gen. 'of the earth', Alb. δe 'earth', Lith. $\check{z}\check{e}m\check{e}$ O.Bulg. zemlja 'earth'. $\chi \epsilon i \rho$ 'hand': Arm. $je\acute{r}n$ 'hand' pl. $je\acute{r}$ -k. $\check{\epsilon}\chi o$ 'I have, hold' fut. $\sigma \chi \acute{\eta}$ - $\sigma \omega$: Goth. sigis 'victory' + Skr. $s\acute{a}has$ - Av. hazah- n. 'strength, power, victory'. $\sigma n\acute{\epsilon} \varrho \chi \omega$ 'I hurry on, drive': + Skr. $sp_{r}hayati$ 'eagerly longs for' Av. $sper^{\epsilon}zait\bar{e}$ 'strives'.

ghi became σσ, $\tau\tau$. ασσον 'nearer' to αγχι, rt. α $\hat{n}gh$ -. See § 497.

Italic.

§ 387. Indg. \hat{k} . Lat. $c\bar{e}nse\bar{o}$, Osc. censamur 'censetor': + Skr. śą́s-a-ti 'recites, praises'. Lat. ci-s ci-ter, Umbr. ši-mu 'si-mo 'ad citima, retro' sive 'citra' : perhaps Gr. neut. nom. acc. -κι(δ) in οὐ-κί ναί-κι πολλά-κι (Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 241 f.), O.Ir. ce 'on this side', Goth. hi-mma 'to this' + Arm. -s 'this' (suffixed pronoun), Alb. si-viét 'in this year', Lith. szì-s O.Bulg. sĭ 'this'. Lat. clī-nō clī-tellae, Umbr. kletram 'lecticam': Gr. κλίνω 'I lean, bend', O.Ir. cloen 'slant, unjust, bad', Goth. hláins 'hill' + Skr. śráy-a-ti 'leans against, lays on', Lith. szlaīta-s 'mountain-slope', rt. klei-. Lat. decem, Umbr. desen-duf 'duodecim' tekuries dequrier 'decuriis' Osc. dekmanniúís 'decumanis': Gr. δέκα O.Ir. deich n
- Goth. $taíhun + {\rm Skr.}\ dáśa$ Arm. tasnLith. dēszimt O.Bulg. desetī 'ten'. Lat. equo-s, Umbr. tra ekvine 'trans equinum' (cp. § 431 a): Gr. ἵππο-ς O.Ir. ech 'horse', Goth. aíhva-tundi 'βάτος' + Skr. áśva-s 'horse', Lith. aszva 'mare', Indg. * \hat{ekuo} -s * \hat{ekua} . Lat. $queror\ questu$ -s:+ Skr. $\hat{s}v\acute{a}s$ -i-mi'I breathe, snort, sigh', rt. kues-.

-cf- became -ff- in Lat. : effer \bar{o} effici \bar{o} ; the c in ec- ex was probably \hat{k} .

Prim. Ital. k (partly = Indg. q, § 430) became a sibilant in Umbrian before e- and i-vowels, which cannot be more closely defined: \dot{s} , \dot{s} s (§ 23). Besides \dot{s} imu etc. already named ep. further: ti \dot{s} it 'decet', to Lat. decet decus, Skr. $da\dot{s}as$ - $y\acute{a}$ -ti 'does honour, is gracious'; $curna\dot{s}e$ 'cornice' beside curnaco 'cor-

nicem'; fasia 'faciat' beside fakust 'fecerit'. With this may be compared the treatment of Lat. c before palatal vowels in the Romance developments, as Italian cento Fr. cent from centum.

Rem. Lat. c had the pronunciation k also before e- and i-vowels down to the Middle Ages, thus kentum, kito. Cp. Seelmann Die Ausspr. d. Lat. 332 ff.

§ 388. Indg. \hat{g} . Lat. $g\bar{\imath}$ -gn- $\bar{\imath}$ mal $\bar{\imath}$ -gn-u-s (§ 619) genu-s, Osc. Genetaí 'Genetrici': Gr. γi - γr - σ - μau 'I become' $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} r$ - $\sigma \varsigma$ 'race', O.Ir. ro $g\bar{e}nair$ 'natus est' gein 'birth', Goth. kuni 'race' + Skr. $j\acute{u}n$ -as-n. 'race' Av. $z\bar{\imath}$ -zan-anti 'they beget, bring forth', Arm. cin 'birth', rt. $\hat{g}en$ -. Lat. $r\bar{e}g$ -is gen. sg., Osc. regatureí 'rectori', Marruc. regen[a dat. 'reginae': O.Ir. $r\bar{\imath}g$ gen. 'of a king' Gall. Bitu- $r\bar{\imath}ges$ + Skr. $r\bar{a}j$ - (nom. sg. $r\acute{a}t$, § 401 rem. 2) $r\acute{a}j$ -an-'king' $r\acute{a}sti$ 'shines forth, distinguishes himself'. Lat. argentu-m, Osc. aragetud abl. 'argento': Gr. $a\varrho\gamma n'\varsigma$ 'bright, white', un-airkns 'impure' OHG. erchan 'certus, egregius, right, pure' + Skr. arjuna-s 'bright, white, silver-coloured' Av. ar^ezah -n. 'the clear day, day-light', Arm. arcat 'silver'. Lat. $gl\bar{\jmath}s$: Gr. $\gamma a\lambda \delta \omega_\varsigma +$ O.Bulg. $zl\bar{\imath}uva$ 'husband's sister'.

§ 389. Indg. $\hat{g}h = \text{prim}$. Ital. χ . Lat. humu-s homō, Umbr. hondra 'infra' hondomu 'infimo' Osc. humuns nom. 'homines': § 207), Umbr. homonus 'hominibus' Osc. humuns nom. 'homines': Gr. $\chi a\mu a\ell$ etc., see § 386. Lat. hor-tu-s co-hors, Osc. húrtúm 'hortum': Gr. $\chi \delta \varrho \tau \sigma - \varsigma$ 'grass, hay, fodder, feeding-place, court-yard', O.Ir. gort 'seges' hub-gort 'vegetable-garden' + Lith. ža \tilde{r} -di-s m. 'pasture for horses, pasture-ground' Pruss. sardi-s 'a place enclosed by a hedge' 1). Lat. $veh\bar{o}$, Osc. vehia 'plaustrum' (Paulus F.): Gr. $\tilde{o}\chi \sigma - \varsigma$ etc., see § 383. Lat. $mih\bar{\imath}$ and Skr. $m\acute{a}h\acute{g}m$ 'mihi' probably contained Indg. $\hat{g}h$, the same sound as in Skr. nom. $ah\acute{a}m$.

Concerning the dropping of Lat. $h = \hat{g}h$ see § 510.

f also occurs instead of Lat. initial h, e. g. folus beside holus helus: O.Ir. gel 'white', OHG. gelo 'yellow' + Skr. hári-š Av.

¹⁾ It is uncertain whether Goth. gards 'yard, house, family' and OHG. garto also belong here. Lith. garda-s and O.Bulg. gradu seem to have been borrowed from Germ. See § 467, 2 and Kluge Etym. Wtb. der d. Sprache under Garten.

zairi-š 'yellowish', Lith. želii 'I grow green' O.Bulg. zelije n. 'greens, vegetables'; fariolu-s beside hariolu-s haru-spex: Gr. $\chi o \varrho \delta \eta'$ 'a string of gut', O.Icel. garner garnir pl. 'entrails' + Lith. žarnà 'gut'. Along with Osthoff (Morph. Unt. IV 99, cp. Danielsson in Pauli's Altital. Stud. III 144, Bersu Die Gutturalen im Lat. 131) we do not hold these forms with f as also fosti-s beside hosti-s (§ 430) for Lat., but for Sabine.

Rem. I am in doubt about the f in Lat. $fund\bar{v}$, to Goth giutan 'to pour', Gr. $\chi\acute{e}\omega$ 'I pour' $\chi\acute{v}-\tau_{\bar{v}\bar{a}}$ 'holy water', Av. zao- $pr\bar{a}$ - 'libation'. One might regard the f as having regularly arisen in $\bar{i}n$ - $fund\bar{v}$ con- $fund\bar{v}$, and then carried over to the uncompounded form, if the assumption had a firmer basis, $\bar{i}nfumu$ -s $\bar{i}nfimu$ -s may have come from an older 'in $fum\bar{v}$ = in hum \bar{v} (cp. Umbr. hon-dra above), which, being regarded as a superlative, was followed by the forms $\bar{i}nferu$ -s $\bar{i}nferi$ -o. The f in the Lat. words cannot be regularly connected with the dental in Skr. $adh\acute{a}s$ 'below' Goth. undar 'under'.

Lat. ng fr. prim. Ital. $n\chi$. $ang\bar{o}$: Gr. $a\gamma\chi\omega$ 'I press tight, strangle', O.Ir. cum-ung 'narrow', Goth. aggvu-s 'narrow' (with v from the oblique cases) + Skr. alpha- Av. azah- n. 'distress, need', Arm. anjuk (ancuk) 'narrow', O.Bulg. aza 'bond, fetter'. $ming\bar{o}$ (beside $m\bar{e}j\bar{o}$, probably fr. * $meih\bar{o}$, § 510): Gr. $alpha\bar{u}\chi$ 'I make water', Ags. $alpha\bar{u}\eta$ O.Icel. $alpha\bar{u}\eta$ 'to make water' + Skr. alpha- Skr. alpha- alpha-

O.Irish.

§ 390. Indg. $\hat{k} = \text{prim}$. Kelt. k. O.Ir. $cl\bar{u}$ 'renown' cluas 'ear', Mid.Cymr. clusteu pl. 'ears': Gr. $\varkappa \lambda v - \tau \delta - \varsigma$ etc., see § 384. O.Ir. imm-chom-arc 'question, an asking', O.Cymr. di-erchim 'ad poscendum' (for the loss of the p- see § 339): Lat. $prec\bar{e}s$ pl., Goth. fraihnan 'to ask' + Skr. $pra\dot{s}$ - $n\dot{a}$ - Av. $fra\dot{s}$ -na-(§ 398) 'question, an asking', Arm. harsn (gen. harsin) 'bride', Lith. prasziti O.Bulg. prositi 'to beg, request'. O.Ir. derc 'eye', Mid.Bret. derch 'aspectus': Gr. $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \rho \varkappa \rho \mu u$ 'I see', Goth. ga-tarh-jan 'to mark out' + Skr. $dad\acute{a}r\dot{s}a$ perf. 'I saw', Arm. tesane-m 'I see'.

O.Ir. $br\bar{e}c$ (read $br\bar{e}g$) 'lie, deceit' with loss of n before c: + Skr. $bhra\dot{s}a$ -s 'downfall, loss, a perishing'. See §§ 212. 513.

- O.Ir. fiche Mid.Cymr. ugeint 'twenty': Gr. Γίκατι εἴκοσι etc., § 384. See § 514.
- O.Ir. ocht 'eight' probably with prim. Kelt. cht : Gr. ὀκτω etc., § 381. See § 517.
- O.Ir. dess Cymr. deheu 'dexter, australis', as regards the suffix to Goth. taihsva 'dexter', further Gr. &\$\xi_{\elli}\elli_{\sigma}\text{Lat. dexter} + \text{Skr. dák\xi_{\elli}\elli_{\elli}} \text{Av. da\xi_{\elli}\elli_{\elli}} \text{'dexter', Lith. deszin\vec{e}} 'dextera' O.Bulg. de\xi_{\elli}\elli' \text{'dexter', Indg. *de\xi_{\elli}\elli_{\elli}}. See \xi 517.

In Irish \hat{k} and q fell together in c. Whereas in the Britt. branch and in Gall, they can still mostly be distinguished, since in the latter q had become p (§§ 435, 436). p arose from Indg. $\hat{k}y$ in Cymr. ebol 'foal' = O.Cymr. *epaul, Gall. epo- $(Epo-r\bar{e}dia)$ 'horse' beside O.Ir. ech.

- § 391. Indg. $\hat{g} = \text{prim. Kelt. } g$. O.Ir. gein 'birth', Cymr. geni 'nasci': Gr. $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} vo\varsigma$ etc., see § 388. O.Ir. $gn\bar{a}th$ 'known, accustomed, usual', Cymr. gnawt 'habitus': Gr. $\gamma v\omega$ etc., see § 382.
- O.Ir. ato-m-aig 'me adigit' agat 'agant': Gr. ἄγω 'I drive, lead', Lat. agō, O.Icel. aka 'to drive' + Skr. ájati Av. azaiti 'drives', Arm. acem 'I bring, lead', rt. aḡ-. See § 522.
- § 392. Indg. $\hat{g}h$ = prim. Kelt. g. O.Ir. gam (from *giam-, older *gi(i)am-) O.Cymr. gaem = (fr. *geam-, older *gi(i)am-) 'winter': Gr. $\chi\iota\omega\nu$ $\chi\epsilon\iota\iota\iota\iota\omega\nu$ etc., see § 383. cum-ung 'narrow': Gr. $\check{\alpha}\gamma\chi\omega$ $\check{\alpha}\gamma\chi\iota$ etc., see § 389.
- O.Ir. ligim 'I lick' ligur 'tongue': Gr. λείχω 'I lick', Lat. lingō, Goth. bi-láigō 'I lick' + Skr. lēh-mi 'I lick' 3. sg. lēḍhi, Arm. liz-u-m Lith. lēžiù 'I lick' O.Bulg. lizati 'to lick'. O.Ir. bri gen. breg 'rising ground' Gall. brigi- in Brigiani Are-brigium etc.: Goth. bairgahei 'chain of mountains' + Skr. bṛhánt- Av. berezant- 'great, high', rt. bherḡh-. See § 526.

Germanic.

§ 393. Indg. k. Goth. skei-nan OHG. Ags. scīnan O.Icel. skīna 'to shine' OS. sci-mo MHG. scheme 'shadow' : Gr. σκιά

¹⁾ Thurneysen conjectures, that the form gam was formed through the influence of sam 'summer',

'shadow' $\sigma \varkappa loo-v$ 'parasol' + Skr. $ch\bar{a}y\dot{a}$ - 'shadow, glimmer' (cp. Mod.Pers. $s\bar{a}yah$). Suffix $-s\hat{k}o--s\hat{k}\bar{a}$ - OHG. forsca 'inquiry, question' prim. Germ. ${}^*fur(\chi)-sk\bar{o}-f$.: Lat. $posc\bar{o}$ fr. ${}^*por(c)-sc\bar{o}$ + Skr. $prch\dot{a}mi$ Av. $per^es\bar{a}mi$ 'I ask', Skr. $prch\bar{a}$ - 'question, inquiry', rt. $pre\hat{k}$ -, weak grade form $pr\hat{k}$ -.

Goth. hund-s OHG. hunt Ags. hund O.Icel. hundr 'hound, dog': Gr. $\varkappa \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ (gen. $\varkappa \nu \nu$ -og) O.Ir. $c\bar{u}$ (pl. coin) Cymr. ci (pl. cwn) + Skr. $\dot{s}van$ - $\dot{s}un$ - Av. span- $s\bar{u}n$ - Arm. $\dot{s}un$ (gen. $\dot{s}an$) 'dog'. Goth. ga-teihan 'to announce, tell, relate' OHG. $z\bar{\imath}han$ 'to accuse of : Gr. $\delta \varepsilon \dot{\iota} \varkappa \nu \bar{\nu} \mu \iota$ 'I show' $\delta \dot{\iota} \varkappa \eta$ 'order, law', Lat. $j\bar{u}$ -dic- $d\bar{\imath} c\bar{o}$ + Skr. $d\bar{e}\dot{s}\dot{a} y \bar{a} mi$ Av. $da \varepsilon s a y \bar{e} mi$ 'I show, teach', rt. $de \dot{\imath} k$ -. Goth. OHG. $f\bar{a}han$ O.Icel. $f\bar{a}$ 'to seize' fr. prim. Germ. *fan- $\varkappa ana$ -n (§ 214 p. 181) : Gr. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma a \lambda o$ - ς fr. * $\pi \alpha \varkappa \mu a \lambda o$ - ς (§ 489), Lat. $p\bar{a} \varkappa paciscor$ + Skr. $p\dot{a}\dot{s}a$ -s 'snare, cord', rt. $p\bar{a}k$ -.

Goth. fagrs 'befitting' OHG. fagar 'beautiful' OHG. fuogen 'to unite' fang 'capture' from the same rt. $p\bar{a}\hat{k}$. OHG. swigar Ags. swezer 'mother-in-law': Gr. $\hat{\epsilon}$ xv $\rho\hat{\alpha}$ etc., see § 381.

§ 394. Indg. g. Goth. kniu (gen. knivis) OHG. chniu kniu Ags. cneó 'knee': Gr. γνν-πετεῖν 'to fall on the knees, to become helpless' γόνν 'knee', Lat. genu + Skr. jñu-bắdh- 'bending the knees' jắnu 'knee' Av. fra-šnu 'knee bent forward' (§ 403) zanva pl. 'knees', Arm. cunr 'knee'. Goth. uf-rakjan 'to stretch on high' OHG. recchen MHG. recken 'to stretch': Gr. ὀρέγω etc., s. § 385. OHG. boch boc Ags. bucca O.Icel. bokkr bukkr 'buck' were from a weak stem-form *bhuĝ-n- (§ 534): + Av. būz-a- 'he-goat', Arm. buc 'lamb'.

§ 395. Indg. $\hat{g}h$. Goth. *gans 'goose' (hence Spanish ganso), OHG. gans O.Icel. $g\bar{a}s$ 'goose': Gr. $\chi\eta\nu$ Lat. $\bar{a}nser=h\bar{a}nser+$ Skr. $has\acute{a}-s$ Lith. $\check{z}\bar{a}s\grave{i}-s$ 'goose' (on O.Bulg. $gas\check{i}$ s. § 467, 2). Goth. gaggs 'street, way' OHG. OS. gang O.Icel. gangr 'way, passage': + Skr. $j\acute{a}ngh\bar{a}$ - Av. zanga- 'heel-bone', Lith. $\check{z}engi\grave{i}$ 'I stride', Indg. $\hat{g}hengh$ -.

Goth. deigan 'to form of clay, mould', OHG. teig O.Icel. deig 'dough': Gr. $\tau \tilde{eixo}_S$ 'a wall', Lat. $fing\bar{o} + Skr$. $d\bar{e}h\hat{i}$ 'earth thrown out, rampart' $san-d\bar{e}h\hat{a}$ -s 'a cementing' Av. uz-daeza-'accumulation', Arm. $d\bar{e}z$ 'heap', rt. dheigh-.

b. The language-group with spirants or affricatae.

Aryan.

§ 396. Indg. \hat{k} , \hat{g} , $\hat{g}h$ were generally represented by \dot{s} , \dot{z} , $\dot{z}h$ i. e. by palatal \dot{s} -(sh-)sounds in the prim. Aryan period. \dot{s} and \dot{z} have the same sound as the 'softened' \dot{s} (sz) and \dot{z} (caused by the following of i- or e-vowels) of the Slavonic and Lithuanian languages.

Prim. Ar. $\dot{s}t$, $\dot{s}k$ and $\dot{z}d$ $\dot{z}dh$, $\dot{z}bh$ became developed to $\dot{s}t$, $\dot{s}k$ and $\dot{z}d$ $\dot{z}dh$, $\dot{z}bh$ probably already in prim. Aryan. See §§ 399. 404.

The value of Indg. $s\bar{k}$ and $\bar{k}s$ cannot be precisely defined for the prim. Ar. period. See §§ 400. 401.

§ 397. Indg. \hat{k} . Prim. Ar. \dot{s} (§ 396) mostly remained unchanged in Sanskrit. It became s in Iranian. For this s there appears in O.Pers. mostly (initially before and medially between vowels), and in Av. occasionally p, which, according to Bartholomae, is only a graphical variety of s.

Skr. šáṣ-a-ti 'praises, recites' Av. sanh-aitē 'names himself O.Pers. a-pah-a 'he spoke, said, announced': Lat. cēnseō. Skr. šūra- Av. sūra- 'strong', Av. aiwi-pūra- 'over strong, over powerful': Gr. α-κōρο-ς 'without authority, invalid'. Acc. sg. Skr. víṣ-am Av. vīṣ-em O.Pers. vip-am 'clan, village community': Alb. viṣe etc., see § 381. Skr. váṣ-a-s 'will, pleasure', Av. vaṣ-ō acc. sg. n. (st. vaṣah-) adv. 'at pleasure' O.Pers. vaṣaiy loc. sg. (st. vaṣa-) adv. 'very, much' (orig. 'at pleasure'): Armen. vaṣn 'on account of' + Gr. ἑκών 'voluntary', rt. μεk-. Skr. áṣ-man- Av. O.Pers. aṣ-man- 'stone, sky': + Gr. ἄχ-μων 'anvil, thunder-bolt'. Skr. śvētá- Av. spaṛta- 'white': Lith. szvaitýti szvaitìnti 'to make bright' O.Bulg. světĭ 'light' + Goth. hveits 'white', rt. kueit- kueid-.

§ 398. Prim. Ar. šn and p\$ became šn and f\$ in Iranian (on f from p see § 473.)

Prim. Ar. šn. Av. frašna- = Skr. prašná- 'question': Arm. harsn etc., rt. prek-, s. § 390. Av. gen. sg. $aš-n-\bar{o}$ = Skr. \acute{a} - $\acute{s}n$ -as,

beside the strong stem-form as-an-= Skr. as-an- 'stone': Arm. yes-an 'whetstone' + Gr. $axov-\eta$ 'whetstone'. O.Pers. vas-an- 'will, favour', to vasaiy etc., see § 397.

Prim. Ar. pś. Av. haurva-fš-u- 'the whole cattle' beside pas-u- = Skr. paś-ú-: Lat. pecu, Goth. faihu 'cattle', cp. § 313 p. 251.

§ 399. Prim. Ar. $\check{s}t=\operatorname{Indg}$. $\hat{k}t$ (§ 396) remained in Iranian, but became $\check{s}t$ in Sanskrit (cp. §§ 404. 556). Skr. $di\check{s}ti-\check{s}$ 'indication, prescription', Av. $\bar{a}-di\check{s}ti-\check{s}$ 'direction, instruction' beside Skr. $d\bar{e}\check{s}\acute{a}y\bar{a}mi$ Av. $daesay\bar{e}mi$ 'I show, teach': Lat. $dicti\bar{o}$, OHG. in-ziht 'accusation', cp. also Gr. $\delta\epsilon i \xi i-\varsigma$ 'a showing', Indg. * $di\hat{k}-ti-s$, rt. $dei\hat{k}-$, see § 393. 3. sg. Skr. $v\acute{a}\check{s}ti$ Av. $va\check{s}ti$ 'he wishes, desires' beside 1. sg. Skr. $v\acute{a}\check{s}mi$ Av. $vas^\epsilon mi$, from rt. $ue\bar{k}-$, see §§ 397. 398. Skr. $pr\acute{a}\check{s}tu-m$ inf. 'to interrogate', O.Pers. $ahi-fra\check{s}ti-$ 'punishment by the sword', beside Skr. $pra\check{s}n\acute{a}-$ etc., see § 398.

Prim. Ar. $\check{s}k=\operatorname{Indg}$. $\check{k}q$ is found in Av. 3. pl. aor. act. $a-\check{s}k-ar^e$ 'they run off, go away' beside 3. pl. pres. mid. $sac-int\bar{e}$ from rt. Ar. $\check{s}ak-\check{s}ac$. Cp. Bartholomae Ar. Forsch. II 51 ff.

§ 400. Indg. $s\bar{k}$ became in Skr. ch (cch), Av. O.Pers. s (or \bar{p} , see § 397). Present suffix Skr. -cha- Av. O.Pers. -sa- = Gr. $-\sigma n$ 0- Lat. -sco-: e. g. Skr. $g\acute{a}$ - $ch\bar{a}$ -mi Av. ja- $s\bar{a}$ -mi fr. Indg. *gm- $s\bar{k}$ δ , Gr. $\beta\acute{a}$ - σn 0, from rt. gem- 'go' (§ 228); Skr. $prch\acute{a}mi$ Av. $per^es\bar{a}mi$ O.Pers. $pars\bar{a}m\bar{\imath}y$ fr. Indg. * $pr\hat{k}$ - $s\hat{k}$ δ , Lat. $posc\bar{o}$ (*por(c)- $sc\bar{o}$), from rt. $pre\hat{k}$ - 'demand, beg, ask' (§ 288); O.Pers. $x\check{s}n\bar{a}$ - $s\bar{a}$ -tiy = Lat. $gn\bar{o}$ -sca-t (cp. § 403). Cp. § 557,2.

Rem. Other examples in Bartholomae's Handb. d. altiran. Dial. § 146 and Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 366 ff., where $(s)\tilde{k}h$, and not Indg. $s\hat{k}$, is regarded as the original form. This theory seems to us not to have sufficient foundation. Cp. §§ 475. 553.

§ 401. Indg. ks became in Skr. kš, and in Av. O.Pers. š. Skr. vákši Av. vaši, 2. sg. to Skr. vášmi Av. vasemi, s. §§ 397. 398. 399. Skr. dákšina- Av. dašina- 'dexter': Lith. deszinē etc., see § 390. Skr. á-dzkš-ata 3. pl. indic. mid., Av. dareš-a-p 3. sg. conj. act. of the s-aorist from rt. derk- 'see', cp.

Gr. (late) fut. δέρξομαι. O.Pers. niy-apišam 'I wrote' s-aorist from rt. peik-: Av. zaranyō-pīs- 'adorned with gold' paesa- paesah- 'ornament, decoration', Skr. pišāmi 'I adorn, form', O.Bulg. pīsati 'to write' + Gr. ποικίλο-ς 'many-coloured', Lat. pīctūra.

The prim. Ar. form might have been šš, since in Sanskrit Indg. $\hat{k}s$ fell together with Indg. ss (preceded by i, u) = prim. Ar. šš, cp. Skr. 2. sg. $dv\acute{e}k$ i (1. sg. $dv\acute{e}$ j-mi 'I hate'). Cp. § 556, 1.

Rem. 1. Observe that Indg. $\hat{k}s$ and qs fell together in $k\S$ in Sanskrit, whereas in Iranian (as in Balt.-Slav., \S 414) they remained separate: $\hat{k}s = \text{Iran. } \check{s}, \ qs = \text{Iran. } x\check{s}.$ Cp. \S 556, 1.

Rem. 2 Skr. final ks became k by § 647, 7, as nom. sg. dik 'heavenly direction' (st. $di\mathring{s}$ -), γtv -ik 'sacrificator' (st. γtv -ij-, rt. $ia\widehat{g}$ -). Forms as nom. sg. $sp\acute{a}t$ 'spy' (st. $sp\acute{a}\mathring{s}$ -), $vi\mathring{t}$ 'clan' (st. $vi\mathring{s}$ -), $r\mathring{a}t$ 'king' (st. $r\widetilde{a}j$ - Indg. * $r\widetilde{e}\widehat{g}$ -) were new formations after the analogy of the cases with bh-suffixes, see § 404 rem. 3. In Av. the regular continuation of Indg. ks was not altered by the law of finals: e. g. $spa\check{s}$ — Skr. $sp\acute{a}t$ Lat. (haru-)spex; $a\gamma\bar{a}$ - $var^s\check{s}$ 'causing sins' to ver^sz -yeiti 'works' rt. $yer\widehat{g}$ -.

Rem. 3. $\varkappa\tau$ (not ξ) occurs in a number of Gr. words, opposite to Skr. $k\tilde{s} = \text{Iran. } \tilde{s} = \text{Lat. } x$, e. g. Skr. $t\tilde{a}k\tilde{s}\tilde{a}$ 'carpenter': Gr. $\tau \epsilon \varkappa\tau \omega \nu$. On this correspondence cp. \S 554 extr.

No trace of the first \hat{k} in the Indg. combination $\hat{k}s\hat{k}$ has remained in Aryan, it became assimilated to the following s at an early period. Cp. Skr. $prch\acute{a}mi$ Av. $per^{c}s\bar{a}mi = \text{Indg.}$ * $pr\hat{k}$ - $s\hat{k}\acute{o}$ with Skr. $g\acute{a}ch\bar{a}mi$ Av. $jas\bar{a}mi = \text{Indg.}$ *gm- $s\acute{k}\acute{o}$ (§ 400).

§ 402. Indg. \hat{g} . Prim. Ar. \dot{z} (§ 396) mostly became j in Sanskrit, and z in Iranian. d i. e. \vec{d} is often written for z in O.Pers., cp. p beside s § 397.

Skr. ján-as n. 'race' ján-a-s 'man, people', Av. zī-zan-anti 'they beget, bring forth' O.Pers. parūv-zana- 'populous': Lat. genus etc., s. § 388. Skr. vájra-s 'thunder-bolt of Indra' Av. vazra- 'club' O.Pers. vazra-ka- 'great, powerful', Skr. vája- Av. vāza- m. 'strength': Gr. ν΄νιής 'healthy', Lat. vegeō, Goth. us-vakjan 'to wake up', rt. μeĝ- 'be active, strong'. Skr. jōša- Av. zaoša- m. 'favour, kindness', Skr. jōštár- 'loving' O.Pers. dauštar-friend': Gr. γενω etc., see § 385. Skr. jráy-as- n. 'surface, extent', Av. zrayah-n. O.Pers. drayah- draya- n. 'sea': + Lat. gli-scō. († ?).

§ 403. Prim. Ar. źn became šn in Iranian. Av. fra-šnu-'knee bent forwards' beside zanva pl. 'knees' etc., s. § 394. Av. duž-varšnah- 'evil doer' beside ver z-yeiti 'works, effects, carries out' etc., s. § 385.

 $x ilde{s} n$ appears for in O.Pers. $x ilde{s} n \bar{a}$ - $s ilde{a}$ - $t ilde{t} y = Lat.$ $g ilde{n} \bar{o}$ - $s ilde{c} a$ - $t ilde{t} y = Lat.$ $g ilde{n} \bar{o}$ - $s ilde{c} a$ - $t ilde{t} y = Lat.$ $g ilde{n} \bar{o}$ - $s ilde{c} a$ - $t ilde{t} y = Lat.$ $g ilde{n} \bar{o}$ - $s ilde{c} a$ - $t ilde{t} y = Lat.$ $g ilde{n} \bar{o}$ - $s ilde{c} a$ - $t ilde{t} y = Lat.$ $g ilde{n} \bar{o}$ - $s ilde{c} a$ - $t ilde{t} y = Lat.$ $g ilde{n} \bar{o}$ - $s ilde{c} a$ - $t ilde{t} y = Lat.$ $g ilde{n} \bar{o}$ - $s ilde{c} a$ - $t ilde{c} a$ -t

Rem. yasna-'offering' instead of *yašna- (Skr. yajñå-'offering', rt. $ia\hat{g}$ -) received the dental sibilant from analogy with such forms as 3. sg. pres. $yazuit\bar{e}$.

Prim. Iran. $zm = \text{prim. Ar. } \dot{z}m$ became sm in Av. rasman'column of an army' besides razayeiti 'puts in order': Gr. $\dot{o}\varrho\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$ etc., see § 385.

The transition of $\check{z}n$ to $\check{s}n$ and of zm to sm points to a voicelessness of the nasal.

- § 404. Prim. Ar. $\check{z}d$ $\check{z}dh$, $\check{z}bh$ (§ 396) became $\check{z}d$, $\check{z}b$ in Iranian (§ 481); in Sanskrit they first became $\check{z}d$, $\check{z}dh$, $\check{z}bh$ (cp. §§ 399. 591), then \check{z} was dropped before d dh with (compensation lengthening), while before bh it passed into d.
- 1. $\check{z}d$. Here will come Skr. $mrd\acute{a}-ti$ 'is gracious, pardons, spares', $mrd\bar{\imath}k\acute{a}-$ n. 'grace, pardon, mercy' Av. $mer^e\check{z}dika-$ n. 'grace, pardon, mercy', in case they belong to the rt. $mer\mathring{g}-$ 'wipe off' (Skr. $mrj-\acute{a}-ti$ 'wipes off, cleanses from guilt', Av. $mar^ez-aiti$ 'wipes, cleanses'); the original form would then be an old syntactical combination * $mr\mathring{g}$ $d\bar{o}-$ 'grant a purity from sins'. Skr. $mr\mathring{s}-ya-t\bar{e}$ 'forgets' $mar\mathring{s}\acute{a}yat\bar{e}$ 'bears patiently, excuses, pardons' Lith. $mi\mathring{r}sz-ti$ 'to forget' can equally well be regarded as being from an old *mrs $d\bar{o}-$ (by assimilation *mrz $d\bar{o}-$) 'grant a forgetting, excuse' (§ 591).
- 2. ždh. Av. važdri-š 'promoter, accomplisher', to vazaiti = Skr. váhati 'vehit', fr. * $ue\hat{g}h+tri$ -. Av. (Gāp.) $ger^e\check{z}d\bar{a}$ 3. sg. pret. mid. to ger^ezaiti 'complains, implores' = Skr. mid. $g\acute{a}rhat\bar{e}$ 'complains, blames', fr. prim. Ar. * $gr\check{z}dha$ or * $ghr\check{z}dha$ i. e. * $gr\hat{g}h$ -($ghr\hat{g}h$ -)+to. Skr. $l\acute{e}dhi$ 'licks' (1. sg. $l\acute{e}hmi$) fr.

prim. Ar. *laįždhi i. e. *leįĝh+ti, part. pass. $l\bar{\iota}dh\acute{a}$ - 'licked' from prim. Ar. *liždh\acute{a}- i. e. *liĝh+to-. Skr. $\bar{\iota}dha$ - to $v\acute{a}h\bar{a}mi$ 'veho', fr. prim. Ar. *uždha- i. e. *uĝh+to-. Skr. $dr\dot{d}h\acute{a}$ - to $d\acute{r}hy\bar{a}mi$ 'I fasten; stand firm', fr. prim. Ar. *dhrždha- (§ 480) i. e. *dhr $g\acute{h}$ +to-, cp. Lat. forcti-s forti-s. Skr. $s\bar{a}dh\acute{a}$ - to sáhatē 'masters', fr. prim. Ar. *sāždha-. Skr. $b\bar{a}dh\acute{a}$ - 'fast, firm, strong' beside $b\acute{a}h$ -ištha- 'firmest' Av. bazah- 'strength, greatness', fr. prim. Ar. *bhāždha- (§ 480) i. e. *bh $\bar{v}g\acute{h}$ +to- ($\bar{a}=\bar{v}$, § 253).

Prim. Ar. $a + \check{z}$ became Skr. \bar{o} . $v\acute{o}dhu-m$ inf. 'vectum' (from rt. $ue\hat{g}h$ -) fr. prim. Ar. * $va\check{z}dhu-m$ i. e. * $ue\hat{g}h+tu-m$. $s\acute{o}dhu-m$ inf. 'to master, overpower' (from rt. $se\hat{g}h$ -) fr. prim. Ar. * $sa\check{z}dhu-m$ i. e. * $se\hat{g}h+tu-m$. 3. sg. $trp\acute{o}dhi$ 'he dashes to pieces' (pf. $tat\acute{a}rha$) for regular * $trp\acute{o}dhi$ (fr. * $trpa\check{z}-dhi$) arose from analogy to forms in $-n\bar{c}dhi$ fr. *-nazdhi (§ 476 rem.)

Rem. 1. It has not been ascertained for certain what was the pronunciation of the etymologically presupposable combination gh+t at the close of the Indg. prim. period. See § 552.

Rem. 2. Forms like Skr. 2. sg. imper. dididdhi for *dididhi (prim. f. $*di-di\hat{g}-dhi$), to $did\tilde{e}-mi$ 'I show', were new formations. The \S -sound (* $didi\tilde{e}-dhi$) was re-introduced in *dididhi after the analogy of $didi\tilde{g}+dhi$ $d\tilde{e}-d\tilde{e}-dhi$ and others ($\S = ht$, \S 399), then this — change of period, change of sound-laws — passed into d. Cp. \S 591 rem. 1 on dviddhi and \S 476 rem. 1 on daddhi for $d\tilde{e}hi$.

3. $\check{z}bh$. Av. (Gāþ.) $v\bar{\imath}\check{z}by\bar{o}$ Skr. $vidbhy\acute{a}s$ dat. abl. pl. to Av. $v\bar{\imath}s$ - Skr. $vi\dot{s}$ - 'clan, village community', rt. $uei\hat{k}$ -. Skr. $padbhi\check{s}$ instr. pl. to $pa\dot{s}$ - 'a look', rt. $spe\hat{k}$ -. We assume that in such cases \hat{g} for \hat{k} goes back to the Indg. prim. period, see § 469, 2. Skr. $\check{z}bh$ probably became dbh in the same period in which the newly formed *didiždhi (for * $did\bar{\imath}dhi$) became dididdhi (rem. 2).

Rem. 3. In Sanskrit the cerebral explosive was carried over to the nom. from the cases with bh-suffixes, hence $sp\acute{a}t$ $v\acute{t}t$ $r\acute{a}t$ instead of the regular $*sp\acute{a}k$ $*v\acute{t}k$ $*r\acute{a}k$ fr. $*spa\acute{k}$ etc., see § 401 rem. 2.

§ 405. Indg. $\hat{g}h$. Prim. Ar. $\dot{z}h$ (§ 396) became h in Sanskrit through the intermediate stage jh, in Iranian it fell together with prim. Ar. \dot{z} in z (O.Pers. d, see § 402).

Skr. háv-ana- Av. zav-ana- 'call, ery', Skr. hv-ātar- Av. zb-ātar- 'caller, erier' : O.Bulg. zov-a 'I call' zv-atelĭ 'caller, erier' + OHG. gaunōn 'to complain'. Skr. bāhú-š Av. bāzu-š

'arm': Gr. πῆχν-ς 'fore-arm', OHG. buog O.Icel. bōgr 'bow', Indg. * $bh\bar{a}\hat{g}h$ -u-s. Skr. $d\bar{e}h$ - \tilde{t} 'earth thrown out, rampart' Av. uzdaez-a- 'accumulation' O.Pers. dīd-ā 'rampart, fortification': Goth. deigan etc., see § 395. Skr. gåhati hides, conceals' Av. gaozaiti 'hides, keeps' O.Pers. gaudaya imper. 'hide': Lith. »gužietojis« 'protector' gūsztà 'brooding nest', rt. in weak grade form ghặgh-.

§ 406. In Sanskrit jh, the previous stage of h, was retained in *ujjhitá*- 'forsaken, given up' fr. **ud-jhi-ta-*, to Skr. hā-Av. zā- 'leave, forsake, lose'.

j appears where prim. Ar. žh came under the law of the dissimilation of aspirates (§ 480), e. g. pres. $j\acute{a}-h\vec{a}-ti=Av$. $za-z\bar{a}-iti$, prim. Ar. * $\dot{z}ha-\dot{z}h\bar{a}-ti$; pf. $ju-h\dot{a}v-a$ 'he called to' from hu-. This law of dissimilation consequently came into operation before the time that jh became h.

§ 407. Prim. Ar. żhn became šn in Iranian (cp. § 403). Av. bareš-nu-š 'height, summit' beside barez-ā- 'height' barez-išta-'very high' Skr. bárh-ištha- 'very elevated': O.Ir. bri (gen. breg) 'rising ground' etc., see § 392.

Prim. Ar. zhm became sm in Av. (cp. § 403). maes-man-'urine' beside gao-maeza- 'cow-urine' Skr. mēha- 'urine': Lat. $ming\bar{o}$ etc., see § 389. $xrao\check{z}du$ -sma- 'hard, firm ground' beside nom. zå acc. zam 'earth': Gr. χαμ-αί etc., see § 386; gen. z mō instr. $z^{e}m\bar{a}$ abl. $z^{e}map$ had z for s after the analogy of $z\hat{a}$ zam; in O.Pers. with zm uvāra-zmī- 'Chorasmien'.

Armenian.

§ 408. Indg. k. sar (gen. saroy) 'height, summit, slope': Skr. šíras- Av. sarah- n. 'head' + Gr. κάρα 'head', Lat. cerebru-m fr. *ceres-ro- (§ 570). surb (gen. srboy) 'pure' with -rb- from -br- (§ 263): Skr. šubh-rá-s 'bright, ornamental'. srun-k pl. shin-bones, calves (of the leg)': + Lat. crūs crūris. aseln (gen. ashan) 'needle': Skr. aś-ri-š 'the sharp side of a thing, corner, edge, border', Alb. ušt 'ear of corn' fr. *ust, Lith. asz-t-rù-s O.Bulg. os-t-rŭ 'sharp' O.Bulg. οσŭtŭ 'τρίβολος, genus spinae' + Gr. ax-90-5 at the end, Lat. ac-u-s, O.Cymr. ocet 'raster' Goth. ahs (gen. ahsis) 'ear of corn' OHG. ahil 'chaff, beard of grain'. harsn (gen. harsin) 'bride' from the root-form $p_r\hat{k}$ -, see § 390.

The $\check{s} = \hat{k}$ in $\check{s}un$ (gen. $\check{s}an$) 'dog' is unexplained: Skr. $\check{s}van$ - $\check{s}un$ - etc., see § 393.

- ç fr. lndg. (\hat{k})s \hat{k} . harç (gen. harçi) 'question': Skr. pṛchá-, Indg. *pṛ \hat{k} -s \hat{k} -, see §§ 393. 400. 401.
- c fr. Indg. (s)s \hat{k} . aic 'investigation': OHG. eisca 'demand', Indg. *ais-s \hat{k} -, cp. Skr. $ich\hat{a}$ 'wish, desire' i. e. *is-s $\hat{k}\bar{a}$ beside $e\check{s}$ -a-s 'a seeking out, wish'.
- ç fr. ks. veç 'six': Lith. szeszî + Gr. $f \in \mathcal{E}$ Lat. sex O.Ir. se Cymr. chwech, cpf. *sweks (cp. § 589, 3).

l probably disappeared as s before t in ut 'eight': Skr. asta etc., see § 381. Perhaps also before l in lu 'audible' lur 'a hearing, tidings, news', since these words seem to be related to Skr. su- Gr. $u\lambda v$ - etc. (§ 384). See Hübschmann Arm. Stud. I 33.

- § 409. Indg. \hat{g} . cer (gen. ceroy) 'old man': Skr. járati 'rubs, makes fragile' Av. zaurvā- f. 'age, a growing old' + Gr. $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega v$ 'old man'. calr (gen. calu) 'laughter': + $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\omega}$ 'I laugh'. aic (gen. aici) 'goat': + Gr. al γ (gen. al $\gamma \dot{\phi}$) 'goat'. arciv 'eagle' (ar fr. γ § 291, v fr. p § 330): Skr. $\gamma jipy\dot{\alpha}$ 'stretching oneself, seizing on the wing' Av. $er^e zifya$ 'stretching oneself, falcon'.
- § 410. Indg. gh. Initially and after n, r as j. jaune-m 'I consecrate, sacrifice': Skr. hótar- Av. zaotar- 'the high priest' + Gr. χέω 'I pour'. jukn (gen. jkan) 'fish': Pruss. acc. suckans (read zukans, § 412) 'fishes' Lith. žùk-mistra-s 'master of a fishery' žuv-ì-s 'fish'. ji (gen. jioy) 'horse': Skr. háya-s 'steed'. anjuk (ancuk) 'narrow': Lat. angō etc., see § 389. inj (inc), gen. enju (encu), 'panther, leopard': Skr. sihá-s 'lion'. mi-orj-i 'μόνορχις' orj-i 'not castrated': Av. er'zi- Alb. herðe for *erðe + Gr. ὄρχι-ς 'testicle'. barjr (gen. barju) 'high': Skr. bṛhánt-etc., see § 392. barj (gen. barji) 'προςκεφάλαιον': Skr. barhíṣ-n. 'the offering-litter' Av. bareziṣ- 'cover, mat'.
- z after vowels. liz-u-m 'I lick': Skr. léh-mi etc., § 392. ozni 'hedge-hog': Lith. ežỹs O.Bulg. ježĭ fr. *jez-ẋ (§ 147 p. 134) + Gr. ἐχῖνο-ς OHG. igil 'hedge-hog'.

Albanian.

§ 411. According to G. Meyer (Bezzenberger's Beitr. VIII 186 f., Alban. Stud. II 15 ff.) Indg. \hat{k} , \hat{g} , $\hat{g}h$ are represented in Albanian in the following manner:

 $\hat{k} = s$. $si\text{-}vi\acute{e}t$ 'in this year': Lith. $sz\grave{i}\text{-}s$ 'this' Lat. ci-ter etc., see § 387. vise pl. 'places': Skr. $vi\acute{s}\text{-}\acute{a}mi$ Gr. $fo\~{i}vo\text{-}\varsigma$ etc., see § 381. $u\check{s}t$ 'ear of corn' fr. *ust: Skr. $a\acute{s}\text{-}ri\text{-}\check{s}$ Gr. $a\'{s}\text{-}vo\text{-}\varsigma$ etc., see § 408. G. Meyer conjectures θ fr. s in $dja\theta t_{\it e}t$ ($dia\theta ete$) 'to the right', the first part of which $dja\theta$ - represents Indg. * $de\~{k}s$ - (Skr. $d\acute{a}k\check{s}ina$ - etc., see §§ 390. 401).

 $\hat{g} = \delta$. δemp (with def. article δemb -i) 'tooth': Skr. $j\acute{a}mbha$ -s' 'bite, tooth', Lith. $\check{z}amba$ 'mouth', O.Bulg. $zab\check{u}$ 'tooth' + Gr. $\gamma \delta \mu \varphi o - \varsigma$ 'tooth', OHG. chamb 'comb'. δi 'goat': Skr. $aj\acute{a}$ -s 'buck', Lith. $o\check{z}\check{y}s$ 'he-goat' + O.Ir. ag allaid 'cervus' (wild buck). $bar\theta$ (with article $bar\delta$ -i) 'white': Skr. $bhr\acute{a}jat\bar{e}$ Av. $br\bar{a}zaiti$ 'beams, radiates. $l'i\theta$ 'I bind' $(l'i\delta -)$: + Lat. $lig\bar{a}re$.

 $\hat{g}h = \delta$. The Indg. mediae and mediae aspiratae had fallen together in Alban. as in Iran. Kelt. and Balt.-Slav. δies 'caco': Skr. $h\acute{a}d$ -a-ti 'cacat' Av. zad-ah- 'nates', Arm. jet 'tail' + Gr. $\chi\acute{e}\zeta\omega$ 'caco' perf. $\varkappa\acute{e}\chi o\delta\alpha$, $\chi\acute{o}\delta\alpha io$ - ς 'nates'. $vi\acute{e}\theta$ 'I steal' $(vie\delta$ -): Skr. $v\acute{a}h\bar{a}mi$ etc. s. § 383. $her\delta e$ 'testicle': Armen. mi-orj-i etc. s. § 410.

d- for δ- in dimen 'winter' (Gr. χειμών etc., s. § 383) and in dié 'yesterday' (Skr. hyás 'yesterday' + Gr. $\chi\theta$ ές 'yesterday', Lat. herī hes-ternu-s, Goth. gistra-dagis 'to-morrow').

Baltic-Slavonic.

§ 412. Indg. \hat{k} , \hat{g} , $\hat{g}h$ were \check{s} -(sh-)sounds in the Baltic-Slavonic parent language, whose place of articulation cannot be defined more precisely. They retained their character as \check{s} -sounds in Lithuanan: $\hat{k} = sz$, \hat{g} $\hat{g}h = \check{z}$. In Prussian and Lettic as well as in the Slavonic branch they passed into s-sounds: $\hat{k} = s$, \hat{g} $\hat{g}h = z$. In Pruss. the letter s denotes both s and z, in Lett. s is the voiceless, and f the voiced sound.

The fact that the successor to Indg. \hat{k} did not take part in the Slav. change of s to ch (§ 588), shows that Indg. \hat{k} and

Indg. s were still distinguished not only in the Baltic parent language but also in the Slavonic.

§ 413. Indg. \hat{k} . Lith. szálta-s Lett. sa'lts Pruss. salta-'cold', Lith. szalnà O.Bulg. slana 'hoar frost': Skr. śi-śira-Av. sareta- 'cold, cool'. Lith. szirsz-ñ (gen. szirsz-eñs) Lett. sirs-i-s Pruss. sirs-ili-s O.Bulg. srīš-en-i 'hornet', prim. Balt.-Slav. *51rs-en-: + OHG. hornaz from prim. Germ. *hurz-nata-z, Lat. crābrō fr. *crās-rō, cp. § 303. 306. Lith. szveñta-s Lett. swéts Pruss. swints O.Bulg. svetŭ 'holy': Av. spenta- 'holy' + Goth. hunsl 'offering' fr. prim. Germ. *xuunt-sla-n (§ 180). Lith. deszimta-s Lett. desmitá-is Pruss. dessimts (ss indicates that the e is short), O.Bulg. desctŭ 'decimus': Skr. dáša etc.. see § 387. Lith. szakà O.Bulg. sąkŭ 'branch, twig': Skr. śákhā-'branch, twig' śankú-š 'plug, bolt'. Lith. nèszti Lett. nest O.Bulg. nesti 'to carry': Skr. nášāmi 'I attain, reach' + Gr. ποδ-ηνεκής 'reaching down to the feet'. Lith. szlauni-s 'hip, upper part of the thigh', Lett. slauna 'hip' Pruss. slauni-s 'shaft, bar' : Skr. śróni- \dot{s} 'buttock, hip' + Lat. $cl\bar{u}ni$ -s, O.Icel. hlaun 'buttock'.

§ 414. Indg. ks = Lith. sz Lett. Pruss. s, Slav. s, cp. § 544. Lith. aszì-s Lett. as-s Pruss. assi-s (ss as in dessimts, § 413) O.Bulg. osi 'axle': Skr. ákṣ̄a-s + Gr. ἄξων Lat. axi-s OHG. ahsa 'axle'. Lith. s-fut. nèsziu 'I shall carry' prim. f. *nek-siō (neszù = O.Bulg. nesą 'I carry', § 413), mìlsziu 'I shall milk' prim. f. *mkk-siō (métžu = Gr. ἀμέλγω, rt. melŷ-, s. § 382), opposed to lìksiu 'I shall leave' prim f. *liq-siō (lēkù = Gr. λείπω, rt. leig-, s. § 427 a). O.Bulg. s-aorist 3. pl. něsę 'carried' prim. f. *nēk-s-ņt (to nesą), as opposed to rěšę fr. *rěchę 'they said' prim. f. *rēq-s-ņt (to reką, rt. req-, cp. § 462). Indg. ks accordingly remained apart from qs in Baltic-Slav., as in Iran. (§ 401).

Rem. It is not quite clear what the regular treatment of the Indg. group $s\hat{k}$ was in Balt.-Slav.

After the analogy of Iran. (§ 400) we should expect sz in Lith. and s in Slav. Thus Lith. sz auju 'I shoot' O.Bulg. suja 'I send forth, shoot': OHG. sciogan OS. skeotan 'to shoot' (prim. Germ. *skeutan-, like Goth. giutan OHG. giogan beside Gr. $\chi l(F)-\omega$). Correspondingly Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 493. 630 (cp. also J. Schmidt Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 332) traces the sz in Lith. austa 'it dawns' pret. austa 'dawn' (rt. austa).

Lat. $aur-\bar{v}r-a$ Skr. $u\check{s}$ -) and that in Lith. $gaiszt\hat{u}$ $gaisza\tilde{u}$ $gaiszt\hat{i}$ 'to linger, loiter' (rt. $gha\dot{i}s$ -, Lat. $haer-e\bar{o}$) back to $(s)s\hat{k}$, according to which one might regard the sz in Lith. $maisz\acute{y}ti$ and the s in O.Bulg. $m\check{e}siti$ 'to mingle, mix' (to Skr. $mi\check{s}$ - $r\acute{a}$ -s 'mixed') as the representatives of Indg. $(\hat{k})s\hat{k}$ (cp. Lat. $misce\bar{o} = *mic\text{-}sce\bar{o}$), just as Indg. $s\hat{k}$, $ss\hat{k}$, $\hat{k}s\hat{k}$ had fallen together in s in Iranian.

But on the other hand we have: Russ. ščiryj Czech čirý Pol. szczery 'pure, clean, upright' = O.Bulg. *štiry-jī (cp. § 147 p. 134 and Miklosich Vergl. Gramm. I ² 288): Goth. skeirs 'clear' Ags. scīr 'pure, bright', Skr. chāy-ā- 'shade, glimmer' etc., see §§ 393. 400; Lith. ji szkóti O.Bulg. iskati 'to seek', Lith. dial. pret. su-ji szkau 'I began to seek': OHG. eiscōn 'to seek, ask', Skr. ichāmi 'I seek' (perf. iy-ēṣ-u), Suffix -sko-, see § 400.

Moreover we must bear in mind firstly that a * $ke\psi$ - $i\bar{o}$ might be present in $sz\acute{a}uju$ suja, as not unfrequently roots, which begin with s+ tenuis, have old forms without s- (§ 589, 3), secondly that $j\ddot{e}szk\acute{o}ti$ iskati and * $s\ddot{t}iryj\ddot{i}$ might be Germanic loan-words (cp. § 587 rem. 2). The balance as it seems to me, inclines in favour of $s\hat{k}$ = Lith. sz, Slav. s.

Slav. $s\underline{i} = \text{Indg. } k\underline{i} \text{ became } \underline{s}, \text{ e. g. } pi\underline{s}a \text{ from } p\overline{\imath}s\underline{-i}a. \text{ See } 147 \text{ p. } 134.$

§ 415. Indg. g. Lith. žirni-s Lett. firni-s 'pea' Pruss. syrne 'corn', O.Bulg. zrīno 'corn': Skr. jīr-ņā- 'fallen to pieces, pounded' + Lat. grā-nu-m, Goth. kaúrn 'corn' (cp. §§ 303. 306), rt. ger- 'to grind'. Lith. žinóti Lett. finát Pruss. sinnāt (nn like ss in dessimts, § 413) 'to know, recognise', O.Bulg. znati 'to know': + Gr. γι-γνώ-σκω etc., s. § 382. Lith. bérža-s Lett. bérf-s Pruss. berse O.Bulg. brěza 'birch': Skr. bhūrja-s a kind of birch + O.Icel. bjǫrk OHG. pircha 'birch'. Lith. ožỹ-s Lett. áfi-s Pruss. wosee 'goat': Alban. δi etc., s. § 411.

Slav. z_i = Indg. \hat{g}_i became \check{z} , e. g. $\check{z}uja$ fr. $*z_i\bar{u}$ -ia. See § 147 p. 134.

§ 416. Indg. $\hat{g}h$ fell together with \hat{g} in the prim. Balt. Slav. period. Lith. $\check{z}\check{e}m\grave{a}$ Lett. $f\check{i}ma$ Pruss. semo O.Bulg. zima 'winter': + Gr. $\chi s\iota \mu \omega \acute{v}$ etc., s. § 383. Lith. $\check{z}\check{a}la-s$ $\check{z}\check{a}le-s$ Lett. fa'l-sch Pruss. acc. saliga-n (g=j) 'green', Lith. $\check{z}ol\check{e}$ Lett. $f\check{a}le$ Pruss. acc. sali-n 'grass, herb', O.Bulg. $zelen\check{u}$ 'green' zelije 'greens, vegetables': Skr. $h\acute{a}ri-\check{s}$ etc., s. § 389. Lith. $ve\check{z}i$ 'I drive' $va\check{z}i-s$ 'a small sledge' Pruss. vessi-s (ss as in dessimts § 413) 'a sledging', O.Bulg. veza 'I drive': Skr. $v\acute{a}h\check{u}mi$ etc., s.

§ 383. Lith. žióju O.Bulg. zijają 'I open my mouth wide': + Lat. hiāre, OHG. $g\bar{\imath}en$ 'to gape'.

Slav. $z_i = \text{Indg. } \hat{g}h_i$ became \check{z} , e. g. $li\check{z}a$ from * $l\bar{\imath}z$ -ia. See § 147. p. 134.

4. The velar explosives.

 \S 417. With regard to the development of q, g, gh the Indg. languages arrange themselves into the same two groups, as they did in the history of the palatals.

In the group, in which k, g, gh appear as explosives, q, g, gh frequently appear as k-sounds with following labialisation (u), as Lat. quis = Indg. *qi-s, or as sounds, which presuppose this articulation, as Umbr. Osc. pis 'quis'. These labialised sounds were originally everywhere, as it seems, simple sounds and in Greek and probably also in Italic had not fallen together with prim. Indg. palatal + g (as in *ekyo-s 'horse'). But the languages of this group do not all uniformly show this affection in each of the words in question. In numerous cases this affection appeared in one language, but not in another.

In the other group, Ar., Armen., Alban. and Balt.-Slav., the y-element is entirely wanting as an old inherited affection.

Here, as in the case of the palatals (§ 380), possibly exists a dialectical difference within the Indg. parent language 1).

In §§ 424 and 466 we shall have occasion to discuss the question of the historical relations between words with and words without labialisation in the y-languages, and whether the group which had no y was without this accessory element from the very beginning or had lost it in prehistoric times.

¹⁾ The maintenance of the existence of two dialects in the bosom of the Indg. prim. language on the basis of the differences of development of the palatal and velar explosives, does not imply that we ought to expect also the same boundary for other dialectical differences which we might feel inclined to ascribe to the Indg. prim. language. The limit, within which one dialectical peculiarity is confined, cannot without any further consideration be taken as typical for others. See Paul's Principien der Sprachgeschichte p. 237 f.

The following is the representation of the velar series in the separate Indo-Germanic languages: —

a. Languages with labialisation	a.	Languages	with	labialisation.
---------------------------------	----	-----------	------	----------------

Indg.	Greek	Latin	Irish Bri	t. Germanic
q {with 1. without 1.	π, τ(σ), κ	qu, c	$egin{bmatrix} c & p \ c & c \end{bmatrix}$	
$g \begin{cases} \text{with } 1. \\ \text{without } 1. \end{cases}$	β, δ (ζ), γ γ	v, gu, g g	$\begin{bmatrix} b, g & b, \\ g & g \end{bmatrix}$	g ku, k, p
gh with 1. without 1.	φ, θ, χ χ	f, b, gu, v	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	

b. Languages without labialisation.

Indg.	Ar.	Armen.	Alban.	Lith.		Slav.
q	k, c	$k(k), \check{c}, g$	k	k	:	k, č, c
g	g, j	λ:	g	g	:	g, dž, dz
gh	gh, jh	g, j ž	g	g		g, dž, dz

Prim. indg. period.

§ 418. a. Tenuis q without labialisation in the μ-languages. Rt. qert- 'plait, knit': Gr. κάρταλο-ς 'basket', Lat. crātēs (§ 306), Goth. haúrds 'door' OHG. hurt 'plait-work, hurdle' + Skr. crtámi 'I tie together' fut. cartišyámi, káṭa-s 'hurdle-work, mat' (§ 259), Pruss. korto 'hedge, enclosure', rt. qreμ-: Gr. κοέας 'flesh', Lat. cruor, O.Ir. crū 'blood', O.Icel. hrār OHG. rō 'raw, uncooked' + Skr. kravíš- 'raw meat', Lith. kraŭja-s O.Bulg. krŭv-ĭ 'blood'. *qarq... 'crab': Gr. καρκίνο-ς Lat. cancer gen. cancrī fr. *carcro- (§ 269) + Skr. karkaṭa-s.

Forms with -qt- -qs- from rt. jeug- 'to harness, yoke': Gr. ζενπτό-ς ζενξις from *ζενπτι-ς (§ 489) ζενπτήο, ἔζενξα σύ-ζνξ, Lat. jūnctu-s jūnctiō, jūnxī con-jux + Skr. part. yuktá-s nom. agentis yōktár-3. sg. pres. yurðkté, 3. sg. fut. yōkṣyátē nom. sg. sa-yuk from *-yukṣ (§ 647, 7), Lith. part. jùnkta-s inf. jùnkti, fut. yùnksiu. Suffix -qo- see § 419.

§ 419. b. Tenuis q with labialisation in the u-languages. Pronominal stem masc. neut. *qo- *qe- 'who, which' (interrogative and indefinite), fem. *qā-: Gr. πό-θεν 'whence?' Hom. $\tau \acute{\epsilon}o$ 'whose?' $\pi \widetilde{\eta}$ $\pi \widetilde{\eta}$ 'in what direction?' (Dor. $\pi \widetilde{\alpha}$ $\pi \widetilde{\alpha}$), Lat. quo-d cottī-diē fr. *quettī, qua-m, O.Ir. cia (fr. *cē) Cymr. pwy 'who, what?' prim. Kelt. *kwei, Goth, hva-s 'who?' hvē 'by what?' fem. hvō + Skr. ká-s 'who?' Av. dat. ca-hmāi 'to whom?', Alban. ke 'which', Lith. kà-s 'who?' O.Bulg. kŭ-to 'who?'. *qetuer- 'four' : Gr. Ion. τέσσερ-ες Lat. quattuor O.Ir. cethir O.Cymr. petguar Goth. fidvor + Skr. catvár-as Arm. čor-k Lith. keturi O.Bulg. četyrije. Rt. seq-: Gr. ξπ-ο-μαι 'I accompany, follow', Lat. sequ-or, O.Ir. sech-em 'a following', Goth. saihv-a 'I see' (follow with my eyes)? + Skr. sí-ṣak-ti sác-a-tē 'conducts, escorts', Lith. sek-ù 'I follow'. *penge 'five' : Gr. πέντε Lat. quinque O.Ir. cōic O.Cymr. pimp Goth. fimf + Skr. páñca Arm. hing Lith. penki; *pengto-s 'the fifth' *pengti-s 'the number five': Gr. $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \mu \pi \tau o - \varsigma$ Lat. $q u \bar{\imath} n t u - s$ Qu $\bar{\imath} n c t i u - s$ Osc. $\mathcal{I} o \mu \pi \tau \iota \varepsilon - \varsigma$ 'Quinctius' Goth. fimfta- (in fimfta-taihunda 'the fifteenth') + Skr. paraktí-š Alban. pe-se fr. *pe(rak)-ti- (in the Gegic dial. of Alban. pē-se perhaps still has the nasal of the original form in the nasalised e) Lith. peñkta-s O.Bulg. petŭ petŭ from *pektŭ *pektï (§ 545).

The suffix -qo- occurs, partly with, and partly without labialisation. OHG. zwī zwīes beside zwīg fr. prim. Germ. *twī-(z)wá- (§ 444 c): Skr. dvi-ka- 'consisting of two, a pair'. O.Icel. loskr 'soft, slack, loose' prim. Germ. *laskwa-z, Indg. *lat-qo-s, see § 527. Lat. tesquo-s probably from *ters-quo-, to torreō rt. ters-, cp. Skr. śúṣ-ka- śuṣ-ká- 'dry' from rt. saws-. O.Ir. sesc 'unfruitful' Cymr. hysp 'arid' prim. Kelt. *sis-kwo-s, Lat. siccu-s, cpf. *sit-qo-s (§§ 436. 516). Gr. $\theta\eta$ -z η 'case, box': Skr. dhā-ká-s 'receptacle'. Lat. musculu-s (cp. § 431 c), Skr.

 $mu\S-k\acute{a}$ - 'testicle' $m\bar{u}\S a-ka-s$ $m\bar{u}\S i-k\bar{a}$ 'rat, mouse', Armen. mukn (gen. mkan) 'mouse, muscle', O.Bulg. $my\S i-ca$ 'arm'. Cp. also Skr. $aja-k\bar{a}$ - $aji-k\bar{a}$ - 'kid', Lith. $osz-k\grave{a}$ 'goat'; Armen. ju-k-n 'fish', Pruss. acc. pl. su-cka-ns 'fishes' (§ 410).

§ 420. a. Media g without labialisation in the g-languages. Gr. $\gamma \acute{e}o avo \cdot \varsigma$ Lat. $gr \ddot{u}$ -s Cymr. garan (Gall. trigaranus, s. Curtius Grundz. 5 176) Ags. cran OHG. cranuh + Armen. $k\dot{r}unk$ Lith. $g\acute{e}rv\acute{e}$ O.Bulg. $\check{e}erav\check{r}$ 'crane'. Rt. gar-: Gr. $\gamma \eta o \acute{v} \omega$ 'I speak, utter', Lat. $garri\bar{o}$ 'I chatter', O.Ir. $g\bar{a}ir$ 'call' Cymr. gawr 'clamor', OHG. cherran 'to scream, neigh, squeak' + Skr. gr- $n\acute{a}$ -mi 'I call, call to' gir- 'an invocation, speech, words'. Rt. steg- teg- 'cover': Gr. $\sigma t\acute{e}\gamma \omega$ 'I cover' $\sigma t\acute{e}\gamma o \varsigma$ 'roof', Lat. $teg\bar{o}$, O.Ir. tech teg 'house' O.Bret. bou-tig 'stabulum', OHG. dah O.Icel. pak 'roof' + Skr. $sth\acute{a}g\bar{a}mi$ 'I cover, hide', Lith. $st\acute{o}ga$ -s 'roof'. * $jug\acute{o}$ -m 'yoke' (rt. jeug-): Gr. $\varsigma v\gamma\acute{o}$ -v Lat. jugu-m Goth. juk + Skr. $stug\acute{a}$ -m Lith. stuga-s (with stuga-s) (with stuga-s) (With stuga-s) (S 145).

§ 421. b. Media g with labialisation in the y-languages. * $g\bar{\nu}y_0$ -s: Gr. β /o- ς 'life', Lat. $v\bar{\nu}v_0$ -s O.Ir. biu beo Cymr. byw Goth. qiu-s + Skr. $j\bar{\nu}v_0$ -s 'alive', Armen. kea-m 'I live', Lith. $g\hat{\nu}v_0$ -s O.Bulg. živ $\bar{\nu}$ 'alive'. Rt. gem-: Gr. β a- σ a ω β aiv ω 'I go' (§§ 204. 233. 234), Lat. $veni\delta$ (§§ 208. 239). Goth. qim-an OHG. quem-an 'to come' + Skr. $g\hat{a}$ -chāmi 'I go' (§ 228), Arm. e-kn 'he came', Lith. gem- $\hat{\nu}$ 'I am born' (§ 249). *regos n.: Gr. $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho$ ϵ β ν ϵ Goth. riqiz-a- n. 'darkness' + Skr. $r\dot{a}jas$ 'duskiness', Armen. e-ek' 'evening'. Root-form a- ν α g-'smear, anoint, smooth': Gr. $\hat{a}\beta$ ρ \hat{o} - ς 'delicate, luxurious', Lat. $ungu\bar{o}$, O.Ir. imb imm (gen. imme, st. imben-) 'butter', OHG. ancho 'butter' (Goth. *agqa) + Skr. $a\bar{n}j$ i- \bar{s} 'salve, ornament, splendour' 2. pl. $a\nu$ kthá 'ye anoint'.

Indg. suffixes with g cannot be established with certainty. § 422. a. Media asp. gh without labialisation in the u-languages. Lat. hosti-s 'foreigner, enemy', Goth. gasts OHG. gast 'stranger, guest' + O.Bulg. gostī 'guest, companion, friend', cpf. *ghos-ti-s 'stranger'. Rt. steigh-: Gr. $\sigma rel \chi \omega$ O.Ir. tiagaim 'I stride, go', Goth. steiga 'I mount' + Skr. stigh-nu-tē

'leaps up, ascends' (not found), Alban. štek (definite form šteg-u) 'entrance' Lith. staigýti-s 'to make haste' Lett. staigát 'to go, travel' 'O.Bulg. stigna 'I come'.

§ 423. b. Media asp. gh with labialisation in the y-languages. Rt. gher-: Gr. θερμό-ς Lat. formu-s OHG. warm 'warm' from prim. Germ. *(z)μarma-z + Skr. gharmá-s 'glowing fire', Armen. jerm 'warm' Pruss. gorme 'heat', Indg. *ghor-mo-(Gr. Armen. with e in the root syllable). Rt. sneigh- 'to snow': Gr. νίφ-α acc. 'snow', Lat. ninguit, acc. niv-em, Goth. snáivs 'snow' + Av. snaežaiti 'it snows', Lith. sněga-s O.Bulg. sněgŭ 'snow'. Gr. νεφοό-ς 'kidney, testicle', Praenest. nefrōn-es Lanuv. nebrundin-es 'kidneys, testicles', OHG. nioro 'kidney, testicle' O.Icel. nÿra 'kidney' fr. prim. Germ. *ne(z)μr-en-. Rt. kneigh-: Lat. cō-nīveō (perf. cō-nīxī), Goth. hneivan OHG. (h)nīgan 'to bow'.

Indg. suffixes with gh cannot be established with certainty.

a. The language-group with labialisation.

§ 424. Many kindred words, which are found in all or several *u*-languages, appear in one language with labialisation, in another without it or in the same language show labialisation in one set of forms, but not in the others.

Manifold difficulties stand in the way of our being able to form a judgment of their history, especially in as much as we do not know how old the labial affection of the velar explosives is, or whether in the group of u-languages it belonged from the beginning to all forms of a form-system irrespective of the quality of the neighbouring sounds, or was connected with some definite vicinity of sounds, and whether, later, but still in prehistoric times, a new u-afterclap, caused by fresh sound-laws, did not here and there make its appearance quite independent of the first u-development.

The chief question is, to what extent can the disappearance of the old inherited *u*-affection be established or made probable within the circle of the *u*-languages? It is seldom that its

disappearance is to any extent carried out before our eyes, as in Lat. secuntur from sequentur. We may conclude that it was probably dropped in the following cases:

- 1. When other forms of the same kindred class of words have u and the sound-laws of the respective languages permit our assuming that the labialisation has been lost. We thus assume in regard to Goth. qiman OHG. queman 'to come' (§ 421) and prim. Germ. *sum-da- fr. *syum-da- etc. (§ 180 p. 158) that prim. Germ. *kumana-z 'come' pp. (OHG. koman) arose from *kyum-ana-z (§ 444b).
- 2. When closely related dialects (of the same language-branch) have u and the native sound-laws are not in the way. Thus the -pt- in Osc. $\Pio\mu\pi\tau\iota\varepsilon\varsigma$ 'Quinctius' shows that the -ct- in Lat. $qu\bar{\imath}n(c)tu$ -s goes back to prim. Italic - $k^{u}t$ -. O.Cymr. petguar 'four' permits our assuming an older ku- for Ir. cethir.
- 3. When other languages have y and the native sound-laws are not in the way. We thus conjecture prim. Germ. *kyuru- for Goth. $ka\acute{u}ru$ -s 'heavy' and prim. Lat. (or prim. Ital.) * $g^{v}rayi$ -s for Lat. gravi-s (§ 432 d) on account of Gr. $\beta aq\acute{v}$ - ς , Indg. *gyr-u- *gyr-u-.

Several proofs may often be united together. Thus we may appeal 1. to quinque, 2. to Osc. $\Pio\mu\pi\tau\iota\varepsilon\varsigma$, 3. to $\pi\acute{\varepsilon}\mu\pi\tau\circ\varsigma$ and Goth. finfta- for Lat. quin(c)tu-s from $*k^{u}enk^{u}to-s$.

Opposed to these cases there are many in which, by virtue of other forms of the same dialect or of the connected forms of a closely related dialect or of those of another u-language, one is inclined to maintain the loss of u, but is prevented from doing so by the sound-laws hitherto discovered. Thus Ion. $z\acute{o}-\theta \varepsilon \nu \ z \vec{\omega} \varepsilon$ etc. beside Att. etc. $\pi\acute{o}-\theta \varepsilon \nu$ Lat. quo-d Goth. hva-s as opposed to Ionic $\pi ouv\acute{\eta}$ fr. Indg. $*qo\acute{z}-n\bar{a}$ (§ 427 a); Gr. $\lambda az\varepsilon \vec{\epsilon} \nu$ 'to scream, speak' beside Lat. $loqu\bar{\iota}$ as opposed to Gr. $\lambda l\pi\varepsilon \vec{\iota} \nu \ \varepsilon \pi\varepsilon \sigma\theta a l$ etc. with $\pi = \text{Indg}$. q; Lat. gen. $v\bar{o}c$ -ls $voc\bar{a}re$ beside Gr. ous 'voice' $e^{i}\pi os$ 'word', as opposed to Lat. loquis etc. with loguis loguis etc. with loguis loguis loguis decomposed to Lat. loquis etc. with loguis loguis loguis from loguis loguis etc. with loguis logu

Lat. voc- the c for qu had been extended by analogy from the nom. $v\bar{o}x$, which can be regularly traced back to $*u\bar{o}k^us$ (§ 431 c).

For words and groups of words, which do not appear in any language with labialised velar-sound, it must for the present be left undecided, as to whether they ever had the μ -afteredap. In each language we give first the examples for this form-category apart from the other.

Greek.

1. Indg. q, g, gh without labialisation.

§ 425. Indg. q. $\varkappa a \varrho \pi - \delta - \varsigma$ 'fruit' $\varkappa \varrho \omega \pi - \iota o - \upsilon$ 'sickle': Lat. $carp \bar{\varrho}$, OHG. her bist autumn' + Skr. $ky p \bar{u} \mu a - s$ 'sword', Lith. $kerp \bar{u}$ 'I shear'. $\varkappa o \lambda \omega \nu - \delta - \varsigma$ 'hill': Lat. $ex - cell \bar{\varrho}$ colli-s, Goth. hallu - s 'rock' O.Icel. hallr 'hill, declivity' OS. holm 'hill' + Lith. kel - ti 'to raise' kal - n - a - s 'a local elevation, mountain'. $\varkappa \lambda \eta / - \varsigma$ 'key': Lat. $cl\bar{u}v - s$ clāvo-s, O.Ir. $cl\bar{u}i$ Mid. Cymr. cloeu pl. 'nails', OHG. sliozan 'to shut' (prim. Germ. *sleut- from *skleut-, § 528 rem. 1) + O.Bulg. $klju - \bar{c}i$ 'hook, key', cp. § 589, 3. $u \gamma \varkappa u \omega \nu$ 'bow' $u \gamma \varkappa u - \varsigma$ 'bow, hook, circuit': Lat. uncu - s uncu - s, O.Ir. $u - s \omega v - s$ 'hook'.

Indg. g. ἀγείοω 'I collect' ἀγορά 'assembly' γάρ-γαρα 'heap': Lat. gre-x gre-g-is, O.Ir. graig 'herd of horses' (the Lat. and the Kelt. word with 'refracted reduplication') + Skr. grāma-s 'crowd, army, village'. ἐρεύγομαι 'I spit out': \bar{e} -rūgō, OHG. ita-ruchian 'to chew again' + Lith. rúgiu 'I belch' O.Bulg. rygają se 'I belch, vomit'.

Indg. gh. χανδάνω 'I lay hold of' fut. χείσομαι fr. *χεντσομαι: Lat. pre-hendō praeda from *prae-hedā, Goth. bi-gitan 'find, get' O.Icel. geta 'to get, divine, guess' + Alban. ģendem 'I am found', Pruss. sen-gydi 'he may receive, attain' O.Bulg. gadaja 'I guess, divine', rt. ghed-'). λέχος 'bed': O.Ir. lige 'bed', Goth. ligan 'to lie' + O.Bulg. lega 'I lie down' lože 'place of rest'.

The nasalisation of this root in the present *ghendö is explained in the same manner as the Skr. present formation limpāmi etc. (p. 189).

 $ν_k$ $χ_k$, $γ_k = q_i$ gh_i , g_k became σσ ττ, ζ(σδ) δδ, as in the case of the corresponding palatal sounds + i, see §§ 384-386. 489. 493. 497. ∂ον'σσω 'I dig fr. *∂ονν-ω: Lat. $runc\bar{a}re + Skr$. $lu\bar{n}c\bar{a}mi$ 'I pull out, pluck'. φνζα 'fright' fr. *φνγ-ω, to φενγω 'I flee': Lat. $fugi\bar{o}$, Goth. biugan 'to bend' + Skr. lhuymi-s 'bent', Lith. baugi-s 'fearful', rt. bheyg-bheyg-.

- 2. Indg. q, gh = prim. Gr. k^{μ} , g^{μ} , kh^{ν} .
- § 426. The prim. Greek sounds partly became developed to π , β , φ , partly to τ σ , δ ζ , θ , and partly to \varkappa , γ , χ . The conditions, under which these differences of the place of articulation were formed, were mostly the same for the three forms of articulation.

That the u following the explosive sound in prim. Greek was not a full u, can be concluded from the contrast between $\pi\pi n \sigma_s$ 'horse' (fr. Indg. *ehuo-s, § 166 p. 147) and e. g. $\hbar u \pi \sigma_s$ 'I left' (fr. Indg. *e-lig-o-m). Cp. p. 305 f.

- § 427. Prim. Greek ku became
- a) π before o-vowels, before sonant and consonant nasals and liquids, and before t, th, s. Stem πo - 'who': Lat. quo-, Skr. ka- etc., see § 419. $\pi o \nu \eta$ 'expiation, atonement, fine': Av. kaena 'fine', O.Bulg. cena 'price', Indg. *qoi-nā from rt. qei-, to which also Gr. τί-σι-ς 'atonement': Skr. ápa-ci-ti- 'requital' (under b). λείπω 'I leave': Lat. linguō, O.Ir. lēcim 'I leave'; Goth. leihva 'I lend' + Skr. rinák-ti 'leaves', Arm. aor. e-lik 'he left', Lith. lekù 'I leave', rt. leig-. ἦπα-τ- 'liver', orig. form * $i\bar{e}q\eta$ -t-: Lat. gen. sg. jecin-or-is (§ 431 c) + Skr. gen. sg. yakn-ás, Lith. nom. pl. jekn-os. $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \zeta$ 'the number five' = Skr. pañcát (§ 448 rem.), cpf. *penqnt-s; Gr. a Skr. a in the last syllable instead of the expected av an (s. §§ 230, 235) are explained by the original accentuation of the weak cases, gen. sg. *penqut-ós etc.; concerning the δ of the stem πενταδsee footnote 1 pp. 199—200. ὄμμα 'eye' fr. prim. Gr. *ὅπ-μα (§ 488): Lith. akì-s O.Bulg. oko 'eye'. In like manner also πέμμα any kind of dressed food: Lat. coquo + Skr. pácāmi O.Bulg. peka 'I cook'. ε-πρι-άμην 'I bought': O.Ir. cre-nim 'I buy' crīthid 'desirous of buying' O.Corn. prinid 'emptus' + Skr.

 $\pi \tau$ became $\tau \tau$ in Cret. $\pi \acute{\epsilon} r \tau o - \varsigma$ from * $\pi ε r \tau \tau o - \varsigma$ = $\pi \acute{\epsilon} μ \pi \tau o - \varsigma$ 'quintus'. Cp. § 333.

b) τ before e- and (sonantal) i-vowels. $\tau \grave{\epsilon}$ 'and': Lat. -que, O.Ir. -ch Cymr. -p + Skr. ca, Indg. *qe, related to $\pi \acute{o}$ - $\theta \epsilon \nu$ etc. Hom. $\tau \acute{\epsilon}o$ 'whose', Cret. (Gortyn) fem. \acute{o} - $\tau \acute{\epsilon}i\bar{a}$, as opposed to Att. \acute{o} - $\pi \acute{o}i\bar{a}$ 'qualis' from the stem *qe- (§ 419). $\tau \acute{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\epsilon\iota\nu$ 'to rise' to $\pi \acute{o}\lambda o$ - ς , rt. qel- see above under a. $\pi \acute{\epsilon}\nu\tau\epsilon$ 'five': Lat. $qu\bar{\iota}nque$ etc., see § 419. $\tau \acute{\iota}$ 'what?': Lat. quid + Skr. -cid Av. -cip (indef.), Indg. *qi-d. $\tau \acute{\iota}$ - $\sigma\iota$ - ς 'atonement', rt. $qe\dot{\jmath}$ -, see above under a.

That this τ arose from prim. Gr. k^u , not k (as § 425), and that no direct historical connexion existed between the palatal articulation which is to be postulated as the previous stage for τ and the Aryan palatal c = Indg. q (§ 445) (as is maintained by some scholars e. g. J. Schmidt), follows from the fact, that τ only occurs in such kindred classes of words as have $\pi = \text{prim. Gr. } k^u$ beside τ ($\tau \acute{e}o$ beside $\pi \acute{o} - \theta \epsilon \nu$) and, so far as they are at the same time represented in other u-languages, have a labialised sound opposite to the Gr. τ ($\tau \acute{e} = \text{Lat. } quis$). If τ had had no u from the most ancient times, this sound would also have to appear as representative of Indg. u in the words belonging to § 418, as e. g. $u \acute{e} \lambda \eta_3$ runner (Lat. u celer, Skr. u cal-, rt. u qel-), where however it does not occur. The following palatal vowel, notwithstanding the u-afterclap, caused palatalisation of the u-sound (u from u function u fu

*kuīstī to O.Bulg, cvisti ('to blossom') u did not prevent the i from exercising its influence on the k (§ 462).

Thess. $\varkappa i \varsigma$ probably stands to Att. $\tau i \varsigma$ in the same relation as Mod.HG. quängen to MHG. twengen (§ 374), that is, before the disappearance of the u of the prim. Gr. form *ku'is this caused the change of the explosive sound back again to k. Also Cypr. $\sigma i - \varsigma = \tau i - \varsigma$ (cp. Arcad. $\zeta i \lambda \lambda \omega$, § 428 b.) supports the assumption that $k^u = \text{Indg. } q$ before palatal vowels and Indg. t had not yet fallen together in prim. Greek.

- Rem. 1. Through new formations π and τ came to stand in sound-combinations, in which they had not regularly arisen. E. g. Dor. loc. $\pi \epsilon \tilde{\iota}$ 'where?' instead of $*\tau \epsilon \tilde{\iota}$, Ion. Att. $l\epsilon ln\epsilon \iota$; $l\epsilon ln\epsilon \iota$ $l\epsilon ln\epsilon \tau \epsilon$ instead of $*l\epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon \iota$; conversely $\tilde{a} r \alpha \tau o l \eta'$ 'rising' instead of $*-\tau o l \eta'$ (to $\tilde{a} r \alpha \tau \epsilon l l \omega$, Skr. car-). Cp. §§ 428 rem. 429 rem. 1 and 448 rem.
- c) z, when v preceded or followed; in some cases this v seems first to have been called into existence by the y in kv, a point, which still requires closer investigation. $\lambda \dot{v} z o \varsigma$ 'wolf': Goth vulfs + Skr. v f ka s 'wolf'. Gen. $vvz \tau \dot{o}_s$ 'noctis'?: $+ \text{Skr. } n \dot{a} k t \dot{i} \dot{s}$ Lith. $n a k t \dot{i} s$ 'night'. Nom. sg. $a \dot{v} \dot{o} \varphi \lambda v \ddot{s}$ 'drunk with wine' (gen. $-\varphi \lambda v \gamma o \dot{s}$) $\varphi \lambda v z \tau \dot{i} \varsigma$ 'bladder' beside $\varphi \lambda \dot{s} \psi \varphi \lambda s \beta o \dot{\varsigma}$ 'blood-vessel'. $z \dot{v} z \lambda o \varsigma$ 'circle': Ags. $h w \dot{s} \dot{o} \dot{s}$ (wheel' $+ \text{Skr. } c a k r \dot{a} s$ 'circle, wheel'. Cp. §§ 428 c. 429 c.
- Rem. 2. Also \varkappa in $\mathring{o}\varkappa o_{-\nu}$ acc. 'eye' in Hesych. (cp. $\mathring{o}\pi_{-}$ in $\mathring{o}\pi\mathring{\eta}$ 'a peephole, hole' etc.) for $k^{\mu} + \psi$? Cp. $\pi \varepsilon k \varepsilon \varkappa \omega$ § 166 with rem. Doubts remain on account of Bœot. $\mathring{o}\varkappa \tau \alpha \lambda k o_{-\varsigma}$ 'eye', whose $\varkappa \tau$ must be taken into consideration in connexion with the $\varphi \theta$ in $\mathring{o}\varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu o_{-\varsigma}$ and the $k \S$ in Skr. $ak \S an$.
- - \S 428. Prim. Greek g^u became
 - a) β before o-vowels and sonant and consonant nasals and

liquids. $\beta o \tilde{v}$ - ς 'ox': Umbr. bue 'bove', O.Ir. $b \bar{o}$ OHG. chuo 'cow' +Skr. gāú-š 'ox', Armen. kov (gen. kovu) 'cow' O.Bulg. gov-edo 'ox'. $\beta o \lambda \eta'$ 'a throw, cast': OHG. quellan 'to spring' (of water) + Skr. gálati 'trickles down', rt. gel-. βορά 'food': Lat. -voru-s vorāre + Skr. girámi gilámi 'I swallow up', Armen. ker (gen. keroy) 'nourishment, food', Lith. geriù 'I drink' O.Bulg. žīra 'I swallow', rt. ger-. σέβ-ομαι 'I feel awe, respect' (orig. 'start back before something'): Skr. tyáj-a-ti 'forsakes, forgoes' part. tyaktú-, rt. tjeg-. n. 'darkness' : Goth. rigiz-a- etc., see § 421. βά-σχω βα-τό-ς $\beta \acute{a}$ - $\sigma \iota$ - $\varsigma = \operatorname{Skr.} g \acute{a}$ - $ch \bar{a}mi \ g u$ - $t \acute{a}$ - $s \ g \acute{a}$ -t i- \check{s} , $\beta a \acute{i} v \omega = \operatorname{Lat.} ven i \bar{o}$, from rt. form gm- 'go', see § 421. μνάομαι 'I woo to wife' from *μν-ᾱfrom * $\beta \nu - \bar{\alpha}$ - 'wife' (this denominativum was treated as a primitive verb, hence the σ in $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\tau\dot{\phi}-\dot{\varsigma}$): O.Ir. $mn\bar{a}$ gen. sg. 'of a wife out of * $bn\bar{a}s$ (§ 520) + Skr. $gn\hat{a}$ - 'the wife of a god', Indg. *an-d-; beside these Bœot. $\beta \alpha \nu \hat{\alpha}$ O.Icel. kona 'woman' + Armen. pl. kanai-k 'women', Indg. * $g_{n}n-\bar{a}-.$ $\sigma \varepsilon \mu \nu \delta - \varsigma$ fr. * $\sigma \varepsilon \beta \nu \sigma - \varsigma$, part. to $\sigma \xi \beta$ -o- $\mu \alpha i$, see above. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \dot{\sigma}$ - $\dot{\sigma}$ 'lamb' fr. * $\dot{\alpha} \beta$ - $\nu \sigma$ - $\dot{\sigma}$: Lat avilla $\bar{a}gnu$ -s, O.Ir. uan 'lamb' + O.Bulg. jagne 'lamb'. $\beta\alpha\rho\nu'$ -s 'heavy': Goth. $ka\acute{u}ru$ -s + Skr. $gur\acute{u}$ - \check{s} 'heavy', Indg. *gyr-u-s. $\beta\iota$ - $\beta\varrho\acute{\omega}$ - $\sigma\varkappa$ ω 'I devour' βρω-τήρ 'devourer': + Skr. gīr-ná- 'swallowed up', Indg. rt. form ḡr-, see § 306 p. 242. βρύχω Ί gnash' βρύμω 'I bite' (cp. Osthoff zur Gesch. d. Perf. 313 f.): + Lith. gráužiu 'I gnaw, bite off' O.Bulg. gryzą 'I bite', rt. grazuĝh-. άβ-ρό-ς 'pretty' from orig. form *pg-ro-s: Lat. unguō etc., see § 421. βάλλω 'I throw' from orig. form *ql-jō, aor. βαλ-εῖν βαλ-ῆναι fr. *gll-, aor. $\beta\lambda$ - $\tilde{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$ fr. *gl-, beside $\beta o\lambda$ - $\acute{\eta}$, rt. gel-, see above. Aeolic $\beta\lambda\tilde{\eta}\rho$ beside Att. $\delta\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\alpha\rho$ (b) 'bait' either to $\beta\alpha\lambda\lambda\omega$ (the author Morph. Unt. II 225) or to OHG. querdar 'bait' (J. Schmidt Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV 153).

β before ι is remarkable. βίο-ς 'life': Lat. $ν\bar{ν}νο-s$ etc., see § 421. βιό-ς 'bow': + Skr. $jy\bar{a}-$ Av. $jy\bar{a}-$ 'bow-string', Lith. $gij\dot{a}$ $gij\dot{e}$ 'thread' (cp. § 120 p. 111 f.). $βί\bar{a}$ 'force' $β\bar{ι}-ν\dot{ε}ω$ 'I ravish': + Skr. $jy\bar{a}-$ 'supreme power' $ji-n\bar{a}-mi$ 'I overpower, subdue' $j\dot{a}y-\bar{a}mi$ 'I overcome' perf. $ji-g\dot{a}y-a$. Cp. J. Schmidt Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV 159. 161, the author Morph. Unt. IV 410 f.

b) δ before e-vowels. Arcad. δέλλω, Delph. Gortyn οδελό-ς,

to β ολ-ή $\dot{\delta}$ βολ-ό- ς $\dot{\beta}$ άλλω, rt. gel-, see a. Arcad. $\dot{\delta}$ ερεθρο- ν beside Att. $\dot{\beta}$ άραθρο- ν 'gulf, eleft', to $\dot{\beta}$ ορ-ά $\dot{\beta}$ ι-βρώ-σχω, rt. ger-, see a. Locr. Delph. $\dot{\delta}$ είλεται Dor. $\dot{\delta}$ ήλεται 'wills, wishes' from orig. form * $g\acute{e}l$ -ne- $ta\dot{\iota}$, beside Lesb. $\dot{\beta}$ όλλεται Att. $\dot{\beta}$ ου λεται 'wills, wishes' fr. orig. form * $g\bar{l}$ -ne- $ta\dot{\iota}$ (§ 204 p. 170 f. § 306 p. 243), rt. gel-1).

In Arcad. this δ must have been different from the $\delta = \text{Indg. } d$. For it was also represented by $\zeta : \zeta \not\in \lambda \lambda \omega$, $\zeta \not\in \varrho \in \theta \varrho o v$, cp. Cypr. $\sigma \not = \tau \not = \xi$ 427 b. Hence Indg. ge- and ge- had not yet fallen together in prim. Greek.

Rem. Through new formations, β and δ came to stand in sound-combinations, in which they would not have regularly arisen. E. g. $\beta \epsilon \lambda \delta \rho_{\beta}$ 'shot' instead of " $\delta \epsilon \lambda \delta \rho_{\beta}$ to $\beta \epsilon \lambda \delta \lambda \delta \rho_{\beta}$ beside $\delta \delta \epsilon \lambda \delta \rho_{\beta} \rho_{\beta$

Does Boot. βείλετη Thess. βέλλετει beside Locr. δείλεται Lesb. βόλλεται also belong here? The idea is not entirely to be rejected, since prim. Gr. g^{y} may regularly have become β before e in Aeol. Cp. β before ι in βlos etc.

c) γ in the vicinity of v, corresponding to the \varkappa in § 427 c and to the χ in § 429 c. Gen. olvó- $\varphi\lambda\nu\gamma$ -os, see § 427 c. $\vec{\epsilon}\gamma$ - $\gamma\dot{\nu}\eta$ 'consent, surety, betrothal': Lat. $vove\bar{o}$ from " $guou-e\bar{o}$, rt. $geu-\gamma v\gamma - \zeta \omega$ 'grumble, am unwilling' beside $\beta o\dot{\eta}$ 'call' from " $\beta oF-\bar{\alpha}$: Skr. $j\dot{o}-guv-\bar{e}$ 'I proclaim aloud'; the γ in $\gamma\dot{o}o-\varsigma$ 'plaint' was transferred from $\gamma v-$. $\gamma vv\dot{\eta}$ beside Bœot. $\beta \alpha r\dot{\alpha}$ and Hom. $\mu v\dot{\alpha}o-\mu \omega$ see \bar{a} .

The side by side existence of $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v - \varsigma$ and $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \gamma v - \varsigma$ is probably due to a double stem form $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma - \gamma v -$ and $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma - \beta \epsilon F -$ (to Lith. $\check{z}mo-g\grave{u}-s$ 'man'?). It is not clear whether $-\beta \epsilon$ - was regular after σ (cp. $\sigma \beta - \acute{\epsilon} v v \bar{\nu} \mu \iota \sigma \beta - \check{\eta} v \iota \iota$ to Lat. $s\bar{e}gni$ -s, rt. seg-, \S 432 d, beside $\zeta \epsilon \dot{\iota} \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon v$ ' $\sigma \beta \acute{\epsilon} \nu \nu \nu \mu \epsilon \nu$ in Hesych), or whether β was borrowed from $\pi \rho \acute{\epsilon} \sigma - \beta \iota \sigma \tau o - \varsigma - \pi \rho \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \iota c \varsigma$ etc. It must be observed that $g^{\imath \iota}$ before $\imath \iota$ lost its labialisation earlier than $g^{\imath \iota}$ which led to β and δ . The last two sounds stood for a time in a closer relation to each other as compared with $g \iota \iota$. Association

¹⁾ Concerning this root op. the author in de Saussure's Mém. sur le syst. pr. 256, Fick Bezzenberger's Beitr. VI 211 f., Blass Rhein. Mus. XXXVI 610.

with the form of the superlative etc. would have taken place at that period.

- d) With following i, there arose ζ ($\sigma \delta$), $\delta \delta$. Prim. Gr. g^{u}_{i} thus fell together with gi = Indg. gi (§ 385). g^{u}_{i} did not first become di, any more than k^{u}_{i} first became ti (427 d) 1). $\zeta \tilde{\eta}$ 'lives' fr. * $\zeta \eta$ - $(\underline{\iota})\varepsilon_{l}$, fut. $\zeta \dot{\eta}$ - $\sigma o \mu a \iota$: + Av. $j y \bar{a} i t i$ - $j y \bar{a} t u$ 'life'. $\nu i \zeta \omega$ 'I wash off' beside $\nu i \pi \nu g \circ \nu$ etc., see § 427 a. For the rest see § 493.
 - § 429. Prim. Greek khu became
- a) φ before o-vowels and sonant and consonant nasals and liquids. $\varphi \circ r \circ \varsigma$ 'murder' part. $\varphi a \tau \circ \varsigma$ perf. $\pi \not \varepsilon \varphi a \tau a \iota$ pret. $\vec \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varphi v o v : + \text{Skr. } h \acute{a} n t i$ 'strikes, slays' 3. pl. $ghn \acute{a} n t i$, Armen. gan 'blows', O.Bulg. $\vec \varepsilon n j a$ 'I cut down, reap', rt. $ghen \vec{\eta} \lambda \varphi o v$ 'I acquired' pres. $\vec{\alpha} \lambda \varphi \vec{\alpha} v \omega$ from *- $\eta n \bar{o} : + \text{Skr. } \acute{a} r h \bar{a} m i$ 'I earn, deserve' $argh \acute{a} s$ 'worth, price', Lith. $alg \grave{a}$ 'pay, reward', rt. $algh \sigma \varepsilon \varphi \varphi \circ \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \varphi \circ \varsigma$ n. 'leather, hide', esp. 'the hard hide on the back of animals': Lat. tergus 'thick hide' esp. 'the hide on the back of animals', then 'back'. $v \varepsilon \varphi \varphi \circ \varsigma$ 'kidney': OHG. nioro etc., see § 423. $\vec{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \varphi \varphi \circ \varsigma$ 'quick, dextrous, nimble': OHG. lungar, epf. * $lngh r \circ s$; to $\vec{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \chi \acute{v} \varsigma$, see c.
- b) θ before e-vowels. $\theta \epsilon i v_{ii}$ pres. to $\ddot{\epsilon}\pi \epsilon \psi vor$ etc., see α . $\theta \epsilon \varrho u \dot{\delta} \varsigma$ warm' = Armen. jerm 'warm', $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \varrho o \varsigma$ 'summer' = Skr. $h \dot{\delta} r a s$ 'glowing heat', rt. gher-, see § 423. $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha u$ 'to obtain by entreaties' fr. * $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma$ -: Av. $jai d y \bar{\epsilon} m i$, O.Pers. $ja d \bar{\imath} y \bar{\alpha} m \bar{\imath} y$ 'I beg, ask', rt. ghedh-; to which probably also $\pi \dot{\delta} \theta o \varsigma$ 'desire, a longing for', from * $\varphi o \theta o \varsigma$ (§ 496), according to α . $\theta \dot{\gamma} \varrho$ 'animal': Lat. feru-s + O.Bulg. ($d)zv\check{e}r\check{\imath}$ 'wild beast' from prim. Slav. * $gu\check{e}r\check{\imath}$ (§ 464); it remains uncertain whether the u of the Slav. form was an old inherited u (J. Schmidt Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV 178).
- Rem. 1. Through new formations φ and θ came to stand in sound-combinations, in which they would not have regularly arien. E. g. $rt\varphi_{-\epsilon\iota}$ it snows' after $r\bar{\tau}\varphi_{0}-(in r\bar{\tau}\varphi_{0}\omega_{\epsilon}ro_{5})$ and others) $rt\varphi_{-\alpha}$ etc., rt. sneigh-, see § 423. $\hat{\eta}\lambda\varphi_{-\epsilon}$ after $\hat{\eta}\lambda\varphi_{-\alpha}r$ etc. $\hat{\epsilon}$ - θur - ϵ 'he died', 3. pl. perf. $\tau\epsilon$ - θr - $\alpha\alpha$ (for * $\tau\epsilon$ - φr - $\alpha v\tau$ ₁) after $\theta\epsilon tr\omega$ (s. above), see Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 366 f. Cp § 427 rem. 1. § 428 rem. and 454 rem.

¹⁾ Hence we have also no right to maintain, that ζ_{η}^{*} proves, that βlos stands for regular ${}^{*}\delta los - s$.

 $\varphi = \theta$ in Aeol. $\varphi \dot{\eta} \varrho$ (Thess. $\Phi \iota \lambda \dot{\varrho} - \varphi \epsilon \iota \varrho - \varphi$) seems to be due to a change of p to f, cp. §§ 365. 495.

Rem. 2. If $\beta_{\tilde{e}} = \text{Indg.}$ ge should have arisen regularly in Aeol. (§ 428 b. rem.), we might similarly trace $\varphi_{\tilde{r}}'\varrho$ directly back to * $kh^{\tilde{u}}\bar{e}r$.

- c) χ corresponding to the χ in § 427 c and to the γ in § 428 c. $\delta rv\chi$ 'nail, claw' in the gen. $\delta vv\chi$ -os etc.: Lat. ungui-s; it is here of course a question of prim. Gr. kh^u = Indg. qh (§ 553). $\ell \lambda \alpha \chi v'$ - ς 'small, little' = Skr. raghui- δ 'quick, small' beside $\ell \lambda \alpha \varphi \varrho \delta$ - ς 'quick' see α ; the θ in $\ell \lambda \alpha \theta \varrho \delta$ - ς (Hesych.) was perhaps transferred from the once existing forms * $\ell \lambda \alpha \theta \varepsilon F$ -(beside $\ell \lambda \alpha \chi v$ -) and * $\ell \lambda \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau$ - ς .
- d) with following i, there arose $\sigma\sigma$, $\tau\tau$. $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\omega\nu$ $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\alpha}\tau\tau\omega\nu$ smaller, less' compar. to $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha\chi\dot{\nu}$ - ς (c). Cp. §§ 427 d. 428 d. 497.

Italic.

1. Indg. q, g, gh without labialisation.

§ 430. Indg. q. Lat. capiō, capis -idis, Umbr. kapir-e 'capide', Osc. καπιδιτωμ, i. e. kapid-ī-to-m 'ollarium': Gr. κάπη 'manger' κώπη 'handle', O.Ir. cacht O.Corn. caid 'servus', Goth. hafja 'I raise' OHG. haft 'caught' + Armen. kap 'bond, fetter'? (Hübschmann Armen. St. I 12), Alban. kap 'I seize', Lett. kampu 'I seize, take hold of'. Lat. canō, Umbr. kanetu 'canito' : Gr. κανάζω 'I tune, sound', O.Ir. canaim 'I sing' O.Corn. cheniat 'cantor', Goth. hana 'cock' + Skr. kan-kan-ī 'an ornament with bells', Lith. kañ-klai and kan-kles pl. 'harp, guitar' (or is the Lith. word to be connected with kinkýti 'to stretch'?). Lat. coxa: O.Ir. coss 'foot' Cymr. coes 'femur', OHG. hahsa 'knuckle of the hind leg' + Skr. kákša-s 'arm-pit' Av. kaša- m. 'shoulder'. Lat. cūρa: Gr. κύπη 'a hole, hollow' κύπελλο-ν 'capacious drinking vessel, goblet' + Skr. $k \tilde{u} p a$ -s 'ditch, hole'. Lat. $sec\bar{o}$, Umbr. pru-sekatu -sektu 'prosecato' pro-sesetir 'prosectis' : OHG. sega saga O.Icel. sog 'a saw' O.Icel. sigdr 'sickle' + O.Bulg. sěka 'I hew' sěkna 'I cuť. Lat. auctu-s auctiō auctor, Umbr. uhtur 'auctor': + Pruss. auckta- Lith. aukszta-s 'high' Lith. aukti 'to grow', rt. aug-, s. below under Indg. g. Lat. scandō: Gr. στάνδαλο-ν 'stumbling-block', O.Ir. ro se-scaind perf. he leapt' + Skr. skándāmi 'I hasten, leap'.

Concerning Umbr. 's from k before e- and i-vowels see §§ 387. 502.

Indg. g. Lat. gelu, Osc. $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \nu$ 'pruinam': Goth. kalds 'cold' O.Icel. kala 'to freeze' + O.Bulg. žlčdica 'sleet'. Lat. augeō augmentu-m (cf. auctor Umbr. uhtur under Indg. q): O.Ir. $\bar{o}g$ 'uninjured, whole', Goth. áukan 'to increase' + Skr. δjas - Av. aojah- n. 'power, might', Lith. áugu 'I grow'. Lat. $teg\bar{o}$ (cp. $t\bar{v}ctu$ -s, Umbr. tehteri-m 'tegimentum' with qt): Gr. $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \gamma o \varsigma$ etc., see § 420.

Indg. gh. Lat. hosti-s: Goth. gasts etc., see § 422. prehend \bar{v} : Gr. $\chi arb \acute{a}rw$ etc., see § 425. gradior: O.Ir. in-grennim I pursue', Goth. grifs (st. gridi-) f. 'step, stride' + O.Bulg. grędą 'I come'. Cp. also congiu-s: Gr. $\varkappa \acute{o}\gamma \chi o$ -s + Skr. śankhá-s 'muscle' with Indg. gh, § 553.

fostis beside hostis like folus beside holus. See § 389.

- 2. Indg. q, g, gh = prim. Ital. k^{μ} , g^{μ} , χ^{μ} .
- § 431. Prim. Ital. k^u became

¹⁾ There is no satisfactory foundation for Bersu's assumption (Die Gutturalen p. 134 ff.) that the labial affection had disappeared before o in prehistoric Latin and had been restored again in $quod\ linqu\bar{v}$ etc. by form-association.

paa-m acc. 'quam' : Goth. $hv\bar{o}$ fem. 'quae', s. § 419. Lat. quoquo-s 'cook', prim. Ital. $*k^uok^uo$ -s fr. $*pok^uo$ -s (s. above); the form coquo-s (cocu-s) had its co- through analogy to $coqu\bar{o}$.

That $k^{u} = \text{Indg. } q$ was still distinguished from Indg. $\hbar u$ in prim. Ital., follows from Umbr. ekvine loc. 'equini' (Skr. \dot{asva} -s etc.), in case this word was not borrowed from Lat. The Ital. form epo- is of Gallic origin. Cp. § 426.

Anteconsonantal que- became co- in Latin. $coqu\bar{v}$ from *quequ \bar{v} , s. above. $col\bar{v}$ fr. *quel \bar{v} , incola fr. *-quel \bar{u} beside $inqui-l\bar{v}$ nu-s: Gr. $\tau \acute{e}\lambda \lambda \omega$ $\pi \acute{o}\lambda o$ - ς etc., see § 427 a.b. $cott\bar{v}$ -di \bar{v} fr. *quet \bar{v} -, s. § 419. This sound-change took place at the same time as *sues \bar{v} r etc. became soror, see § 172, 3. $inquil\bar{v}$ nu-s shows that this change is younger than the weakening of e to i in unaccented syllables (§ 65 p. 53), and thus also forms like linquis linquitis (cp. Gr. $\check{\epsilon}\lambda \iota \pi \varepsilon \varsigma$ $\check{\epsilon}\lambda \iota \pi \varepsilon v \varepsilon$), in like manner probably also sequere fr. *sequiso (Gr. $\check{\epsilon}\pi \varepsilon o$), regularly came by their qu.

Rem. 1. The *qe* in *quercu-s* remains unclear. See Windisch in Kuhn-Schleicher's Beitr. VIII 39, W. Meyer Literaturbl. f. germ. u. rom. Phil. 1885 p. 154.

In the eighth century U. C. quo- became cu-. sequentur: secuntur, -loquos: -locus, aequos: aecus, quom: cum, quomque: cunque, *quinqu-onx: quinc-unx (cp. O.Lat. oncia) etc. See Bersu Die Gutturalen p. 53 ff. Correspondingly ecus fr. equos, see § 172, 2. sequentur, -loquus, equus etc. were later new formations after forms with $qu+\overline{t}$, \overline{t} etc. as sequitur, -loqu \overline{t} .

qu passed into c, when it became final. ne-c from ne-que. ac fr. *at-c (cp. quicquam § 367) from atque.

Rem. 2. It seems to me very doubtful whether the v in Lat. rermi-s, verrere, vellere, in- $v\bar{\imath}tu$ -s, rapor, is a regular continuation of qu-(ku-) (cp. Bersu in the above named work 144 f. 151 f., Stolz Lat. Gramm. § 48).

- b) Lat. c before prim. Lat. u. Stem arcu- beside arquitenens: Goth. arhvazna f. 'arrow, dart'. quincu-plex a new formation after quadru-plex. secutu-s locutu-s, to sequor loquor, new formations after solutu-s, volutu-s.
- c) Lat. c before consonants, especially before t, s. coctu-s
 fr. *quecto-s (a): Gr. πεπτό-ς, in like manner coxī: ἔπεψα.

īn-sectīon-ēs 'narrationes' īn-sexit 'dixerit' beside īn-seque (see Bersu p. 125) in-quam (fr. *in-squā-m): Gr. ένι-σπ-ε-ν 'I said' fut. ένι-σπήσω, O.Ir. in-sce 'speech' O.Bret. hepp 'inquit' + Lith. sakúti 'to say' O.Bulg. sokŭ 'informer, accuser'. ūnctu-s ūnxī beside *unquō*, see § 421. $qu\bar{i}n(c)tu$ -s: Gr. πέμπτο-ς, Goth. fimfta-; the Osc. form Hounties shows, as was already remarked in § 424, that the labialisation before t had not yet disappeared in prim. Lat. jecur jecin-oris, beside Gr. $\tilde{\eta}\pi\alpha\tau$, seems to have developed c regularly in the stem-form * $jek^{u}n$ - (Skr. yakn-, Lith. jekn-, see § 427 a), after *jecn- then also jecin-. The c in socius beside sequer (Gr. α -oso $\eta \tau \eta \phi$ 'helper' with so fr. $k^{u}i \S 427 d$, Skr. sácya- 'meet to be assisted, valued', rt. seq-) and in col-liciae beside liqueo is easily understood from the older sound-combination $k^{u}i$, see § 135, and likewise the c in coculu-m beside coquō and in torculu-s beside torqueō, is to be explained from older $k^{u}l$ (s. § 269); musculu-s may also have arisen from an older * $musk^{u}lo$ -s (§ 419).

Rem. 3. The relation of Lat. u-ter u-bi $n\bar{v}$ -cubi etc. to Umbr. po-druh-pei 'utroque' pu-fe 'ubi' Osc. pútúrús-píd 'utrique' pu-f 'ubi', still remains for the present enigmatical, see Corssen Krit. Nachtr. 26 ff., Bersu p. 138. May we perhaps assume that the three stems u-, qu- (Skr. k-u-tra) and qo- had become mixed? Cp. also § 604 rem. 2.

Rem. 4. The view, that Indg. q occasionally appears as p in pure Lat. words, is to be rejected. See Bersu p. 143, Stolz Lat. Gramm. § 48.

- § 432. Prim. Ital. gu became
- a) Lat. gu, Umbr. b after v. Lat. $ungu\bar{o}$ unguen, Umbr. umen 'unguen' fr. *umben (§ 506): Skr. $a\bar{n}ji$ - \bar{s} 'salve', see § 421. Lat. $stingu\bar{o}$: + Skr. $tigm\dot{a}$ -s 'sharp, violent' Av. $ti\gamma$ -ra- 'pointed'. Lat. inguen: O.Icel. økkr 'tumor' økkvenn 'swollen'.

Lat. stingunt fr. stinguont, like secuntur, see § 431 a p. 320.

b) Lat. v, Umbr.-Samn. b initially before sonantal vowels (except u). Lat. veniō, Umbr. benust 'venerit' Osc. kúm-bened perf. 'convenit': Gr. βαίνω etc., rt. gem-, see § 421. Lat. vōvo-s, Osc. bivus pl. 'vivi': + Skr. jōvá-s etc., see § 421. Lat. veru, Umbr. berus 'verubus': O.Ir. bir 'sting, spit, spear' Cymr. ber Corn. ber 'veru'. Lat. -volu-s volāre: Gr. βολή βάλλω etc., rt.

gel-, see § 428. -voru-s vorāre: Gr. $\beta o \rho \hat{\alpha}$ etc., see § 428 a. Lat. $val\bar{e}re: +$ Lith. $gal \tilde{e}ti$ 'to be able'.

Rem. 1. Lat. b-=g- is probably to be denied. $b\bar{v}s$ can be explained as an Oscan loan-word, likewise baetere, in case it belonged to a root beginning with g- (some compare Osc. baiteis, to which they give the meaning 'baetis', and Umbr. e-bet-raf-e with the supposed meaning 'in exitus').

c) Lat. v between sonantal vowels. avilla beside $\bar{a}gnu-s$: Gr. $\mathring{a}\mu r\acute{o}$ - ς etc., see § 428 a. $n\bar{u}du$ -s fr. * $no(g)\mu edo-s$: Goth. $naqa\bar{p}s$ 'naked' + Skr. nag- $n\acute{a}$ - Lith. $n\acute{u}ga$ -s O.Bulg. $nag\breve{u}$ naked'.

That $-rg^u$ - has become -rv- im Lat., does not seem to me to be proved with certainty. The bringing together of torvo-s with Gr. $\tau \acute{\alpha} \rho \beta o_S$ n. 'fright, terror' Skr. $t\acute{\alpha}rj\bar{\alpha}mi$ 'I threaten, abuse, frighten', is not free from objection; the other conjectures, hitherto made, are quite doubtful.

d) Lat. g before consonants. $\bar{a}gnu$ -s beside avilla, see c. $s\bar{e}gni$ -s: Gr. $\sigma\beta$ - $\tilde{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$ 'to cease, become still, go out'. gr-avi-s: Skr. \acute{a} -gr-u- \check{s} 'young womanish' (s. § 290 p. 232). $migr\bar{a}re$: Gr. $\vec{a}\mu\epsilon i\beta\omega$ 'I change', rt. meig-. $gl\bar{a}ns$: Gr. $\beta\acute{a}\lambda\alpha\nu$ - ς 'acorn' + Armen. $ka\lambda in$ (gen. $ka\lambda noy$) Lith. $gil\acute{e}$ O.Bulg. $\check{z}elad\check{\iota}$ 'acorn', ablaut gl-gl-gel-, cp. § 291, 3.

Rem. 2. If g in gula gulō gurguliō gurges, which represent the rt. form gll- glr- (§ 297), had arisen from g^{u} - which however may be doubted on account of Gr. $\gamma \alpha \varrho \gamma \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \omega' r \gamma^{e} \varrho \gamma \varepsilon \varrho o - \varepsilon$ and O.Ir. gelim 'I consume, devour' (on the interchange r:l cp. § 282), on its account and at the same time in consideration of gurdu-s 'stupid, silly' (: $\beta \varrho \alpha \delta \vec{v} - \varepsilon$ 'slow, lazy') it must be assumed that orig. $g^{u}\gamma - g^{u}l$ - was differently treated from orig. $g^{u}\sigma - g^{u}l$ -: in the former case the labialisation would have been dropped, before r, l became r, r, r0. Then r1 cultu-s beside r2 for r3 for r3 would probably also have to be traced back first of all to r3 klto-s, the latter to r4 klto-s.

\S 433. Prim. Italic χ^u became

- a) Lat. gu after vo. ninguit: Gr. vɛ/qɛı + Lith. sniñga 'it snows', rt. sneigh-, s. § 423. angui-s anguilla: O.Ir. esc-ung 'eel' (esc 'swamp') + Skr. áhi-š 'snake' Av. aži-š 'dragon', Lith. angì-s 'snake'. Beside these Umbr. ninctu 'ninguito', with which fiktu 'figito' (O.Lat. fīvere beside fīgere) is parallel.
 - b) Lat. *gu, further v between sonantal vowels. Acc. niv-em

= Gr. rlφ-a, beside ninguit (ninguem a new formation to nivem like con-junx beside con-jux) and nom. nix (§§ 431 c. 552). In like manner cō-nīveō beside cō-nīxī nīctāre: Goth. hneivan 'to bow', rt. kneigh-. g occurs in Umbr. in co-negos ku-nikaz 'conixus'.

c) Lat. f both initially and medially before r; in the latter case f passed into b (§ 509).

formu-s: Goth. varmjan 'to warm' + Skr. gharmá-s 'glowing heat'; fornu-s, later furnu-s: + Skr. ghy-ná-s 'glowing heat', rt. gher-, see § 423. feru-s fera: Gr. $\theta n' \phi$ etc., see § 429 b. friō: Gr. $\chi \phi i \omega$ 'I rub over'. fremō, frendō (§§ 207. 349): Gr. $\chi \phi i \omega$ 'I neigh' $\chi \phi i \omega - \zeta$ 'noise, a lowing, neighing' Goth. gramjan 'to make furious' OHG. gram 'fierce, angry' + O.Bulg. gromū 'thunder'. In the case of the two last words the assumption of labialised prim. Ital. χ has, it is true, no support outside Italic.

Praenest. $nefr\bar{o}n$ -es Lanuv. nebrundin-es : Gr. $v \in \varphi \circ \phi'$ - ς etc., see § 423.

Rem. That initial and medial $\chi^{\mathcal{U}}$ passed into f before r (whence b medially), but otherwise medially into gu, brings to mind the relation $f\bar{u}mu$ - $s:rubr\bar{i}:mediu$ -s (§ 370).

Old Irish.

1. Indg. q, g, gh without labialisation.

§ 434. Indg. q. O.Ir. cara Cymr. car 'friend': Lat. cāru-s, Goth. hōrs 'fornicator' + Lett. kârs 'covetous, lascivious' $k\hat{a}r$ -dinát 'to lead into temptation'. O.Ir. canaim 'I sing', O.Corn. cheniat 'cantor': Lat. canō etc., see § 430. O.Ir. $cr\bar{u}$ Cymr. crau Corn. crow 'blood': Gr. $z\varrho\acute{e}a_{\bar{s}}$ etc., see § 418.

 $\bar{e}cath$ (pronounce $\bar{e}ga\bar{p}$) 'hamus' : + Skr. $ank\acute{a}$ -s etc., see §§ 212. 513.

O.Ir. cuach Cymr. cog 'cuckoo': Gr. zózzv§ Lat. cucūlu-s MHG. kuckuk + Skr. kōkilá-s 'cuckoo', Lith. kuků'ti 'to call cuckoo' O.Bulg. kukavica 'cuckoo'. See § 514.

O.Ir. ōs uas 'above, over', Cymr. uch 'above', Gall. Uxellodūnu-m 'Hightown': Gr. αὔξω 'I increase', Lat. auxiliu-m 'increase, reinforcement' + Lith. ἀuksztα-s 'high'. See § 517. Indg. g. O.Ir. gāir 'call', Cymr. gawr 'clamor' : Gr. $\gamma\eta\varrho\dot{\psi}\omega$ etc., see § 420.

O.Ir. tech teg 'house', O.Bret. bou-tig 'stabulum': Gr. $\sigma r \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ etc., see § 420. O.Ir. $\bar{\sigma} g$ 'uninjured, whole': Lat. auge $\bar{\sigma}$ etc., see 430. See § 522.

Indg. gh = prim. Kelt. g. O.Ir. in-grennim 'I pursue': Lat. gradior etc., see § 430.

O.Ir. *lige* 'bed' : Gr. λέχος etc., see § 425. See § 526.

2. Indg. q, g, gh with labialisation.

§ 435. ky and gy (latter = g and gh), with full developed y, may be put down as prim. Kelt. Since there is no trace of a difference between these and Indg. palatal sound +y: cp. Gall. epo- prim. Brit. *epo- (Cymr. ebol 'foal', § 390 p. 293) O.Ir. ech 'horse' = Indg. *ekyo-.

The treatment of the various forms of articulation was just as little uniform as in Italic.

§ 436. Prim. Kelt. kū appears in Irish as c, in Brit. and Gall. as p¹). O.Ir. cethir 'four', O.Brit. Πετοναφία a town (Ptol.), O.Cymr. petguar Corn. peswar Bret. pevar 'four', Gall. petor-ritum 'a four-wheeled chariot': Gr. τέσσαφες etc., see § 419. O.Ir. cōic O.Cymr. pimp Corn. pymp Bret. pemp 'five', Gall. πεμπε-δονλα 'πεντάφυλλον' (Dioscor.) from prim. Kelt. *kμενλμε (§ 339), Indg. *penqe, see § 419. O.Ir. cruim Cymr. pryf 'worm' (prim. Kelt. *kμνimi-): + Skr. kými-š Alban. krimb-i Lith. kirmēlē 'worm'. O.Ir. co-sc 'a setting right, punishment' Cymr. co-sp: Gr. ἔνι-σπ-ε-ν 'I said', rt. seq-. O.Ir. sesc 'unfertile', Cymr. hysp 'dry, withered', prim. Kelt. *siskyo-s: Lat. siccu-s, cpf. *sit-qo-s (§§ 419. 516).

O.Ir. *cōic* (pronounce *cōig*) 'five' fr. prim. Kelt. *kuenkue (cp. above). See §§ 212. 513.

O.Ir. ain-ech en-ech 'face' Cymr. Corn. Bret. en-ep: Gr. $\vec{\epsilon}\nu$ - $\omega\pi\dot{\eta}$ 'countenance', Lat. oculu-s + Lith. akt-s O.Bulg. oko (gen. očese) 'eye'. See § 514.

¹⁾ In Gall. probably dialectically also still ky, in Sequana.

kut probably became kt in prim. Kelt., then further cht, so that this combination fell together with pt and kt (§§ 515. 517). In Prim. Kelt. kt from kut stood beside ku, as in Lat. coctus lictus beside coquō linquō. O.Ir. nocht Cymr. noeth, Corn. noyth 'naked': Goth. naqaps etc., see § 432 c. O.Ir. snechta 'snow' from rt. sneigh- (cp. § 552). Perhaps also here O.Ir. in-nocht 'hac noete', Cymr. peu-noeth 'quavis noete', since the v in G. v'§ seems to point to labialised q (§ 427 c).

Rem. From the form maqa- (gen. maqi) 'son' (Cymr. map), found on the Ogam inscriptions (p. 9), it cannot be concluded with certainty that ky was still generally spoken in Irish for intervocalic c at the time these inscriptions were written. In the first place it is a question how the 'status durus' in O Ir. mac macc beside en-ech 'facies' and ech 'horse' is to be regarded (cp. § 514). A satisfactory explanation of the non-aspiration of the c in this word, as also in the forms mucc 'pig' (Cymr. moch: Gr. $a\pio\mu baao$ 'I blow my nose' $\mu\nu\kappa\tau\dot{\gamma}e$ 'nose', Lat. $m\bar{u}eu$ -s' 'mucus of the nose' + Skr. $mu\bar{u}e\dot{a}ti$ 'lets loose, lets out' also used of bodily secretions, Lett. mukt 'to flee' Lith. $ma\bar{u}kti$ 'to strip, let glide'), cacc 'excrement' (Skr. $\dot{s}\dot{a}kan$ - 'stercus', Lith. $szik\dot{u}$ 'caco'), $l\bar{e}icim$ 'I leave', that is, $*leiky-i\bar{o}$ (Gr. leim) etc., see § 427 u), has, so far as I know, not yet been given.

§ 437. Prim. Kelt. gy = Indg. g became

- a) Ir. Brit. b initially. O.Ir. biu beo Cymr. byw 'alive': Lat. vīvo-s etc., see § 421. O.Ir. bō Mid.Cymr. buch 'cow': Gr. βοῦς etc., see § 428 a. O.Ir. ben 'woman', O.Corn. benen 'sponsa': Goth. qinō + Armen. kin Pruss. genna (i. e. gēna) O.Bulg. žena 'woman'; O.Ir. gen. mnā fr. "bnās: Gr. μνάομαι etc., see § 428 a. O.Ir. at-bail 'perit' 3. pl. at-balat: OHG. quelan 'to have a violent pain' Ags. cwelan 'to die' + Lith. gélti 'to feel great pain' giltinễ the goddess of death, O.Bulg. žalī 'pain, grief' fr. *gēlī (§ 76), rt. gel-. O.Ir. broo brō (gen. broon) Cymr. breuan 'mill-stone': + Skr. grávan- 'stone for pressing out the somajuice'. O.Ir. brāge (gen. brāgat) 'neck': Gr. βοόχθος βοόγχος 'throat', MHG. krage 'neck, gullet' (rt. ger- 'swallow'?).
- b) Ir. g before u. O.Ir. guth 'voice, word' fr. *gu-tu-s, to Gr. γv beside $\beta o(F)$ - $\dot{\gamma}$, see § 428 c.
- c) Ir. Brit. g medially before n. O.Ir. uan Cymr. oen lamb': Gr. $\mathring{a}\mu\nu\acute{o}$ - ς etc., see § 428 a. On the transformation of -gn- cp. § 523.

- § 438. From prim. Kelt. gu = Indg. gh we may a priori assume that it was treated like gu = Indg. g.
- a) Initially. Words with b- are unknown to us. O.Ir. gonim 'I wound, kill' perf. 3. sg. geguin is remarkable, since this word seems to belong to Gr. $q\acute{o}vo$ - ς rt. ghen- (\S 429 a. b.).
- b) Medially. With b after a nasal O.Ir. imb imm (gen. imme) 'butter', Cymr. ymcn-yn. stem *imben- = Lat. unguen, OHG. ancho, see § 421. Beside these Mid.Ir. esc-ung 'eel': Lat. angui-s etc., see § 433 a. Cp. also Mid.Ir. inga O.Cymr. eguin 'nail': Lat. ungui-s etc. with Indg. qh (§§ 429 c. 553). On what this difference of treatment depends, I cannot say.

O.Ir. snigid 'it drops' : Gr. $ri\varphi\alpha$ etc., see § 423. O.Ir. laigiu Mid.Cymr. llei 'minor' : Gr. $\epsilon\lambda\alpha\varphi\varphi\delta$ - ϵ $\epsilon\lambda\alpha\chi\psi$ - ϵ etc., see § 429 a.c

Germanic.

1. Indg. q, g, gh without labialisation.

§ 439. Indg. q. Goth. us-skava- 'cautious, sober', OHG. $scouw\bar{o}n$ 'to look', O.Icel. skyn 'insight': Gr. θvo - $\sigma z\acute{o}o$ - ς 'sacrificing priest' $zo\acute{\epsilon}\omega$ 'I mark', Lat. $cave\bar{o}$ + Skr. $kav\acute{\iota}$ - \check{s} 'seer' \bar{a} - $kuvat\bar{e}$ 'intends'.

Goth. háils 'healthy, wholesome', OHG. heil 'healthy, whole, rescued', Ags. hæl O.Icel. heill n. 'good fortune, favourable token': O.Ir. cēl O.Cymr. coil 'augurium' + Pruss. kail-ūstiska-n acc. 'health' O.Bulg. cēlŭ 'sound, whole'. Goth. háidu-s 'manner', OHG. heit Ags. hād 'state, condition, peculiarity' + Skr. kētú-š 'luminous phenomenon, picture, form'. OHG. houwan O.Icel. hǫggva 'to hew': Lat. cū-dō + Lith. káu-ju O.Bulg. ku-ja 'I strike, forge'. Goth. hlifa 'I steal': Gr. κλοπεύς 'thief', Lat. clepō + Pruss. au-klipts 'hidden' O.Bulg. po-klopŭ 'a covering'. Goth. veiha 'I fight': Lat. vincō + Lith. vēkà 'power, strength' apveikiù 'I compel', rt. ueiq-.

Goth. hals-agga 'curve of the neck', OHG. angul 'angle': Gr. ἀγκών etc., see § 425.\

OHG. wīgant 'warrior', O.Icel. vīg 'battle' veig 'strength', fr. the same rt. ueiq-, whence Goth. veiha s. above. Goth.

hugs O.S. hugi O.Icel. hugr 'mind, heart, courage': + Skr. śuc- 'ardour, care, trouble', Armen. sug (gen. sgoy) 'grief'.

Indg. g. Goth. kalds OHG. kalt O.Icel. kaldr 'cold': Lat. gelu etc., see § 430. Goth. áukan 'to add, augment', OHG. auhhōn ouhhōn O.Icel. auka 'to increase': Lat. augeō etc., see § 430.

Indg. gh. Goth. fra-gildan 'to requite', OHG. geltan 'to repay, compensate': +- O.Bulg. žlědą 'I pay as a fine'. Goth. gaggan OHG. OS. gangan O.Icel. ganga 'to go': + Skr. janghā- 'heel-bone', Lith. žengiù 'I stride', rt. ĝhengh-.

2. Indg. q, g, gh with labialisation.

§ 440. Pre-Germanic q, g, gh became through the sound-shifting in prim. Germ. χu (χu), ku, χu . The labialisation may be put down as a full u, since no trace of a difference exists beside the combination palatal sound +u. Cp. e. g. on the one hand Goth. leihva 'I lend' = Gr. leiq-, Goth. leiq-, Goth. leihva 'What' = Lat. leiq-, Goth. leiq-, Goth. leihva 'horse' (in leiv- leiq-) = Skr. leiq-, Goth. leiv- leiq-, Goth. leihva- 'horse' (in leiv- leiq-) = Skr. leiq- leiq

§ 441. Prim. Germ. χu , χu = Indg. q.

Interrog. pronoun Goth. nom. m. hva-s fem. hvō n. hva, gen. m. n. hvi-s, OHG. nom. m. hver wer n. hwaz waz, O.Icel.

nom. n. hvat gen. m. n. hvess: Gr. πo - $\tau \varepsilon$ - etc., see § 419. Ags. hwōsta OHG. huosto (fr. *hwuosto) 'cough': + Skr. $k\bar{a}s$ -a- $t\bar{e}$ 'he coughs', Lith. kós-iu 'I cough'. Goth. leihvan (pret. láihv) OHG. līhan (pret. lēh) 'to lend': Gr. $\lambda \epsilon l \pi \omega$ etc. see § 427 a. Goth. saihvan OHG. sehan 'to see': Gr. $\varepsilon \pi o \mu \omega$ etc., see § 419. Goth. ahva OHG. aha 'water': Lat. aqua. Goth. peihvō f. 'thunder' from prim. Germ. *penxuōn (§ 67, 1. § 214): + O.Bulg. tača fr. *tonk-jā 'thunder-shower'. Goth. arhvazna 'dart': Lat. arqui-tenēns, see § 431 b.

Goth. siuns OS. siun O.Icel. $sj\bar{o}n$ 'a seeing, face', prim. Germ. *se(z)u-ni-s, to Goth. saihvan. Other examples of this nature § 444 c.

On the cases, in which f or b appear for χu , χu and in which u has disappeared after χ , χ , see § 444.

§ 442. Prim. Germ. ky = Indg. g. Goth. gius (st. giva-) OHG. quec O.Icel. kvikr 'alive': Gr. βίο-ς etc., see § 421. Goth. qiman (pret. qam) 'to come', OHG. queman (pret. quam) O.Icel. pret. kvam: Skr. gám-a-ti etc., see § 421. Goth. qinō OHG. quena 'wife' O.Icel. gen. pl. kvenna (concerning the nom. sg. kona see § 444b): O.Ir. ben + Armen. kin O.Bulg. žena, see § 437 a. Goth. asilu-quairnu-s 'μύλος ὀνικός, 'mill-stone', OHG. chwirna 'mill-stone, mill', O.Icel. kvern 'mill': + Lith. girnos pl. 'mill-stones, mill' O.Bulg. žržny 'mill'. Goth. rigis (st. rigiz-a-) 'darkness': Gr. ἔρεβος etc., see § 421. Goth. nagaþs 'naked': Lat. nudu-s etc., see § 432 c. Goth. siggan OHG. sinchan O.Icel. sokkva 'to sink': Gr. εἴβω 'I let fall in drops, pour down' (for *είβω, cp. § 564), rt. seig-, a secondary form of seig- (Skr. sincáti 'pours forth', OHG. sīhan 'to filter'); the pret. Goth. sagg OHG. sanch O.Icel. sokk arose through passing into the analogy of bindan: band (rt. bhendh-) etc. (cp. § 67 rem. 1).

On p for ky and the cases in which y had disappeared after k, see § 444.

§ 443. Prim. Germ. zu = Indg. gh. Goth. varmjan 'to warm', OHG. warm O.Icel. varmr 'warm': Lat. formu-s etc., see § 423. Goth. $sn\'{a}ivs$ OHG. $sn\~{e}o$ (gen. $sn\~{e}wes$) O.Icel. $sn\~{e}r$

(gen. $sn\bar{e}var$) 'snow', prim. Germ. *snai(z)u-a-z, OHG. $sn\bar{v}uit$ Ags. $sn\bar{v}we\bar{d}$ 'it snows', infin. MHG. $sn\bar{v}wen$ 'to snow', part. O.Icel. snivenn 'covered with snow': Gr. $v'\varphi$ - α etc., see § 423. Goth. hneivan 'to bow': Lat. $c\bar{o}$ - $n\bar{v}ve\bar{o}$, root kneigh-, see § 433 b. OHG. nioro m. O.Icel. $n\bar{y}ra$ n. 'kidney', prim. Germ. *ne(z)u- $r\bar{o}n$: Gr. $ve\varphi_0o$ -c etc., see §§ 423. 433 c.

On prim. Germ. 3 fr. 3u see § 444b.

§ 444. The following changes, which concerned the labialised sounds, as such, took place in the period of the prim. Germ. community.

a) p-sounds for the ky-sounds.

f for $\chi u = \text{Indg. } q$. * $\chi u l f a$ -z 'wolf', Goth. v u l f s OHG. v u l f r: Gr. $\lambda v z o$ - ς (§ 427 c) + Skr. v f k a-s, Indg. *u l q o-s 'wolf'. Goth. $f l d v \bar{o} r$ OHG. f l o r Ags. f v d e r- O.Icel. $f l \bar{o} r e r$ 'four': Gr. $\tau e \sigma \sigma a \varrho$ - $\epsilon \varsigma$, Indg. *q e t u e r-, see § 419. *f l m r 'five', Goth. f l m r OHG. f l m r Ags. $f \bar{l} r$ O.Icel. f l m m: Gr. $\pi e v \tau \epsilon$, Indg. *p e r e q e, see § 419. Less certain is OHG. f l m r 'pine' v e r e h-e l h Lango-Bard. f l e r e h a 'aesculus': Lat. q u e r c u-u e r q u e u e r q u e u-s, see § 431 rem. 1.

b for $\exists u = \text{Indg. } q$. By the side of *uulfa-z stood a fem. *uulti 'she-wolf', by § 530 fr. Indg. *ulqi (Skr. v_Iki), hence OHG. wulpa MHG. $w\ddot{u}lpe$, cp. § 532. Beside this O.Icel. ylgr 'she-wolf', the g of which arose regularly in the cases with suffixform $-i\bar{o}$ -, e. g. gen. *uulzu- $i\bar{o}s$; u was here forced out.

p for ku = Indg. g. Goth. vairpan OHG. werfan O.Icel. verpa 'to throw': + Skr. vṛṇākti 'throws to the ground' perf. vavārja, O.Bulg. vrīgā 'I throw'. OHG. sweifan 'to set in revolving motion, swing, meander' Ags. swāpan 'to swing': + Lith. svaikstù 'I become dizzy' pret. svaigaū, svaigulỹ-s 'dizziness' svaiginēju 'I stagger with dizziness'.

This transition to p-sounds seems to have been occasioned by an y in the preceding or following syllable (dissimilation).

Rem. 1. So far as I can see, the only difficulty lies with finf. It is possible that the orig. form was *kuenkue as in Ital. and Kelt. (§§ 336. 339). Hence *kuenpe like *sueip- fr. *sueiku-, further *pempe through assimilation of the initial sound to the medial p or to the initial sound of the word for four? Or did Indg. *penge become *pempe in Germ. direct-

ly by assimilation? On these p-sounds for q-sounds, see now also Kluge in Paul-Braune's Beitr. XI 560 ff.

- b) Loss of the labialisation before u (cp. § 180).
- z(u) = Indg. q (§ 530). 1. pl. pret. * $s\bar{e}z(u)umi$ 'saw' (Goth. saihvan), *liz(u)umi 'lent' (Goth. leihvan) etc.: Ags. $s\bar{w}zon$ O.Fris. $s\bar{a}gen$. Goth. $s\bar{e}hvum$ OHG. $s\bar{a}hun$ Ags. $s\bar{a}won$ (beside $s\bar{w}zon$) were new formations.
- k(y) = Indg. g. Part. *k(y)umana- 'come' OHG. koman Ags. cumen O.Icel. komenn; OHG. quoman Goth. qumans were new formations. *k(y)uru-z 'heavy' Goth. kaúru-s: Gr. $\beta a \varrho v'$ -s Skr. gur u'-s, see § 428 a. * $k(y)un\bar{v}$ 'wife' O.Icel. kona: Bœot. $\beta a v a'$, see § 428 a. *sunk(y)umi 'we sunk' OHG. sunchun; Goth. sugqum was a new formation.
- $z(\underline{u}) = \text{Indg. } gh. \quad *z(\underline{u}) un \underline{p} \underline{i} \bar{o} \text{ 'battle' O.Low Germ. } g \underline{u} \overline{d} e a$ O.Icel. $gunnr = \text{Skr. } hat \underline{j} \overline{a}$ 'a killing' Lith. ginczia 'strife' (cp. § 249); to these Gr. $ga \tau o \varsigma = \varepsilon$, rt. ghen-, see § 429 a. § 438 rem. $*z_{niz}(\underline{u})umi$ 'we bowed': OHG. nigun Ags. hnizon O.Icel. hnigum Goth. hnivum was a new formation.
- c) zu became u, a change, which befell every zu that still remained over after the action of the sound-law, named under b.
- (3) $\underline{u} = \text{Indg. } q.$ *se(3) \underline{u} -ní-s 'face' Goth. siuns etc., see § 441. * $t\bar{e}(3)\underline{u}$ - δ f. 'arrangement' Goth. $t\bar{e}va$, to OHG. gi-zeh $\bar{o}n$ 'to arrange, regulate', Gr. $\delta\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\pi\nu o$ - ν 'meal, meal-time' (fr. * $\delta\epsilon\pi\nu \varrho$ - ν -, § 639), rt. deq-. * $a(3)\underline{u}$ - $\dot{\varrho}$ 'marshy country, island' (adj. formation, properly 'the watery', to Goth. ahva OHG. aha 'water', § 441); OHG. auwa ouwa O.Icel. ey pl. eyjar. Part. * $li(3)\underline{u}$ -aná-s 'lent' (Skr. ri-ric- $\bar{a}n$ á-s, to Goth. leihvan) OHG. -liwan. Optative stem * $s\bar{e}(3)\underline{u}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ (to Goth. saihvan 'to see') OS. gi-s $\bar{a}wi$ pl. - $s\bar{a}win$.
- (z)u = Indg. gh. *(z)uar-ma- 'warm' and other examples see § 443.
- Rem. 2. Therefore towards the close of the prim. Germ. period there stood side by side: pres. * $l\bar{\imath}\chi u\bar{\sigma}$ (Goth. leihva): pret. indic. pl. * $li-\bar{\jmath}umi$: pret. opt. * $liu\bar{\imath}$ part. *liuana-; * $\chi n\bar{\imath}u\bar{\sigma}$ (Goth. hneiva): * $\chi ni\bar{\jmath}umi$: * $\chi niu\bar{\imath}$ The paradigms of the separate Germanic dialects were brought about by manifold levellings. The prim. Germ. phonetic position was nowhere purely retained.

- Rem. 3. If Goth. $aug\bar{o}$ OHG. ouga O.Icel. auga n. 'eye' belongs to Gr. $\ddot{o}\pi-\omega\pi-\alpha$. Lith. ak?-s etc, the form must be explained from an old stem-change *az(u)-un- (Indg. *oq-v-) and *a(z)u-n- *a(z)u-en-: *az- and *au- became amalgamated to auz- in prim. Germ.
- d) 3u became gu after a nasal, and then this remained (§§ 530. 538). Hence prim. Germ. *singuidi 'sings' Goth. siggvib. This verb however has not been etymologically satisfactorily explained, the root was probably sengh- or seiq.
- e) χut became χt . *se χti 'sight, face' (to Goth. saihvan) OHG. siht OS. gi-siht. *len χta 'light, easy' (§ 214) Goth. leihts OHG. liht O.Icel. lettr, to Gr. èhappó-g èha χv -g etc., s. § 429 a. c.; χt was here = Indg. gh+t, concerning which s. § 552. fimfta- = Indg. *penqto- has possibly preserved a trace of the labialisation once present, see above a with rem. 1.

The phonetic position, which was called into existence by these prim. Germ. changes, was on the whole preserved free from new shiftings in Goth.

Rem. 4. The form ni-h 'neque' fr. $\forall ni$ -hv(i) suggests the conjecture that the hv in $l\acute{a}ihv$ 'he lent' (leihvan) sahv 'he saw' $(sa\acute{a}hvan)$ was brought about by levelling.

Initial hw- became w- in OHG. (hwer wer), while medial hw, hw gw lost the w by § 180 (sinchit 'sinks', $l\bar{l}hit$ 'lends', singit 'sings').

b. The language-group without labialisation.

Aryan.

- § 445. Indg. q, g, gh were first of all universally k, g, gh in prim. Aryan. Then before original e- and i-vowels and before Ar. $i = \text{Indg. } \partial$, they became the palatal explosives c, j, jh; after the completion of the palatalisation e, \bar{e} passed into a, \bar{a} (§§ 62. 70). All further sound-changes, which made their appearance in regard to the place of articulation, belong to the individual development of the Ar. dialects.
- § 446. Prim. Ar. k, c = Indg. q. The sounds remained unchanged in Sanskrit. In Iranian k became the spirant x be-

fore consonants, and c became an \check{s} -sound (Av. \check{s} O.Pers. \check{s}) before \check{s} .

§ 447. Prim. Ar. k. Skr. $k\acute{a}$ -s 'who?' Av. kas-ci \rlap/p 'whoever, each' O.Pers. $ka\check{s}$ -ci \rlap/p 'whoever': Lith. $k\grave{a}$ -s etc., see § 419. Skr. $kak\check{s}a$ -s 'axilla', Av. $ka\check{s}a$ -m. 'shoulder': + Lat. coxa etc., Indg. * $qo\^{k}so$ - * $qo\^{k}s\bar{a}$ -, see § 430. Skr. kr-tá- (sq-skrta- 'prepared') Av. ker^e ta- O.Pers. kar-ta- 'made': + Gr. $zqa'v\omega$ 'I accomplish', Lat. ceru-s $cre\bar{a}re$. Skr. $k\bar{a}s$ -a-t \bar{e} 'he coughs': Lith. $k\acute{o}s$ -iu etc., see § 441. Skr. $v\acute{f}k$ -a- Av. vehrk-a- 'wolf': Lith. $vi\~{t}ka$ -s etc., see § 427 c. § 444 a. Skr. $s\acute{u}$ s̄-ka- (fr. *sus̄-ka-, § 557, 4) Av. hus̄-ka- O.Pers. us̄-ka- 'dry', suffix -q0-, see § 419.

In like manner Skr. k also regularly before ir = Indg. rr and before $\bar{\imath}r = \text{Indg. } \bar{\jmath}r$ (§§ 287. 290. 306), as kir- \acute{a} -ti 'pours out' $k\bar{\imath}r$ - $n\acute{a}$ -'covered over', to perf. ca- $k\acute{a}r$ -a. Cp. gir- $g\bar{\imath}r$ -§ 450.

Iranian $x = \text{prim. Ar. } k \ (\S 416)$. Part. Skr. $ukt\acute{a}$ - Av. uxta-'spoken' fut. Skr. $vak\S yati$ Av. $vax\S yeiti$ 'he will speak': Armen. $go\check{e}$ -e-m 'I scream, roar' (?), Pruss. en- $wack\bar{e}$ 'he calls' + Gr. $\check{e}\pi o \varsigma$ 'speech, word', Lat. $v\bar{o}x$, O.Ir. iar-faigid 'inquisitio', OHG. gi-wahannen 'to mention' perf. gi-wuog, rt. ueq-. Skr. $\check{s}ukr\acute{a}$ - 'luminous, white', Av. suxra- 'red' O.Pers. $\check{p}uxra$ - (\check{p} -=s-, § 397) proper noun: to Skr. $\check{s}uc$ - Goth. hugs etc., s. § 439. Skr. $r\check{e}knas$ - 'kingdom', Av. raexnah-. Av. O.Pers. taxma- 'quick, strong', Av. taka- 'course', Skr. $t\check{a}kti$ 'runs, hastens': Alban. ndiek 'I pursue, drive away, hunt', Lith. $tek\grave{u}$ O.Bulg. teka 'I run +O.Ir. techim 'I flee'.

§ 448. Prim. Ar. c. Skr. Av. ca O.Pers. cā 'and': + Gr. τὲ etc., see § 427 b. Skr. catvắr-as Av. caþwār-ō 'four': Lith. keturì etc., see § 419. Skr. páñca Av. panca: Lith. penkì etc., see § 419. Skr. sácatē Av. hacaitē 'accompanies', Indg. *seqetai: Lith. sekù etc., see § 419. Gen. Skr. vácas-as Av. vacanh-ō 'of a word', Indg. st. *ueqes-, to Skr. uktá- etc., see § 447. Skr. ci-d Av. ci-þ O.Pers. ciy 'any, some' (generalising particle): + Gr. τὶ 'anything whatever' Lat. qui-d, Indg. *qi-d, see § 427 b. Skr. ápa-citi- 'atonement, punishment': Gr. τί-σι-ς, see

§ 427 a. Skr. *šuc-i-š* 'luminous, bright', Av. *saoci-š* 'burning, splendour', to *šukrá- suxra-* § 447.

Av. śy O.Pers. šy (written šiy, see § 125 p. 116) = prim. Ar. Skr. cy. Skr. cyáv-a-tē 'is active, stirs', Av. śyao-p̄na- m. 'deed, work' (cp. Skr. cyāu-tná-m 'preparation, undertaking') O.Pers. a-šiyav-am 'I went, walked': Armen. ču 'a breaking up' + Gr. Hom. č-σοενε σεῦε 'he drove, hunted', see § 427 d. § 489. Av. compar. tạśyah- 'quicker' beside superl. tancišta-.

Rem. Through new formations k and c came to stand in the Ar. dialects in sound-combinations, in which they would not have regularly arisen. Cp. § 451 rem. § 454 rem. and § 427 rem.

c instead of k. Nom. acc. sg. Skr. vácas Av. vacō 'word' for *rakas = Indg. *μεμος (Gr. ἔπος) after vácas- = Indg. *μεμεs- (cp. Gr. ἔπε-ος) in the other cases. Skr. 3. sg. perf. ci-váy-a for ci-káy-u 'disposed into strata' = Indg. *γe-qói-e, to pres. ci-nó-mi. Skr. vácmi 'I speak' for *vakmi, cp. Ved. regular vívakmi. Skr. pañcat- 'the number five' for *panchat- = Indg. *penynt- (§ 427 a) after páñca.

k instead of c. Gen. Skr. $k\acute{a}$ -sya Av. Gāp. ka- $hy\bar{a}$ beside regular ca- $hy\bar{a}$ 'whose' (Indg. *qe-sio, Gr. $\tau\acute{eo}$ $\tau o\bar{\nu}$) after ka- = Indg. *qo- in $k\acute{a}$ -s etc. Skr. $n\acute{a}$ -ki-s 'nobody' beside Av. ci-s. Skr. upu- $v\bar{a}k$ -iya-s beside upa- $v\bar{a}c$ -iya-s 'he who is to be addressed', op. upu- $v\bar{a}k\acute{a}$ -s 'address'.

- § 449. Prim. Ar. g, j = Indg. g. The sounds remained unchanged in Sanskrit. In later Av. g became γ initially before consonants except r and medially everywhere except after n, z, \check{z} , the same sound was dropped before v, and medial j became \check{z} except after n and z.
- § 450. Prim. Ar. g. Skr. ga-tá- Av. ga-ta- 'gone' Indg. *gm-tó-, Skr. gā-tú-š 'step, gait, place, place of sojourn' Av. gā-tu-š O.Pers. gā-ħu-š 'place, chair, throne' prim. f. *gm̄-tu-s: + Gr. βα-τό-ς etc., rt. gem-, see § 421. Skr. gāúš Av. gāu-š 'ox': + Gr. βοῦς etc., see § 428 a. Skr. grīvā- Av. grīva- 'neck': O.Bulg. griva 'mane'. Skr. ugrá- Av. Gāþ. ugra- 'strong, powerful' beside Skr. ójas- Av. aojah- (§ 451): + Lat. augeō etc., see § 430. Skr. bhága-s 'blessing, dispenser of blessings' bhāgá-s 'blessing, share. lot', Av. Gāþ. bāga- 'distribution, portion' O.Pers. baga- 'god': O.Bulg. bogo- (nom. bogŭ) 'god' u-bogŭ 'not rich, poor' + Gr. -φάγο-ς 'enjoying, eating', rt. bhag- 'to distribute'. Skr. yugá-m 'yoke': O.Bulg. igo etc., see § 420.

Skr. angūli-š anguštha-s 'thumb, toe', Av. angušta- m. 'toe': Alban. ģišt 'finger'. Av. mazga- 'marrow' beside Skr. majján-(§ 590. 591): cp. O.Bulg. mozgū + OHG. marg n. O.Icel. mergr m. 'marrow'; the Skr. form points to g, the Germ. to gh (cp. Bartholomae Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 352).

In like manner Skr. g regularly also before ir = Indg. rr and before $\bar{r}r = \text{Indg. } \bar{r}$ (cp. § 447). $giri-\bar{s} = \text{Av. } gairi-\bar{s}$ 'mountain', Indg. *grr-i-s. Gen. $gir-\dot{a}s = \text{Av. } gar-\bar{o}$ 'hymn's', instr. pl. $g\bar{r}r-bhi\bar{s}$ (* $g\bar{r}-bhi\bar{s}$). -gira-= Av. -gara- 'swallowing', Skr. $gir\dot{a}ti$ $gil\dot{a}ti$ 'swallows', part. $g\bar{r}rn\dot{a}-$ 'swallowed'.

Late Av. $\gamma = G\bar{a}p$. O.Pers. Skr. g, as $ba\gamma a - g$ O.Pers. baga-'god', see § 478.

Late Av. $v = G\bar{a}p$. gv. $hvova = G\bar{a}p$. hvogva- a proper family name, cp. Bartholomae Bezzenb. Beitr. VII 188.

§ 451. Prim. Ar. j. Skr. $j\bar{\imath}v\acute{a}$ - Av. $j\bar{\imath}vya$ - 'alive', O.Pers. 2. sg. imper. $j\bar{\imath}v\bar{a}$ 'live': + Gr. β /o- ς etc., see § 421. Skr. $jy\acute{a}$ - Av. $jy\ddot{a}$ - 'bow-string': + Gr. β /o- ς etc., see § 428 a. Gen. Skr. δ jas-as Av. aojanh- \bar{o} (i. e. $ao\check{z}anh$ - \bar{o} , see § 478 rem. 1.) 'of strength', orig. stem-form *auges-, beside Skr. $ugr\acute{a}$ - Av. ($f\bar{a}p$). ugra-, § 450. Skr. 3. sg. perf. ja- $g\acute{a}ma$ Indg. *ge- $g\acute{o}me$, Av. pres. 3. sg. conj. jam- $ait\bar{\imath}$ imper. jan-tu orig. form *gem-e-ti *gem-tu: + Gr. $\beta a\ell v\omega$ etc., rt. gem-, see § 450.

Late Av. ž. nae-niž-aiti intens. 'washes off, removes' beside Skr. mid. $n\bar{e}$ -nik-té 'washes off': + Gr. $\chi \dot{\epsilon} \varrho$ - $\nu \iota \beta$ - α etc., see §§ 427 α . 428 d. Cp. § 478.

Rem. Through new formations Ar. j for g and vice versa. Cp. §§ 448 rem. 454 rem. and 428 rem.

j for g. Nom. acc. Skr. ójas Av. aojō 'strength' for Ar. *augas, Indg. *augos (cp. Lat. augus-tu-s) after the stem-form *aujas- = Indg. *auges- in the other cases, see above. Opt. Av. jamyāļ O.Pers. jamīyā, indic. Av. jasaiti (beside regular Skr. gamyāt gáchati, cp. §§ 228. 229. 450; Gāþ. 2. sg. imper. gaidī = Skr. gahí was also regular) after the analogy of jamaitī etc. Skr. yunájmi 'I yoke' for *yunagmi, cp. the conj. yunáj-a-t pass. yujyátē and yugá-m which are regular.

g for j. Skr. indic. $g\acute{a}m-a-ti$ imper. $g\acute{a}ntu$ (beside regular Av. $jamait\bar{\imath}$ jantu) after $gam-\acute{a}-ti$ i. e. * $gnm-\acute{e}-ti$ etc. Skr. perf. mid. $ji-gy-\acute{e}$ for * $ji-jy-\bar{e}$ after the act. $ji-g\acute{a}y-a$ from ji- 'conquer, win', rt. $ge\acute{g}$ -.

§ 452. Prim. Ar. gh, jh = Indg. gh. gh remained in Skr., jh partly became h, and partly j (§ 480). In Iran. the two sounds fell entirely together with g, j = Indg. g (§ 449).

§ 453. Prim. Ar. gh. Skr. gharmá- Av. garema-'glowing heat': + Lat. formu-s etc., see § 423. Skr. $d\bar{\iota}rgh\acute{a}$ - Av. $G\bar{\iota}p$. dar^ega - O.Pers. darga-'long': + Gr. $\delta o \lambda \iota \chi \acute{o}$ - ς 'long' (§ 306 p. 243). Skr. $dr\bar{o}gha$ -s 'a crafty damaging', O.Pers. drauga-'untruth, lie': + O.Icel. draugr 'ghost' OHG. triogan OS. bi-driogan 'to deceive', rt. dhreugh-. Skr. $jangh\acute{a}$ - Av. zanga-'instep': Lith. pra- $\check{z}anga$ 'transgression' + Goth. gagga- (nom. gaggs) 'path, way'. Skr. ghn- $\acute{a}nti$ 'they strike' ghan- \acute{a} - 'striking (orig. f. *ghvn- \acute{o} -, § 231) $gh\bar{a}$ -ti- $\check{\varsigma}$ 'a blow' (orig. f. *ghv-v-v- etc., see § 429 a. b.

Late Av. $\gamma = G\bar{a}p$. O.Pers. g, as $drao\gamma a = 0$.Pers. drauga'lie, untruth', see § 481.

Late Av. $v = G\bar{a}p$. gv. drvant- (read druvant-, see Bartholomae Handb. § 91a) = $G\bar{a}p$. drug-vant- 'deceitful, cunning, bad', rt. dhreygh-, cp. Bartholomae Bezzenb. Beitr. VII 187 f.

§ 454. Prim. Ar. jh. Skr. hán-ti Av. jainti 'strikes', conj. Skr. hán-a-ti Av. jan-aiti, orig. f. *ghen-ti, *ghen-e-ti, O.Pers. a-jan-am 'I struck' orig. f. *e-ghen-mm (Skr. perf. ja-ghán-a 'he struck' orig. f. *ghe-ghón-e, § 480), rt. ghen-, see § 453. Skr. raháyati Av. renjayeiti 'he hastens' orig. f. *longh-éieti : Gr. ελαφοό-ς etc., see §§ 429 a. c. 444 e. Skr. drúh-as, Av. Gāp. druj-ō pl. 'fiends' orig. f. *dhrugh-es, part. Skr. drúh-yant- Av. Gāp. drujyant- 'injuring craftily', O.Pers. indic. dūrūjīyāmīy (read durujyāmi; on the orthog. cp. pp. 25. 116), orig. f. 3. sg. pres. *dhrugh-ie-ti.

Late Av. ž. snaežaiti 'it snows' = Gr. $v\epsilon i \varphi \epsilon i$, rt. sneigh-, see § 423. Cp. §§ 478. 481.

Rem. Through new formations Ar. jh for gh and vice versa. Cp. §§ 448 rem. 451 rem. and 429 rem 1.

jh for gh. Skr. hatá- Av. O.Pers. jata- 'struck' for Skr. *yhatá-Iran. *gata- = Gr. $qa\tau \dot{o}$ -, Indg. *ghq-t \dot{o} -, likewise Skr. hanyátē Av. jan-yetē 'is struck' for Skr. *ghanyatē Av. *ganyetē, Indg. *ghq-ie-tai, op. O.Bulg. žīnja (§ 229). Skr. nom. sg. $dr\ddot{o}ha$ -s beside regular $dr\ddot{o}gha$ -s

'eraft, malevolence', Indg. *dhrougho-s; Av. 3. pl. druženti for regular *druventi (orig. f. *dhrugh-o-nti) after forms like družaiti (orig. f. *dhrughe-ti).

gh for jh. Skr. superl. drāghištha- beside regular Av. drājišta-, to positive Skr. dīrghá-s 'long', see § 453.

Armenian.

§ 455. Indg. q.

k, k. akn (gen. akan) 'eye, hole, louver': Lith. $ak\lambda$ -s 'eye' + Gr. $\delta\mu\mu\alpha$ 'eye' $\partial\pi\eta$ 'louver', see § 427a. ju-k-n 'fish' with suffix -qo-, § 419. lk-ane-m 'I leave': Gr. $\lambda\mu\mu\pi\acute{a}\nu\omega$ $\lambda\epsilon\acute{a}\pi\omega$ etc., see § 427a.

g after a nasal or liquid. hing (gen. hng-i-ç) 'five': Skr. pɨπ̄ca etc., see § 419. argel 'hindrance' argel-u-m 'I check, keep off': + Gr. ἀρεέω 'I ward off', Lat. arceō. gail (gen. gailoy) 'wolf' probably from *gulio- and this from *galgo- = Skr. vṛka-s O.Bulg. vlūkū; g-= y- § 162, -al- = -l- § 291. 1.

č fr. k before original e- and i-vowels (Hübschmann Ztschr. d. deutsch. morgenl. Ges. XXXV 172 f. Armen. St. I 66. 79). ač-k pl. (i-stem) 'eyes', cp. Gr. ὄσσε du. (§ 427 d. § 459), Lith. akt-s 'eye'. čor-k (gen. čor-i-c) 'four' fr. *qetuŏr- or *qetūr- (cp. §§ 483. 602): Skr. catvár-as etc., see § 419. ču 'a breaking up': Skr. cyáv-a-tē etc., see § 448.

§ 456. Indg. g. kin (gen. kn-oj) 'woman': O.Bulg. žena etc., see § 437a. ker (gen. keroy) 'food, meat', aor. keri 'I ate': Skr. girāmi etc., see § 428a. kov (gen. kovu) 'cow': Skr. gāū-š etc., see § 428a. Aor. e-kn 'he came' orig. f. *e-gem-t: Skr. á-gan etc., see § 421. erek 'evening': Skr. rájas- etc., see § 621.

§ 457. Indg. gh. gan (gen. gani) 'a beating, flogging', rt. ghen- 'to strike' (§ 429 a), probably to the rt. form ghun-, like Skr. ghan-á- 'killing' (§§ 232. 454). mēg (gen. migi) 'fog': Skr. mēghá-s 'cloud', Alban. miégule 'fog', O.Bulg. migla 'fog'. durgn (gen. drgan) 'potter's wheel': Gr. $\tau \varrho o \chi \acute{o} - \varsigma$ etc., see § 439.

j initially and ž medially from g before original e- and i-vowels (Hübschmann Ztschr. d. deutsch. morgenl. Ges. XXXV 173, Armen. Stud. I 66. 71. 79). jerm 'warm' jer 'warmth,

good weather': Gr. $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu \delta - \zeta \theta \epsilon' \rho \sigma_S$ etc., see §§ 423. 429 b. $i \tilde{z}$ (gen. $i \tilde{z} i$) 'viper': Skr. $\acute{a} h i - \tilde{z}$ Av. $a \tilde{z} i - \tilde{z}$ 'snake, dragon'.

Albanian.

§ 458. Indg. q. krimb-i krym 'worm': Skr. kými-š etc., see § 436. piék 'I roast, bake': Skr. pácāmi etc., see §§ 427 a. 431 a. ġak 'blood': Lith. sakaī pl. 'resin' O.Bulg. sokŭ 'juice'. pik 'I make bitter, salt': Lith. pìkta-s 'angry, bad', Pruss. popaikā 'he deceives' + Gr. πισοό-ς 'sharp, keen, piercing, passionate, harsh' O.Ir. oech 'enemy', Goth. fáih 'a wronging, deception' OHG. gi-fēh 'hostile'.

§ 459. Indg. g. gur 'rock, stone': Skr. girî-ş 'mountain', Lith. giria gire 'forest' O.Bulg. gora 'mountain'. gist 'finger': Skr. anguṣṭha-s, see § 450. l'ig-u 'bad, lean': Lith. ligù 'illness' + Gr. ôligo-ş 'little'.

§ 460. Indg. gh. 'gendem' 'am found' : O.Bulg. gadaja etc., see § 425. šteg-u 'entrance' : Skr. stigh-nu-tē etc., see § 422. diek (dieg-) 'I burn' : Lith. degù 'I burn' etc., see § 439.

Baltic-Slavonic.

§ 461. Indg. q, g, gh were h and g (the latter = g and gh) in the period of the Balt.-Slav. primitive community.

These sounds remained in general unchanged in Lith.

In prim. Slavonic k, g became \check{c} , $d\check{z}$ before the prim. Indg. sonantal e- and i-vowels as well as before \check{i} +nas. and \check{i} +liq as continuation of Indg. nas. and liqu. sonans (§§ 248. 302) and $k\check{z}$, $g\check{z}$ likewise became \check{c} , $d\check{z}$. After the completion of this process, but still in prim. Slavonic, $o\check{z}$ (= Indg. $o\check{z}$, $a\check{z}$) became \check{c} , and this new palatal vowel now changed preceding k, g into c, dz; contemperaneous with the latter change was the transition of the then newly arisen $k\check{z}$, $g\check{z}$ into c, dz. Cp. § 84 pp. 81 f., § 147 p. 133. Further kt became $t\chi'$ and ks χ (ch) in prim. Slav.

§ 462. Prim. Balt.-Slav. k = Indg. q.

Lith. kà-s 'who?' katrà-s 'which of two?', O.Buig. kă-to 'who?' ko-toryjĭ 'which': Skr. ká-s etc., see § 419. Lith. kãrta-s

O.Bulg. kratŭ (fr. *kortŭ, see § 281) 'time': Skr. sa-kŷt 'once' Lith. ãt-lēka-s O.Bulg. otŭ-lěkŭ 'remnant, rest': + Gr. λοιπό-ς etc., see § 427a. Lith. velkù O.Bulg. vlěką 'I draw, drag': Gr. ξλιω 'I draw' (cp. § 164 rem.). Lith.' kraŭja-s O.Bulg. krŭv-ĭ 'blood': Skr. kravíš- etc., see § 418.

Prim. Slav. $\check{c}=k$ (§ 461). O.Bulg. $\check{c}etyrije$ Lith. keturi four': Skr. $catv\check{a}r$ -as etc., see § 419. Voc. sg. O.Bulg. $vl\check{u}\check{c}e$ Lith. $vilk\grave{e}$ to nom. $vl\check{u}k\check{u}$ $vi\check{l}ka$ -s 'wolf: Skr. vfka-s etc., see §§ 427 c. 444 a. 455. O.Bulg. $pe\check{c}et\check{i}$ 'cooks' (1. sg. peka) = Skr. $p\acute{a}cati$ Lat. coquit, Indg. "peqeti. $\check{c}ara$ 'incantatio' fr. " $k\check{e}r\check{a}$ (§ 76): to Lith. $ker\acute{e}ti$ 'to enchant'. $\check{c}ap\check{u}$ 'bee' fr. " $k\check{e}p\check{u}$ (§ 76), probably to Gr. $x\eta q\dot{\eta}v$ 'a drone'. $\check{c}\check{i}$ -to 'what?': Skr. ci-d etc., see § 427 b. $\check{c}r\check{i}n\check{u}$ Pruss. kirsna- 'black': Skr. $kr\check{s}n\acute{a}$ - 'black', Indg. "qrsno- (cp. § 302 rem. 1). Prim. Slav. $\check{c}=k\check{i}$. pri- $t\check{u}\check{c}a$ 'likeness' fr. "- $t\check{u}k$ - $j\check{a}$. Cp. § 147 p. 133.

Prim. Slav. c=k (§ 461). $c\check{e}na$ 'price, honour' (Lith. kaina according to Mikuckij): Av. $kaen\bar{a}$ - etc., see § 427 a. Loc. pl. $vl\check{u}c\check{e}ch\check{u}$ ($vl\check{u}k\check{u}$ 'wolf') = Skr. $vfk\bar{e}\check{s}u$, cpf. *ulgoi-su, cp. Gr. Hom. $h\acute{v}xoi$ - σ i. Prim. Slav. $c=k\dot{i}$. $jun\check{v}c\check{i}$ 'young bullock' fr. * $\check{i}u\check{u}h\check{i}$ i. Cp. § 84 p. 81 f., § 147 p. 134.

This same change of k to c was repeated in the combination ku before palatal vowels in the course of the individual dialects (cp. § 427 b). O.Bulg. indic. cvita inf. cvisti 'to blossom, O.Croatian cvasti (= *cvisti, a new formation after the indic. $cvat\bar{c}m$), Little Russ. cvysty Great Russ. cvesti (\check{c} transferred from $cvet\tilde{u}$?) beside Low Sorabian $kvi\acute{s}\acute{c}$ Czech kvisti O.Pol. $kwi\acute{s}\acute{c}$ 'to blossom', prim. Slav. * $kv\bar{u}st\bar{\iota}$. Cp. $(d)zver\bar{\iota}$ § 464.

Prim. Slav. $t_{\cancel{k}}' = kt$ through the intermediate stage $\cancel{k}'t$. This sound-group thus fell together with Indg. $t_{\cancel{k}}$ and had the same further development as the latter. $\cancel{k}'t$ became $\emph{s}t$ in the Bulgarian branch. O.Bulg. $no\emph{s}t\emph{i}$: Lith. $nakt\emph{i}$ - \emph{s} 'night', Skr. $n\emph{a}kt\emph{i}$ - \emph{s} . $v\emph{l}\emph{e}\emph{s}t\emph{i}$ 'to draw' sup. $v\emph{l}\emph{e}\emph{s}t\emph{i}$, to indic. $v\emph{l}\emph{e}\emph{k}a$, ep. Lith. inf. $v\emph{i}\emph{l}kt\emph{i}$. $t\emph{e}\emph{s}t\emph{i}$ 'to run', to indic. $t\emph{e}\emph{k}a$. $v\emph{r}\emph{e}\emph{s}t\emph{i}$ 'to throw' to indic. $v\emph{r}\emph{i}\emph{g}a$. Cp. § 147 p. 132 f.

Rem. That the stage $\chi't$ lay between kt and $t\chi'$, is confirmed by the inf. $vr\check{e}\check{s}ti$ 'to thresh' (indic. $vr\check{e}h-q=*wys-\bar{a}-m$, rt. yers-, cp. O.Lat. $vor\bar{v}$

'I draw through, sweep' = * $y_i s - \acute{o}$), since it must be traced back to prim. Slav. * $y_i er \chi' ti$; it is uncertain whether χ' had arisen regularly in this form or whether it had been transferred from the indic. Serv. $vrij\acute{e}\acute{e}i$ $vri\acute{e}i$ beside O.Bulg. $vr\check{e}\check{s}ti$ shows the falling together with original kt and $t\acute{\chi}$. Cp. § 588 rem. 1.

Prim. Slav. χ (ch) = ks (except before t, see 2. pl. těste § 545) through the intermediate stage $\chi\chi$. We leave it undecided whether the course was $ks-k\chi-\chi\chi$ or $ks-\chi s-\chi\chi$. těchŭ 'I ran', orig. f. * $t\bar{t}g$ -s-o-m, pres. teką. běchŭ 'I save, run away with' orig. f. * $bh\bar{t}q$ -s-o-m, inf. běgati, rt. bheg- (§ 463). On the change of the ch to š in the 3. pl. těšť běšť op. § 588, 1.

ks remained in Baltic. Lith fut. liksiu, to $l\ddot{c}k\dot{u}$ 'I leave' (cp. Skr. $r\ddot{c}k\dot{s}y\dot{a}mi$, Gr. $\lambda\epsilon l\psi\omega$), $b\ddot{c}ksiu$, to $b\ddot{e}gu$ 'I run, flee'. Pruss. lauxnos pl. 'constellations': Av. $raox\dot{s}nu$ - 'shining'. Hence Indg. qs and $\hat{k}s$ did not fall together in Baltic-Slavonic, see § 414.

§ 463. Prim. Balt.-Slav. q = Indg. q.

Lith. gìria 'forest', O.Bulg. gora 'mountain': Skr. gir?-ṣ̄ etc., see § 459. Lett. gũrs 'cow', O.Bulg. govçdo 'bullock': Skr. gāú-ṣ̄ etc., see § 428 a. Lith. bĕgu 'I run, flee' boginù 'I save, run away with something', O.Bulg. bĕgŭ 'flight': + Gr. φέβομωι 'I flee' φόβο-ς 'flight, fear', rt. bheg-.

Prim. Slav. $d\check{z}=g$ (§ 461). Thence O.Bulg. \check{z} . $\check{z}erav\check{\imath}$, Lith. $g\acute{e}rv\acute{e}$ 'crane': Gr. $\gamma\acute{e}\rho avo$ - ς etc., see § 420. 3. sg. $vr\check{\imath}\check{z}et\check{v}$ (beside 1. sg. $vr\check{\imath}ga$ 'I throw'), cp. Goth. 3. sg. $vairpi\check{p}$ 'throws', rt. μerg -, § 444 a. Voc. $bo\check{z}e$, to nom. $bog\check{u}$ 'god' adj. $bo\check{z}\check{\imath}sk\check{u}$ 'divine': Skr. $bh\acute{a}ga$ -s etc., see § 450. $\check{z}aba$ 'frog' fr. * $g\acute{e}ba$ (§ 76) Pruss. gabawo 'toad': OMG. quappa Du. kwab 'eel-pout'. po- $\check{z}ar\check{u}$ 'incendium' fr. *po- $g\check{e}r\check{u}$ (§ 76) beside $\check{z}era$ - $t\check{u}k\check{u}$ 'heap of coals' $gor\check{e}ti$ 'to burn': Lith. $g\check{u}ra$ -s 'vapour', Skr. $gharm\acute{a}$ -s 'glowing fire'. $\check{z}iv\check{u}$ Lith. $g\acute{u}ra$ -s 'alive': Skr. $j\bar{\iota}v\acute{a}$ -s etc., see § 421. $\check{z}r\check{\imath}ny$ 'mill' Lith. girnos pl. 'mill-stones, mill' (§ 303): + Goth. asilu-quairnu-s, see § 442. $\check{z}ir\check{u}$ '1 swallow': Skr. $gir\check{u}mi$ $gil\check{u}mi$, Indg. *gqr- \acute{o} (§ 305). Prim. Slav. $d\check{z}=g\check{\iota}$. $oste\check{z}a$ 'chlamys' fr. *o-steg- $\check{\iota}\bar{u}$ beside $osteg\check{u}$ 'vestis': Lith. $st\acute{o}ga$ -s, Lat. $teg\bar{o}$ toga etc., see § 420. Cp. § 147 p. 133.

Prim. Slav. dz = g (§ 461). Still dz in the oldest O.Bulg. monuments, in the later almost exclusively z (Miklosich Vergl. Gramm. I² 251 ff.). Loc. pl. $bo(d)z\check{e}ch\check{u}$ ($bog\check{u}$ 'god') = Skr. $bh\acute{a}g\bar{e}\check{s}u$ cpf. * $bhago\check{s}su$. Opt. 2. pl. $vr\check{\iota}(d)z\check{e}te$ ($vr\check{\iota}ga$ 'I throw') orig. f. *urgoite, cp. Goth. $va\acute{u}rp\acute{a}i\check{p}$. Sure examples seem to be wanting for prim. Slav. $dz = g\check{\iota} = \text{Indg. } g\check{\iota}$. Cp. § 84 p. 81 f., § 147. p. 133.

§ 464. Prim. Balt.-Slav. g = Indg. gh.

Lith. geniù 'I chop off (branches)' genù 'I drive (cattle)', ganaũ 'I tend (cattle)', O.Bulg. iz-gonũ 'a driving away, chasing': Skr. hán-ti 'strikes' etc., see § 429 a. Lith. grūda-s 'corn' grūdžiu 'I pound', O.Bulg. gruda 'clod': + OHG. gruzzi O.Icel. graut 'grit' OHG. grioz OS. griot 'sand, gravel', rt. ghreud-, O.Bulg. gostĭ 'guest, companion, friend': + Lat. hosti-s Goth. gasts, see § 422. Lith. snēga-s O.Bulg. snēgǔ 'snow': + Gr. v'φα etc., see § 423. Lith. angì-s 'snake', O.Bulg. agorištĭ 'eel': Skr. áhi-š etc., see § 433 a. O.Bulg. lçga 'I lie down', adj. sa-logǔ 'consors tori' + Gr. λέχος α-λοχο-ς etc., see § 425.

Prim. Slav. $d\hat{z} = g$ (§ 461). Thence O.Bulg. \check{z} . $\check{z}injq$ 'I cut (fruit), reap' inf. $\check{z}_{i}ti$, to Lith. geniù (s. above), cp. Skr. $hany\acute{a}t\bar{e}$ (§ 454 rem.). $sn\acute{e}\check{z}in\check{u}$ 'snowy', to $sn\check{e}\check{g}\check{u}$, s. above. $sa-lo\check{z}e$ voc. to $salog\check{u}$, s. above. Prim. Slav. $d\check{z} = g\underline{i}$. $l\check{u}\check{z}\check{z}$ 'lying, false' fr. * $l\check{u}g-i\check{z}$, to $l\check{u}gati$ 'to lie': OHG. liogan 'to lie', rt. leugh- $lo\check{z}e$ n. 'couch, bed' fr. *log-ie, to lega, s. above. Cp. § 147 p. 133.

Prim. Slav. dz = g (§ 461), O.Bulg. dz, later z (§ 463). $(d)z\ell l\bar{u}$ 'vehemens': Lith. $gail\hat{u}$ -s 'passionate', OHG. geil 'extravagant, petulant, wanton'. $sn\ell(d)zi$ pl. to $sn\ell g\bar{u}$ 'snow', orig. f. *snoighoi. $l\ell(d)z\ell te$ 2. pl. opt. to $l\ell g\bar{u}$. Prim. Slav. dz = gi. $s\ell u'(d)zu$ 'way' fr. *stig-i\bar{u}\$, to stigna 'I come from': Skr. $stighnut\bar{e}$ etc., see § 422. Cp. § 84 p. 81 f., § 147 p. 133.

The same change of g to tz was repeated in the combination gy before palatal vowels in the course of the individual dialects. O.Bulg. (d)zvěrĭ 'animal, wild animal' fr. prim. Slav. *gyěrĭ: + Gr. $\theta \dot{\eta} \varrho$ Lat. fera, see § 429b. Cp. cvisti § 462.

§ 465. Prim. Slav. sk, zg before palatal vowels and before i. In the period of prim. Slav., in which k, g became $\cdot \cdot \cdot$

tś (č), dž; sk, zg correspondingly passed into stš, zdź, hence štš, ždž, further O.Bulg. št žd. Cp. § 147 p. 133 f. Suffix -qo- seems to be contained in O.Bulg. -ište- fr. isk--je-, a further formation of -isko-, e. g. ognište n. 'hearth, fire-side' (ep. the primary form Pol. ognisko n.). moždanŭ 'having marrow, marrowy' fr. *mozgěnŭ (ep. běžati 'to flee' fr. *běgěti, § 76) from mozgǔ 'marrow'; whether we have here Indg. zg or zgh, is doubtful, see § 450.

Retrospect of the history of the velar explosives.

§ 466. Words containing Indg. velars show these partly with and partly without labialisation in Greek, Ital., Kelt. and The y-afterclap, brought along from prehistoric times, could disappear in the separate languages by certain fixed soundlaws. But we thought that such a disappearance might only be assumed in such forms, as had parallels which were etymologically related, and which actually occurred with labialisation either in the same language or in another of the y-languages, e. g. in Goth. kaúru-s 'heavy' (§ 444b). We thought that it must be left undecided whether labialisation was ever present in those words, which certainly had an Indg. velar, but do not appear in any of the y-languages with labialisation. dropping of y can be assumed in some of these words, without the sound-laws of the separate languages standing in the way, thus e. g. in Gr. κύτο-ς Lat. cuti-s OHG. hūt 'skin, hide' (cp. Pruss. keuto 'skin', Lith. kiautai pl. 'corn-hulls, -husks') from the fact that u disappeared before u in each of these languages (§§ 427 c. 428 c. 429 c. 431 b. 444 b). In other cases the known sound-laws of the separate languages do not furnish any positive support for the assumption of the disappearance of μ . But soundlaws may have operated in prehistoric times, which brought about the loss of u (e. g. perhaps before Indg. a, \bar{a} , as in Gr. καρκίνος: Skr. karkata-s, and if u or u directly preceded the velar, as in Gr. $\zeta v \gamma o - v$: Skr. $y u g \acute{a} - m$?) and the activity of which may have become so concealed by the later development that it is no longer possible for us to fix them.

Of late years the question has several temes been raised

(most recently by Bersu p. 4 ff.) as to whether the words, in which the velars appear in Greek etc. with labialisation, were also once spoken in Ar., Armen., Alban. and Baltic-Slavonic with labialised q, q, qh, or whether the labialisation was an innovation of the y-languages — ultimately an innovation which took place in one portion of the prim. Indg. language-terrain. But nothing has hitherto been adduced which admits of a sure decision being formed either on the one side or the other. At all events y may equally well have disappeared from those languages in which labialisation does not occur, as it did e. g. in Irish (cethir beside O.Cymr. petguar 'four') and in French (quatre pronounce katy from Lat. quattuor).

Interchange of the palatal and velar explosives.

- § 467. In Aryan, Armenian and Baltic-Slavonic we occasionally find a guttural explosive or its regular successor, where we should expect the descendant of an Indg. palatal explosive, i. e. spirant or affricata, and conversely. Examples from the first and last named language-groups, have been collected by J. Schmidt in Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV 114 ff. 125 ff. A final answer to the question, how this interchange is to be explained, must be left for the future. For the present the following seems to to me probable.
- 1. In one portion of the cases the mixing of the two classes of consonants was caused by form-transference.

This is seen clearest of all in Sanskrit, where certain sounds, which were originally different, regularly fell together: $k \tilde{s} = q s$ and $k \tilde{s}$, j = g and g, h = g h and g h.

Thus bhi sákti 'he heals' bhi sáktama- superl. from bhi sáj- 'healing', with kt instead of ξt on account of 2. sg. bhi sá $k\xi i$ nom. sg. bhi sá $k\xi i$ (fr. *bhi sá ξi , ξ 647, 7) loc. pl. bhi sá ξi , ep. Av. ba e ξaz -a- Skr. $bh\bar{e}\xi aj$ -a- 'medicine'. After the analogy of $ty\bar{a}ga$ - 'abandonment, resignation' beside $tyajat\bar{e}$ (rt. tjeg-) etc. was formed $y\bar{a}ga$ - for older $y\bar{a}ja$ - 'an offering' beside $yajat\bar{e}$ (rt. tjag-), correspondingly after ni- $d\bar{a}gha$ -s 'heat' beside dah- (rt. dhegh-) etc., sa- $d\bar{e}gha$ -s for older sa- $d\bar{e}ha$ -s 'a cementing to-

gether' (rt. dheigh-). With misplaced g also bhárga-s'splendour' (cp. Av. brāzaiti 'beams, radiates'), nir-mārgá- 'a stripping off' (cp. indic. 3. sg. máršti), sargá- 'gutter' (cp. part. sṛṣṭri-). Further part. digdhá- 'besmeared' for regular *dīḍha-.

The converse transference occurred less often. Thus $dr\bar{o}dhar$ for and beside $dr\bar{o}gdhar$ - part. fut. from druh- 'injure', rt. dhreygh-1).

In Armenian the st in dustr 'daughter', which does not agree with the root-final velar of the other languages 2), may have come into existence through the influence of ustr 'son' (etymologically unexplained), with which according to Hübschmann Arm. St. I 47 it is mostly used.

- 2. Where k and g appear in Baltic-Slavonic in place of sibilants, they are due to a borrowing from the circle of languages with guttural explosives; this borrowing may partly be dated back to the period of the Indg. prim. community, if by § 380 we already arscribe to this the difference of articulation, which permits the Indg. languages to be divided into two great groups. Thus O.Bulg. svekrŭ 'socer', svekry 'socrus' beside Lith. szeszura-s etc., which point to Indg. *syekuro- *syekrū- (§ 381), O.Bulg. gasĭ beside Lith. žāsì-s etc., Lith. klausaŭ 'I obey' beside O.Bulg. sluchŭ 'hearing, obedient' Skr. śrōšamāṇa-s 'gratifying', Pruss. pecku Lith. pēku-s 'cattle' beside Skr. pášu etc., Lith. smakrà 'chin' beside Skr. śmášru- 'moustache' (to which O.Ir. smech 'chin'), Lith. garāda-s 'hurdle' O.Bulg. gradŭ 'inclosure, town', beside Lith. žardi-s 'pasture-ground' (cp. § 389).
- 3. In one portion of the cases, which are generally classed under this category, we have different roots. Thus e. g. we separate gnā- *genā- 'wife' (Skr. gnā- etc., s. §§ 428 a. 437a) from rt. *gen- 'gignere'; Skr. áhi-ṣ 'snake' Av. aži-ṣ etc. (§ 433 a) from rt. angh- 'to lace, string'; Skr. mēghá- 'cloud' from mēha- Av. maeza- 'urine'. Such like roots, which are

¹⁾ With these Skr. new formations cp. § 480 rem. 4.

²⁾ The h in Skr. duhitár- is the regular representative of prim. Ar. jh = Indg. gh by § 445, as must be remarked because of J. Schmidt, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV 68. 116. 122.

similar in sound and meaning, but nevertheless not related ety-mologically, occur indeed often enough elsewhere, as e. g. Skr. skambh- and stambh- 'fasten, support', yeyh- and yedh- 'lead'.

Rem. The manner in which J. Schmidt (in the article quoted above) attempts to explain the interchange of the two classes of consonants, is in my opinion by no means convincing.

B. THE EXPLOSIVES AFTER THEIR FORM OF ARTI-CULATION.

Primitive Indg. period.

§ 468. The Indg. prim. language had, as we have seen in § 322, four forms of articulation: tenues, voiced mediae, aspirated tenues and aspirated voiced mediae, e. g. t, d, th, dh. Examples for the two first and for the last class have been given in §§ 324—326. 348—350. 381—383. 418—423.

We have not yet given examples for the tenues aspiratae in section A. And, owing to their comparatively small number and the peculiar difficulties with which the question of their regular representation in the various languages is surrounded, it seems appropriate not to treat this form of articulation in this section either, in each of the separate language-groups, but to treat it collectively at the end (§ 553), in order that everything, which belongs here from the various languages, may be brought together under one head. Before then however we shall however have to discuss the history of the prim. Ar. tenues asp. in § 475.

- § 469. Several of the changes in the form of articulation, which are exhibited by the Indg. explosives and which in many cases are regarded as having taken place within each development, had in all probability already arisen in the period of the Indg. prim. community. Eight cases are to be taken into consideration.
- 1. The change of mediae into tenues before voiceless explosives and spirants. Indg. *juqtó- 'yoked' i. e. *jug+to- from rt. jeug-: Skr. yuktá- Gr. ζευπτό-ς Lat. jūnctu-s Lith. jūnkta-s. Indg. *petsú loc. pl. fr. *ped- 'foot': Skr. patsú Gr. ποσσί ποσί.

- 2. The change of tenues into mediae before voiced explosives and spirants. Indg. -bd- weakest form of ped-: Skr. upa-bd-á- 'a pounding, trampling' Gr. ɛni-βδ-aı 'day after the feast' (§ 325). We assume *dndbhis, *uiĝbhis, *gbhis as the Indg. prim. forms for the instr. pl. Skr. dadbhiş (dat-dant-'tooth'), vidbhiş (viś- 'clan, village community', cp. § 404, 3), rghbiş (rc-'hymn'). This assimilation may also have extended itself to double consonants, as Skr. instr. pl. nádbhiş (napt- napāt- 'off-spring') fr. *nabdbhiş, Indg. *nebdbhis. Cp. also Av. instr. pl. azd-bīs fr. ast- 'bone': Gr. ἀστέο-ν and Indg. zd from sd in *z-dhi 'be' imper. fr. rt. es-, *se-zd- perf. st. from rt. sed- and similar forms, § 589, 1.
- 3. The side by side existence of Gr. $\xi\beta\delta o\mu o \xi$ and O.Bulg. $sedmyj\tilde{\iota}$ 'septimus' (§ 547 rem. 2) permits our assuming that in Indg. there stood beside *septyn the ordinal *septamó- or *sebdmó-. In that case Gr. $\delta\gamma\delta oo s$ would be a special Greek transformation of $\delta\kappa\tau$ after the analogy of $\xi\beta\delta$ -. Similarly Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 321.
- 4. t before t th and d before d dh. Geminated explosives were probably not spoken here, but affricata + explosive. We write $t^st(h)$ and $d^sd(h)$ but at the same time readily admit that it might perhaps have been more correct to have written $t\bar{p}t(h)$ and $d^{\bar{d}}d(h)$. For the literature on this point see Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 560°). E. g. *set*tó- (part. fr. rt. sed- 'sit'): Skr. sattá- Av. hasta- Lat. ob-sessu-s; *ded*dhi (that is, *de-d+dhi, 2. sg. imper. of the reduplicated pres. of $d\bar{o}$ 'give'): Skr. $d\bar{e}hi$ Av. dazdi.
- 5. Aspirates probably lost their aspiration before aspirates. We regard e. g. *iudbhis as the Indg. prim. form of Skr. instr. pl. yudbhiş (yudh- 'fight, battle'). If Gr. zύσθο-ς 'a hollow, pudenda muliebria', Lat. custōs, Goth. huzd 'treasure', which

¹⁾ The objection raised by Bartholomae in his recent work Ar. Forsch. II 79 against what we have stated above for the Indg. prim. language, is not valid. If ks became k before explosives, it by no means follows that the affricata ts (t^s) must under the same conditions have become t. $k\chi$, pf, not ks, ps correspond to ts.

belong to rt. keydh- in Gr. $z\epsilon\dot{v}\theta\epsilon\nu$ Ags. $h\bar{y}dan$ 'to hide', were an extension of this rt. by means of the 'root-determinative' dh as we assume in §§ 494. 507. 536., then kud^zdh - (s. above 4) was probably spoken in prim. Indg.

- 6. How was the combination which must be presupposed etymologically media asp. + t or s spoken at the time immediately preceding the disintegration of the Indg. prim. community? What was e. g. the Indg. prim. form of Av. dug^edar-Lith. dukter- 'daughter', which, on etymological principles, would have to be put down as *dhughter-? A positive answer has not yet been found. We leave out the question in our exposition of the history of the Indg. forms of articulation in the separate languages and discuss it at the end in § 552. In the section on Aryan § 482 we shall however previously treat the special history of media + media asp., which can be put down with certainty as the prim. Ar. order of articulation.
- 7. Change between tenuis and media at the end of roots, e. g. sqap-: sqab- in Gr. σκαπάνη 'spade', O.Lat. scaprēs: Lat. scabō, Lith. skabù-s 'cutting' (§ 345); pāk-: pāg- in Lat. pāx pācis Skr. pāśa-s 'loop, cord': Gr. πήγνυμι 'I fasten', Lat. pangō; (s)pek-: (s)peg- in Skr. páśyāmi 'I see', Lat. -spicio, OHG. spehōn 'to spy' spāhi 'prudent, wise': O.Icel. spakr 'prudent, sensible', O.Bulg. paziti 'attendere', refl. with se 'cavere'. The tenuis is probably rightly considered as the older articulation. The circumstances, under which this sound-modification made its appearance, still remain undetermined. Cp. p. 188 f.

Probably here also belong irregularities in the form of articulation, which occur in other positions than at the end of roots, e. g. Skr. daśat-: Gr. δεκαδ- 'decade' (cp. § 238).

S. Change between media asp. and media. stembh: stembin Skr. stabhnómi 'I fasten' prop', perf. tastámbha, Gr. ἀ-στεμφής 'unpressed' στέμφυλο-ν 'a grape or olive already pressed': Gr. στέμβω 'I shake, tread on', OHG. stampfōn 'to stamp' stumpf 'stump' (Lith. stambra-s 'trunk, stem', stimbra-s 'stump of the tail, stump' may belong to either root-form). bhudh: bhud-(weak rt. forms) in Skr. budhná- 'bottom', Gr. πυθμήν 'bottom,

end of a root': Gr. πύνδαξ 'bottom' (with π- for q-, like πίστις for *φιστις etc., see § 496), Ags. botm O.Icel. botn 'bottom' (Lat. fundu-s and O.Ir. bond bonn 'solea' are ambiguous). meĝh: meĝ- in Skr. mahán 'great': Skr. majmán- 'greatness', Gr. μέγας Goth. mikils 'great'. Skr. ahám: Gr. ἐγιά, Lat. ego Goth. ik T. The media asp. is possibly the older sound. The circumstances, under which the modification made its appearance, are also here undetermined. In most of the cases a nasal is in the vicinity. Cp. Arkiv for nordisk Filologi I 176 rem.

Rem. J. von Fierlinger Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 478, following up J. Schmidt's theory, conjectures that in those cases where it is a question of $\widehat{gh}:g$, 'the sound was neither g^{th} $[\widehat{gh}]$ nor g^{t} $[\widehat{g}]$ in the parent language, but a voiced palatal spirant γ '. So long as a more special (urgently needed) investigation into the whole question of the change in the form of articulation in the Indg. prim. period is still wanting, it seems to me more prudent not to separate the change $\widehat{gh}:\widehat{g}$ from the cases bh:b and dh:d. With these it is hardly possible to start from a spirant, which is homogeneous with the ' γ '.

Aryan.

§ 470. The difference between the Indg. forms of articulation was still preserved in the period of the Aryan prim. community.

The palatals \hat{k} , \hat{g} , $\hat{g}h$ appeared as \check{s} -sounds: \dot{s} , \dot{z} , $\dot{z}h$ (§ 396). Indg. $d^zd(h)$ (§ 469, 4) probably then became zd(h), e. g. *dazdhi = Indg. *ded^zdhi 'give' imper., and thus fell entirely together with Indg. zd(h) (§§ 590. 591). See § 476.

The order med. asp. +t, s — which is etymologically to be postulated — was represented by med. (or voiced spirant) +dh, zh (§§ 469, 6. 552): bdh, d^zdh , gdh, zdh and bzh, dzh, gzh, zzh. For the further history of these combinations in Aryan see § 482.

The Sanskrit separate development left the Indg. forms of articulation separate.

In Iranian the mediae asp. became mediae and žh became ž. These sounds thus fell entirely together with the Indg. mediae.

§ 471. Tenues. Prim. Ar. p, t, k c, $\dot{s} = \text{Indg. } p$, t, q, \hat{k} .

Skr. Av. O.Pers. pat- 'fall, fly', Indg. *pet-. §§ 327. 351. Skr. Av. O.Pers. interrog. stem ka-, Indg. qo-. Skr. Av. ca O.Pers. cā 'and', Indg. *ye. §§ 445-448.

Skr. áśra- Av. O.Pers. aspa- 'horse', Indg. *eĥyo-. §§ 396 -- 401.

k seems to have disappeared in the prim. Ar. period in Skr. thrya- Av. tāirya- 'quartus' fr. * ktur-, to Indg. *qetyer-Skr. catrar-as, ep. Av. ā-xtāirya- 'every fourth time' (ep. § 646); so also t in *napt-su Av. nafšu loc. pl. from Ar. napāt- napt- 'offspring' (s. Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 600).

§ 472. Further shiftings of the prim. Ar. form of articulation did not take place in Sanskrit.

Rem. In forms like vagn'a-'tone, sound' (vak-vac-), rgm'a-'praising' (avk-ave-), rgm'a-'wealthy, benevolent' (r'ak-v'ae), v'agm'a-'wealthy, benevolent' (r'ak-v'ae), v'ae-v'ae) u had net regularly arisen from k (-kn-, -km-, -kv-remained, ep. r'eknas-'wealth, riches', rukm'a-'shining', pak'a-'ripe',) but was borrowed partly from other forms of the same roots as instr. $v\~agbh\'u\'s$, rgbh'u's, 2. sg. imper. r'ae u'ae from forms of roots with original u'ae u'ae (u'ae) as u'ae 'sharp' rt. u'ae (u'ae) u'ae from forms of roots with original u'ae). Similar occurrences in Gr. see §§ 486 rem. 495 rem.

- § 473. In Iranian p, t, k, c were only retained before vowels and after s, \check{s} . Otherwise they became spirants before consonants. This change took place before \check{i} , u, after these consonantal vowels had become spirantal (§§ 127. 161).
- 1. Prim. Ar. p. Av. O.Pers. fra- 'before, fore': Skr. pra-Gr. $\pi o o'$, Indg. *pro. Av. er'exifya- 'stretching itself, falcon': Skr. rjipyā- 'stretching itself, seizing on the wing', Armen. arciv 'eagle' (§§ 291, 1. 330). Av. $\bar{a}fant$ 'aquosus' from prim. Ar. * $\bar{a}p$ -yant- (§ 159 p. 143) to $\bar{a}p$ (acc. $\bar{a}pem$ nom. $\bar{a}f\ddot{s}$) 'water': Skr. ap- $\bar{a}p$ 'water'.

Av. -pt- beside -fdr- fr. -ptr- (2.) and beside Pers. -ft- is strange. hapta 'seven': Skr. saptá. kerepta- 'put in order, made right': klptá-. Prim. Iran. -ft- probably became -pt-, cp. O.Icel. epter fr. efter 'after' etc. (Noreen Aisl. Gramm. § 183).

2. Prim. Ar. t. Av. pwam O.Pers. pwām (pw-=pv-) 'thee': Skr. tvām. Av. 'pyejah- n. 'need, danger': Skr. tyájas-. Av. mipra- 'contract': Skr. mitrá-. Av. šyaopna- 'deed, work': Skr. cyāutná-.

In O.Pers. pr, py passed into \ddot{s} , $\ddot{s}y$. $\ddot{s}i$ - 'three': Av. pri-Skr. tri- (§ 261). $ha\ddot{s}iya$ - (- $\ddot{s}iy$ - = - $\ddot{s}y$ -) 'true': Av. $ha\ddot{p}ya$ -, Skr. $saty\acute{a}$ -.

Prim. Ar. Skr. $tk = \text{Av. } pk. \ apka$ - 'dress': Skr. atka- ta-pk-us- weak st. of the part. perf. act. to tacaiti 'runs'.

Prim. Ar. tc (Skr. cc) = Av. sc O.Pers. sc. Av. yas-ca 'quod-que', also inexactly written yapca (according to the etymology: yap+ca): Skr. yac-ca, prim. Ar. *iatca Indg. *iotqe, *iod qe. O.Pers. aniyas-ciy 'anything else': Skr. anyac-cid prim. Ar. *anyatcid. Cp. Kelt. Germ. sk fr. tk, §§ 516. 527.

Prim. Ar. ts became s in Av. (O.Pers.) through the intermediate stage ss. Av. masya- 'fish': Skr. mátsya-. Av. $G\bar{a}p$. loe. pl. drug- $vas\bar{u}$ fr. drug-vant- 'lying, false', cp. Skr. $k\acute{e}\acute{s}a$ -vatsu fr. $k\acute{e}\acute{s}a$ -vant- 'having a mane'. Av. nom. sg. a-mer- eta - $t\bar{a}s$ 'immortality' fr. *- $t\bar{u}t$ -s, cp. acc. sg. amer- $^etat\bar{u}t$ -em. Correspondingly Indg. $t^st(h) = \text{prim. Ar. } tst(h)$ (§ 469, 4) became st in Av. and O.Pers. Av. ava-pasti- \check{s} 'a falling down': Skr. $patt\acute{t}$ - \check{s} , prim. Ar. *patsti- \check{s} , Indg. *pet- sti -s, rt. pet-. Av. $a\check{s}avastemu$ - superl. fr. $a\check{s}a$ -vant- 'pious, holy', cp. Skr. vat-tama-. Av. ustema- 'utmost': Skr. $uttam\acute{a}$ -. Av. $G\bar{a}p$. 2. sg. perf. $v\bar{o}ist\bar{a}$ 'knowest': Skr. $v\acute{e}ttha$ Gr. $o\acute{l}\sigma\theta a$, Indg. * $uo\acute{l}^stha$, rt. $u\acute{e}id$ -. O.Pers. a-yastar- 'possessor', to Skr. \bar{a} -yat- 'attain, reach'.

Rem. 1. Observe that this Iran. st also appears after i and u and their diphthongs, as in vista— Skr. vitta—, $v\bar{v}ist\bar{a}$ — Skr. vitta—, $v\bar{v}ist\bar{a}$ — Skr. vitta—, vitta—,

- Rem. 2. Indg. -st and Indg. - $t^{8}t$ remained separate in Av. also when final. The former appears as -s (after a-vowels), the latter as -st, e. g. 3. sg. imperf. $\bar{a}s$ 'erat': Skr. Ved. $\acute{a}s$ prim. Ar. * $\bar{a}st$ Indg. * $\bar{e}st$ (Gr. Dor. $\bar{f}(s)$), 3. sg. imperf. $h\bar{a}sst$ fr. rt. sed 'sit' fr. Indg. *si-set*st, that is, *si-sed +t. Cp. Bartholomae Handb. §§ 139-141, Ar. Forsch. II 78 f.
- 3. Prim. Ar. k. Av. yuxta- 'yoked' : Skr. yuktá-. Av. suxra- 'red' O.Pers. puxra- a proper name : Skr. śuk-rá- 'bright, white'. For further examples see § 447.
- 4. Prim. Ar. c was regular before no consonant except \underline{i} . Av. $\underline{s}y$ O.Pers. $\underline{s}y$. Av. $\underline{s}yao$ - $\underline{p}na$ 'deed, work' O.Pers. a- $\underline{s}iyav$ -am (- $\underline{s}iy$ = - $\underline{s}y$ -) 'I went, walked': Skr. $\underline{c}y\acute{a}v$ -a- $t\bar{e}$ 'stirs, moves'. Cp. § 448.
- § 474. Prim. Ar. prim. Iran. non-final t with preceding spirantal r became ξ in Avestic, as $ma\xi ya$ $(ma\xi iya) = 0$.Pers. martiya Skr. Ved. $m\acute{a}rtiya$ -'mortal being'. See §§ 260, 288, 353.
- § 475. The prim. Ar. tenues aspiratae. ph, th, kh, ch were not rare sounds in the period of the Ar. prim. community. It is by no means definitely settled that these sounds are to be traced back in every case to Indg. tenues asp. (§ 553). This form of articulation may partly have arisen in the prim. Ar. period from non-aspirate tenuis, e. g. Skr. path- 'path', $sth\bar{a}$ 'stand'. It is certainly not clear by what laws this aspiration arose.

In the following §§ we shall discuss the history of the prim. Ar. ten. asp. without taking into consideration whether this form of articulation was old inherited or newly developed. From § 553 it is obvious in what cases we hold the ten. asp. for prim. Indg.

The only case in which the sounds underwent a change in Sanskrit, was when the following syllable began with an asp. or with s + asp. A tenuis then arose by dissimilation. $kumbh\acute{a}$ -s 'pot, mug' fr. *khumbha-s = Av. xumba-. Perf. $cich\acute{e}da$ 'I cut off' fr. *chi- $ch\bar{e}da$ from prim. Ar. skhaid-. Cp. the dissimilation of the mediae asp. § 480.

Rem. 1. Forms like instr. pl. khē-bhiš from kha- opening in the body, mouth, ears etc., pathi-bhiš from pathi- opathi were forms made by analogy after the cases, in which there was no reason for dissimilation. Cp. § 480 rem. 1.

In Iranian the tenues asp. became spirants except after s, \dot{s} and nasals, where they passed into tenues.

Av. safa- 'hoof': Skr. šaphá-. Av. paħ- O.Pers. paħi- 'path': Skr. path- pathi-. Av. bara-ħa 'ye bear': Skr. bhára-tha. Av. haxa 'companion, friend' O.Pers. haxāmani-š proper name: Skr. sákhā. Av. st. form haśi- 'companion, friend' (only in compounds as haśiā-biś- 'friend tormenting') fr. prim. Ar. *sachi-; Skr. sakhi-was formed after the analogy of sákhā etc.

Av. sparāmi 'I push, jerk': Skr. sphurāmi (cp. § 290). Av. O.Pers. stā- 'stand': Skr. sthā-. Av. Gāp. vōistā 'knowest': Skr. vēttha. Av. pantan- 'path': Skr. pánthan-, beside pap-: path-. Av. skārayant- 'leaping' (or 'letting leap') in the proper name skārayap-rapa-: Skr. skhálati 'stumbles, makes a false step sticks fast'.

 \bar{p} became \bar{d} after x, f (cp. $f^e\bar{d}r$ - \bar{v} etc. § 473, 2). $ux\bar{d}a$ 'spoken': Skr. $ukth\acute{a}$ -m 'a saying'. $praf\bar{d}a$ - 'satisfied, content' fr.
prim. Ar. *trmp-tha-, cp. Skr. $trmp\acute{a}mi$ 'I satisfy my appetite, become satisfied'.

Rem. 2. Fierlinger Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 334 f. conjectures that the tenues asp. lost their aspiration in prim. Aryan before m, n, r. This however postulates the originality of the tenues asp. as proved, which I cannot hold as certain.

§ 476. Mediae. Prim. Ar. b, d, g, \dot{z} = Indg. b, d, g, \hat{g} . Skr. $upa-bd-\dot{a}$ - 'a stamping, trampling' Av. upa-bd-a- 'foot', Indg. *bd- weak st. form of ped-. §§ 325. 328.

Skr. $d\bar{u}$ - $r\acute{a}$ - Av. O.Pers. $d\bar{u}$ -ra- 'distant', rt. $de\bar{u}$ -. § 354.

Skr. bhága-s 'giver of blessings' O.Pers. baga- 'god', Indg. *bhago-s. Skr. jīvá- Av. jīvya- 'alive', Indg. *gīuó-. §§ 449—451.

Skr. jóša- Av. zaoša- 'favour, kindness', O.Pers. dauštar-friend', rt. ĝeus-. §§ 402—404.

The transition of Indg. $d^zd(h)$ to zd(h) (§ 470) may be regarded as prim. Ar.; it was in any case younger than the change of prim. Ar. z to \check{z} (§ 591). z remained in Iranian; azd(h) became $\bar{c}d(h)$ in Skr., cp. $\bar{c}dh$ from prim. Ar. $a\check{z}dh$ § 404, 2. Skr. $d\bar{c}h'$ Av. dazdi 'give' imper., Indg. *de- d^z -dh', rt. $d\bar{c}$ -'give'. Skr. $kiy\bar{c}dh\acute{a}$ -'extensive, capax', prim. Ar. * $kijad^zdh\bar{a}$ -

i. e. *kiyat+dhā-. Here belongs also zdh for Indg. dh+t (§ 552). Skr. $m\bar{e}dhas$ - Av. mazdah- 'wisdom', prim. Ar. *mazdhas-, that is, Indg. *mydh+tos-, rt. mendh-. Av. ver^czda - 'grown up, powerful' fr. prim. Ar. *uyzdha- i. e. Indg. *uydh+to- (Skr. vardh- 'grow'). Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 261 f. conjectures, that Av. uz 'out, upwards' beside Skr. ud, is a generalisation of the form regularly developed before d-, as in uz-dueza- 'accumulation', and that us was developed from ud in compounds like us-tāna- 'stretched out' = Skr. ut-tānā-, us-ca 'high up' = Skr. uc-ca'; cp. also Gr. vs- $\pi\lambda\eta s$ beside vs- $\tau ouzss$ and Cypr. Pamph. vs as also Germ. uz- § 536 rem.

Rem. This regular state was frequently altered through new formations in Sanskrit and Iranian. Skr. daddhi for dehi, addhi 'eat' imper. for *edhi, ranáddhi 'he checks' (radh-) for *ranēdhi (tynēdhi arose at the time when such pres. forms in -ēdhi were in existence, see § 404, 2). Av. masti- 'insight' for *mazdi- i. e. *mnlh-ti- (after the analogy of cisti- etc.). See the author in Morph. Unt. III 144 ff., Bartholomae Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 360 ff.

§ 477. Prim. Ar. \dot{z} (= Indg. \hat{g}) became the explosive j (§ 402) in Sanskrit, and the \dot{z} (= Indg. \hat{g}) in $\dot{z}d$ $\dot{z}dh$ = prim. Ar. $\dot{z}d$, $\dot{z}dh$ was lost (§ 404. 1. 2), whereas in $\dot{z}bh$ = Prim. Ar. $\dot{z}bh$ it became \dot{d} (§ 404. 3).

-dn- became -nn-: bhinná- 'split, divided', from bhid-.

Rem. Analogously -nn- from -dn-; gen. pl. $\S mn\bar{u}m$ ($\S dk\S$ - 'six'), a new formation after $\S udbhi\S$ etc.; on this dbh cp. \S 591.

No consistency exists in the treatment of -dm- and -gm-. kakúnmant- beside kakúdmant- 'providing with a point'. mṛnmáya- 'consisting of earth, clay' fr. *mṛd-maya-. vānmáya- 'consisting of speech' fr. *vāy-máya- beside vāgmín- (§ 472 rem.). Whence this fluctuation arises, is not clear to me. Are the forms with -dm- and -gm- new formations as compared with the others?

§ 478. In Iranian b, d, g j apparently remained explosives throughout in O.Pers. and the Gāþā dialect, whereas in later Av. they became the spirants w, d, γ \check{z} medially except after sibilants and nasals, g also initially before consonants except r.

Late Av. vaeda Gāp. vaedā 'he knows' : Skr. véda. dadmahi Gāp. dademahī 'we give' : Skr. dadmási. baya- O.Pers. baga-

'god': Skr. $bh\acute{a}ga$ -. $u\gamma ra$ - Gāp. ugra- 'strong, powerful': Skr. $ugr\acute{a}$ -. ja- γm - $\bar{u}\check{s}i$ fem. part. perf. act. from rt. gem- 'go': Skr. ja-gm- $\acute{u}\check{s}\bar{i}$. $\gamma^en\bar{a}$ - Gāp. $g^en\bar{a}$ - 'wife': Skr. $gn\acute{a}$ -. $tae\check{z}a$ - 'sharpness, edge': Skr. $t\check{e}jas$ -, beside late Av. $ti\gamma ra$ - 'pointed': Skr. $tigm\acute{a}$ - 'sharp, vehement'.

Rem. 1. j is often written for \check{z} , e. g. aojah- 'strength': Skr. $\check{o}jas$ - (§ 451). See Hübschmann Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIV 353, Bartholomae Handb. § 129 rem.

Rem. 2. $der^{\theta}w\bar{d}a$ - 'plait' may be held as an example for w=b: Skr. (and prim. Ar.) $drbdh\dot{a}$ - 'knitted, wound' from rt. derbh-, it is however not certain, that prim. Ar. b was a continuation of prim. Indg. b, see §§ 469, 6. 552.

On the other hand the late Av. explosives initially (except g before consonants s. above) and after sibilants and nasals. Late Av. daāmahi; Gāp. dademahī. hazdyāp: Skr. sēdyát, 3. sg. opt. perf. from rt. sed- 'sit', Indg. *se-zd-ié-t. vandaitē 'he loves': Skr. vándatē 'he praises'. gāu-š 'bullock': Skr. gāú-š. mazga- 'marrow': cp. Skr. majjan- fr. prim. Ar. *mazjan- (§§ 450. 590. 591). angušta- 'toe': Skr. angušṭha-.

Prim. Ar. du appears in Av. as dv, db, $d\bar{c}b$, b. See § 356. Late Av. nm from dm in $nm\bar{a}na$: Gāp. $d^em\bar{a}na$ - n. house, to Skr. $d\acute{a}ma$ -s Gr. $\delta\acute{\rho}\mu$ 0- ϵ 9 etc., similar in formation to Gr. $\delta\acute{\mu}\eta$ - in $\delta\acute{\epsilon}\delta\acute{\mu}\eta$ - $\epsilon\acute{v}$ - $\delta\acute{\mu}\eta$ - $\epsilon\acute{v}$ - $\delta\acute{\nu}$ -

Late Av. v fr. gv, see § 450.

Prim. Ar. $\dot{z}n = \text{Indg. } gn$ became $\dot{s}n$, in Iran. prim. Ar. $\dot{z}m = \text{Indg. } gm$ became sm in Av. See § 403.

§ 479. Mediae aspiratae. Prim. Ar. bh, dh, gh jh, $\dot{z}h$ = Indg. bh, dh, gh, $\hat{g}h$.

Skr. $bhr\acute{a}t\bar{a}$ Av. $br\bar{a}ta$ O.Pers. $br\bar{a}t\bar{a}$ 'brother', Indg. * $bhr\acute{a}t\bar{o}(r)$. § 329.

Skr. dhárš-ā-mi 'I venture', Av. darš-i-š 'vehement, eager', O.Pers. a-darš-nau-š 'he ventured', rt. dhers-. § 357.

Skr. gharmá- Av. gar^ema- 'heat', rt. gher-. Skr. hánti Av. jainti 'strikes', O.Pers. ajan-am 'I struck', rt. ghen-. §§ 452—454.

Skr. háv-ana- Av. zav-ana- 'call', weak rt. form $\hat{g}hu$ -. §§ 405—407.

§ 480. In Sanskrit $\dot{z}h$ became in the first instance jh, e. g. prim. Ar. * $\dot{z}hau$ - 'to call' became *jhau-, prim. Ar. * $\dot{z}h\bar{a}$ - 'leave, forsake' became " $jh\bar{a}$ - (cp. § 406).

Then the law of the dissimilation of aspirates operated. by which the aspiration was dropped when the next syllable began with an aspirate. bodhati awakes, is heedful of fr. prim. Ar. *bhaudh-a-ti, rt. bheudh- (Gr. πεύθομαι 'I inquire', Goth. anabiuda 'I bid, command'). drógha-s 'malicious injury' fr. prim. Ar. *dhraugh-a-s, rt. dhreugh- (O.Icel. draugr 'ghost'). dádhāti 'places, lays' from prim. Ar. *dha-dhā-ti, rt. dhē- (Gr. θή-σω 'I shall put, place). durbhíš durbhyás durbhyám (from Indg. *dhur-'door', Armen. durn, Gr. θύρα, Goth. daúr) from *dhur-bhis etc.; then after these dúr-as dvár-as etc. instead of regular *dhúr-as etc.; observe, that the word was current in the Veda only in the pl. and du., fewer case forms than was otherwise usual therefore stood opposite to the bh-cases. jaghana perf. 'he struck' fr. prim. Ar. *jha-ghān-a Indg. *ghe-ghon-e, imper. jahí 'strike' fr. prim. Ar. *jha-dhi (a new formation for regular *ghadhi, see § 454 rem.) Indg. *ghy-dhi, rt. ghen- (Gr. qóro-ç 'murder') já-hā-ti 'leaves, forsakes' fr. prim. Ar. *¿ha-zhā-ti (Av. za-zāiti) through the intermediate stages *jhajhāti *jajhāti (s. below). janghá- 'the lower part of the leg' fr. prim. Ar. * zhanghā- (Av. zunga- 'instep', Goth. gaggs 'way, street').

From the similar law affecting the tenues asp., which was undoubtedly specially Skr., I conclude that this law of dissimilation operated first in Skr., not already in prim. Aryan. See § 475.

Rem. 1. Forms like $r_I^*tra-h\acute{a}-hhi\check{s}$ ($v_I^*tra-h\acute{a}-h$ 'vritra killing') instead of *-ja- $bhi\check{s}$ (ep. jaih above) are easily explained as new formations. So far as I know, dissimilation never appears, when the two aspirates belonged to different members of a compound, ep. e. g. abhi- $bh\bar{u}ii$ - \check{s} 'ascendency', yarbha-dhi- \check{s} 'the place for coition, nest', ahi- $h\acute{a}n$ - 'serpent-killer'; ep. on the other hand Gr. ire- $\chi sig(\check{a})$ § 496. It must here be observed that such compounds might have been formed anew, after the above law had ceased to operate, and that the simple form — which was felt as a factor in composition — might always have exercised an influence on the old inherited and actually reproductive forms.

Rem. 2. In forms like 2. sg. dhatsé beside 1. sg. dadhé 'I place for myself', fut. bhōtsyútē beside pres bódhatē people often speak, in an

unscientific fashion, of a 'leaping over of the aspiration' on to the media of a preceding syllable. ahatsé, bhōtsyátē had much rather retained the Indg. initial (Indg. dhē, bhendh-). -ts- was here already in existence before the operation of the law of dissimilation, and when this came into operation there was nothing to be dissimilated in these forms, hence the initial remained intact. Cp. Gr. $\theta g t_s^2 : \tau g \chi \phi_s$ § 496.

Rem. 3. In what manner did dissimilation regularly take place, when aspirates formed the initial sound in three or more syllables? e. g. Skr. bu-būdha 3. sg. perf. = prim. Ar. *bhu-bhaydh-a. This question requires further investigation.

jh (= Indg. gh and gh) became h after the conclusion of the aspirate-dissimilation, as $h\acute{a}nti$ fr. *jhanti, Indg. *ghen-ti (Av. jainti). $j\acute{a}h\bar{a}ti$ fr. * $jajh\bar{a}ti$, prim. Ar. * $\mathring{z}ha-\mathring{z}h\bar{a}-ti$ (Av. $zaz\bar{a}iti$).

h appears several times for dh and bh without the reason for the change being clear. Thus -hi beside older -dhi Gr. $-\theta\iota$, ending of the 2. sg. imper.; $-mah\bar{c}$ Av. Gāp. $-maid\bar{c}$ Gr. $-\mu\epsilon\theta\alpha$, ending of the 1. pl. mid.; $sa-h\acute{a}$ beside older $sa-dh\acute{a}$ 'at the same time, with' Av. $ha-d\bar{a}$; $g_{1}h-n\acute{a}-mi$ beside older $g_{2}bh-n\acute{a}-mi$ 'I seize'. [Cp. von Bradke Ztschr. d. d. morg. Ges. XL, p. 65 sqq.]

Rem. 4. This change of dh bh, which caused the meaning of Skr. h to be fourfold, (Indg. bh, dh, gh, gh), gave rise to several 'deviations' (Entgleisungen). Thus desiderative $jigh\gamma k\check{s}ai$ 'he desires to seize' for $*ji-gh\gamma ps-a-ti$, to $g\gamma h\gamma \check{a}mi$.

§ 481. In Iranian prim. Ar. bh, dh, gh jh, \hbar fell together with prim. Ar. b, d, g j, \hbar (cp. Keltic § 524 and Baltic-Slav. §§ 542. 549). Hence all the mutations, mentioned in § 485, took place here also.

The spirants w, đ, γ ž in later Av. aiwi Gāp. aibī ʿabove, thereto, hither': Skr. abhi. awra- n. ʿcloud': Skr. abhrá-. Pres. stem da-đā- Gāp. da-đā- ʿput, place': Skr. da-dhā- prim. Ar. *dha-dhā-. dare'γα- Gāp. dare'ga- ʿlong': Skr. dārghá-. γn-ē. 1. sg. pres. mid. from jan- (Indg. ghen-) ʿslay': Skr. ghn-ē. snaežaiti ʿit snows', prim. Ar. *snaijhati: Gr. vείφει Indg. *sneigh-e-ti. dažaiti ʿburns': Skr. dáhati (cp. ni-dāghá-s ʿheat'), Indg. *dhegh-e-ti.

Rem. j written for z e. g. in $ar^e iaiti$ 'deserves, is worth': Skr. árhati, rt. argh- (§ 429 a). Cp. § 478 rem. 1.

Explosives (b, d, g, j) in later Av. $bar\bar{a}mi$ 'I bear': Skr. $bh\acute{a}r\bar{a}mi$. skemba- 'pillar': Skr. $skambh\acute{a}$ - 'supporting pillar'. $d\bar{a}ma$ 'creature': Skr. $dh\acute{a}ma$, rt. $dh\bar{e}$ -. $m\bar{\imath}\check{z}da$ - 'pay, reward': Skr. $m\bar{\imath}dh\acute{a}$ - Gr. $\mu\iota\sigma\theta\acute{o}$ - ς . dazdi 'give' imper.: Skr. $d\bar{e}h\acute{a}$. bandayeiti 'binds': Skr. $bandh\acute{a}yati$. gar^ema - 'glowing heat': Skr. $gharm\acute{a}$ -. zanga- 'instep': Skr. $jangh\acute{a}$ -. jainti 'strikes, kills': Skr. $h\acute{a}nti$. renjayeiti 'he hastens': Skr. $rah\acute{a}yati$.

Late Av. n fr. dn: buna- 'ground, bottom': Skr. $budhn\acute{a}$ -. Late Av. v fr. gv, see § 453.

Prim. Ar. $\dot{z}hn$, $\dot{z}hm$ = Indg. $\hat{g}hn$, $\hat{g}hm$ became Av. $\dot{s}n$, sm. See § 407.

§ 482. The prim. Ar. combinations bdh, d^zdh (thence zdh already in prim. Ar.), gdh, zdh = original bh, dh, gh, gh + t and bzh, (dzh, thence zh, gzh, zzh = original bh, (dh), gh, gh + s. Cp. §§ 469, 6.470.

Skr. $drbdh\acute{a}$ - 'knitted, tied, wound', Av. $der^ew d\bar{a}$ - 'plait' (§ 478 rem. 2), rt. derbh-. Skr. $m\bar{e}dhas$ - Av. mazdah- 'wisdom' = * $m\eta dh$ +tos-, see § 476. Skr. $drugdh\acute{a}$ - n. 'offence, insult', rt. dhreugh-. Av. $G\bar{a}p$. 3. sg. imperf. mid. $aog^ed\bar{a}$ (aog- aoj- 'speak, name') = *eugh+to, cp. Gr. evzoual evz-to. Skr. inf. $v\bar{o}dhum$ 'vectum' = *uegh+tu-m, Av. vaz-dri-evz- 'promoter' = *uegh+tri-, rt. uegh-, see § 404, 2.

Rem. 1. Many exceptions to this law — especially in Iranian — arose through the analogy of other words of the same suffix-category, in which the suffix had t (e. g. Skr. yuktá- Av. yuxta- 'junctus'). Examples: Av. dapta- 'deceived, cheated' for *dawāa- = Skr. dabāhá-. Av. O.Pers. basta- 'bound' for *bazda-, cp. Skr. badāhá-, rt. bhenāh-. Av. druxta-O.Pers. dūrūxta- 'cheated, belied' for Av. *druyāa- (Gūp. *druyōda-) O.Pers. *dūrūgāa- = Skr. druydhá-. Av. aoxta beside Gāp. aogōdā, see above. Av. vaštar- 'beast of draught' for *raždar- (cp. važdri-š above) = Skr. vōāhár-. Skr. Ved. 2. du. dhaktam for *dagāham from dagh- 'reach to, attain', 1. pl. daghma. 3. sg. mid. dhattē 'ræfəra' probably in the first instance for *daddhē (see below). Cp. Lat. part. com-ēstus for com-ēsus, 2. pl. ēstis for *ēsis etc., § 501 rem. 2, OHG. wista for wissa etc., § 527 rem. 3.

The Skr. forms with ddh, as baddhá-, buddhá-, arose by attraction to the other forms of the same root, thus also *daddhé probably as intermediate stage between *dēdhé and dhatté. Cp. daddhí for dēhí § 476 rem. 1, dididdhi for *didīdhi § 404 rem. 2.

Skr. dipsati 'intends to injure', Av. diwžaidyāi 'to wish to deceive', desiderat. formations to Skr. dabh- Av. dab-. Skr. 2. sg. dhókṣi 'thou milkest' (3. sg. dógdhi); Av. Gāþ. 2. sg. ao;žā (3. sg. aogʻdā see above). Skr. 3. sg. vákṣat Av. važaþ s-aorist to Skr. váhati Av. vazaiti 'vehit', rt. ueāh-.

Rem. 2. Examples for dh+s seem to be wanting. The -ts- in Skr. $bh\bar{o}tsydt\bar{e}$ (fut. fr. rt. $bhe\mu dh$ -) cannot be regarded as the regular continuation of dzh, because after prim. Ar. zd(h) from dzd(h) (§ 476) it is probable that d became assimilated to the following zh already in prim. Ar.

Armenian.

§ 483. The tenues were very variously treated as regards their form of articulation.

p appears as p in the vicinity of original s: poit 'σπουδή', epem 'I cook' probably to Gr. ξψω. As v after vowels: ev 'and, also', Gr. ξπι, evtn 'septem'. As h (y) initially before vowels: hing 'πέντε', heri 'distant' Gr. $πέρ\overline{α}v$. See § 330.

Initial p disappeared before l. li (gen. lioy) 'full' fr. * $pl\bar{e}$ -io-: Skr. $pr\bar{a}$ - $t\acute{a}$ - 'filled', Gr. $\pi\lambda\acute{\eta}$ - $\rho\eta\varsigma$ 'full', Lat. $pl\bar{e}$ -nu-s, O.Ir. $l\bar{\iota}$ -naim 'I fill'. luana-m 'I wash': Skr. $pl\bar{a}v$ - $ay\bar{a}mi$ 'I inundate, pour over, wash', Gr. $\pi\lambda\acute{v}v\omega$ 'I wash'.

Intervocalie t became \underline{i} and then disappeared: hair 'father' Gr. $\pi\alpha\tau\eta\varrho$, nom. pl. har-k first probably fr. *hair-k. -aur- from -atr-: haur 'father's' Gr. $\pi\alpha\tau\varrho$ - $o'\varsigma$. -rh- -h- fr. - $rt\underline{i}$ - or -rtr-: marh mah 'death' Skr. $m_t tyu'$ -s or Goth. mau'rpr. See § 360.

t- had disappeared initially in ere-k 'three', first of all from *re-k : Skr. tráyas. See § 263.

q appears as k (k) and \check{c} except after nasals and liquids: akn 'eye' Lith. ak-i-s; lk-ane-m 'I leave' Gr. $\lambda \iota \mu \pi \acute{a} \nu \omega$; $a\check{c}$ -k pl. (i-stem) 'eyes' Lith. aki-s. As g after liquids and nasals: arge

'impediment' Gr. $aoz \& \omega$; hing 'five' Skr. p'anca; cp. d fr. t above. See § 455 ¹).

Examples for the dropping of q are uncertain. See Hübschmann Arm. St. I 76.

 \hat{k} generally appears as s:sar 'height' Skr. śiras-. c fr. $s\hat{k}$ ($\hat{k}s\hat{k}$, $ss\hat{k}$) and $\hat{k}s:harc$ 'question' Skr. $pych\acute{a}$, vec 'six' Gr. $\xi\xi$. See §§ 408. 561.

Loss of \hat{k} before t in ut 'eight' (Skr. $\alpha \not = t\hat{u}$), probably also before l in lu 'audible' (cp. Skr. $\dot{s}ru$ -). See § 408.

§ 484. The mediae d, g became tenues, \hat{g} became a voiceless affricata. Cp. the shifting of the mediae in Germanic, § 533.

d became t. tiv 'day': Skr. dívā instr. 'by day'. See § 361.

g became k. ker 'food, meat' : Skr. $gir\acute{a}mi,$ rt. ger-. See § 456.

 \hat{g} became c. cer 'old man' : Av. zaurvā- 'age', rt. \hat{g} er-. See § 409.

Examples for the shifting of b to p are unknown to meCp. § 331.

§ 485. The mediae aspiratae bh, dh, gh became b, d, g; $\hat{g}h$ became the voiced affricata j, or the voiced spirant z.

bh became b, which remained initially and after λ , r, m, but became v after vowels. ba-n ' $\lambda \acute{o}\gamma o \acute{c}$ ': Gr. $\phi \eta \dot{\mu} \grave{\iota}$. elbeur 'spring, source': Gr. $\phi \acute{e} \dot{\iota} o \acute{e}$. arbi 'I drank': Lat. sorbeō. Instr. sg. akam-b pl. akam-bk from akan- 'eye': Skr. -bhiš. lus-a-vor 'clear, bright': Gr. $\lambda \acute{e}v \acute{e} o \acute{e} o \acute{e}$. See § 332.

dh became d. diem 'I suck': Skr. dháyāmi. See § 362.

gh became g, which remained except before original e- and i-vowels, before these it passed into j initially, and into j medially. gan 'strokes, blows': Skr. ghan-á-. jerm 'warm': Gr. $\theta \varepsilon \rho \mu \delta - g$. $i \not z$ 'viper': Av. $a \not z i - \dot z$. See § 457.

 $\widehat{g}h$ became j initially and after n and r, and z after vowels. j aune-m 'I consecrate, sacrifice': Av. z aotar-. a njuk 'narrow':

¹⁾ The j in arj bear': Skr. jkša-s Gr. aqxro-s is probably parallel to this g and d. Cp. § 561,

Av. qzah-. barjr 'high': Av. berezant-. lizu-m 'I lick': Lith. löžiù. See § 410.

Greek.

- § 486. The tenues generally retained their form of articulation.
 - p. $\pi \lambda \dot{\epsilon}(F) \omega$ 'I swim': Skr. $pl \dot{a} v \bar{e}$. See § 333.
 - t. τάλ-αντο-ν 'balance': Skr. tulά-. See § 363.
 - \hat{k} . $\varkappa \alpha \varrho \delta \cdot i \bar{\alpha}$ 'heart': Lat. cor. See § 384.
- q. μαρπ-ό-ς 'fruit': Skr. $kgp\bar{a}na$ -s. ποινή 'atonement': Av. $kaen\bar{a}$ -. τε 'and': Lat. que. See §§ 425. 426. 427.

These sounds seem to have been spoken with aspiration for a time in some districts, especially in Attica. Owing however to the inexactness and inconsistency of the written language it is impossible to determine accurately how far this tendency extended.

Tenuis became media before media. $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma$ -δίδωμι fr. $\dot{\epsilon}\varkappa + \deltaίδωμι$ (cp. Cret. $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\delta'\eta \tau \omega$ with $\delta\delta$ fr. γ - δ , cp. $rv\tau\tau i$ § 384), $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma$ - $\betaάλλω$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\gamma$ - γovo - ς , $\dot{\tau}\beta$ - $\betaάλλω$. The etymological orthography was frequently used, $\dot{\epsilon}\varkappa$ -δίδωμι etc., and probably it was also pronounced - \varkappa δ - etc.

ντ = Indg. nt, νσq (§ 427 b) became (ν)δ in Pamphyl. (for -(ν)- ep. § 205). 3. pl. eonj. ασω(ν)δι = Dor. ασωντι. πέ(ν)δε = Att. πέντε, Indg. *peνqe.

§ 488. πμ mostly became μμ, Lesb. ππ. ὄμμα 'eye' fr. *οπμα, Lesb. pl. ὅππατα. κέκαμμαι 'I am bent' from *κεκαμμ-μαι *κεκαμπ-μαι, cp. 3. sg. κέκαμπται.

When ℓ in the combination $\tau \nu_{\ell}$ suffered epenthesis (cp. $\tau \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha i \nu \omega$ etc. §§ 130. 639), τ became assimilated to the ν and disappeared. $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma - \pi \sigma \iota \nu \omega$ 'mistress of the house' fr. *- $\pi \sigma \tau - \nu \iota \mu \omega$ beside $\pi \dot{\sigma} \tau \nu \iota \omega = *\pi \sigma \tau - \nu \iota \iota \omega$. Cp. $\dot{\varrho} \dot{\omega} \dot{\nu} \nu \omega$ fr. * $\dot{\varrho} \dot{\omega} \delta \nu \iota \omega$ § 492.

§ 489. $\hat{k}i$, qi became a geminated spirant (bb?) in prim. Greek; this sound was represented by -ττ- medially in Boot., Thess., Att., and in the other dialects by $-\sigma\sigma$ -, but initially universally, as it seems, by σ-. On account of the vowel-length in $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\hat{\alpha}\tau\tau\omega\nu$ (§ 479) it must be assumed that $\tau\tau$, and $\sigma\sigma$ arose first from a geminated spirant, since av would not have been subjected to the process of compensation lengthening before an explosive. Cp. § 427 d. μάσσων 'longer' (to μακρό-ς) : Av. mas-yå 'greater'. πάσσαλο-ς 'plug, nail', rt. pāk- (Skr. páša-s 'string, sling). πέσσω πέττω 'I cook', rt. peg-. ὄσσε 'both eyes': Lith. aki-s. Hom. σεῦε (ε-σσευε) 'he drove, hunted, scared': Skr. cyavcyu- 'put in motion'. Megar. σά 'τίνα' fr. *k^ui-a beside ά-σσα ά-ττα (on ά- s. § 654). In Att. τενμάσμαι 'I pursue' and τεντάζω 'I busy myself eagerly with something', in case they are from the same root as Hom. $\sigma \in \tilde{v} \in \mathcal{F}$, the initial τ may have come from the augmented and reduplicated forms.

t½ became ss in prim. Gr. Hence universally σ after consonants. Fem. Cret. πάνσα Att. etc. πᾶσα Lesb. παῖσα 'tota' (§ 205) fr. *παντ-μα. δόξα 'doctrine, opinion' fr. *δοκ-τ-μα. καμψό-ς 'bent' (Hesych.) fr. *καμπ-τ-μος. Cp. the σ- of σέβεται = Skr. tyájαtē (cp. § 428 a), which probably arose from Indg. tj- (§§ 117. 598). Otherwise medial σσ, which was retained in Homer and elsewhere, seems to have become regularly partly σ (Ion. Att.), and partly $\tau \tau$ (Bœot. Cret.). τ όσσο-ς 'tantus' π όσσο-ς 'quantus', τ όσος π όσος (cp. μέσσο-ς μέσο-ς § 497), Bœot. Cret. ό-πόττο-ς. With this $\tau \tau$ cp. Bœot. κομιττάμενος = Hom. κομισσάμενος fr. *κομιτσαμενος, Cret. δάτταθθαι = Hom. δάσσασθαι fr. *δατσασθαι.

Rem. 1. The regular representatives of ki, qi mostly appear for ti e.g. Att. $\hat{\epsilon}\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\tau\tau\omega$ ($\hat{\epsilon}\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\omega$) fr. $\hat{\epsilon}\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\tau$ — like $p\varrho\acute{t}\tau\tau\omega$ ($p\varrho\acute{t}\sigma\omega\omega$) from $p\varrho\acute{t}\kappa$ —, $\kappa\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\prime\tau\omega\nu$ ($\kappa\varrho\acute{\epsilon}t\sigma\omega\nu$) fr. $\kappa\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\tau$ — like $\kappa\tau\omega\nu$ from $\kappa\omega$ —. These were probably new formations after the analogy of those with original ki, qi. Cp. the author's Griech. Gramm. p. 36 note 1.

The Indg. combination ti appears medially, in most dialects, generally as si after other sounds than s (cp. $\check{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota$, $\pi i\sigma\tau\iota$ - ς). Att. $\delta i\delta\omega$ - $\sigma\iota$ 'gives': Skr. $d\acute{a}d\bar{a}$ -ti; $\beta \acute{a}$ - $\sigma\iota$ - ς 'step, stride': Skr. $g\acute{a}$ -ti- \check{s} ; $\check{a}\nu\epsilon\psi\iota\acute{o}$ - ς 'first cousin': O.Bulg. $netij\check{\iota}$ cpf. *nept- $i\check{\varrho}$ o-s.

Rem. 2. The combination $-\tau_{\ell}$ was frequently retained in Bœot. Pamphyl. and Dor., where the other dialects had $-\sigma_{\ell}$, as Dor. $\delta_{\ell}\delta\omega\tau_{\ell}$ $\pi\lambda_{0}\dot{\nu}\tau_{\ell}\sigma_{-5}$. But also in those dialects, which show $-\sigma_{\ell}$, $-\tau_{\ell}$ occurs beside this, as Ion. Att. $\xi\tau_{\ell}$, $\alpha\check{\tau}\tau_{\ell}\sigma_{-5}$ (cp. $\pi\lambda_{0}\dot{\nu}\sigma_{\ell}\sigma_{-5}$), $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau_{\ell}$, $\dot{\kappa}\dot{\epsilon}\varrho\alpha\tau_{\ell}$, $\varphi\dot{\alpha}\tau_{\ell}-\varsigma$ (cp. $\varphi\dot{\alpha}\sigma_{\ell}-\varsigma$). Form-transference may be assumed in many cases, e. g. $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\varrho\alpha\tau_{\ell}$ after $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\varrho\alpha\tau_{0}$; etc.; $\sigma\kappa\dot{\sigma}\tau_{\ell}\sigma_{-5}$ after $\sigma\kappa\dot{\sigma}\tau_{0}-\varsigma$; $\varphi\dot{\alpha}\tau_{\ell}-\varsigma$ $\mu\dot{\alpha}\varrho\pi\tau_{\ell}-\varsigma$ $\mu\dot{\alpha}\varrho\tau_{\ell}-\varsigma$ after the cases with $-t\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\varrho}$. Cp. Hom. $Ho\sigma\epsilon_{\ell}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}\nu$ Arcad. $Ho\sigma\sigma\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}\nu_{0}$; Lac. $Ho\sigma\dot{\delta}\dot{\alpha}\nu_{0}\varsigma$ with σ instead of τ (Boeot. $Ho\tau\epsilon_{\ell}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}\nu$ $Ho\tau\sigma\dot{\delta}\dot{\alpha}\nu_{0}\varsigma$) after $Hom\dot{\delta}$ —in Hom. $Ho\sigma\dot{\delta}\dot{\gamma}\iota_{0}\varsigma$ etc. Nevertheless this does not clear the matter up satisfactorily. It is questionable, whether $-\sigma_{\ell}$ did not already arise, to a certain extent, in prim. Greek. Such a great antiquity is especially to be conjectured for the $-\sigma_{\ell}$ in nouns like $\beta\dot{\alpha}\sigma_{\ell}$, since these also occur with σ in Bœotian and the whole of Doric.

In Lac. and Cypr. $\sigma = t\dot{i}$, in Cypr. at the same time the σ of $-\sigma \iota = -ti$ passed into h: Lac. part. fem. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu - \dot{\eta} \beta \omega \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \zeta$, Cypr. 3. pl. conj. $\varphi \varrho o \nu \dot{\epsilon} \omega \dot{\epsilon}$. This σ became ϱ in Eretria: part. fem. $\dot{\delta} \mu \nu \nu o \dot{\nu} \varrho \alpha \zeta$, 3. pl. conj. $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha - \beta \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \varrho \nu$.

Medial tu became σσ in Ion. etc., and ττ in Bœot. and Att. Hom. τέσσαρες Herod. τέσσερες Lesb. πέσσυρες Arcad. τεσσεροάκοντα + Bœot. πέτταρες Att. τέτταρες 'four': Skr. catvár-as. Initially: Cret. τFέ 'thee' (wrongly written τρέ in Hesych.) Dor. τέ Bœot. τίν Lesb. Ion. Att. σέ: Skr. tvά-. On the enclitic Ion. Att. etc. τρο see § 187.

§ 490. ts became $\sigma\sigma$ in prim. Greek, thence σ in later times. Hom. aor. $\pi\acute{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\alpha\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ $\acute{\epsilon}\pi\acute{\alpha}\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\sigma$ fr. $*\pi\alpha\tau$ - σ -: pres. $\pi\alpha\tau\acute{\epsilon}\sigma\iota\alpha\iota$ 'I eat, consume'. Hom. aor. $\grave{\epsilon}\varphi$ - $\epsilon\sigma\sigma\acute{\alpha}\iota\epsilon\nu\sigma$ - ς $\acute{\alpha}\nu$ - $\acute{\epsilon}\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon\varsigma$ fr. $*\acute{\epsilon}\tau$ - σ -: $\acute{\epsilon}\delta$ - $\sigma\varsigma$ 'seat'. Hom. $\pi\sigma\sigma\iota$ $\pi\sigma\iota$ Att. $\pi\sigma\sigma\iota$ fr. $*\pi\sigma\iota\sigma\iota$: $\pi\acute{\epsilon}\delta$ - $\epsilon\varsigma$ 'feet', cp. Skr. $pats\acute{\iota}\iota$. Correspondingly Indg. $t^st(h)$ became st(h) in prim. Greek. $\ddot{\alpha}$ - $\pi\alpha\sigma\tau\sigma$ - ς 'jejune': $\pi\alpha\tau$ - $\acute{\epsilon}\sigma\iota\alpha\iota$. $\ddot{\iota}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ 'ye know' σ - σ 'thou knowest' (Skr. $v\acute{\epsilon}ttha$): $i\delta$ - $\mu\epsilon\nu$ σ - δ - σ . Cp. $\sigma\theta$ fr. d^zdh § 494.

σε from εσε. διδάσεω 'I teach' : δι-δαε-τό-ς, Lat. di-dic- $\bar{\iota}$. είσεω 'I make like' : ἔοιεα. Bœot. ές-εη-δεεάτη = έξ-ε. Cp. also ες- fr. εξ- 'ex' in Aread. εςδοτῆρες, Bœot. Gortyn ες τῶν.

τρά-πεζα '(four-legged) table' fr. *πτρα-, Indg. *qtuq-, cp. Skr. túrya- § 471.

- § 491. In most dialects, e. g. Ion.-Att., the mediae were voiced explosives in the oldest monuments.
 - b. βάρβαρο-ς 'foreign': Skr. balbalā-karōmi. See § 334.
 - d. δέκα 'ten': Skr. dáša. See § 364.
- $\hat{g}.$ /'er' ω 'I let taste': Av. zaoša- 'favour, kindness', rt. \hat{g} eus-. See § 385.
- g. ἐρεύγομαι 'I spit out': Lith. rúgiu, rt. reug-. βοῦς 'bullock': Skr. gāử-ṣ, Indg. *gōμ-s. δελφύ-ς 'womb': Skr. gárbha-s, rt. gelbh-. See §§ 425. 426. 428.

In Arcad. ζ was also written for $\delta=$ Indg. g, e. g. ζέλλω beside δέλλω Ί throw. Hence a spirant was also spoken here. Cp. \S 428 b.

§ 492. $\beta\nu$, $\beta\mu$ became $\mu\nu$, $\mu\mu$ in prim. Greek. $\mu\nu\dot{\alpha}o\mu\alpha\iota$ 'I woo to wife' from * $\beta\nu\bar{\alpha}$ - 'wife', Indg. * $gn\bar{\alpha}$ -, see § 428 a. $\sigma\epsilon\mu\nu\dot{\alpha}$ - ϵ adjectival part. to $\sigma\epsilon\betao\mu\alpha\iota$ 'I feel awe', rt. tjeg-, see § 428 a. $\tau\varrho/\mu\mu\alpha$ ($\tau\varrho\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\mu\alpha$) 'that which is rubbed', perf. mid. $\tau\epsilon\tau\varrho\mu\mu\alpha\iota$, to $\tau\varrho\dot{\epsilon}\beta\omega$ 'I rub'.

In like manner gn, gm probably became sin, sim^{1}) in the same period. $\gamma t - \gamma v - \mu a$ 'am born' i. e. $givenom^{2}i$, $\sigma \tau v \gamma - v \delta - \varsigma$

¹⁾ For the grounds on which this theory is based see Ebel Kuhn's Ztschr. XIII 264, Westphal Method. Gramm. I 1, 17, the author in Curtius' Stud. IV 103 f., L. Havet Mém. de la soc. de lingu. IV 276.

'hated' i. e. *styponos. ipon became $\bar{\imath}n$ in Dor., Thess., Beot. and later Att., as Dor. γίνομαι γτνώσκιο (cp. § 618). ἀγ-μό-ς 'breakage' i. e. *uponos. pogm became pom (written $p\mu$) through the intermediate stage pom, just as pm became pm through pm (§ 488): ξφθεγμα, ξλήλεγμαι beside -γξαι -γκται.

φαίνω 'I sprinkle' fr. *φαδ-νιω (Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 457 ff.), like δές-ποινα fr. *-ποτνια, see §§ 488. 639.

Lac. $\xi \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ 'seat' fr. $\xi \delta - \lambda \bar{\alpha}$, see § 364.

§ 493. dj, gj, gj became one sound, which was mostly written ζ . $Z\varepsilon\dot{v}$ - ζ : Skr. $dy\bar{u}\dot{u}$ - ξ ; $\pi\varepsilon\zeta\dot{o}$ - ζ 'on foot', to $\pi\varepsilon\delta\dot{a}$ $\pi\dot{\varepsilon}\delta\sigma$ - ν $\ddot{a}\zeta \rho \mu a u$ 'I stand in awe of', to $\ddot{a}\gamma u \sigma \zeta$, Av. $yazait\bar{c}$, rt. jag-. $\zeta \tilde{\eta}$ 'lives' fr. * $\zeta \eta \varepsilon \iota$, to Av. $jy\bar{a}iti$ -, stem $gj\bar{c}$ -; $\nu\iota\zeta\omega$ 'I wash', to $\nu\iota\pi\tau\varrho\sigma$ - ν , rt. nejg- (ep. § $428\,d$).

 $\sigma\delta$ was also written for ζ in Lesb., e. g. ἐικάσδω. -δδ-, for which, initially, δ-, appears in Lac., Gortyn, Bœot., and Megar., e. g. the verbal endings -άδδω -ίδδω = -άζω -ίζω, Δεύς = Ζεύς, δωός = ζωός. Seldom $\tau = \zeta$ in Cret., as $T\tilde{\eta}\nu\alpha = Z\tilde{\eta}\nu\alpha$, also $T\tau\tilde{\eta}\tau\alpha$.

Owing to the uncertainty which exists regarding the value of these different letters, it is impossible to determine accurately the course of development, which the prim. Gr. combinations di, gi, $g^{i}i$ passed through.

Rem. ζ was probably pronounced zd in Ion.-Att.; cp. O Bulg. $\check{z}d$ fr. $d\check{t}$ § 147 rem. 1. $\delta\delta$ might possibly go back first of all to zd — or $\check{z}d$ —. See the author's Griech. Gramm. § 52 with addendum to p. 47, G. Meyer Gr. Gr. 2 274 f.

§ 494. $i\sigma\theta\iota$ i. e. isthi arose fr. Indg. $*yid^zdh\iota$ 'know' imper. (cp. Skr. $viddh\iota$, § 476). Whether the intermediate stage was $*yizdh\iota$ or $*yitsth\iota$, remains doubtful. Gr. $z\iota\sigma\theta\iota$ - ς 'a hollow, pudenda muliebria' was probably a similar case (§ 469, 5).

§ 495. The mediae aspiratae bh, dh, $\hat{g}h$, gh became tenues aspiratae in prim. Greek. These then probably remained unchanged in most dialects, certainly e. g. in Ion.-Att., down to historic times.

bh. $\delta \varphi \varrho \tilde{v}$ -s 'eye-brow': Skr. $bhr\tilde{u}$ - \tilde{s} . See § 335.

dh. $\theta \bar{\nu} \mu \dot{\sigma}$ -ς 'heart, courage, passion': Skr. dh \bar{u} -mά-s. See § 365.

 $\hat{g}h$. $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi$ - ω 'I have, hold': Av. haz-ah-, rt. $se\hat{g}h$ -. See § 386.

gh. $\lambda \epsilon' \chi o \varsigma$ 'bed': O.Bulg. $l \epsilon g a$, rt. $l \epsilon g h$ -. $\varphi \circ ro - \varsigma$ 'murder' and $\theta \epsilon \ell v \omega$ 'I strike': Skr. 3. pl. g h n- $\acute{a} n t i$, rt. $g h \epsilon n$ -. See §§ 425. 426. 429.

The tenues aspiratae became voiceless spirants in most combinations, through the intermediate stage of affricatae. We are not in a position to determine precisely the place and time of this shifting, since the written language offers too few sure holds. The affricata-stage seems to occur in such spellings as οχρος σχυπαρος and in measures like ὄφιν (Hom.) βρόχον (Theognis) as trochees. Cp. Roscher Curtius' Stud. I 2, 63 ff. Blass Ausspr. 2 S4 ff. [G. Meyer Gr. Gramm. 2 207 ff.]. Spirantal value (\hbar) can be established most extensively for θ . θ remained an explosive in Cret. (Gortyn) before ϱv , as is seen by the writing $\tau \rho \tau \nu$, and was a spirant before vowels. θ probably only remained an explosive after o in Boot., El., and Locr. (cp. Att. $\xi \sigma \tau \iota$ beside $\delta i \delta \omega \sigma \iota$, § 489), hence the writing of $\sigma \tau$ for $\sigma\theta$. In Lac., where β can be established with the greatest certainty, it passed (before palatal vowels?, see Baunack Die Inschr. von Gortyn p. 36) further into σ , as $\Sigma \omega \nu = \Theta \omega \nu$. θ as δ became f in Beot. and Epir., as is shown by the writing φ in $\varphi \varepsilon \delta \varsigma = \theta \varepsilon \delta \varsigma$ etc. This φ also shows that prim. Gr. ph $(\varphi \xi \rho \omega)$ had become f in these dialects.

Rem. The often assumed change of prim. Gr. tenuis asp. to media never existed. In cases like $\sigma r \dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta \omega$ beside $\dot{\alpha} \sigma r \epsilon \mu \phi \dot{\eta} z$, $\pi \dot{\nu} \nu \delta \alpha \dot{z}$ beside $\pi \nu \theta \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ it is a question of Indg. media (§ 469, 8), whereas in such as $\varkappa \alpha r \alpha - \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma - \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma - z$ (beside inf. $\varkappa \alpha r \alpha - \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \alpha \omega$, rt. $\lambda \epsilon \chi - 1$), $\delta \varrho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha$ 'handful' (to $\delta \varrho \alpha \chi \mu \eta' \delta \varrho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \sigma - \mu \alpha \omega$, Skr. $d\alpha r h$ - 'fasten') we have merely new formations, made after the analogy of similar forms from roots with media (Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 301 ff.).

§ 496. The tenuis aspirata lost its aspiration in prim. Greek, when a tenuis aspirata followed at the beginning of the next syllable. $\tau'\theta\eta\mu$ 'I place' fr. *thi-thē-mi, aor. $\epsilon\tau\epsilon\theta\eta\nu$ fr. *e-the-thēn, rt. $dh\bar{\epsilon}$ -. $\epsilon\pi\nu\theta\epsilon\tau$ 'he inquired for' fr. *e-phuth-e-to, rt.

bheudh-. Gen. τριχ-ός 'hair's' fr. *thrikh-os. ἐκε-χειρία 'cessation of hostilities', Delph. Ἐκέ-φυλο-ς fr. *ekhe-kh., *ekhe-ph.

θρίξ: τριχός and θέσσασθαι: πόθο-ς (§ 429 b) = Skr. dhatsḗ: dadhḗ, see § 480 rem. 2. Hence forms like πεύσομαι πύστις, πείσω πίστις (rt. bheidh-) are shown to be new formations for *φεύσομαι etc.; ep. fut. αὖσω for regular αΰσω § 564.

The remark, made in § 480 rem. 1 on Skr. $v_f tra-h\acute{a}bhi \check{s}$ $abh\acute{\iota}-bh\bar{u}ti-\check{s}$, also holds good for the forms $\check{\epsilon}\chi\acute{\nu}\theta\eta\nu$ ($\chi\acute{\epsilon}\omega$ T pour out), $\theta\epsilon\theta\mu\acute{o}-\varsigma$ Locr. 'statute' beside $\tau\epsilon\theta\mu\acute{o}-\varsigma$ Pind., $\theta\acute{e}\theta\epsilon\theta\omega$ Cret. Gort. = $\tau\acute{e}\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta\omega$, $\grave{a}\mu \varphi\acute{e}-\varphi\alpha\lambda\omicron-\varsigma$ 'having a double comb', $\grave{a}\mu\varphi\acute{e}-\chi\acute{e}\omega$ 'I pour around', $\pi\alpha\chi\acute{v}-\chi\bar{v}\mu\omicron-\varsigma$ 'with thick juices' etc.

It is difficult to ascertain in what manner the law of the dissimilation of aspirates operated in cases where three or more aspirates followed one after the other (ep. $\pi \ell \pi o \iota \theta \epsilon$, prim. Gr. * $l \ell \iota - l \ell \ell \iota / l \ell \ell - l \ell \ell \ell \ell \ell$). Only so much is as yet clear, that the regular operation had been crossed in many ways by analogical formations. Cp. Osthoff's attempt in his Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 305.

§ 497. Indg. $dh\dot{i}$, $gh\dot{i}$, $gh\dot{i}$ as thi, $kh\dot{i}$, $kh\dot{i}$ $kh^u\dot{i}$ fell together in prim. Gr. with $t\dot{i}$, $k\dot{i}$, $k\dot{i}$, $k^u\dot{i}$ and accordingly experienced the same treatment as these (§ 489).

μέσσο-ς μέσο-ς 'medius' (Skr. mádhya-s) like τόσσο-ς τόσο-ς. ασσον 'nearer' (ἄγχι, root angh-) like μάσσων.

ἐλάσσων ἐλάττων 'less' (ἐλαχύ-ς : Skr. laghú-š) like πέσσω πέττω.

Italic.

- § 498. The tenues remained unshifted on the whole.
- p. Lat. $p\bar{a}x$, Umbr. pase 'pace', Osc. Paakul 'Paculus': Skr. $p\acute{a}\dot{s}a$ -. See § 336.
- t. Lat. tovo-s tuo-s, Umbr. tover 'tui', Osc. tuvai 'tuae': Skr. táva. See § 366.
- \hat{k} . Lat. $cl\bar{\imath}$ - $n\bar{o}$, Umbr. kle-tram 'lecticam': Skr. $\dot{s}r\dot{a}y$ -a-ti. See § 387.
- q. Lat. $can\bar{o}$, Umbr. kanetu 'canito': Skr. kan-kan- $\bar{\imath}$. Lat. qui-s, Umbr. Osc. pi-s 'quis': Av. ci-š. See §§ 430. 431.

Where shifting of the tenues happened through sound-change, in combinations, it is frequently not evident — owing to the scantiness of the Umbr.-Samn. monuments — whether this change is to be regarded as having taken place in prim. Italic or only in single dialects.

§ 499. Lat. b from p before voiced explosives. $ob\text{-}d\bar{u}c\bar{v}$, $ab\text{-}d\bar{u}c\bar{v}$, $sub\text{-}d\bar{u}c\bar{v}$: cp. $op\text{-}eri\bar{v}$ 'I close', $ap\text{-}eri\bar{v}$ 'I open' (cp. Skr. ar- 'move something', apa+ar- 'remove, put aside, open'), Osc. op-eizois 'apud eos'. Gr. $\check{a}\pi o$, Lat. super Gr. $\check{v}\pi o$. Hence probably original p in $optine\bar{v}$, $supp\bar{v}n\bar{v}$ etc.; $ab\text{-}ob\text{-}sub\text{-}e\bar{v}$ made by analogy; abs, $obstr\bar{u}d\bar{v}$, $obtr\bar{u}d\bar{v}$, subter etc. phonetically wrongly spelt.

Media from tenuis after nasals and before r in Umbrian. tursiandu 'terreantur'. ander 'inter'. an-dendu 'intendito' fr. *an-tenttu i. e. * $an-tend-t\bar{o}$. ivengar pl. 'iuvencae'. abrof 'apros'. subra 'supra'. adrer 'atris'. Cp. also Osc. embratur 'imperator'.

§ 500. Lat. mn fr. pn. somnu-s: sopor, Skr. sváp-na-s etc., see § 324. omni-s fr. *opni-s, to ops opēs. Cp. mn fr. bn § 506.

Lat. van (written gn) from cn already in prehistoric times. $d\bar{\imath}gnu$ -s fr. $d\bar{\imath}decno$ -s: decus; $t\bar{\imath}gnu$ -m fr. tecno-m, to Gr. tec- (cp. § 65 p. 53). $\bar{\imath}l\bar{\imath}gnu$ -s $\bar{\imath}l\bar{\imath}gnu$ -s: $\bar{\imath}lex$ -icis. $\bar{a}gna$ 'spica' (Saliar hymn), to acus 'chaff', Goth. ahana, OHG. agana 'chaff' (otherwise Kluge Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVI 88, who postulates Indg. akh-because of Gr. $\check{\alpha}\chi\nu\eta$ 'chaff, foam'). $n\bar{\imath}xu$ -s, too, fr. $ten\bar{\imath}xv$ -s (rt. $ten\bar{\imath}gh$ -, § 433 tentarrow) had passed through the stage $van\bar{\imath}xv$ -s (cp. tentarrow). Analogously Umbr. tentarrow ku-nikas 'conixus'). On tentarrown fr. tentarrow (with original media) cp. what is said in § 506.

Cp. also Lat. cygnu-s beside cycnu-s fr. Gr. κύκτος, Prognē fr. Πρόκτη, Gnössu-s fr. Κτωσσός.

Lat. mm fr. pm. summu-s: superior. So also Umbr. somo summum'.

Lat. 12m (written gm) fr. cm. $s\bar{e}gmentu-m$: $sec\bar{a}re$. Cp. 12m fr. gm with original g, § 506.

 \S 501. The change of ts to ss seems to have been prim. Italic. After a long syllable and finally ss became s in Lat.

Lat. $con-cuss\bar{\imath}$: $con-cut-i\bar{\upsilon}$. $su\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}$ fr. $*su\bar{a}ts\bar{\imath}$: $su\bar{a}d-e\bar{\upsilon}$. $sc\bar{a}la$ fr. $*scansl\bar{a}$ $*scantsl\bar{a}$: $scand\bar{\upsilon}$ (§ 208 pp. 175-176). $novi-t\bar{a}s$ fr. $*-t\bar{a}t-s$. $fer\bar{e}ns$ fr. *ferent-s. con-cors fr. *-cort-s (gen. -cord-is). That -ss=-ts had not yet fallen together with Indg. -s after nasals and liquids in Latin, follows from the contrast of $fer\bar{e}ns$ with $ped-\bar{e}s$ Indg. *-ps (§ 208 p. 175) and of con-cors ars etc. with par $f\bar{u}r$ (§ 655, 9). Cp. also the contrast of penna O.Lat. pesna fr. $*pet-sn\bar{u}$ with $p\bar{e}ni$ -s fr. *pes-ni-s (Skr. $p\acute{a}s$ -as Gr. $\pi\acute{s}os$ 'penis'), § 570.

Umbr. zeřef serse 'sedens', kutef 'cautus' ('cautens', as it were), Volsc. usif 'incendens, adolens flammis'. -f first of all fr. -p, further fr. -ns = -nts, see § 209.

Where ts (z) appears in Umbr.-Samn., it was of later origin: in Umbr. pihaz 'piatus' Osc. húrz 'hortus' etc.; in Osc. az 'ad', an extension of ad after the analogy of Ital. aps, ops, eks; in Umbr. -nts- fr. -ns- (§ 209).

The change of ts to ss was repeated in Latin. possum fr. *pot-sum, which was either a new formation after potest (fr. pote est) or had arisen by syncope (§ 633) fr. *póte-sum.

Rem. 1. Compounds like assequor fr. *atsequor (ad sequor) were formed at various periods of the language. It is difficult to say, in which examples ss had arisen regularly, and in which by analogy.

Indg. t^st apparently became ss everywhere except before r^1) in prim. Italic, thence s after long syllables. Lat. ob-sessu-s: Skr. sattá- Av. hasta-, Indg. *set*to-s i. e. *sed+to-s, rt. sed-'sit'. fassu-s: fateor. fossu-s: fodiö. vorsu-s, Umbr. trah-vorfi 'transverse' (rf from rs, cp. § 209): Skr. vrttá- 'versus', O.Bulg. vrĭsta f. 'state, situation', Indg. *urt*to-s, rt. uert- 'vertere'.

¹⁾ And except finally? Cp. Lat. ēst 'eats' from rt. ed-.

morsu-s: mordeō. scānsum: scandō, cp. Skr. gerund. skanttvā. $v\bar{\iota}c\bar{e}nsimu$ -s $v\bar{\iota}c\bar{e}simus$: Bœot. $F\bar{\iota}\iota\alpha\sigma\tau\dot{o}$ -2, cpf. $^*u\bar{\iota}k\eta t^sto$ -. $v\bar{\iota}r\bar{\upsilon}su$ -s fr. $^*u\bar{\iota}so$ - $u\eta t^s$ -to-s, see § 238. $\bar{u}su$ -s $\bar{u}si\bar{\upsilon}$ fr. *oiso -s $^*oisi\bar{\upsilon}$, Pelign. oisa abl. 'usa, consumpta': oetor $\bar{u}tor$. Cp. also $f\bar{\iota}su$ -s, with which Bücheler connects Umbr. Fisiu abl. 'Fisio', from $feid\bar{\upsilon}$ $f\bar{\iota}d\bar{\upsilon}$, rt. $bhe\bar{\iota}dh$ - (§ 552).

Rem. 2. Lat. *ēstis ēste* (rt. *ed-*) for **ēsis* **ēse* after the forms of other verbs in *-tis -te. com-ēstu-s* for and beside *com-ēsu-s* after forms like *ges-tu-s*, etc.

cette, mattu-s, O.Lat. ad-gretu-s by syncope (§ 633) fr. *ced(i)te, *mad(i)to-s, *ad-gred(i)to-s; cp. alitu-s and altu-s, \(\bar{c}\)-licitu-s and al-lectu-s. Correspondingly Osc. úíttiuf 'usio, usus' fr. *oit(i)tiuf' (cp. Lat. vomiti\(\bar{o}\)). This new tt remained unchanged like the tt in attul\(\bar{t}\) fr. ad tul\(\bar{t}\). Cp. the author in Morph. Unt. III 133 f., Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 555 ff.

On the other hand t^str became str. $pedestri-s: pedit-\bar{e}s$. $assestr\bar{\iota}x$, $\bar{e}str\bar{\iota}x$ to sed-, ed-. Umbr.-Samn. examples are wanting.

Rem. 3. That the course of development was not here ssr - sr - str, as some maintain, but that the t e. g. in $\overline{e}s$ - $tr\overline{i}$ -x, was the same as that in vic- $tr\overline{i}$ -x, follows from the fact that sr did not become str but fr - br (§ 570).

The Italic treatment of Indg. t^st was precisely parallel to that of the Germanic. See § 527.

On initial s- fr. ps- and x- see § 568, 2.

Lat. If from pf: offerō, sufferō.

Osc. meddíss meddis 'meddix', cp. Lat. $j\bar{u}$ -dex; nom. pl. meddíss beside $\mu\epsilon\delta\delta\epsilon\iota\xi$ fr. *-dik-(e)s. Umbr. osatu 'operato, facito' oseto 'facta' beside Osc. úpsannam 'operandam, faciundam'.

§ 502. Prim. Ital. kt passed into χt (ht) in Umbr.-Samn., and prim. Ital. pt into ft (in Umbr. further into ht). Umbr. rehte 'recte', aan-fehtaf 'infectas', uhtur 'auctor'. Osc. saahtúm 'sanctum', Úhtavis 'Octavius'. Umbr. screhto 'scriptum', Osc. scriptas pl. 'scriptae'.

The combination kt arose anew in Umbr.-Samn. by vowel syncope (§ 633) and was now treated differently from prim. Italic kt. Osc. factud 'facito', actud 'agito'. Umbr. feitu fetu feetu 'facito', aitu 'agito', to which ar-veitu ars-veitu 'advehito' (rt. ueŷh-) joined itself.

Rem. Prim. Italic $k^{\underline{u}} = \operatorname{Indg}$, q seems to have remained an explosive both where it was followed by a t in prim. Italic, and where it later came together with t by syncope. Ose $\operatorname{Houttes}$ 'Quinctius', Indg. *pepq o- 'quintus'. Umbr. ninctu 'ninguito' (cp. § 570), fiktu 'figito' (O.Lat. tivere beside tivere, tibula fr. 'fi(q)ue- $bl\bar{u}$), umtu 'unguito' in the first instance from * $umpt\bar{o}$.

k became 's 's before e- and i-vowels in Umbrian, e. g. fa'sia 'faciat'. See § 387. How the form feia beside fa'sia is to be explained, I am unable to say. Cp. muieto 'muttitum', where i seems to express a voiced spirant (§ 508).

Osc. s fr. ti. Bansae loc. 'Bantiae', cf. Bantins 'Bantinus'.

§ 503. Loss of tenues in consonantal groups.

Lat. $posc\bar{v}$ fr. * $porc - sc\bar{v}$: prec - or (§§ 269. 288). $misce\bar{v}$ fr. * $mic - sce\bar{v}$: Skr. $mis - r\acute{a} - `mixed`$. $ill\bar{u}stri - s$ fr. *in - louc - s - tri - s: $l\bar{u}c - e\bar{v}$. $disc\bar{v}$ from * $ditcsc\bar{v}$ i. e. * $di - dc - sc\bar{v}$: $di - dic - \bar{i}$, ep. Gr. $di - dic - \bar{i}$, ep. $di - dic - \bar{i}$, ep. Gr. $di - dic - \bar$

Lat. $l\bar{u}na$, O.Lat. inscrip. losna fr. *louc-s- $n\bar{a}$: Av. $raox\bar{s}na$ -'shining, luminous'. $s\bar{v}n\bar{\imath}$ fr. * $sexn\bar{\imath}$. $s\bar{e}m\bar{e}nstri$ -s fr. *sex- $m\bar{e}nstri$ -s sub- $t\bar{e}men$ fr. *-te-men. $\bar{a}la$ fr. * $axl\bar{a}$: axilla, OS. ahsla 'axilla'. \bar{e} - $n\bar{u}nti\bar{o}$ \bar{e} - $merg\bar{o}$ \bar{e} - $lig\bar{o}$ \bar{e} - $d\bar{o}$ fr. *ex- $n\bar{u}nti\bar{o}$ etc. (cf. $d\bar{\imath}$ - $numer\bar{o}$ $d\bar{\imath}$ - $move\bar{o}$ $d\bar{\imath}$ - $lu\bar{o}$ $d\bar{\imath}$ - $d\bar{u}c\bar{o}$ fr. *dis- $numer\bar{o}$ etc.). Cp. § 570.

Lat. $muls\bar{\imath}$, $fuls\bar{\imath}$ fr. $*mulx\bar{\imath}$, $*fulx\bar{\imath}$. ursu-s fr. *urxu-s: Skr. $fk\check{\imath}a$ -s. $tors\bar{\imath}$, $spars\bar{\imath}$ fr. $*torx\bar{\imath}$, $*sparx\bar{\imath}$. ultu-s fr. *ulctu-s. tortu-s fr. *torctu-s, ep. forti-s O.Lat. forcti-s: Skr. $drdh\dot{a}$ -s (§ 295). $qu\bar{\imath}ntu$ -s (beside inscrip. Quinctu-s), but $j\bar{\imath}unctu$ -s $f\bar{\imath}unctu$ -s with c probably not without the influence of $jung\bar{\jmath}e$ $j\bar{\imath}unx\bar{\imath}$, fungor; defuntus, nantus, santo first on late inscriptions. urna fr. $*urcn\bar{a}$: urceu-s. $p\bar{a}stu$ -m from $*p\bar{a}sctu$ -m, $postul\bar{\jmath}e$ fr. $*po(r)sctul\bar{\jmath}e$, formed from the presents $p\bar{a}$ -sc $\bar{\jmath}e$ -po-sc $\bar{\jmath}e$.

l- from spl- stl- through the intermediate stage sl-. lien: Gr. $\sigma\pi\lambda\acute{\eta}\nu$. locu-s, $l\bar{\imath}s$ fr. O.Lat. stlocu-s, $stl\bar{\imath}s$. The stage $sl\bar{\imath}s$ is found twice on inscriptions. On $\bar{\imath}llc\bar{o}$ ep. § 570.

Umbr. ostendu 'ostendito' fr. *ops-t. Cp. also osatu 'operato, facito', § 501.

- § 504. The mediae generally retained their form of articulation.
 - b. Lat. bibō: Skr. pibāmi. See § 337.
- d. Lat. $d\bar{\imath}c\bar{o},$ Umbr. deitu 'dicito' Osc. deíkum 'dicere': Skr. $diś\bar{a}mi.$ See § 368.
- g. Lat. gen-us, Osc. Genetaí 'Genetrici' : Škr. jan- Av. zan-. See § 388.
- g. Lat. gelu, Osc. γελαν 'pruinam': O.Bulg. Æĕdica. Lat. unguō, Umbr. umen 'unguen' fr. *umben: Skr. añjí-š. Lat. veniō fr. *gueniō, Umbr. benust 'venerit': Gr. βαίνω, rt. gem. See §§ 430. 432.

In the Italic branch other sounds — in Lat. especially the Indg. med. asp. — fell together with the Indg. mediae. Some of the changes of articulation, discussed in the following sections, equally concerned these other sounds.

That Lat. b (= Indg. b, dy, bh, dh. gh) was spoken as b from the second century onwards, seems to follow from such inscriptional forms as quicus for quibus and cibes for cives (Corssen Ausspr. I² 131 ff., Seelmann Ausspr. 239 f.).

§ 505. A media became a tenuis, when it came to stand before a voiceless spirant or explosive. Lat. cette fr. *ce-dite etc., see § 501 rem. 2. Osc. actud 'agito', Umbr. ai tu 'agito' fr. *aktōd, prim. Ital. *agetōd, Umbr. fiktu 'figito' umtu 'unguito', see § 502. Cp. also Lat. attuli, accumbō, appellō fr. ad tulī etc.

§ 506. Lat. mn fr. bn. scammu-m: scabellu-m. Cp. mn fr. pn § 500.

vn (written gn) from gn already in prehistoric times. $l\bar{\imath}gnu$ -m fr. *legno-m: $leg\bar{o}$, see § 65 p. 53. land land legno-m: legno-m:

Rem. 1. The n instead of gn in renum, propunatori etc, on inscriptions under the Empire, is also to be explained from the pronunciation nn.

nom from gm. $\bar{a}gmen$ i. e. $\bar{a}nomen$ from *agmen. From exāmen beside $\bar{a}gmen$, the former of which points to *āgmen with originally long \bar{a} (cp. amb- $\bar{a}g\bar{e}s$, co- $\bar{a}gulum$) — *exăgmen would have become *ex-egmen, see § 97 — we may conclude that the simplification of gm to m took place regularly only before orig. long vowels, or diphthongs. With this also agree con- $t\bar{a}min$ - \bar{o} : con- $t\bar{a}giu$ -m and $j\bar{u}men$ -tu-m: Gr. ζ s $\tilde{v}\gamma\mu\alpha$ Lat. $j\bar{u}$ -ger-a. Cp. vm from cm § 500.

m from dm. caementu-m: caedō. rāmentu-m: $r\bar{a}d\bar{o}$. flāmen to Goth. $bl\bar{o}tan$ 'to honour (the deity) with offerings' or to flugrāre? cacāmen fr. *cacādmen?: Skr. kákud- 'top'.

Rem 2. I do not venture to express an opinion as to the treatment of dn in Latin, but merely refer to the conjectures by W. Meyer Kuhn's Ztschr XXVIII 164 f.

nd (which had partly arisen from md) became nn, n in Umbr.-Samn. Umbr. ponne pone Osc. pún 'quom' fr. *pon-de; Umbr. pane Osc. pan 'quam' = Lat. quan-de, see § 207. Umbr. an-penes 'impendes', pihaner 'piandi'. Osc. úpsannam 'operandam'. This change was older than that of nt to nd in Umbr. ander 'inter' (§ 499).

Umbr. umen 'unguen' fr. *umben, § 432 a.

Rem. 3. The l in the Umbr. fut. ex. en-telust 'intenderit' and a-pelus 'impenderis' a-pelus t 'impenderit' is unexplained. That the l goes back to ndf (Bücheler), is incredible to me; the composition of a participial stem *entendlo- with fust (Bechtel Bezzenb. Beitr. VII 7) is impossible.

Lat. ll fr. dl and ld. sella fr. * $sedl\bar{a}$. $sall\bar{o}$ fr. * $sald\bar{o}$. See § 369.

Loss of d before \underline{i} , \underline{u} . Lat. Jov-is, Umbr. Iuv-e Osc. Iuv-eı́ 'Jovi': Skr. $dy\bar{a}u$ - \underline{s} Gr. $Z\varepsilon v$ - \underline{s} . Concerning Osc. zicolo-m 'diem' see § 135 extr. Lat. $su\bar{a}v$ -i-s fr. * $su\bar{a}d$ -u-v-i 'suavis'.

Lat. v fr. gu = Indg. g. $v\bar{\imath}vo\text{-}s$: Osc. bivus 'vivi', Skr. $j\bar{\imath}v\acute{a}\text{-}s$ etc. See § 432b.

§ 507. Prim. Indg. $d^z dh$ became in prim. Ital. zdh - sth - sp (§ 509), hence Lat. st. Thus custos: huzd 'treasure', see § 469, 5, perhaps also hasta: Goth. gazds 'a prick'.

Rem. For Lat. $cr\bar{c}d\bar{o}$, first of all from *crezd \bar{o} (cp. $p\bar{c}d\bar{o}$ fr. *pezd \bar{o} , § 594), it would hardly be right to assume a * $k\bar{c}red^zdh\bar{c}$ -, even in Indg. since in Skr. the two members of the compound, * $k\bar{c}red$ 'heart' and rt. $dh\bar{c}$, were not yet fused into an inseparable unity (cp. e. g. * $sr\dot{c}d$ asmāi dhattu 'believe in him' rgv. II 12, 5). We must nevertheless start out from a prim. Ital. *cre(d)zdh-, cp. § 521 on O.Ir. cretim 'credo'. It may be assumed that in Italie, as in Sanskrit. the feeling for the connexion with the other forms of rt. $dh\bar{c}$ - was not yet extinct, and that d for regular \bar{p} was thus introduced after $con-d\bar{o}$ $ab-d\bar{o}$ etc. (cp. W. Meyer Kuhn's Ztsehr. XXVIII 166), just as $n\bar{o}b\bar{i}s$ stood for regular * $nosp\bar{i}s$ (§ 594).

§ 508. l from d in Lat. $l\bar{e}vir$: Skr. $d\bar{e}v\acute{a}r$ - and others. See § 369.

ř, rs from d in Umbrian between vowels and finally, e. g. te-řa di-rsa 'det.' See § 369.

In Umbr. muieto 'muttitum' beside mugatu imper. 'muttito' (Lat. $m\bar{u}g\bar{\tau}nor$ 'I murmur') i probably represents a voiced spirant. It may be conjectured that palatal vowels had a similar influence upon a preceding g as they had upon a preceding k (§ 502).

§ 509. The mediae aspiratae became tenues asp. in prim. Ital., then voiceless affricatae, and lastly voiceless spirants: f, p, χ , $\chi \chi^{u}$. Probably in the same period p was further shifted to t after s and the non-labialised χ to h except after and before nasals and before r and l.

This state of the form of articulation generally remained in Umbr.-Samn., whereas medially the spirants were developed to mediae in Lat.: b fr. f = older f (§ 338) p (§ 370) χ^u (§ 433c); d fr. p = older p (§ 370); g fr. χ = older χ (§ 389. 430); ngu(ngu) fr. $n\chi^u$ = older $n\chi^u$ (§ 433a)*; gu (whence v) between vowels from χ^u = older χ^u (§ 433b). Further, initially, g from χ before r (§ 430).

1. Initially.

Prim. Ital. f (Lat. Umbr.-Samn. f) = Indg. bh. Lat. $fer\bar{v}$, Umbr. ferar 'feratur' Marruc. feret 'feret': Skr. $bh\acute{a}r\bar{a}mi$. See § 338.

Prim. It. f (Lat. Umbr.-Samn. f) = Indg. dh. Lat. $f\bar{e}l\bar{a}re$, Umbr. feliuf 'lactantes': Skr. $dh\acute{a}y\bar{a}mi$. See § 370.

Prim. Italic h (Lat. U.-S. h) = Indg. gh gh except before r. Lat. humu-s, Umbr. hondra Osc. huntru 'infra': Gr. $\chi a\mu al$, Indg. gh-. Lat. hosti-s: O.Bulg. $gost \check{\imath}$, Indg. gh-. A dialectical (Sabine) pronunciation of the h was represented by f: folus, fost is. See §§ 389. 430.

Prim. It. χr (Lat. gr) = Indg. ghr. Lat. gradior: Goth. gridi- O.Bulg. greda. See § 430.

Prim. It. f (Lat. f) from $\chi^u = \text{Indg. } gh$. Lat. $formu-s: \text{Skr. } gharm\acute{a}-s$, Goth. varmjan. See § 433 c.

2. Medially.

Prim. It. f (Lat. b, U.-S. f) = Indg. bh. Lat. ti- $b\bar{\imath}$, Umbr. te-fe Osc. t(i)-fei 'tibi' : Skr. tii-bhyam. See § 338.

Prim. It. p (Lat. d, Osc. f) = Indg. dh except before and after r (however in the combination rpu), before l and after u (u), perhaps also after m. Lat. mediu-s, Osc. mefiaí in media: Skr. midhya-s. See § 370.

Prim. It. f (Lat. b, U.-S. f) = Indg. dh before and after r (except the combination rpu), before l and after u (u), perhaps also after m. Lat. rubro-, Umbr. rufru 'rubros': Gr. $\dot{\epsilon} \rho v \theta \rho \dot{\epsilon}$ -s. See § 370.

Prim. It. t (Lat. t) fr. \bar{p} = Indg. dh after s. Lat. $cust\bar{o}s$: Goth. huzd, Indg. * kud^sdh -. See §§ 469, 5. 507. Cp. also Lat. st fr. $s\bar{p}$ = Indg. sth in $v\bar{\imath}dist\bar{\imath}$ § 553.

Prim. It. h (Lat. U.-S. h) = Indg. $\widehat{g}h$ except after and before nasals and before l. Lat. $veh\overline{o}$, Osc. vehia 'plaustrum': Skr. $v\acute{a}h\bar{a}mi$. See § 389. This early weakening of χ to h, in this position, explains why the explosive g does not appear in Latin.

Prim. It. χ (Lat. g) = Indg. $\hat{g}h$ (gh) after and before nasals and before l. Lat. $ling\bar{o}$: Skr. $l\acute{e}hmi$, rt. leigh-. $ming\bar{o}$ beside $m\bar{e}j\bar{o}$ (§ 510). $m\bar{a}gnu$ -s beside $m\bar{a}jor$ (§ 510). $tr\bar{a}gula$ fr. $tr\bar{a}gl\bar{a}$ beside $trah\bar{o}$. See § 389.

Rem. 1. The g (instead of h) in magis and $lig\bar{u}ri\bar{o}$ was perhaps transferred from $m\bar{u}gnu$ -s and $ling\bar{o}$. But whence the g in ad- $\bar{u}giu$ -m $pr\bar{o}d$ -igiu-m, to $\bar{u}j\bar{o}$ (§ 510)?

Prim. It. χ^{u} (Lat. gu) = Indg. gh after v. Lat. ninguit: Lith. sninga. See § 433 a.

Prim. It. χ^{μ} (Lat. * $g\mu$, whence v) = Indg. gh between sonantal vowels. Lat. niv-em: Gr. $v'\varphi$ -a. See § 433b.

Rem. 2. The forms levi-s (Skr. laghú-š, Gr. $\lambda \lambda \alpha \chi \dot{\nu}$ -s, Goth. leihts, Lith. le $\tilde{n}gv$ -as) and brevis (Gr. $\beta \varrho \alpha \chi \dot{\nu}$ -s) still remain obscure.

Prim. It. f (Lat. b, Praenest. f) fr. $\chi^u = \text{Indg. } gh$. Lanuv. nebrundin-es, Praenest. nefrōn-es: Gr. $\nu \varepsilon q \varrho \delta - \varsigma$ OHG. nioro. See § 433 c.

 \S 510. The fricative sound of h was reduced to a minimum in the Italic languages. In certain positions the sound was entirely dropped.

The weakness of articulation betrays itself in Latin through the doubt which existed as to where h should be written, and where not, e. g. $h\bar{a}l\bar{a}re$ for $\bar{a}l\bar{a}re$ (§ 208 p. 176), $\bar{a}nser$ for $h\bar{a}nser$ (Gr. $\chi\dot{\eta}\nu$).

h disappeared uniformly before i. $m\bar{a}jor$ fr. $*mahi\bar{o}r$ (beside $m\bar{a}gnu$ -s): Skr. $m\acute{a}h\bar{\imath}yas$ - 'greater'. $\bar{a}j\bar{o}$ fr. $*ahi\bar{o}$ or $*ahi\bar{o}$ (beside $ax\bar{a}re$ 'to call to, nominare'): Skr. $\acute{a}ha$ 'he spoke'. Further, often between vowels, especially after i-vowels (accentual relations also formed a factor). lien: Skr. $pl\bar{\imath}h\acute{a}n$ - 'spleen' Gr. $\sigma\pi\lambda\acute{a}\gamma\chi ro$ -v 'entrails'. $m\bar{e}j\bar{o}$ probably from * $meih\bar{o}$: Skr. $m\acute{e}h\bar{a}mi$ 'I make water'. $b\bar{\imath}mu$ -s fr. *bi-himu-s. $n\bar{e}m\bar{o}$ fr. *ne- $hem\bar{o}$. Further $n\bar{\imath}l$ = nihil, $pr\bar{e}nd\bar{o}$ = $prehend\bar{o}$, $praebe\bar{o}$ = $praehibe\bar{o}$, $c\bar{o}rs$ = cohors, $pr\bar{o}be\bar{o}$ = $pr\bar{o}hibe\bar{o}$ etc., which stand on a level with $d\bar{e}sse$ = $d\bar{e}esse$, $coep\bar{\imath}$ = $co\bar{e}p\bar{\imath}$.

Cp. also $diribe\bar{o} = dis + habeo$ with the same r from s as $dir-im\bar{o}$ etc. (§ 569).

The same fluctuation in the writing of h-exists in Umbr.-Samn. as in Lat. Umbr. eretu part. pass. to heri 'vult', conversely he- for e- 'ex' in he-bet-af-e beside e-bet-raf-e 'in exitus' (to Lat. baetere, cp. § 432 rem. 1). Osc. Herukinaí Erycinae, 'Equality'.

It was dropped medially in Osc. mais 'magis' maimas gen. 'maximae' beside Mahii[s] 'Magius'.

§ 511. In Lat. the b, d, g, which arose from voiceless spirants, underwent the same treatment as the corresponding original mediae. grallae 'stilts' fr. *grad-lae, see § 369. amni-s fr. *abni-s, along with O.Ir. abann 'river' is probably to be connected with Skr. abhrá- 'thunder-cloud'; Samniu-m beside Sabīnu-s Osc. Safinim 'Samnitum', see § 506.

Old Irish.

- \S 512. The tenues except p were generally retained in prim. Kelt. p disappeared at that period in all positions except before consonants and before consonants it became a spirant.
- t, c appear in Irish as the successors of prim. Kelt. t, k ku (Indg. t, \hat{k} q) in absolute initiality and after spirants and r, l.

temen 'dark-gray': Skr. támas-. tiagaim 'I stride, go': Gr. στείχω, rt. steigh-. ocht 'eight': Gr. ολιώ. t-pret. al-t 'educavit'. See § 371.

cride 'heart': Lith. szirdì-s. cara 'friend': Lett. kârs. cethir 'four': Gall. petor-ritum, Skr. catvár-as. scāth 'shadow': Goth. skadu-s. iasc 'fish' (a-stem): Lat. pisci-s Goth. fiska-. ro sescaind 'he leapt': Skr. caskánda. scēl 'narration. tidings': Cymr. chwedl fr. prim. Kelt. *sky-e-tlo-n (Cymr. chw- first fr. *sy-), rt. seq-'say'. sesc 'barren': Cymr. hysp 'dry, withered', Lat. siccu-s (cp. § 516). ad-con-darc 'I saw': Skr. dadárša, rt. derĥ-. orc 'pig': Lith. pařsza-s, cpf. *porĥo-s. See §§ 390. 434. 436.

- § 513. t, c became voiced mediae after nasals, then the nasal disappeared (with compensation lengthening). The letters t, c were retained in the O.Ir. period. It was not until a later period that the orthography was set straight by the introduction of d, g. $c\bar{e}t$ 'hundred': Cymr. cant, Lat. centu-m. $\bar{o}ac$ $\bar{o}c$ 'young': Cymr. ieuanc, Lat. juvencu-s. See § 212.
- § 514. After vowels t c became p, χ , which were written th, ch. Hence d, d in unaccented syllables (except finally). These were written d, d, like the voiced spirants which had arisen from original mediae (§ 522). d, d however occur consistently only for the palatalised d, d.

māthir mother': Lat. māter. cath 'fight': Gall. catu- (in prop. names), OHG. hadu- 'fight'. cloth 'renowned': Gr. κλυτό-ς. 2. pl. carthe, no charid, to caraim 'I love'; cp. the personal endings Gr. -τε Lat. -tis. 3. sg. pass. car-thir 'amatur' midi-dir 'iudicatur': cp. Lat. -tur. beothu 'life' gen. bethad dat. bethid, suffix *-tūt-: Lat. -tūt-.

fiche 'twenty': O.Cymr. uceint, Skr. višatí-. sechur 'sequor' rt. seg-. marc-uch 'equester': Cymr. march-awc, Gall. Benācu-s; cumacht-ach 'potens', 1. sg. cumacht-aigim 'potior'. cathrach gen., cathr-aig acc., to nom. cathir 'town'.

On the like origin of voiceless spirants in conditional initiality, e. g. ā thuath 'O folk', see § 658, 1.

Rem. 1. On mac 'son', mucc 'pig', cacc 'dirt', lēicim 'I leave', whose 'status durus' is remarkable, see § 436 rem.

Rem. 2. The spirants of the prepositions aith- 'against' (Gall. ati-) and frith- 'towards' became assimilated to the initial consonant of the following word, when the preposition had the chief accent. tht to tt: ni frittait 'non obsistunt'. the to cc (c): teecomnocuir 'accidit' fr. *to-aith-connocuir, freendaire 'present' fr. *frith-con-daire. thy to gy (written c or cc, cp. § 519): freere 'responsum' from 'frith-gaire, ēcne 'cognitio, sapientia' fr. *aith-yne (cp. Thurneysen Revue Celt. VI 320). taccair tacair 'congruus, decens' fr. "to-ath-gair. thb to bb (written p, cp. §§ 519. 524): epert 'speech' fr. *aith-bert.

§ 515. pt probably became cht even in prim. Kelt. O.Ir. secht Mid.Cymr. seith 'seven': Lat. septem. See § 339.

Indg. pn in O.Ir. suan Cymr. hun 'sleep': Skr. svápna-s Gr. $\tilde{v}\pi\nu o$ - ς etc. It is highly probable that p was here also no longer an explosive in prim. Kelt., see § 339 rem.

§ 516. tk became sk in Kelt. O.Ir. sesc 'barren' Cymr. hysp 'dry', fr. *siskuo-s: Lat. siccu-s, orig. form *sitqo-s. O.Ir. mesc 'intoxicating, drunk': Skr. $m\acute{a}da$ - drunkenness'. lesc 'piger': Goth. lats 'lazy'. uisce 'water': Skr. $ud\acute{a}n$ - $udak\acute{a}$ - 'water'. Here belongs also O.Ir. brisc Bret. bresk 'brittle', in case it comes from the rt. bherdh-, § 298. Cp. Av. pk, sc from prim. Arth, tc § 473, 2 and Germ. sk fr. tk § 527. From the Gallic belong perhaps also here $Pruscia\ Pru\'{a}ca$. (d'Arbois de Jubainville Études grammaticales sur les langues celtiques I p. 31* ff.).

The $\mathfrak D$ in the latter form denotes here, as also elsewhere, a voiceless spirant.

ss (whence further also s) from ts in the prim. Kelt. period. O.Ir. 1. pl. of the s-fut. messimir to midiur 'I judge'. Fut. ro-fessur 'I shall know', rt. ucid-. Future stem sēs- fr. *suents-i. e. *suend+s- (suend- 'drive, hunt') 1. sg. cu-du-sē[s]-sa, fut. sec. 1. sg. du-sēsainn (Thurneysen Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII 148).

ss from t^st . inf. mess 'a judging'. orig. form "met'stu- i. e. med+tu-. Inf. fss 'a knowing' i. e. "uid+tu. It is uncertain whether this change be prim. Kelt., because of the Gallic spellings with θ . as MED θ VLVS beside Messulus (to O.Ir. mess).

O.Ir. -ss- fr. -st-. ro chloss 'was heard' fr. *klus-to-, cluas 'ear' fr. *kleys-tā- or *kloys-tā-, to cloor 'I hear', cp. Skr. śruṣ-tɨ-ṣ̄ 'compliance', OHG. hlos-ēn 'to listen' OS. hlus-t 'a hearing', O.Bulg. sluchŭ 'a hearing'. ais aes (gen. aisso aisa) 'age' fr. *āiues-tu-: cp. Gr. aiές 'always'. is 'is': Gr. ĕστι. tair-issiur I stand, stand still', sessum 'a standing': Gr. γστημι 'I place', Lat. sisto.

§ 517. kt became cht (χt) in prim. Kelt. O.Ir. ocht Cymr. wyth Corn. eath Bret. eiz 'eight': Skr. asta. Gr. $\delta z\tau \omega$. recht 'law' $as-r-\bar{e}racht$ 'surrexit': Lat. $r\bar{e}ctu$ -s, rt. reg-. ro iar-facht 'he asked' (pres. iarmi-foig 'he asks'): Gr. $s\pi os$, Lat. $v\bar{o}x$, rt. ueg-. in-nocht 'hac nocte': Lith. nakti-s.

Rem. c/ was often written instead of cht in O.Ir.: $oct\ rect$ etc. That $cht\ (\chi t)$ was nevertheless spoken, is shown by Thurneysen Keltoromanisches p. 14 f, where the stage cht is also found for Gallic in the name $Lu\chi terios$ on coins (written Lucterius in Caesar).

ks = O.Ir. ss, s, Brit. ch, prim. Kelt. probably chs (zs). O.Ir. sessed O.Cymr. chuechet 'sextus': Gr. &\$\xi\$, orig. f. *sueks. O.Ir. dess Cymr. deheu 'dexter': Goth. taihsva, Indg. *deks-O.Ir. \(\bar{o}s\) uas Cymr. uch 'above': Lith. \(\delta uksz\)-ta-s 'high', rt. augnotes 'effugiam' s-fut. to techim 'fugio', Lith. tekù 'I run', rt. teq-x, xs, probably to be read as zs, appears in Gall.: Uxello-d\(\overline{u}num\) 'Hightown', to O.Ir. \(\overline{o}s\) uus; Dexsiva, to O.Ir. dess.

§ 518. t and c were dropped before liquids and nasals (with 'compensation lengthening' after short vowels, § 620). $cen\bar{e}l$ 'race': O.Cymr. cenetl, suffix -tlo- (§ 110 p. 104). $an\bar{a}l$ 'breath':

Cymr. anadl, prim. Kelt. *anatlā. dāl 'a meeting together': O.Cymr. datl 'forum'. ēn 'bird': O.Bret. etn, rt. pet- 'fly'. dēr 'tear': O.Bret. dacr Gr. δάκον. Reduplic. fut. 1. sg. cēl, to celim 'I conceal'. Cp. also suan 'sleep' § 339 rem.

rct (rcht) was simplified to rt: ro ort 'delevit', part. timmorte 'compressus', to orgun 'occisio' (rt. ergh-, ep. § 552).

Correspondingly rcs to rs, whence rr (cp. § 574):3. sg. of the s-fut. orr, of the same verb.

- § 519. The mediae generally preserved their form of articulation in prim. Kelt. In O.Ir. they remained mediae in absolute initiality likewise after r and l, g also after nasals. These medial mediae were also written (bb), dd, gg or (p), t, c after r and l, in order to indicate that they were not to be spoken as spirants (§ 522) 1).
- d. dēr 'tear' : O.Bret. dacr, Gr. δάzου. cerd cerdd cert 'artist, smith' : Cymr. cerdd 'art', Gr. κέρδος 'gain', Lat. cerdō 'workman'. See § 372.
- g, g. gein 'birth': Cymr. geni, Skr. jun- Av. zan-, rt. gen-gāir 'call': Cymr. gawr, Skr. gir-, rt. gar-. biu 'alive': Cymr. byw, Goth. qius Lith. gýva-s, Indg. *gੱ-μο-s. garg gargg 'rough, wild': Gr. γοργό-ς 'spirited, wild'. serg sergg serc 'a passing away, decay, illness': according to Windisch to OS. swercan 'to become obscure, gloomy'. ferg ferc 'anger': Gr. ὀργή 'impulse, feeling, disposition'. bongaim 'I break, reap': Skr. babhánja 'he broke'. See §§ 391. 434. 437.
 - g remained also after d = Indg. z, see § 521.
- § 520. The media d in the prep. ad- == Lat. ad was assimilated to a following voiceless consonant, e. g. -acciu 'I see' fr. ad+ciu.

mb, nd became mm, nn. The O.Ir. monuments frequently preserve mb, nd beside mm, nn, probably contrary to the then living pronunciation. camm 'crooked': Cymr. cam 'crooked' Bret. kamm 'crooked' Gall. cambo-, Gr. σxaμβό-ς

¹⁾ An example for $b,\,bb,\,p=$ Indg. b is wanting. But cp. orbe with Indg. bh § 524.

'crooked'. ro se-scaind 'he leapt': Lat. scandō, Skr. skánd-ā-mi. cenn cend 'head, top, point': Cymr. penn pen Corn. pen, prim. Kelt. *kyindo-, according to Windisch (Kuhn-Schleicher's Beitr. VIII 44) to Skr. śvi- 'to swell' and identical with Gr. Ilívôo-s. mennant mendat Mid.Ir. 'dwelling': Skr. mandirá-m 'lodging, habitation'. Cp. § 525.

Ir. mn from bn (= Indg. bn, gn). fu-domain 'deep', compar. fu-dumnu: Cymr. dwfn 'deep', Goth. diups Lith. dubu-s 'deep', rt. dheub- (§ 325); with these is said to be related O.Ir. domun 'world', Gall. Dumno- $r\bar{\iota}x$, older Dubno- $r\bar{\iota}x$. $mn\bar{a}$ fr. * $bn\bar{a}s$, gen. to ben 'woman', Indg. stem form * $gn\bar{a}$ - * $gen\bar{a}$ -, see §§ $428\,a$. $437\,a$.

§ 521. O.Ir. net nett Cymr. nyth 'nest' fr. Indg. *nizdo- through the intermediate stage *neddo-. Cp. medy Cymr. maidd 'whey', fr. *medgā, older *mezgā, Gallo-Lat. mesga 'whey', according to Thurneysen Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII 152 to Slav. mozgǔ etc., hence uncertain, whether Indg. zg or zgh (§ 450). See § 595.

It seems to follow from Cymr. credu that Indg. * \hat{k} red dh \bar{e} -, which is to be presupposed for cretim 'credo' and the Skr. and Lat. related forms (§ 507 rem.). had not passed through *kredzd-to *krezd- in prim. Kelt., but that the nearest older form *kredd-rather came direct from *kred d(k)-.

Here may further be mentioned *ro-fetar* 'I have experienced, know' fr. rt. *ueid-*, which Thurneysen Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 174, XXVIII 151 regards as an s-aorist: **uides-ar* gave rise to **fedšar* (§ 634), and this to *fetar*, since d remained an explosive before s, or h (§ 576).

- § 522. b, d, g became spirants after vowels: b, d, g. The letters b, d, g were generally retained for these (bh, dh, gh) in the modern orthography), on the other hand a double media or tenuis was written in cases where the sound had remained explosive (§ 519). ph f, th, ch were more seldom used to express b, d, g (Zeuss-Ebel Gr. C. p. 62 sq.).
 - b. ebaim 'I drink': Skr. pibāmi. See § 340.
- d. cride 'heart' : Gr. Ion. χραδ-ίη. adfiadaim 'I announce' : Skr. $v\bar{e}d\acute{a}y\bar{a}mi$. See § 372.

 \hat{g} , g. Imper. agat 'agant': Lat. $ag\bar{o}$, rt. $a\hat{g}$ -. Gen. tige 'of a house': Gr. $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\epsilon}o\varepsilon$, rt. steg-. See §§ 391. 434.

These voiced spirants probably became voiceless when final, cp. tech beside teg, camaiph beside cammaib 'notwithstanding' etc.

On the spirantal pronunciation of b, d, g in conditional initiality see \S 658, 1.

§ 523. Loss of d, g (with 'compensation lengthening' after short vowels) before liquids and nasals. $\bar{a}r$ 'battle, slaughter, butchery': Cymr. aer fr. *agro-, to O.Ir. $\bar{a}g$ (gen. $\bar{a}ga$) 'battle', Gr. $\dot{a}\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu$, rt. $a\hat{g} \bar{a}m$ 'manus hostium' fr. *agmen or * $\bar{a}gmen$: Lat. $\bar{a}gmen\ ex\cdot\bar{a}men$, rt. $a\hat{g}-$ (cp. § 506). Perf. $ro\ g\bar{e}nar$ 'natus sum' fr. *ge-gn-, rt. gen-. uan 'lamb': Cymr. oen, Lat. $\bar{a}gnu-s$ with Indg. a, see § 437 c.

ng = Indg. ng had been dropped before n in buain 'a harvesting, reaping', inf. to <math>bongaim 'I break, reap' (§ 519).

Assimilation of ng to following m with lengthening of the preceding vowel. $c\bar{e}imm$ 'a striding, step', to cingim 'I stride' (to Gr. $\sigma z \alpha \zeta \omega$ 'I limp'?). Analogously $gr\bar{e}imm$ 'progressus', to in-grennim 'I pursue' fr. grend- with original dh, § 526.

§ 524. The mediae aspiratae fell together with the mediae in prim. Keltic, as in Iranian (§ 481) and in Baltic-Slavonic (§§ 542. 549). The laws, which obtain for the Indg. mediae (§§ 519—523), thus operated here also.

Media appears initially after r and l, g also after $n(\mathfrak{P})$: bh. biu 'sum': Lat. $f\bar{\iota}\bar{o}$, rt. bheu-. $orbe\ orbe\ orpe$ 'hereditas': Goth. arbi 'heritage', Gr. $\delta o q av \delta - \varsigma$ 'left, orphan'. See § 341.

dh. dī-th Mid.Ir. 'he sucked': Skr. dháyāmi. ard ardd art 'high, great, noble', to which probably also Arduenna silva: Skr. ūrdhvá-s. meld-ach mellt-ach 'acceptus, gratus': OS. mildi 'mild, kind, gracious'. See § 373.

 $\hat{g}h$, gh. gam 'winter': Lith. $\check{z}\check{e}m\grave{a}$. in-grennim 'I pursue': O.Bulg. $gr\ell da$ 'I come'. orgun orgun orcun 'a laying waste, devastating, killing, predatory excursion', Gall. Orgeto- $r\bar{\iota}x$: Skr $ggh\bar{a}yati$ 'trembles with passion, rages, raves', OHG. arg 'that which is worthless, vile, bad'. cum-ung 'narrow': Gr. $\check{a}\gamma\chi\iota$, rt. $a\hat{n}\hat{g}h$ -. esc-ung 'eel': Lat. angui-s. See §§ 392. 434. 438.

§ 525. Ir. mm, nn from mb, nd = Indg. mbh, ndh, ep. § 520. imm, imb 'about, around': Gall. ambi-, Gr. auqi. immlind 'umbilicum': Gr. auqai-6-auqai-6-auqai-6-auqai-6-auqai-1. It is doubtful whether bonn bond 'solea' belongs here or to § 520, since this word seems to have had in prim. Indg. partly dh (Skr. budhna-s) and partly dh (Gr. au^ivba) (§ 469, 8). mm ir. mb = Indg. ngh in imm imb 'butter', see § 438 b.

A sure example for mn fr. bn = Indg. bhn (or ghn) is unknown to me. tamun 'stem' may belong to Skr. stambh-, with which it is generally connected, but the root had in prim. Indg. partly bh, and partly b (see § 469, 8), besides we probably ought to start out here from an original mb(h)n (cp. buain with ngn § 523).

To the form *net* 'nest' (§ 521) corresponds *brot* 'prick' fr. prim. Kelt. "brozdo-s, the d of which was Indg. dh, as is shown by Ags. brord O.Icel. broddr 'prick'. See § 595.

§ 526. b, d, g as spirants, cp. § 522.

bh. tri-b 'tribus' : Skr. -bhiš. See § 341.

dh. riad 'a riding' : O.Icel. rīđa. See § 373.

 $\hat{g}h$, gh. ligur 'tongue': Lith. $l\ddot{e}\ddot{z}i\dot{u}$, rt. $lei\hat{g}h$ -. lige 'bed': O.Bulg. lega, rt. legh-. snigid 'it drops': Gr. rtqe, rt. sneigh-. See §§ 392. 434. 438.

Dropping of b, g, as in § 523. Reduplic. fut. do- $b\bar{e}r$ (do-biur 'I give', rt. bher- 'carry, bear') fr. *bebr-. $n\bar{e}l$ 'cloud' fr. *neblo- : OHG. nebul O.Icel. nifl-, Gr. $vs\varphi\acute{\epsilon}\lambda\eta$. $f\bar{e}n$ 'waggon, cart' : O.Icel. vagn 'waggon', rt. $ue\hat{g}h$ -.

grēimm fr. *grend-men has already been mentioned in § 523.

Germanic.

§ 527. History of the tenues.

p, k (ky) before t and s became f, χ (χ^{y}) in prim. Germ. similarly as in Iran. (§ 473), Umbr.-Samn. (§ 502) and Keltic (§§ 339. 515. 517 1).

¹⁾ Cp. also Mod.Gr. έφτά κόφτω, όχτω νύχτα.

Goth. hliftu-s 'thief': Gr. ελέπτη-ς. OHG. nift 'neptis', privigna': Lat. nepti-s. OHG. wafsa 'wasp': Lith. vapsà 'horse-fly', O.Bulg. vosa 'wasp' fr. *μορsā (§ 545). OHG. refsan 'to scourge, punish, blame', O.Icel. refsa; prim. Germ. *rafsiana-n: Skr. rápas-n. 'bodily injury, violation'.

Goth. raihts OHG. reht 'right': Gr. ὀρεκτό-3 'stretched out', cpf. *reĥtó-s, rt. reŷ-. Goth. nahts OHG. naht 'night': Lat. nox noctis etc., orig. f. *noqti- *noqt-. Goth. fimftu- 'quintus': Gr. πέμπτο-3, see § 444 a.e. Goth. saihs OHG. sehs 'six': Gr. εξ, cpf. *sueĥs.

Rem. 1. Goth. $ni\bar{p}ji$ -s 'cousin, relation', O.Icel. $ni\bar{d}r$ 'descendant' pl. $ni\bar{d}jar$, Ags. $ni\bar{d}\bar{d}as$ pl. 'homines' fr. prim. Germ. $ni\bar{p}\underline{i}a$ - and this from $*ne(p)t\underline{i}o$ - in consequence of the combination of three consonants. Similarly Ved. dat. abl. pl. $ni\bar{d}biyas$ from *n.bid-biyas i. e. *nept-biyas.

The shifting of ts and tPt began simultaneously or even earlier; we put the latter for the prim. Germ. period in place of t^st , see § 469, 4.

ts became ss, s. OHG. OS. wissun O.Icel. visso vissu (Goth. vissēdun) 'they knew', probably to Hom. iour, cpf. *witsýt i. e. *wid+s+wt (Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 398. 561). OHG. zan 'tooth' fr. prim. Germ. *tans, Indg. *dont-s, cp. Skr. dán fr. *dants (§ 647, 7), Gr. òδοίς. Cp. also Goth. ana-busns 'command' fr. *butsni-, to ana-biudan 'to bid, command', rt. bheydh-(§ 552).

tht became ss except before r, whence s after a long syllable. Goth. ga-qissi- (nom. ga-qiss) 'agreement', to qihan. Goth. us-viss 'unbound', to vihan. OHG. gi-wis 'certain, sure' adv. gi-wisso: Gr. α΄-ιστο-ς 'unseen, unknown', cpf. *μit*tó-s i. e. *μid+to-, rt. μeid-. Ags. O.Icel. sess m. 'seat': part. Skr. sattá-Av. hasta- Lat. ob-sessu-s, Indg. *set*tó-s, rt. sed-. Goth. missa-in missa-dēhs 'misdeed', OHG. missen O.Icel. missa 'to miss', to OHG. mīdan 'to avoid, intermit': Lat. mitto fr. *mītō (§ 612), part. missus.

s from ss after long syllables. Goth. un-veis (gen. -veisis) 'unknowing', OHG. $w\bar{\imath}s$ O.Icel. $v\bar{\imath}s$ -s 'wise': Lat. $v\bar{\imath}su$ -s, orig. form * $u\bar{\imath}t^sto$ -s, rt. ueid-. OHG. $\bar{u}s$ n. 'carrion': Lat. $\bar{e}su$ -m, orig. f. * $\bar{e}t^sto$ -, rt. ed- 'eat'.

The proper names Chattus (with Lat. ending) OHG. Hassi Hasso (to Skr. śad- 'to distinguish oneself, Gr. Dor. $zeza\delta \mu \dot{e}ro\varsigma$?) and Lango-Bard. Tatto Tasso i. e. 'regulator, distributor' (Goth. ungatass 'disordered' Ags. tass 'acervus, congeries frugum', Gr. $\delta a\tau \dot{e}o\mu a\iota$) seem to show that tPt had become ss, s through the intermediate stage tP.

st arose before r. Goth. $bl\bar{o}streis$ 'sacrifice' OHG. bluostar 'sacrifice', to Goth. $bl\bar{o}tan$ 'to sacrifice'. Ags. $f\bar{o}stor$ O.Icel. $f\bar{o}str$ 'maintenance, support', to Goth. $f\bar{o}djan$ 'to feed, nourish', Gr. $\pi u \tau \acute{e}o\mu u$ 'I eat'. The course of development seems to have been tl, pt, st.

Rem. 2. The Lat. development (cp. § 501 rem. 3) renders it improbable that the course was ssr, sr, str (cp. § 580), as is assumed by Kluge Paul-Braune's Beitr. IX 150. 157.

Rem. 3. Where st occurs in other positions than before r, they are new formations, e. g. Goth. káupasta pret. of káupatjan 'to box one's ears', OHG. wista beside wissa 'I knew', wurst 'sausage' from rt. yert-'turn' (after virlusi 'loss' etc.). Cp. § 501 rem. 2.

tk became sk (cp. § 516). OHG. rasc 'quick, active, strong' O.Icel. ryskr 'bold, brave' (Goth. *rasqs), prim. Germ. *raskya-z fr. *ratkya-z, to OHG. rad 'wheel'; O.Icel. lqskr 'soft, slack, loose', prim. Germ. *latkya-z, to Goth. lats 'weary'; on the suffix -kya- = Indg. -qo- ep. § 419. OHG. Ags. horse 'quick, smart, prudent' O.Icel. horskr 'prudent, wise' Goth. and-hruskan 'to investigate, examine', probably to Goth. hard-u-s 'hard' Gr. zρατ-ν-ς 'strong', orig. form *kyt-ko-, ep. also Mid.English harsk Dan. harsk 'rough, hard'. O.Icel. beiskr 'sharp', to Goth. báit-ra- 'biting, bitter'. Here probably also Ags. tusc or tūsc 'tusk, tooth' (see Kluge Paul-Braune's Beitr. VIII 537) = Goth. *tunska-, with which Skr. a-datka- 'toothless' may be compared, although this was a Skr. new formation.

h had disappeared in OHG. before s+consonant. mist 'dung': Goth. maihstu-s. wast 'growth': Goth. vahsts. Cp. Kögel Paul-Braun's Beitr. VII 193 ff.

§ 528. A general shifting of the still remaining tenues to voiceless spirants, e. g. Indg. *pətér- 'father' to *fapér-, and *péku 'cattle' to *féxu, probably did not take place until after

the tenues had modified their original manner of articulation in the position before t, s (p) and k (p) p (p).

The only exception to this law is that the tenues stood in combination with preceding spirants: sp, st (= Indg. st and = Indg. t^st before r, see § 527). ft (= Indg. pt and qt, see § 527), zt (= Indg. $\hat{k}t$ and qt. see § 527), sk (= Indg. $s\hat{k}$, sq and Indg. tq, see § 527). Cp.:

Goth. speivan OHG. spīwan 'to vomit': Lith. spiauju etc., see § 342. Goth. OHG. ist 'is': Gr. sσn, Indg. *és-ti. Goth. ga-kusts 'a trying, testing': Skr. jūšti-š, Indg. *ĝus-ti-s. Goth. blōstreis 'sacrificer' OHG. bluostur 'sacrifice, offering' with str = Indg. t*tr. Goth. bliftu-s 'thief': Gr. κλέπτη-ς. Goth. fimfta-'quintus': Gr. πέμπτο-ς, Indg. *penqto-s. Goth. raihts OHG. reht 'right': Gr. ὀφεκτό-ς, epf. *rekto-s. Goth. nahts OHG. naht 'night': Lith. naktì-s, Indg. *noqti-s. Goth. skeinan OHG. scīnan 'to shine': Gr. σκά, weak rt. form skī-, see § 393. Goth. us-skava- 'considerate, sober', OHG. scouwōn 'to look, see': Skr. kav-i-š 'seer, teacher' weak rt. form sqā-, see §§ 439. 589, 3. O.Icel. loskr 'soft, tender, slack', orig. f. *lət-qo-s, rt. lēd-, see § 527.

Rem. 1. The combination skl- probably lost its k already in the prim. Germ. period. OHG. sliozan 'to shut' OS. slutil 'key': Gr. $\varkappa\lambda\eta l\varsigma$ etc., see § 425.

Rem. 2. sd, fd, hd are also written for medial st, ft, ht in OHG. Franconian monuments. See Kögel Üb. d. Keron. Glossar p. 70 f., Zu den Murbacher Denkm. (Leipz. 1883) 13 ff. On the value of the spellings sg, sch beside sk, sc see Kögel in the first named treatise p. 93, Braune Ahd. Gramm. p. 127.

It is however quite possible that the tenues first underwent the same affection after spirants as in other cases and then after that became explosives again; that is Indg. *ésti became *isħi and then *isti (Goth. ist). Cp. 2. sg. last (lisan 'to collect, gather') fr. *las-ħa (§ 541, 7), OHG. cumft fr. *kumfħi- (§§ 214. 529) and Goth. huzd 'treasure' fr. *huzda-n (§ 538).

§ 529. The voiceless spirants in *faper- 'father' etc., which had arisen according to § 528, remained voiceless spirants initially in prim. Germ., and also medially if the sonant next preceding

received the principal accent (according to the old Indg. accentuation, \S 686). The fricative sound of χ was reduced initially before vowels, and perhaps also medially under certain circumstances (not before consonants).

Gothic.

Initially. fadar 'father': Lat. pater. fōt-u-s 'foot': Lat. pēs. pāt-a 'that': Gr. τό. pāha 'I am silent': Lat. taceō. hund 'hundred': Skr. ἐatá-m. haírtō 'heart': Lith. szirðì-s. háidu-s 'way. manner': Skr. kētú-ṣ. hlifa 'I steal': Pruss. au-klipts. hva-s 'who': Skr. ká-s.

Medially. uf 'under': Skr. úpa, Indg. *úpo. brōþar 'brother': Skr. bhrátar-, Indg. *bhrátor-. vaírþa 'I become': Skr. vártāmi, Indg. *µértō. faíhu 'cattle': Skr. páśu, Indg. *péĥu. sraíhra 'father-in-law': Skr. śváśura-s, Indg. *sµéĥuro-s. suíhra 'I see': Skr. sácatō, Indg. 3. sg. mid. *séqetai. vulfs 'wolf': Skr. výka-s, Indg. *uĺqo-s. See §§ 342. 374. 393. 439. 440. 441. 444 a.

German.

f remained. OHG. fater 'father'. OHG. nefo, cp. Ags. nefa O.Icel. nefe nefi 'relation, nephew': Skr. nápāt 'descendant', Indg. *népōt-.

p became d, this remained down to the time of the OHG. monuments, and was represented by th (more rarely dh). In the course of the OHG. period however it was developed to d in different parts at different times (first in Bavaria during the eighth century). OHG. thorn dorn: Goth. paurnu-s, O.Bulg. $tr\bar{n}u$. OHG. bruother bruoder 'brother'.

The letter h appears for prim. Germ. χ in HG., as in Goth. The sound was merely an aspirate in every case initially, and medially certainly at least between vowels. OHG. hunt 'hundred'. OHG. $f\bar{a}han$ 'to catch': Goth. $f\bar{a}han$, prim. Germ. * $fan\chi ana-n$ (cp. § 214 p. 182). The h in initial hw, hr, hl, hn disappeared from the eighth century onwards, earliest in hw, e. g. hwaz waz 'what': Goth. hva; $hliumunt\ liumunt$ 'renown': Goth. hliuma m.

'hearing', rt. kleu-. Spellings like Chlodovichus (Hludwīg) show that the value χ still prevailed in the fifth and sixth centuries.

West Germanic consonant lengthening (gemination) through following *i*. OHG heffen OS. hebbian 'to raise': Goth. hafjan. Lat. capiō. OHG. hlahhen Ags. hliehhan 'to laugh': Goth. hlahjan. Cp. §§ 532, 535, 540 and the similar doubling of nasals and l §§ 216, 277.

mp seems to have become mfp mft. OHG. cumft 'a coming' (Goth. ga-qumpi-), numft 'a taking', ramft 'edge', MHG. brunft 'rutting time of the red-deer' (nf from mf). Cp. §§ 214. 528.

Rem. In like manner perhaps np became nsp, nst: OHG. kunst 'art', cp. Goth. kunpi 'knowledge'. Doubts remain because of Goth. anpar: OHG. ander. On the s in Goth. ansts etc. see Kluge Paul-Braune's Beitr. IX 154 f.

§ 530. Medial f, \bar{p} , χ (χ^u) became \bar{t} , \bar{d} , \bar{g} (\bar{g}^s) in prim. Germ. — and at the same time s became z (§ 581) —, when the next preceding sonant did not have the principal accent (§ 529) or t, s directly followed (§ 527). This phenomenon is called 'Verner's law' (see Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIII 97 ff.). The Indg. tenues, which were thus affected, fell together with Indg. bh, dh, gh, gh (§ 538) and underwent all further developments in common with these.

t, d, g (g^{y}) became b, d, g (gy) after nasals, very probably in the prim. Germ. period, and gy became y after vowels (§ 444 c).

These voiced spirants also became mediae after r, l in Gothic, while in other cases they remained spirants, but were not distinguished from mediae in writing.

The West Germanic dialects changed every other remaining \bar{d} to d. Then in Upper Germany and East Franconia d became t (which partly suffered further affections that need not be taken into consideration here), elsewhere it remained. \bar{b} and \bar{g} became b and g in HG. (while they remained spirants in other West Germ. dialects), and these became p and k in Upper Germany, but not universally.

p. Prim. Germ. *lībō fr. *līfō 'I adhere, remain' (rt. leip-, ep. Skr. limpāmi Lith. limpù): Goth. bi-leiba (t), OHG. bi-lību

Ags. be-līfe (f is to be read as b). OHG. uoba 'festival' uobo 'colonus', to Skr. ápas- 'religious work' Lat. opus.

t. Prim. Germ. *fadér- fr. *faþér- 'father' (Skr. pitár-, Gr. $\pi a \tau \xi o$ -): Gr. fadar (đ), OHG. fater fader OS. fader Ags. fæder O.Icel. fader fadir. Goth. háidu-s (d) 'way, manner', OHG, heit heid: Skr. kētú-š. Goth. ga-tami-da- (đ) 'tamed', OHG. qi-zemit qi-zemid : cp. Skr. dami-tá- Lat. domi-tu-s. Germ. *zumđú-m 'hundred' (whence *zumda-m, further *zunda-m) fr. * $\chi um p \acute{a} - m$ (Skr. $\acute{s}at \acute{a} - m$, Indg. * $\acute{k} m t \acute{o} - m$): Goth. hunda-, OHG. hunt hund OS. Ags. hund O.Icel. hund-rad. mun-da- 'believed' ga-mundi- 'memory' : Skr. ma-ta- ma-ti-. (toth, sandjan 'to send', OHG, sentan sendan OS, sendian, orig. form of the 1. sg. indic. pres. 'sontéjō, ep. Goth. sinps (st. sinħα-), O.Ir. sēt 'way', cpf. *sénto-. Prim. Germ. *χανατί- fr. *χατρά- 'hard' (cp. Gr. zoατέ-ς with weak grade vowel in the root-syllable): (foth. hardu-s, OHG. hart hard OS. hard Ags. heard, O.Icel. hardr. Goth. fra-vardja 'I destroy, spoil': Skr. vartáyāmi, Indg. * uortéjō.

k, q. On Prim. Germ. *suezrā- fr. *suezrā- (Skr. śvaśrā-, Gr. ἐννοά) are founded OHG. swigar Ags. swezer 'mother-in-law', cp. Goth. svaihra § 529. Goth. tigu-s (3) 'decade', OHG. -zig Ags. -tiz, O.Icel. tegr (g is the sign for z) are founded on Indg. *dekm with accented suffix (cp. Skr. instr. daśabhiṣ daśabhiṣ), dat. pl. Goth. tigum fr. *tezm-mi (§ 244), cp. Goth. taihun orig. f. *dekmt § 529. Goth. vigana- (z) m. or n. 'fight', OHG. wīyant OS. wīgaml (g is the sign for z) Ags. wīzend 'warrior' fr. the prim. Germ. tense stem *uĕzá-, rt. ueiq- (§ 439), cp. Goth. veiha 'I fight' orig. form *uéiqō. Prim. Germ. *iūnoga-fr. *iūnozá- fr. *iūnozá- 'young' (Skr. yuva-śá-s): Goth. juggs, OHG. OS. jung, O.Icel. ungr, cp. compar. Goth. jūhiza O.Icel. ōre 'younger' fr. prim. Germ. *iñ(n)zizō (§ 214). Goth. hals-agga m. 'bend of the neck, nape': Skr. anká-.

Verner's law is of special importance for the proper understanding of the 'grammatical change' in the verb. In this respect the West Germ, dialects show a variety of more archaic relations

than Gothic, since the regular differences had been levelled out in this language by form-transference. To Skr. $v\acute{a}rt\bar{a}mi$ 1. sg. pres., $vav\acute{a}rta$ perf. indic. 3. sg., $vav\acute{r}tim\acute{a}$ 1. pl., $vav\acute{r}t\bar{a}m\acute{a}$ part. from rt. uert- 'turn' corresponded prim. Germ. " $u\acute{e}rp\bar{o}$, " $u\acute{e}rp\acute{o}$, " $u\acute{e}rp\acute{o}$, " $u\acute{e}rp\acute{o}$, " $u\acute{e}rd\acute{o}$, " $u\acute{e}rd\acute$

On prim. Germ. (3)u = Indg. q in Goth. siuns OS. siun 'countenance', OHG. part. -liwan 'lent' etc. see §§ 441. 444 c.

In prim. Germ. bn, dn, 3n, before the principal accent of the word, passed into bb, dd, zz, further into bb, dd, gg, and these - still in the prim. Germ. period - became the tenues pp, tt, kk at the same time with the Indg. mediae (§§ 533. 534). Further shiftings took place in HG. viz. pp to pf (but Rhenish-Franconian pp), tt to zz (MHG. tz), kk to cch, that is, $k\chi$ (but Franc. kk). MHG. hopfen (Mod.HG. Rhenish-Franc, hoppe) Ags. hoppian O.Icel. hoppa 'to hop' = Goth. *huppon, MHG. hüpfen (Mod.HG. Rhenish-Fr. hippe) Mid. Engl. hyppen 'to hop' = Goth. *huppjan, prim. Germ. *zupp- fr. *zub-n-, orig. *qup-n-: O.Bulg. kypěti 'to hop, leap'. OHG. *snizzen (to be inferred from snizzāri 'carver') MHG. snitzen 'to cut', prim. Germ. *snitt-, fr. *snitt-n- orig. *snit-n-, ep. Goth. sneiþa 'I cut' from original *snéitō. OHG. zocchōn MHG. zocken 'to pull, tug' = Goth. *tukkon, OHG. zucchen MHG. zücken 'to drag' = Goth. *tukkjan, prim. Germ. *tukkfr. *duz-n-, orig. *duk-n-, cp. Goth. tiuhan 'to draw', rt. deuk-. The nasal in these intensives was the nasal of the present stemsuffix $-n\tilde{a}$ - (Gr. $\delta \dot{a}\mu - \nu \eta - \mu \iota$ etc.). On the other hand e.g. OHG. smoccho Ags. smocc O.Icel. smokkr 'underdress' (beside the intens MHG. smücken 'to bevel, dress, adorn' to MHG. smiegen 'to press tightly' O.Icel. smjūga 'to creep through': Lith. smùkti 'to slide, glide' O.Bulg. smykati se 'to creep') contained a nominal weak stem-form *smuq-n- (cp. Gr. do-v- 'ram', Skr. ud-n- 'water'),

which underwent various transformations by analogy, after the strong forms *smuq-én- etc. had died out (s. Kluge in Paul-Braune's Beitr. IX 169). Cp. §§ 214. 534. 538.

§ 531. The voiced spirants, which had been received from prim. Germ., passed into the corresponding voiceless spirants in Goth., when they came to stand finally or before s. Nom. masc. tamips 'domitus' neut. tamip 'domitum' beside gen. tamidis (\bar{d}) 'domiti' etc. 3. sg. pres. bairip 'bears' fr. *biri $\bar{d}(i)$: Skr. bharati. af 'of' beside ab-u with \bar{b} (-u interrogative particle). 3. sg. pret. bi-láif (bi-leiba [b] 'I remain') fr. *-láib(i), a new formation for prim. Germ. *láifi = Indg. *le-lóip-e. The letter g was retained to express χ , see § 539.

b, d, g, which are to be read as mediae after consonants, probably became tenues in the same position, but this change was not represented in writing. Part. nom. nasjands acc. nasjand 'saviour' from prim. Germ. *-anda-z *anda-n. 3. pl. nasjand they rescue' prim. Germ. *-andi. Nom. alds 'age' prim. Germ. *aldi-s. Nom. masc. juggs neut. jugg 'young' prim. Germ. *-ungá-s *-ungá-n.

§ 532. Prim. Germ. b, d, z became bb, dd, gg (gemination) before i in West Germanic, and these were further shifted to pp, tt, kk throughout the whole of High German. OHG. uppi 'ill-natured' prim. Germ. *ubja-, to OHG. ubil Goth. ubils 'evil', which as original *upélo- 'going over the mark, bounds' is connected with OHG. ubir ubar OS. obar 'over' Skr. upári Hom. Gr. νπείο. OHG. drittio dritto 'tertius' OS. thriddio Ags. đridda: Goth. pridja (đ) O.Icel. priđe priđi (fem. priđja), prim. Germ. nom. masc. *pridįȯ́(n) fr. *pri-piȯ́(n): ep. Skr. trtiya-s Lat. tertius. OHG. ekka 'point, edge, corner' OS. eggia: Goth. *agja (3) O.Icel. egg, gen. eggjar (ggj fr. 3) by a special Norse law), prim. Germ. *azió- fr. *azió-: cp. Lat. aciè-s, rt. aĥ-. OHG. wulpa MHG. willpe 'she-wolf', with simplification of the pp after l, prim. Germ. *ullbi (Indg. *ulqi, Skr. vrki), gen. *uulbiós (a new formation for *uulziós), fem. to OHG. wolf Goth. vulfs prim. Germ. *vúlfa-z (Indg. *vílgo-s), see § 444 a. Cp. §§ 529, 535, 540,

§ 533. History of the mediae.

These became tenues in prim. Germanic, except d in the Indg. combination $d^z dh$.

Gothic like the other Germ. languages, except High German, retained these tenues.

- b. diups 'deep': Lith. dubù-s, rt. dheub-. See § 343.
- d. tiuhan 'draw': Lat. dūcō. hairtō 'heart': zαοδ-iā. asts 'twig, branch': Gr. öζο-ς, Indg. *ozdo-s. See § 375.
- g, g. kaúrn 'corn': O.Bulg. zrĭno, cpf. *gr-no-m. uf-rakjan 'to lift up': Av. raz-išta- 'straightest, most just', rt. reg-, juk 'yoke': Skr. yugá-m, Indg. *jugó-m. qima 'I come': Skr. yıím-ā-mi. rt. gem-. vaírpa 'I throw': O.Bulg. vrĭga, rt. yerg-. See §§ 394, 439. 440. 443, 444 a.

The character of these sounds remained unchanged in West Germanic in the period of the prim. community. In HG. however they underwent further shiftings.

In Upper and Middle German dialects p became pf (written pf and ph) initially and after consonants, but ff (f) between vowels. This pf became f (ff) initially in certain dialects and in most of them medially after consonants. OHG. pflegan flegan (Renish-Franconian plegan) 'to care for': OS. plegan 'to promise, pledge', perhaps to Gr. βλέφαρο-ν 'eyelid' (Kluge Et. Wörterb.). OHG. werpfan werfan 'to throw': OS. werpan, Goth. vaírpan with p fr. Indg. g, see above. OHG. slaf (gen. slaffes) 'slack, lax': Du. slap; OHG. slāffan slāfan 'to sleep': OS. slāpan, Goth. slēpan, O.Bulg. slabŭ 'slack, weak', rt. slēb-. OHG. scaffōn 'do, make': O.Icel. skapa (beside this OHG. scepfen 'to scoop': OS. skeppian, see § 535); this category has been ascribed to the rt. sqab- in Lith. skabù-s 'cutting' etc. (§ 346), the intermediate meaning being regarded as 'to bring to pass by hollowing out'.

t became z, that is ts, in HG. initially (except before r) and after consonants (except after s); after vowels it became the voiceless spirant 55 (5), see § 375. OHG. ziohan 'to draw, pull': OS. tiohan, Goth. tiuhan. OHG. herza 'heart': OS. herta, Goth. hairtō. OHG. smelzan 'to smelt, melt, become liquid' smalz n. 'grease': Ags. smolt 'soft, quiet', Gr. $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \delta \omega$ 'I soften,

melt'. OHG. wizzan 'to know' weiz 'I know': OS. witan wēt, Goth. vitan váit.

Initially OHG. tr- = Goth. tr-: triuwa 'fidelity': Goth. triggva, Pruss. druwi (§ .375); on the spelling dr- for tr- in Franc. sources, as driuwa, see Kögel Keron. Gloss. 70 f., Zu den Murbacher Denkm. (Leipz. 1883) 13 ff.

OHG. ast 'branch': Goth. asts, Indg. *ozdo-s. nest 'nest': Ags. nest, Skr. $n\bar{\imath}d\acute{a}$ -s 'lair of animals', Lat. $n\bar{\imath}du$ -s, Indg. *nizdo-s (§ 355).

OH(\dot{t} , $qu\bar{t}fal\bar{o}n$ beside $zw\bar{t}fal\bar{o}n$ 'to doubt' : Goth. tweifls. See § 375.

k became $k\chi$ (written cch, ch etc.) in Upper German initially and after consonants (except s), whilst it remained k in Middle Germ. It became $\chi\chi$, χ (hh, h) universally between vowels. OHG. $chorn\ korn\ 'corn'$: Goth. ka'urn. $chniu\ kniu\ 'knee'$: Goth. kniu. $chweman\ quemun\ 'to\ come'$: Goth. qiman. $werch\ werk$: OS. werc, Gr. $\~eggo-v$. $danch\ thank$ 'thought, thanks': OS. thanc, Goth. pagks, Lat. $tonge\~o$ 'I know'. $wahh\~en$ 'to wake': OS. $wak\~on$, Goth. vakan, Skr. $v\~aj\'ayati$ 'urges on, drives on'. dah, gen. dahhes, 'roof': O.Icel. pak, Lat. $teg\~o$.

OHG. masca OS. māska 'mesh, stitch': Ags. mæsce, O.Icel. moskve moskvi, Lith. mezgù 'I knit' māzgas 'knot', rt. mezg-.

§ 534. bn, dn, gn became bb, dd, gg before the principal accent in prim. Germ., thence by § 533 pp, tt, kk, which were further treated just the same as the pp, tt, kk (§§ 530. 538) which had arisen from Indg. pn, tn, kn qn and from Indg. bhn, dhn, ghn ghn. OHG. topfo 'top' MHG. topf 'pot' (Rhenish-Franc. topp), Ags. doppa 'mergus', to Goth. diups, rt. dheub. MHG. rupfen ropfen 'to pluck' (Rhenish-Franc. roppe), to OHG. roufen Goth. ráupjan 'to pluck, tear out', rt. reub- (§ 343). MHG. stutzen 'to push (with the horns), start back', to OHG. stōzan Goth. stáutan 'to push', Skr. tudámi, Lat. tundō. MHG. slitzen 'to slit', to OHG. slīzan OS. Ags. slītan 'slit, split', rt. sleid-. OHG. loc loch (pl. loccha) MHG. loc (pl. locke) 'lock, ringlet', Ags. locc O.Icel. lokkr = Lith. lugna-s 'pliable', to Gr. λύγο-ς 'pliant twig or rod' λυγόω 'I bend, tie'. OHG. sluccho

slukko 'glutton' MHG. slucken 'to gulp, have hiccup', to Gr. λύζω λυγγάνομαι 'I have the hiccup'.

§ 535. The prim. Germ. tenues, which had arisen from Indg. mediae, became pp, tt, kk (gemination) in West German. not only before i, but also before r, l and w. pp became pf (Rhenish-Franc. pp) in HG.; tt became zz before i, but remained before r; kk became kx in Upper German, but remained in Middle German. OHG. scepfen 'to scoop' (Rhenish-Franc. scheppe), OS. skeppian, see § 533. OHG. lezzan MHG. letzan 'hinder, hurt', OS, lettian Ags. lettan: Goth. latjan, rt. led- 'leave, let'. OHG. ottar 'otter' (on the anaptyctic a in this and the following forms see §§ 277. 628): O.Icel. otr pl. otrar, Skr. udrá-s 'water animal', Gr. Vdoo-5 Vdoā 'water serpent'. OHG. OS. bittar 'bitter': Goth. báitrs (ablaut difference *bhidro-: *bhoidro-), to Goth. bītan 'to bite'. OHG. hlūttar 'clear, pure', Ags. hlūttor: Goth. hlūtrs, Gr. κλύζω fr. *κλυδ-μω 'I wash out, clean'. OHG. wecchen wecken to wake', OS. wekkian: Goth. vakjan, O.Icel vekja, to OHG. wahhēn etc., see § 533. OHG. acchar accar 'acre, field', OS. accur: Goth. akrs, Gr. ayoó-g. OHG. facchla facchala faccala 'torch', it is doubtful whether it was borrowed from Lat. facula. OHG. nacchot naccot 'naked': Goth. naqaþs, Lat. nūdu-s fr. *no(g)uedo-s, Skr. nagná-s (§ 432 c). OHG. chuecchēr quekkēr infl. adj. form 'alive, quick': O.Icel. kykr acc. kykvan, prim. Germ. *kyikya-, beside Goth. qiu-s (st. qiva-). On the loss of the w after medial k in OHG. see § 180. Cp. §§ 529. 532. 540.

§ 536. The *d* in *dzdh* became assimilated to the following *z* in prim. Germ. Goth. *huzd* 'treasure' OHG. *hort*, Indg. **kud*^z*dho*-. Perhaps also Goth. *gazds* 'sting, prick' OHG. *gart* 'rod, switch' O.Icel. *gaddr* 'sting': Lat. *hasta*. See §§ 469, 5. 507. 538.

Rem. Osthoff (Morph. IV 262 f.) attempts to adjust Goth. uz- OHG. ur- ar- (Mod.HG. ur- er- in ur-teil er-teilen) to Skr. ud and Goth. $\bar{u}t$ OHG. $\bar{u}z$ 'out' by assuming that the form arose regularly in compounds like Goth. us-dreiban (for *uz-dreiban) 'to drive out'. Cp. Av. uz § 476. OHG. ort OS. ord O.Icel. oddr 'point, top', fr. *ud+ $dh\bar{e}$ 'put up, lift up'?

The z in Goth. uz- became assimilated to a following r, as ur-reisan 'to rise up'.

§ 537. History of the mediae aspiratae.

In prim. Germ, they first became the voiced spirants t, d, z (zu).

- 1. Initially. In the course of the individual dialects they were shifted to mediae; in Gothic all three in prehistoric times; in West Germanic b and d in all branches likewise in prehistoric times, whilst g remained as g in OS. and Ags. down to historic times; in the period of the oldest runic monuments all three were still spirants in Norse. In HG. b and g were further shifted to p and k in Upper Germany, d was shifted to t both there and in East Franconia.
- bh. Goth. beitan 'to bite', OHG. bīzzan bīzan Upper Germ. pīzzan OS. Ags. bītan, O.Icel. bīta (prim. Norse *bītan): Skr. bhédāmi, rt. bheid-. See § 344.
- dh-. Goth. dags 'day', OHG. Rhenish-Franc. dag East Franc. and Upper Germ. tag OS. dag Ags. dæz, O.Icel. dagr runic. dagax (read dazax): Lith. daga-s, rt. dhegh-. See § 376.
- gh gh. Goth. *gans 'goose' (from which Span. ganso was borrowed), OHG. gans Upper Germ. cans Ags. \$\zeta\overline{\sigma}s\$, O.Icel. \$y\overline{as}\$: Lith. \$\zeta\overline{as}\$:s. Goth. gasts 'guest', OHG. gast Upper Germ. cast OS. gast (\$\zeta\$) Ags. \$\zeta\overline{es}\$iest, O.Icel. gestr runic. gastif (\$\zeta\$): Lat. hosti-s O.Bulg. gosti. In \$\zeta\overline{u}- (= Indg. gh- \$\zeta\-) was dropped already in prim. Germ.: Goth. varmjan 'to warm', OHG. warm O.Icel. varmr 'warm': Skr. gharmá-s. See §§ 395. 439. 443.
- § 538. 2. Medially. The Indg. mediae aspiratae, through their being developed to voiced spirants, fell together with the Indg. tenues according to § 530, and thus the laws given in §§ 530—532 operated here also.

The following forms, in the first place, are to be judged of by § 530.

- bh. Goth. liuba- (b) 'dear'. OHG. liubo adv. 'with pleasure' Ags. leófost 'dearest' (f is b), O.Icel. $lj\bar{u}fr$ 'dear' (f is b): Skr. lúbhyati 'fosters a vehement longing', rt. leubh-. Goth. kalbō 'female calf', OHG. chalba f. chalb n., Ags. cealf (b) n., O.Icel. kalfr (b): Gr. $\delta o\lambda \varphi o'-\varsigma$ $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi v'-\varsigma$ 'womb' $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \alpha \xi$ 'pig'. OHG. chamb (gen. chambes) 'toothed instrument, comb', Ags. comb: Skr. $j\acute{a}mbha-s$ 'tooth', Gr. $\gamma o'\mu \varphi o-\varsigma$ 'plug, peg, pin, nail', O.Bulg. $zab\breve{u}$ 'tooth'.
 - dh. Goth. ana-biudan (a) 'bid, command', OHG. biotan

biodan OS. biodan Ags. beódan, O.Icel. bjöða, prim. Germ. *beuðana-n: Skr. bódhāmi, rt. bheuðh-. Goth. bindan 'to bind', OHG. bintan bindan OS. Ags. bindan, O.Icel. binda, prim. Germ. *bindana-n: Skr. bándhana-m, rt. bhendh-. Goth. haírda 'herd', OHG. herta herda Ags. heord, O.Icel. hjorð, prim. Germ. *zerðo: Skr. śárdha-s 'herd, troop'.

gh, gh. Goth. steigan (3) 'to ascend, climb', OHG. stīgan OS. stīgan (3) Ags. stīgan, O.Icel. stīya (3), prim. Germ. *stīgana-n: Gr. στείχω, rt. steigh-. Goth. aggvu-s 'narrow', OHG. engi. O.Icel. engr: Gr. αγχω, rt. angh-. Goth. gaggan 'to go', OHG. yangan, O.Icel. ganga: Skr. janghā- 'heel-bone', rt. ghengh-. Goth. láuna-varga- 'unthankful man', OHG. warg 'strangler' MHG. er-wergen 'to strangle', O.Icel. varyr (3) 'wolf, outlawed evil-doer': Lith. veržiù 'I string, compress, straiten', rt. μerĝh-.

On prim. Germ. (3)u in Goth. $sn\'{a}ivs$ fr. orig. * $sn\'{a}iqho$ -s and similar forms see §§ 443. 444b. In the cases there quoted prim. Germ. 3u was the labialised form of Indg. gh. The same loss of a suffixal -u- occurs in prim. Germ. * ma_i 3)u-i 'girl' (Goth. mavi, gen. $m\'{a}uj\bar{o}s$), to masc. Goth. mayu-s 'boy, child, servant' = O.Ir. mug 'slave, servant'; cp. Skr. $sv\'{a}d$ -v-i fem. to $sv\bar{a}d$ -u-s 'suavis'.

Here is further to be added — in contrast to § 530 — the case when prim. Germ. d̄, ʒ followed z. Goth. mizdō 'pay, reward', in West Germ. with 'compensation lengthening' of the e = i OHG. mēta miata OS. mēda O.Fris. mēda Ags. mēd beside meord (r from z): Gr. μισθό-ς, Indg. *mizdhó- *mizdhá- (§§ 596. 621). Goth. huzd 'treasure', OHG. hort OS. hord (horth) Ags. hord, O.Icel. hodd: Indg. *kud²dho-, cp. §§ 469, 5. 507. 536. OHG. marg 'medulla' Ags. mearz, O.Icel. mergr (ʒ), Goth. mazga-, pre-Germ. *mozgho-, cp. §§ 450. 590. 596.

Prim. Germ. pp, tt, kk from bn, dn, zn. Sure examples are known to me only for kk, as OHG. lecchon Mid.HG. lecken to lick', OS. leccon, prim. Germ. *likkona-n (cp. Goth. bi-lúigon): Gr. lízro-z 'lickerish', Lat. lingo, rt. leigh-. Cp. §§ 214. 530. 534.

§ 539. A change of b, d, z to f, p, χ (g) in Gothic, ep. § 531. Masc. nom. liufs acc. liuf 'dear' beside gen. liubis

(b). $r\'{a}u\rlap/ps$ $r\'{a}u\rlap/p$ 'red' beside gen. $r\'{a}udis$ (\vec{d}), rt. reydh-. rigs vig (χ) 'way' beside vigis (ζ), rt. $ye\^{g}h$ -.

Pret. svarb to svairban 'to wipe from', rt. suerbh-; pret. band, to bindan, rt. bhendh-; gild n. 'tax', to fra-gildan 'to requite', rt. gheldh-; gaygs gagg 'way, street'; láuna-vargs 'unthankful man' -varg are parallel to the forms like nasjands nasjand etc. in § 531. The forms gazds, huzd (st. gazda-, huzda-) were accordingly possibly pronounced with st.

§ 540. West Germ. bb, dd, gg (H. German pp, tt. kk) before i, see § 532. OHG. sippia sippa 'relationship', OS. sibbia sibbea Ags. sib (gen. sibbe): Goth. sibja (b), O.Icel. Sif (gen. Sifjar, f is b) goddess of the family and wedlock, Skr. sabhá-'assembly, society of kinsfolk'. OHG. mitti 'medius', OS. middi Ags. mid (gen. middes): Goth. midjis (đ), O.Icel. miđr (acc. midjan), Skr. middingas 'medius'. OHG. bitten 'to request'. OS. biddian Ags. biddan: Goth. bidjan (đ), O.Icel. biđja, Gr. πείθω, rt. bheidh- (§ 67 rem. 3). OHG. likken 'to lie down', OS. liggian: O.Icel. liggja (ggj fr. zi by a special Norse process), prim. Germ. *liziana-n, Gr. λέχος 'bed', rt. legh-. Cp. §§ 529. 535.

§ 541. Chronology of the prim. Germ. shiftings of the explosives. The following is probably the order in which the chief acts of the prim. Germ. shifting of the Indg. explosives took place; we include at the same time the history of the Indg. tenues aspiratae, which will be more fully discussed in § 553.

Act 1 (or 2). The aspirates become spirants. bh, dh, gh become b, $d\bar{c}$, \bar{c} , e. g. * $bhe\mu dh\bar{c}$ becomes * $be\mu d\bar{c}$ (Goth. biuda), see § 537. ph, th, kh become f, \bar{b} , χ , e. g. 2. sg. perf. * $le-l\acute{o}s$ -tha (rt. les-'gather together') becomes *(le)-laspa (Goth. last), *nokh- $l\acute{o}$ -'nail' becomes * $na\chi l\acute{a}$ - (OHG. nagal), see § 553.

Act 2 (or 1). p, k become f, χ before t and s, e. g. *rekto-s 'right' becomes *re χta -s (Goth. raihts), see § 527.

Falling together of the tenues asp. with a portion of the tenues.

Act 3. The tenues become voiceless spirants elsewhere, p, t, k become f, \bar{p} , χ , e. g. *pəter, *bhrátōr become *faper *brópōr (Goth. fadar, brōpar), see § 528.

Falling together of a further portion of the tenues (eventually of all tenues, see the end of § 528) with the tenues asp.

Act 4. The voiceless spirants become voiced by Verner's law, f, p, χ become b, d, g, e. g. *nazlá- becomes *nazlá-, *faþér becomes *fadér, see § 530.

Falling together of tenues asp. and tenues with the mediae asp.

Act 5. The b. d, z, which had arisen from mediae asp. and tenues, assimilate a following n before the principal accent: bb, dd, zz, e. g. pres. stem $*liz-n\delta-$ 'lick' (Indg. $*ligh-n\delta-$ becomes $*lizz\delta-$ (OS. $lecc\delta n$), noun stem (weak) *smuz-n-dress' (Indg. *smuq-n-) becomes *smuzz- (O.Icel. smokkr). Indg. b, d, g with a following n simultaneously become bb, dd, gg when the accent is in the same position, e. g. $*lug-n\delta-$ 'lock, ringlet' (Indg. $*lug-n\delta-$) becomes $*lugg\delta-$ (O.Icel. lokkr). Then bb, dd zz become bb, dd, gg: $*lizz\delta-$ *smuzz- become $*ligg\delta-$ *smugg-. See §§ 530. 534. 538.

Falling together of mediae with tenues and tenues asp.

Act 6. The mediae become tenues, b, d, g become p, t, k, e. g. * $deu\chi\bar{o}$ 'I draw' (rt. deuk-) becomes * $teu\chi\bar{o}$ (Goth. tiuha), *azda- 'branch' becomes *asta- (Goth. asts), * $ligg\bar{o}$ -, *smugg-, *lugga- (see 5.) become * $likk\bar{o}$ -, *smukk-, *lukka-. See § 533.

Act 7. sp, zd, mb, nd, nz become st, zd, mb, nd, nz, e. g. *(le-)laspa (1.) becomes *lasta (Goth. last), *mizdō- 'pay, reward' becomes *mizdō- (Goth. mizdōn-), *(be-)bande 'he bound' becomes *bande (Goth. band). See §§ 530. 538.

Rem. That sp first became st at this period, is only deduced from the change of zd to zd, which cannot have taken place earlier. Here belongs eventually also the origin of the tenuis in Goth. speivan, ist etc., see § 528 (end) and 3 above.

Baltic-Slavonic.

§ 542. The Indg. tenues and mediae remained in the period of the Balt.-Slav. prim. community, while the mediae aspiratae, as in Iran. (§ 481) and Kelt. (524), became mediae and thus fell together with the Indg. mediae,

Indg. \hat{k} , \hat{g} , $\hat{g}h$ were \check{s} -sounds in this period. See § 412.

- § 543. History of the tenues, prim. Balt.Slav. p, t, k and \dot{s} . These sounds generally remained unshifted.
- p.~ Lith. pìlna-s~ O.Bulg. $pl\Bar{u}n\Bar{u}$ 'full' : Av. $per^ena\text{-},~$ rt. pel-. See § 345.
 - t. Lith. $t\tilde{a}$ O.Bulg. $t\tilde{u}$ 'the' acc. : Skr. $t\acute{a}$ -m. See § 377.
 - q. Lith. kù-s O.Bulg. kŭ-to 'who?': Skr. ká-s. See § 462.
- k. Lith. szvit-éti O.Bulg. svit-éti 'to shine': Skr. śvit-rá-s,
 rt. kuejt-. See § 413.
- § 544. The assimilation of Indg. ts to ss, of Indg. ts to st and of Indg. ts to ts seems to have been effected in the period of the Balt.-Slav. prim. community.

ts to ss. Lith. ĕsiu 'I shall eat': cp. Skr. fut. atsyāmi, O.Bulg. 2. sg. jasi 'thou eatest': cp. Skr. átsi, O.Bulg. aor. jasŭ 'I ate' fr. *ēt-s-o-m, pl. jasli 'manger' fr. *ēt-slo-, rt. ed- 'eat'. Lith. mèsiu fut. to metù 'I throw'. Lith. kiřsiu fut. to kertù 'I hew sharply': cp. Skr. kartsyāmi 'I shall cut', rt. qert-. Lith. krìsla-s 'crumb, scrap', to krintù (pret. kritaŭ) 'I fall'. O.Bulg. čisŭ s-aorist 'I counted' čislo čismę 'number', to čita 'I count'. Lith. part. vežūs 'vehens' fr. *µeŷhont-s = Skr. vāhan; the corresponding forms of O.Bulg. probably also came from Indg. *-ont-s, as vezy 'vehens' spěję 'hastening', cp. acc. pl. vlŭky 'lupos', konję 'equos' from *-ons (§§ 84. 219).

It may be concluded that this ss = ts had not yet entirely fallen together with Indg. s in prim. Slav., since s = ts did not become ch as was the case with Indg. s (§ 588, 2). Forms like 1. sg. $jach\bar{u}$ 1. pl. $jachom\bar{u}$ 3. pl. jašę beside $jas\bar{u}$ $jasom\bar{u}$ jase (s-aorist from rt. jad- 'eat') were later formations (§ 588 rem. 2).

tst to st. Lith. viřsti 'to fall down, change', O.Bulg. vršsta 'state, condition': Lat. part. vorsu-s, Skr. vrttá-m 'state, situation', Indg. *urtstó-, rt. uert-. Lith. ést O.Bulg. jastĭ 'eats': cp. Lat. ēst Skr. átti. Lith. dílst O.Bulg. dastĭ 'gives': cp. Skr. 3. sg. mid. datté, from the reduplic. root dō- 'give'. Lith. pésczia-s 'being on foot' first of all from *pēstia-s (§ 147), to pėdà 'foot-

step'; on the East Lith. form pészcziu-s see § 587, 3. O.Bulg. čisti 'honour', to čita 'I count, reckon, honour'.

ks became šš. Lith. aszì-s O.Bulg. osĭ 'axis': Lat. axi-s. Lith. deszině 'right hand' O.Bulg. desĭnű 'dexter': Skr. dákṣiṇa-Av. dašina-. See § 414.

With the reduction of ss to s and šš to Lith. sz O.Bulg. s, compare that of prim. Balt.-Slav. ss = Indg. ss to Lith. O.Bulg. s, as Lith. lèsiu fr. *les-siu, fut. to lesù 'I pick up, peck at', O.Bulg. otŭ-tręsę fr. *-tręs-sę, s-aorist to otŭ-tręsą 'I shake off'. See § 585, 3.

Further the loss of the dental in Lith. aszma-s 'octavus', Pruss. asma-n acc., O.Bulg. osmyji might also be prim. Balt.-Slav.: cp. Skr. aštamá- O.Ir. ochtmad. —

Lithuanian. In forms like partic. pres. act. lìpdama-s (lipù 'I climb, mount'), vilkdama-s (velkù 'I trail, drag') the pronunciation has apparently fluctuated for centuries between pd, kd and bd, gd in some parts of Lithuania. Analogously mèsdama-s and mèzdama-s, to metù 'I throw'. This phenomenon is easily explained from the fact that the constant striving after assimilation has been again and again counteracted by the feeling of relationship between kindred forms, e. g. lìpti lìpsiu etc., vilkti vilksiu etc., mèsti etc. Cp. the fluctuation between pisiu and pìnsiu § 218 rem.

Rem. 1. The change between áugti (inf.) áugsiu (fut.) and áukti áuksiu (pres. áugu 'I grow'), between dirbti dirbsiu and dirpti dirpsiu (pres. dirbu 'I work') etc. in Lithuanian writings is merely of an orthographical nature, only ki ks pt ps is spoken everywhere. Cp. the phonetically inexact Mod.HG. spellings like sagte, raubte, flugs.

The simplification of consonantal groups is rare, as *deszims* beside *deszimts* 'ten'.

Rem. 2. It is not certain whether nakvóju 'I pass the night with', $nakvýn\acute{e}$ 'night quarters' belong here, since $naktv\acute{o}ju$, $naktv\acute{y}n\acute{e}$, existing side by side of these and regarded ast he original forms, might have got their t from nakti-s an older stem *noqtu- is by no means proved for certain through Lat. $noct\bar{u}$ (see the author in Ber. d. sächs. Ges. der Wiss. 1883 p. 192).

sztr from szr in asztrù-s 'sharp' beside aszru-s (Dowkont):
O.Bulg. ostrŭ 'sharp' from *osrŭ (§ 545), Skr. áśri-š 'corner',

Gr. $\alpha z \phi o - s$ 'pointed'. It is however questionable whether the Lith. word has not acquired its t through the influence of the corresponding Slav. word.

§ 545. Slavonic. On prim. Slav. \check{c} , c from $k=\mathrm{Indg}$. q, prim. Slav. $t\chi'$ (O.Bulg. $\check{s}t$) from $kt=\mathrm{Indg}$. qt, prim. Slav. χ (ch) from $ks=\mathrm{Indg}$. qs see § 462.

Loss of tenues before following consonants (cp. § 281 rem. 3):

p disappeared before t, n, s in the prim. Slav. period. O.Bulg. $netij\tilde{\iota}$ 'nephew, cousin' fr. * $nept\tilde{\iota}$ (§ 36) = Gr. $ar\epsilon\psi n\acute{o}$ - ς , cpf. *nept- $i\dot{\iota}$ o·s. $po-\check{c}r\check{e}ti$ 'lade, scoop' fr. * $\check{c}erti$ * $\check{c}erpti$, to 1. sg. pres. $po-\check{c}r\check{\iota}$ pa. Correspondingly greti 'to scrape, scratch' fr. *grepti, to 1. sg. greba with $b=\operatorname{Indg}$. bh (§ 552). The forms $po-\check{c}r\check{e}sti$ - $\check{c}r\check{\iota}$ sti - $\check{c}r\check{\iota}$ sti and gresti grebsti, met with in later monuments, were new formations, which seem to have arisen from a dislike — also met with elsewhere — for infinitive forms with short stemsyllable; the s was transferred from plesti nesti etc. $s\check{\iota}$ $n\check{\iota}$ 'sleep' from * $s\check{\iota}$ $pn\check{\iota}$ = Gr. $\check{\iota}$ nesti from * $l\check{\iota}$ $pn\check{\iota}$, cp. $pri-l\check{\iota}$ $p\check{\iota}$ 'to stick to'; $pri-l\check{\iota}$ pna, which occurs beside $pri-l\check{\iota}$ na in the literary monuments, had borrowed p anew from form-association. rosa 'wasp' fr. *rasposa = Lith. rasposa 'gad-fly'. rasposa 'aspen-tree': Lett. rasposa Pruss. rasposa Cp. also the rasposa 'rasposa T scrape, scratch'.

t disappeared before l, n. O.Bulg. part. pret. $plel\Bar{u}$ fr. *plet- $l\Bar{u}$, to $plet\Bar{q}$ 'I twist'. This disappearance before l, like that of d before l (§ 548), seems to be old only in the southern and eastern dialects; in the western dialects prim. Slav. tl seems to have prevailed down to historical times, e. g. Upper Sorabian $plet\Bar{l} = 0$.Bulg. $plel\Bar{u}$. Examples for the disappearance of t before n occur in all branches, as O.Bulg. o- $sv\Bar{u}$ it to become light' fr. * $sv\Bar{u}$ -nati (cp. $sv\Bar{u}$ t' to shine'), Servian svanuti. Nevertheless I do not know whether this dropping of t is to be regarded as prim. Slav. Cp. dn § 548.

k disappeared in the prim. Slav. period in $p\xi t\tilde{i}$ 'five' = Skr. $pankt\tilde{i}$ - \tilde{s} 'the number five' (cp. Av. pantanha- 'fifth part' beside panca 'five', Lat. $qu\bar{i}ntus$ beside $qu\bar{i}nque$). This

reduction of pht to pt must be older than the change of kt to $t\chi'$. k disappeared also in the prim. Slav. period in -kst-(Indg. -qst-). 2. pl. of the s-aorist $t\check{e}ste$, to 1. sg. $t\check{e}ch\check{u}$ = orig. $*t\bar{e}q$ -s-o-m (§ 462). In like manner plesti to twist from $*plekst\bar{\iota}$ i. e. *plekt+ $t\bar{\iota}$ (cp. Lat. $plect\bar{v}$, OHG. flehtan), which gave the impulse to the new formations pres. pleta noun $plot\check{u}$ twist, plait etc., forms, in which t cannot be explained as having arisen phonetically from kt.

Loss of tenues between s+conson. O.Bulg. slězena slezena 'spleen': Gr. σπλήν σπλάγχνο-ν. plesnati 'to clap' fr. *plesk-nati, to pleskati 'to clap'.

Development of t as glide in sr = Indg. kr in prim. Slavonic. O.Bulg. $ostr\check{u}$ 'sharp': Gr. $\check{u}z\varrho o \cdot \varsigma$ etc., see end of § 544. $\check{p}istr\check{u}$ 'variegated' fr. orig. * $pi\hat{k}$ - $ro \cdot s$: Skr. $pi\acute{s}\acute{u}mi$ 'I adorn, shape', Gr. $\pi ouzi\lambda o \cdot \varsigma$ 'variegated'. The same development occurs also at a later period, e. g. O.Bulg. $str\check{s}\acute{s}en \cdot \check{\iota}$ beside $sr\check{s}\acute{s}en \cdot \check{\iota}$ 'hornet, gad-fly': Lat. $cr\bar{u}br\bar{v}$ (§ 303). Cp. Slav. str = Indg. sr, § 585, 2.

- § 546. History of the mediae, prim. Baltic-Slav. b, d, q and \check{z} .
- b. Lith. dubù-s 'deep, hollow', O.Bulg. dŭbrī 'ravine, valley': Goth. diups. See § 346.
- d. Lith. $d\tilde{u}$ ti O.Bulg. da ti 'to give' : Lat. $d\bar{v}s$ $d\bar{v}tis$. See § 378.
- g. Lith. giria 'forest', O.Bulg. gora 'mountain': Skr. giri-š. See § 463.
- \hat{g} . Lith. žirni-s 'pea', O.Bulg. zrĭno 'corn': Goth. kaúrn. See § 415.
- § 547. The transition of $d^z d(h)$ to zd(h) and of dm to m seems to belong to the period of the Baltic-Slav. prim. community.
- The 2. sg. imper. O.Bulg. $vi\check{z}d\check{\iota}$ 'see', $v\check{e}\check{z}d\check{\iota}$ 'know', $ja\check{z}d\check{\iota}$ 'eat' from * $\chi\iota\bar{z}d\check{\iota}$, * $\chi\iota\bar{e}zd\check{\iota}$, * $\ell\bar{e}zd\check{\iota}$; the \check{z} instead of z arose from a contamination with the optative forms which had $-i\ell$ and were used imperatively (see Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 387 and the accidence). * $\chi\iota\bar{e}zd\check{\iota}$ = O.Lith. veizd(i) 'see'. The cpf. was

* $\underline{u}eizdi$ fr. * $\underline{u}eid^{\overline{z}}$ -dhi (with different, older root-vocalism Gr. $i\sigma\theta\iota$ and Skr. viddhi).

Rem. 1. O.Lith. reizd(i) coming to be on a level with forms like leid(i) red(i), the z forced its way into the remaining forms of the verbal system also (first of all into the imper. pl.), hence $r\acute{e}izdmi$ $r\acute{e}izd\acute{z}iu$ instead of *reidmi *reid\acute{z}iu, lastly into noun formations also, hence e. g. ap-reizda-s 'providence' beside $r\acute{e}ida$ -s 'face, countenance'

It is doubtful whether O.Bulg. $da\mathring{z}d\mathring{t}$ 'give' imper. also belongs here, since it might possibly stand for $*d\tilde{u}d\mathring{t}$ and along with O.Lith. $d\mathring{u}di$ $d\mathring{u}d$ represent an orig. $*d\tilde{v}-dhi$. Cp. the accidence.

dm (partly from Indg. dhm) became m. Lith. ěmi O.Bulg. jamě 'I eat' fr. *ēd-mi: Lith. ědmi is a new formation (cp. rem. 3). Lith. dďmi O.Bulg. damě 'I give' fr. *dōd-mi. Lith. démi 'I place. put' fr. *dēd-mi, O.Lith. demi fr. *ded-mi, rt. dhē-. O.Bulg. věmě 'I know' fr. *uoid-mi, rt. ueid-. O.Bulg. vym; 'udder' fr. *ūd-mēn: Skr. ūdhar.

Rem. 2. The loss of the labial in O.Bulg. sedmyjī 'septimus' must be younger than this dropping of d. It may however have been effected already in the Baltic-Slav. prim. language, since by § 469, 3 the tenues in Lith sekma-s (first of all from *sepma-s or from *setma-s? § 345) and Pruss. septma-s sepma-s seem to be due to a new formation after the analogy of the cardinal number.

Rem. 3. The apparent dropping of d before the suffix of the 1. du. in Lith. $d\dot{u}'va$ O.Bulg. $dav\acute{e}$ $jav\acute{e}$ etc. has probably arisen from analogy with the 1. sg. and 1. pl. The regular form may be retained in Lith. $\ddot{e}dva$ and this might have aided in the creation of $\ddot{e}dmi$ $\ddot{e}dme$.

§ 548. No further changes, which need to be mentioned here, took place in Lithuanian.

Slavonic. On prim. Slav. $d\tilde{z}$ dz fr. g = Indg. g see § 463.

Loss of the mediae b d before following consonants. We also take into account here the mediae which had arisen from Indg. mediae aspiratae.

b disappeared before n in prim. Slavonic. O.Bulg. dŭno 'ground, bottom' fr. *dŭbno, beside Lith. dùgna-s (§ 346), to dŭbrĭ 'ravine, valley', rt. dheub-, see § 325. O.Bulg. sŭ-gŭna 'I fold' fr. *gŭb-na, to sŭ-gybati 'to fold', Lith. dvì-guba-s 'two-fold, double'. gyna beside gyb-aja 'I sink, go to ruin'; b has been restored in gybna like the p in wir-limus (§ 545).

d disappeared before l, n. O.Bulg. part. pal \breve{u} fr. * $p\bar{a}d$ - $l\breve{u}$, to pada 'I fall', rt. ped-. šilo 'awl' fr. *šī-dlo, ralo 'plough' fr. *rā-dlo, želo 'point, priek' fr. *že-dlo with suffix -dlo- from Indg. -dhlo- (Gr. -θλο-, Ital. -flo-). jela 'fir': Pruss. adde Lith. $\tilde{e}gl\dot{e}$ fr. *edlė (§ 378). This loss of d before l is old only in the southern and eastern dialects, it was retained in the western dialects, as Czech šúdlo Sorab. šúdlo Polish szydlo 'awl', Polish žadlo 'edge' jodla 'fir'. Examples for the loss of d before n occur in all branches, as O.Bulg. za-gana 'conjectura assequor' fr. *gād-na, to gad-aja 'conicio' O.Icel. geta 'to get, hit upon, guess', rt. ghed- (§ 425); vŭz-bŭna 'I awake', to bŭděti 'to wake, be awake', Skr. budh-, rt. bheudh-; u-vina 'I become withered', to u-ved-aja 'I become withered'; the d in padna 'I fall' (beside pada padaja) etc. had been restored by form-association. It is however questionable whether the dropping of d before n took place so early as the prim. Slav. period. Cp. tn § 545.

§ 549. History of the mediae aspiratae. They fell together with the mediae in the period of the Balt.-Slav. prim. community, as was the case also in Iranian (§ 481) and Keltic (§ 524). The laws, which have been laid down for the Indg. mediae (§§ 546—548), therefore held good also here.

bh. Lith. $bij\acute{o}ti$ -s O.Bulg. bojati sự 'to be afraid' : Skr. $bh\acute{a}y$ -a-tē. See § 347.

dh. Lith. dě-ti O.Bulg. dě-ti 'to lay, put' : Skr. $dh \acute{a}\text{-}ma.$ See § 379.

gh. Lith. snė̃ga-s O.Bulg. snė̃gŭ 'snow': Gr. νίφα, rt. sneįgh-. See § 464.

 $\hat{g}h$. Lith. žėm $\hat{\alpha}$ O.Bulg. zima 'winter': Gr. $\chi \epsilon \iota \mu \iota \acute{\omega} \nu$. See § 416.

§ 550. Prim. Baltic-Slav. change. dm became m: O.Lith. demi, O.Bulg. vyme, see § 547.

§ 551. On prim. Slav. $d\check{z}$ dz from g = Indg. gh see § 463. O.Bulg. ralo fr. * $r\bar{a}$ -dlo with suffix -dhlo-, $v\check{u}z$ - $b\check{u}nq$ fr. rt. bheydh- and similar forms see, § 548.

The combination media aspirata + t, s.

§ 552. It has already been remarked in § 469, 6 that a sure answer has not yet, been found to the question how the combination media asp. +t or s was pronounced at the time just before the dissolution of the Indg. prim. community.

In Aryan we must hold as the regular continuations of the Indg. prim. forms such forms as Skr. $drbdh\acute{a}$ - Av. $der^ew\~{d}a$ -. Skr. $d\acute{e}psati$ Av. $div\~{e}aidy\~{a}i$, which yield for the Ar. prim. community bdh as the continuation of bh+t and bzh as that of bh+s etc. The history of these Ar. groups is treated in § 482.

All the European languages have for the original combinations the same representations as for Indg. pt ps, t^st ts, $\bar{k}t$ $\bar{k}s$, qt qs. Examples:

Greek ὁοπτό-ς 'sipped, supped', to ὁοφέω, Lith. srebiù 'I sip', rt. srebh-. ἄ-πνστο-ς 'not heard of' (πενθομωι), Skr. buddhά-s; πείσεται 'he will search, inquire': Skr. bhōtsyátē, rt. bheudh-. ἀν-εκτό-ς 'bearable' (έχω): Skr. sāḍhá-s fr. *sā≵dha-s; fut. ξξω: Skr. 1. sg. aor. mid. ásākṣi, rt. segh-. εντο, ενξομωι (ενχομωι 'I supplicate, pray'): Av. 3. sg. aog'dā, 2. sg. aoyžā, rt. eugh-(§ 482). εντψε 'it snowed' (νίφει), rt. sneigh-.

Old Irish. tachtæ (*to-achtæ) 'angustus', rt. anĝh-. snechta 'snow', rt. sneigh-. for-tiasam 1. pl. of the s-fut. to for-tiagaim '1 help', cp. Gr. στείξομεν, rt. steigh-.

Germanic. MHG. wift 'fine thread' OHG. wiften 'texere' O.Icel. veftr veptr 'weft', to OHG. weban O.Icel. vefa 'to

weave', Skr. ubhnáti 'binds, fastens' part. ubdhá-, Gr. ΰφος 'web', rt. μebh-. OHG. musse f. 'spring, source' prim. form *mudh+tī: cp. OHG. mutilōn 'to murmur, mutter', Gr. μῦθο-ς 'speech' (Kögel Paul-Braune's Beitr. VII 180 f.). Goth. gilstr 'tax', to gild 'tax', rt. gheldh-. Goth. ana-busns 'commandment' prim. Germ. *-butsni-, to ana-biudan, rt. bheydh-. Goth. daúhtar OHG. tohter 'daughter': Av. dugedā duyāa, Indg. *dhugh+ter-. Goth. mahts OHG. maht 'might, power', to Goth. magan 'may, be able', O.Bulg. moga 'I can, may'. Goth. leihts OHG. līht 'light, easy', prim. Germ. *lenzta-z: Skr. laghú- Gr. ἐλαχύ-ς, rt. lengh-.

Baltic-Slavonic. Lith. skrepti 'to dry' fut. skrepsiu, to pres. skrebiu, Gr. záoqw 'I make dry or withered' (cp. § 589, 3). O.Bulg. greti, grěšŭ fr. *greptī, *grěpsŭ, to greba 'I scrape', Goth. graba 'I dig' (§ 545). Lith. vèsti O.Bulg. vesti 'to lead', Lith. fut. vèsiu O.Bulg. aor. věsŭ, to pres. Lith, vedù O.Bulg. veda, Skr. vadhá- 'bride, young woman' (she who is to be led home, she who is led home). Lith. vèszti O.Bulg. vesti 'to drive, ride', Lith. fut. vèsziu O.Bulg. aor. vésŭ, to pres. Lith. vežù O.Bulg. veza: Skr. váhāmi, rt. ueĝh-. Lith. duktě O.Bulg. dŭšti 'daughter': Av. dugedā. Lith. dèkti O.Bulg. žešti 'to burn', Lith. fut. dèksiu O.Bulg. aor. žěchŭ, to pres. degù, žega, Skr. ni-dāghá-s 'heat', rt. dhegh-; for the initial sound in Slav. cp. § 379 rem.

Rem. 1. I do not know any examples from Armenian which belong to this class. By \S 467, 1 the word dustr does not come under consideration.

The question now remains, is this representation of the original sound-combinations in the European languages the regular continuation of the Indg. forms, or have new formations arisen of the nature of Av. dapta- for *dawdu- = Skr. dabdhá-etc. (§ 482 rem. 1)?

Some scholars have come to the conclusion that the latter is the case at least as regards the combination media asp. +t, because they thought that they had also found in the Europ. languages an aspect differing from Indg. tenuis +t, which is to be regarded as the regular development. Nevertheless all

the cases, which have been brought forward, also admit of a different descision regarding them.

Rem. 2. Bartholomae (Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 206) explains Gr. $\theta \nu \gamma' \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \varrho$ on the ground of a presupposed prim. Gr. double form *dhughater-and *dhugdher-. But the form may also belong to the class $^2\gamma \dot{\omega}$ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \alpha \varepsilon$; etc. (§ 469. 8). Gr. $\varkappa \dot{\omega} \sigma \theta o - \varepsilon$ Goth. huzd Lat. custos need not contain *kudh+t-(cp Bartholomae Ar. Forsch. I 176, Kluge in Paul-Braune's Beitr. IX 153), but admit of being traced back to *kudh+dh-. so, too, Goth. ga-hugdi-'understanding' to *kuq+dh-, whence *kugdh- (cp. §§ 469, 5. 507. 536. 538). Still more uncertain is the material which W. Meyer in Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII 166 f. has brought forward from Lat. and Kelt. beside custos.

Therefore the possibility still remains, that forms like Gr. ὁοπτό-ς etc. exhibit the regular continuation of the Indg. prim. forms.

Rem. 3. Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. p. 320 f. regards bth, with voiced media b, as being the form which was in existence in the last stage of the Indg. prim. language, from which was regularly developed on the one hand Skr. bdh, and on the other hand Gr. $\pi\tau$ etc. This is however not very probable from a phonetic point of view.

The Indg. tenues aspiratae.

§ 553. It is less doubtful that the Indg. prim. language possessed tenues aspiratae than, to what extent it possessed this mode of articulation. Of late there has been a tendency to regard all or almost all of the Ar. tenues asp. (§ 474) as being old inherited, according to which e. g. *sthā-no- 'stand' (noun), not *stā-no- would have to be put down as the Indg. form (Skr. sthāna- Gr. δύστηνο-ς Lith. stóna-s). But in my opinion we have no right, with the present state of our knowledge, to put down the Indg. prim. forms with ten. asp. in such cases where only Aryan points to tenuis asp., but the other languages to tenuis. For the question would be asked in vain, why e. g. in Greek does not an *έσθην, but ἔστην (Skr. ásthām) stand beside such cases as ησθα οἶσθα with Indg. sth, t⁵th (see below), and why do not *πάθο-ς *πόνθο-ς, but. πάτο-ς πόντο-ς correspond to Skr. path- pánthā- 'path, way'.

Therefore prim. Indg. tenuis asp. can, as yet, only be put down with any degree of probability in such words, where the languages outside the Aryan group exhibit an aspirate or a sound which can be traced back to an aspirate. Such forms are the following:

- 1. Suffix of the 2. sg. perf. Indg. *-tha. Indg. *μοἰτ*tha 'knowest' fr. rt. μεἰα-, Skr. νέττha Av. Gāþ. νοἰstā Gr. οἶσθα. Gr. ηੌσθα ἐησθα 'wast' (originally a perf. form, see the accidence), Skr. ásitha. Lat. dīx-tī dīx-is-tī (for the vowel of the suffix see the accidence). Goth. last (lisa 'I gather together'), slōht (slaha 'I strike'), hlaft (hlifa 'I steal'); after such forms arose qast (qiþa 'I say') haíháist (háita 'I name') etc., in which -ss was the regular ending (cp. káupasta for *káupassa etc., § 527 rem. 3), then also bart (baíra 'I bear'), for *barþ, etc. ¹).
- 2. Skr. skhálāmi 'I stumble, stick fast, go wrong' Av. skārayant- 'leaping, letting leap' (in the proper name skārayaprapa-), Arm. sxalem 'I go wrong, stumble, err, sin', Gr. σφάλλομαι 'I stumble, fail, suffer a disaster' (also Lat. scelus 'crime, impious action' and OHG. sculd 'guilt' Goth. skulan 'to owe, shall'?), rt. sqhel-.
- 3. chinád-mi 'I cut off, tear' Av. opt. perf. hi-siđ-yāp, Gr. $\sigma_{\chi}i\zeta\omega$ 'I split' $\sigma_{\chi}i\nu\delta\alpha\lambda\mu\dot{o}$ - ς 'a piece of cleft wood, splinter', Lat. scindō caedō, Goth. skáidan 'to divide, separate' OH(\tilde{r} . scintan 'to flay' rt. skhajt- skhajd-.
 - 4. Skr. šankhá-s 'muscle, shell' Gr. κόγχο-ς, Lat. congiu-s.
- 5. Skr. nakhá-s Mod. Pers. nāxun Osset. nŭx 'nail', Armen. magil 'claw, talon' (whence m- for n-?), Gr. ővvx-og gen. to ővvţ 'nail, talon', Lat. ungui-s, Mid.Ir. inga O.Cymr. eguin Mod.Cymr. ewin 'nail, talon', Goth. nagljan 'to nail', Lith. nāga-s O.Bulg. nogūtī 'nail, talon'.

Without representation in Aryan are:

6. Armen. durgn 'potter's wheel', Gr. τροχό-ς 'wheel, potter's wheel' τρέχω 'I run' fut. θρέξομαι, Goth. pragja 'I run' OHG.

¹⁾ Cp. the ending of the 2. du. act. Goth. -ts = Skr. -thas or -tas. We must assume that original -ts stood regularly only in forms like perf. *lēsts, *lusts, *slōhts, *hlēfts (transformed into lēsuts, lusuts, slōhuts, hlēfuts) and in the pres. *s-tas or *s-tis (Skr. sthás 'ye two are') and then was transferred to the other verbs. See the accidence.

drigil 'servant' (runner), rt. thregh. It is doubtful whether O.Ir. traig 'foot' Gall. ver-trago-s name of a kind of dog (= 'great foot, swift runner'?) belong here; cp. O.Ir. droch 'wheel'.

7. Lat. habeō, Goth. habáiþ 'has' common stem form *khabhē-.

The Indg. tenues asp. fell together with the Indg. mediae asp. in Greek and Italic (see §§ 495. 509), and with the Indg. tenues in Germanic (see §§ 528—530. 509). The voiceless spirants, which arose after s in Lat. and Germanic, passed into tenues (cp. §§ 507. 528 end. 541, 7). Tenues arose after s in Balt.-Slav., elsewhere the tenues asp. fell together with the mediae asp. in this branch also, just¹) as in Armen. If O.Ir. traig belongs to the rt. thregh-, it follows that Indg. initial th- was treated differently in Kelt. before r from Indg. qh after n (inga).

THE SPIRANTS.

§ 554. Spirants are produced by the mouth channel being narrowed at one part in such a manner that the expiratory current gives rise to a grating noise on the edges of the narrowed part.

The following spirants are to be ascribed to the Indg. prim. language:

- 1. A voiceless s-sound, whose place of articulation cannot be exactly defined (cp. the various s-sounds in Sievers' Phonet. ³ p. 122 f.).
 - 2. The voiced sound z, corresponding to this s.

Rem. 1. As a hypothesis which is at any rate worth noticing, we may mention here that Bartholomae (Ar. Forsch. I 18 ff. II 54 ff.) ascribes aspirated s und z (sh and zh) also to the Indg. prim. language. E. g. he puts down gshei- as the rt. for Skr. $k\check{s}im\check{a}ti$ 'ruins' Gr. $\varphi\theta i\nu \omega$, and $\bar{g}zhom$ -, $\bar{g}hm$ - (the latter with loss of z) as the stem forms for Skr. $k\check{s}im$ - Av. acc. zqm gen. $z^em-\bar{o}$ Gr. $\chi\theta i\nu$ O.Bulg. zemlja 'earth'. Cp. also prim. Ar. and perhaps Indg. bzh from bh+s etc. §§ 469, 6. 470. 482. 552. 589, 4.

According to this it would also be possible to trace the suffix Slav. -dlo- Gr. -θλο- Ital. -flo- back to Indg. -thlo-, as has been done.

3. The palatal spirant j, strictly to be distinguished from consonantal i (j).

To these is probably to be added:

4. The labial spirant v, strictly to be distinguished from consonantal u (u). v and u have fallen together in most languages, and as was already mentioned in § 117 it is often difficult to decide whether the one sound or the other is to be assigned to the Indg. prim. form. The surest criterion for Indg. v is want of an old inherited weak grade form, in which v was fused with the neighbouring vowel to sonantal u, e. g. rt. ves-'clothe' (§ 555).

Rem. 2. The question of the separation of Indg. v and ψ is so involved and still so little investigated that we must content ourselves here with having referred to it generally.

It has been conjectured that the sound, from which Skr. h Gr. Lat. g in cases like Skr. $ah\acute{a}m$ Gr. $\grave{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$ Lat. ego T have arisen, was a spirant which closely resembled j. Attention has already been drawn to the uncertainty of this hypothesis in § 469 rem.

Lastly the question may still be raised whether a sibilant was not spoken after the k-sound in words in which a Gr. $\kappa\tau$ stands opposite to a Skr. $k\xi$, as in $t\hat{a}k\xi an$ - $\tau \xi \kappa\tau \omega \nu$ 'carpenter $f k\xi a$ - $\tilde{a} \varrho\kappa\tau \sigma - \zeta$ 'bear', and whether it differed from the Indg. s-sound which is to be presupposed for cases like Skr. $\hat{a}k\xi a$ -s Gr. $\tilde{a}\xi\omega\nu$ 'axle' Skr. $d\hat{a}k\xi ina$ -s Gr. $\delta \varepsilon \xi \omega - \zeta$ 'dexter', and was perhaps a f. For it has not hitherto been possible to discover a law by which the difference $\kappa\tau$: ξ might have arisen specially in Greek.

Indg. s.

Prim. Indg. period.

§ 555. *septm 'seven': Skr. saptá sápta, Arm. evtn, Gr. $\dot{\varepsilon}\pi\tau\dot{\alpha}$, Lat. septem, O.Ir. secht n-, Goth. sibun, Lith. septynì O.Bulg. sedmĩ. *seno- 'old': Skr. sána-s, Arm. hin, Gr. fem. $\dot{\varepsilon}\nu\eta$, Lat. senex, O.Ir. sen, Goth. superl. sinista, Lith. sẽna-s. Reflexive pronoun *suo- *sue-: Skr. svá-s 'own' Gr. \ddot{o} -5 'own' $\dot{\varepsilon}$ 'se', Goth. svēs (gen. svēsis) O.Bulg. svojĩ 'own'. Rt. sneigh- 'to snow': Av. snaežaiti Gr. $\nu\dot{\varepsilon}$ / $\psi\dot{\varepsilon}$ 'snows' $\dot{\alpha}$ / ψ / $\dot{\omega}$ / $\nu\nu$ / ψ 0-5 'much snowed upon',

Lat. ninguit, O.Ir. snechta Goth. snáivs Lith. sněga-s O.Bulg. sněqŭ 'snow'. Rt. sreu- 'flow': Skr. sráv-a-ti Gr. ὁέει 'flows', O.Ir. sruth OHG. stroum 'stream', Lith. sravà 'a flowing, bleeding' O.Bulg. o-strorŭ 'island'. Rt. stā- stə- 'stand': Skr. sthi-ti-š Gr. $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} - \sigma \dot{\beta} = c$ 'a standing'. Lat. $stati\bar{o}$, O.Ir. -tau 'sum' from * $st\bar{a}$ - $i\bar{o}$, Goth. staps 'stead', Lith. stona s O.Bulg. stanu 'stand' (noun). Rt. ves- 'clothe': Skr. vás-tē 'puts on' (perf. va-vas-ē), vás-ana-m vás-tra-m 'cloak, dress' vás-man- 'cover', Arm. z-ges-t (gen. z-gesti) 'dress' z-ge-nu-m 'I dress myself' (z- prefix), Gr. Hom. aor. ξσ-σαντο ξσαντο 'they put on' εσ-θής 'clothing' είμα Lesb. Εέμμα 'dress', Lat. ves-ti-s, Goth. qa-vas-jan 'to dress' MHG. wester 'baptismal robe'. Rt. dhers- 'dare' : Skr. dhárš-āmi 'I dare', Gr. θάρσ-ος 'boldness' Θερσ-έτης prop. name ('bold one'), Goth. ga-dars 'I dare', Lith, dris-ti 'to dare' (§ 285 rem.). *aks(i)-'axis': Skr. ákša-s, Gr. őξων, Lat. axi-s, OHG. ahsa, Lith. aszì-s O.Bulg. osī.

s a frequent suffixal element. -sio- future and -s- aorist suffix : Skr. dhā-syā-mi á-dhā-s-am from rt. dhē- 'put, place', $d\bar{e}k$ - $\dot{s}y\dot{a}$ -mi \dot{a} -dik- \dot{s} -ata (3. pl. mid.) from rt. $dei\hat{k}$ - 'show', \dot{a} -sahi- \dot{s} ata from rt. seĝh- 'subdue'; Gr. ἔδειξ-α, ηδε-α (on the function of the latter cp. the accidence); Lat. dīx-ī, fax-ī-s, vīder-ī-s; O.Ir. aor. conj. (= fut.) 2. sg. for-tēis, 2. pl. for-tēsid from *- $t\bar{c}ssis$, *- $t\bar{e}ssit(e)$, prim. f. *steigh+s-e-s, -te, to pres. for-tiagaim'I help' (ep. Gr. $\sigma \tau \varepsilon l \xi \omega$); Lith. fut. $d \check{e}$ -siu from rt. $d h \bar{e}$ -, $v \tilde{i} k$ -siu from rt. μelq- 'trail, drag', O.Bulg. part. fut. byšąšte-je 'το μέλλον' (to Lith. bú-siu 'I shall be'), aor. dě-chu, 2. pl. dě-s-te, from rt. dhē. Personal ending of the 2. sg. -s as in *bhéroi-s 'feras' *s-įė́-s *s-iįė́-s 'sis' : Skr. bhárē-š syá-s, Gr. φέροι-ς εἴη-ς, Lat. ferē-s siē-s sī-s, Goth. bairái-s OHG. sī-s, O.Bulg. beri (from *berē-s). Noun-stem suffix -es- (as *ĝén-es- neut. 'genus'): gen. sg. Skr. ján-as-as, Gr. $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu - \epsilon - o \varsigma$ $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu - o \nu \varsigma$, Lat. gen-er-is, O.Ir. tige 'of the house' = Gr. $\sigma r \in \gamma \varepsilon - o \varsigma$, $\tau \in \gamma \varepsilon - o \varsigma$, Goth. rig-iz-aneut. 'darkness' (cp. Skr. ráj-as-), Lith. nom. deb-es-ì-s 'cloud', O.Bulg. gen. neb-es-e 'of the sky' == Skr. nábhas-as. Sign of the nominative -s (as in *έkuo-s 'equus'): Skr. ášva-s Gr. ίππο-ς Lat. equo-s, Gall. tarvo-s 'bull', Goth. vulf-s Lith. vilka-s 'wolf'.

Aryan.

§ 556. Indg. s experienced several changes in the period of the Aryan primitive community.

1. s passed into \check{s} and ss into $\check{s}\check{s}$ after $i\ \bar{\imath}$ and $u\ \bar{u}$, $\check{\imath}$ and u (as second component of diphthongs), \imath $\bar{\imath}$ r, q, \hat{k} . By this means Indg. st and $\hat{k}t$, sq and $\hat{k}q$ and probably also ss and $\hat{k}s$ fell together at that period, see §§ 396. 399. 401.

This \check{s} remained in Iranian, but in Sanskrit it was further changed to cerebral \check{s} , and $\check{s}\check{s}$ (= Indg. ss and $\hat{k}s$) became $\hat{k}\check{s}$ (as ss became ts, see § 557, 1).

After i- and u-vowels. 3. sg. pres. act. Skr. ti-šth-a-ti Av. hi-št-a-iti, O.Pers. 3. sg. imperf. mid. a-i-št-a-tā, from rt. stā-'stand': Gr. "ι-στη-μι, Lat. si-stō. Skr. ni-šīd-a-ti 'he sits down', causat. ni-šādayāmi Av. ni-šādayāmi; O.Pers. niy-ašādayam with š carried over into the augmented form (like Skr. ny-ašīdam beside regular ny-asīdam, pary-ašahata beside regular pary-asahata imperf. to pari-šahatē 'he endures', savya-šthár- after savyēšthar- 'standing on the left'). Nom. Skr. páti-š Av. paiti-š 'master': Gr. πόσι-ς. Loc. pl. ášvē-šu Av. aspaę-šu -šv-a O.Pers. aspai-šuv-ā (ášva- aspa- 'horse'), cp. Skr. sénā-su Av. haenā-hu -hv-a O.Pers. hainā-uv-ā (sénā- haenā- hainā- 'army'): O.Bulg. vlŭcě-chŭ (vlŭkŭ 'wolf') beside raka-chŭ (raka 'hand'). Part. Skr. uštá- Av. ušta- = Lat. ustu-s, Indg. *us-to-s, rt. eus- 'burn'. Skr. šuš-ka- (from *suš-ka-, § 557, 4) Av. huš-ka- O.Pers. uš-ka- 'dry', prim. f. *sus-qo-, rt. says-. Skr. jóš-a- Av. zaoš-a-'favour', Skr. jōštár- 'loving' O.Pers. dauštar- 'friend': Gr. γενστέο-ν 'meet to be tasted', Lat. gus-tu-s, Goth. kius-a 'I test, choose'. Skr. 2. sg. $dv \not\in k \not= i$ 'thou hatest' from $dv \not\in k \not= i$, 1. sg. $dv \not\in k \not= i$ Skr. fut. \$775ya vi 'I shall dry up' fr. *\$ō\(\xi\)-\(\xi\)ya mi, pres. \(\xi\)\(\xi\) yāmi (§ 557, 4), cp. Gr. fut. ανσω fr. *ανσ-σω, rt. says-1).

¹⁾ Some assume that Skr. § also regularly stands for s after i, i, e. g. piṣ-ánti 'they pound': Lat. pīnsō; then the forms pináṣ-mi etc. for *pinas-mi etc. would have been formed after the analogy of pi̞ṣ- (cp. Av. cinah-mi 'I announce' 3. sg. cinas-ti, from caẹṣ-). I consider not only pinaṣ-mi but also pi̞ṣ-ánti as a new formation (after pipēṣ̄a, piṣṭá- etc.). For in the period of the Ar. prim. community, when s became š, the preceding

After r-sounds. Skr. $dhr\mathring{s}-n\acute{o}-mi$ $dh\acute{a}r\mathring{s}-\bar{a}mi$ 'I dare', Av. $der'\mathring{s}-nao-mi$ 'I dare' $dur\mathring{s}-i-\mathring{s}$ 'vehement', O.Pers. $a-dar\mathring{s}-nau-\mathring{s}$ 'he dared' (- \mathring{s} taken over from the s-aorist). Skr. $\mathring{s}\iota r\mathring{s}-\mathring{a}-m$ 'head' : Gr. $\varkappa\acute{o}\rho\sigma\eta$ 'head, temple', Indg. $\mathring{k}_{\overline{g}}$ s- (§ 306).

Indg. qs = prim. Ar. $k\dot{s}$: Skr. $k\dot{s}$ Av. $x\dot{s}$. Future Skr. $vak\dot{s}y\dot{a}mi$ Av. Gāp. $vax\dot{s}y\ddot{a}$ '1 shall speak', nom. Skr. $v\dot{a}k$ from * $v\bar{a}k\dot{s}$ (§ 647, 7) Av. $v\bar{a}x\dot{s}$ = Lat. $v\bar{o}x$, rt. ueq-.

Rem. 1. The Av. combination $x\check{s}$ became voiced in the dat. abl. pl. $r\bar{a}\gamma\check{z}^{\epsilon}-by\bar{o}$ which was formed anew from the nom. sg. Cp. $aw\check{z}-d\bar{a}ta-\S$ 558, 2.

Indg. ks: Skr. kš Av. O.Pers. š. 2. sg. Skr. vákši Av. vaši 'thou art willing', to 1. sg. váš-mi vas-^emi, rt. uek-. Cp. § 401.

- 2. sc (Indg. sq) became šc or śc: Skr. śc Av. sc O.Pers. šc. Skr. káś-cid Av. kas-cip O.Pers. kaš-ciy 'any one'. Av. sc: Skr. śc = Av. nc: Skr. nc (panca: pánca), see § 200.
- 3. Final s in prim. Ar. -as and -ās underwent a change which gave to these endings the form $-\bar{o}$ and $-\bar{a}$ in Skr., \bar{o} and -a (Gāb. -ā) in Av., e. g. nom. sg. Skr. $\acute{a}\acute{s}v\bar{o}$ Av. $asp\bar{o}=$ prim. Ar. * $a\dot{s}ua$ -s, nom. pl. Skr. $\dot{a}\dot{s}v\bar{a}$ Av. $aspa~(asp\bar{a})=$ prim. Ar. *ašuās. This change cannot have taken place before voiceless dentals and palatals, as is shown by the combinations Skr. ašvas tad, ašvaš ca etc. and Av. aspas ca etc., also not in pausa, as clearly follows from the Skr. usual forms áśvah, áśvāh (Av. aspå, the more rare secondary form of aspa, seems to be most closely related to $\dot{a}\dot{s}v\bar{a}h$). It may however belong to Skr. -h from Indg. -s in as much as -aḥ -āḥ might both be regarded as the previous stages of Skr. $-\bar{o}$, $-\bar{a}$ Av. $-\bar{o}$, -a. It is not improbable that the -ah, $-\bar{a}h$, which arose in pausa in prim. Ar., forced their way at that period into the place of -az, -az before voiced explosives and spirants and of -as, -as before vowels; and whilst they were now retained in pausa down to the time of

nasals cannot at that time have been so absorbed in the vowels, that only a nasal vowel was spoken, and the latter would in all appearance be the necessary presupposition for the influence of the i or u on the s-sound. Cp. § 199 rem. 1. $h_i^i santi$ 'they injure', $n_i^i sat\bar{e}$ 'they kiss', gen. $p_{ij} s - ds$ 'of the man' show the regular development.

the Skr. separate development, where they settled also before k, p, and $-\bar{a}h$ became $-\hat{a}$ in Av., they regularly passed into $-\bar{o}$, $-\bar{a}$ before voiced sounds; and these two stages might have been reached already in the prim. Ar. period. When $-\bar{o}$ came to stand before other vowels than a in Skr., it became shortened to a, e. g. $a\dot{s}va$ upa; whereas * $a\dot{s}v\bar{o}$ api became $a\dot{s}v\bar{o}$ 'pi. Cp. Bloomfield in the American Journal of Philology III 25 ff., Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 36 ff., furthermore §§ 646. 647, 1 and 6. 649, 7.

Rem. On O.Pers. final $-a = \text{prim. Ar. } -as \text{ see } \S 558, 4.$

§ 557. The Indg. s, which had come over from prim. Ar., mostly remained unchanged in Sanskrit, e. g. saptá 'septem', ásti 'est', jánas-as 'generis'. Changes took place in the following cases:

Rem. The ss in the loc vácassu (vácas- 'word', cp. Gr. ἔπεσαι ἔπεσαι), máhīyassu (máhīyas- 'greater' cp. Gr. μεζοσι), māssu (mắs 'moon, month') is due to analogy. In like manner also ắssē 'thou sittest' (Gr. \tilde{h} σαι) for *ātsē after ắstē etc. vidvátsu in union with vidvádbhi \tilde{s} and the other bh-cases, in which dbh arose from zbh (§ 591), produced the form vidvát. Cp. the accidence.

2. Indg. $s\hat{k}$ appears as ch (cch) = Iran. s. $g\acute{a}$ - $ch\bar{a}$ -mi $(g\acute{a}cch\bar{a}mi)$ 'I go': Av. ja- $s\bar{a}$ -mi, Gr. $\beta\acute{a}$ - $\sigma\varkappa\omega$, Indg. *gm- $s\hat{k}\acute{\sigma}$. See § 400. $s\acute{s}$ was probably spoken in the prim. Aryan period. $s\acute{s}$ passed into $t\acute{s}$ in Skr. (cp. ts from ss above), and this into ch, as in $pach\acute{a}s$ ($pacch\acute{a}s$) 'pada by pada' from *pat- $s\acute{a}s$ from pad-(§ 351). $t\~{a}nchatr\~{u}n$ acc. 'these enemies' $=t\~{a}n(s)+s\'{a}tr\~{u}n$ is

perhaps also to be traced back to $t\bar{a}nt\bar{a}tr\bar{u}n$ with transition of $s\dot{s}$ to $t\dot{s}$. Cp. $t\bar{a}nt$ before s- above.

- 3. s was dropped between t or p and following explosive or spirant. $\acute{a}ch\bar{a}ntta$ from *a- $ch\bar{a}nt$ -s-ta 2. pl. of the s-acrist from chand-'shine'; $utth\bar{a}$ 'rise' from *ut- $sth\bar{a}$ -, e. g. inf. $utth\acute{a}tum$; correspondingly $satt\acute{a}$ = Av. hasta- from prim. Ar. * $satst\acute{a}$ -Indg. * $set^st\acute{o}$ -, part. from rt. sed-'sit' (§ 469, 4). $\acute{a}t\bar{a}pta$ from *a- $t\bar{a}p$ -s-ta 2. pl. of the s-acrist to $t\acute{a}pati$ 'is warm'. Cp. the analogous treatment of z at the end of § 591.
- 4. s became \dot{s} , if \dot{s} or \dot{s} stood at the beginning of the next syllable.

švášura- 'father-in-law' from *svašura-, cp. Av. xwasura-Gr. ἐκυρό-ς Goth. svaihra. śmášru- 'moustache': O.Ir. smech Lith. smakrà 'chin' (§ 467, 2), perhaps also Lat. maxilla. šá-švant- 'complete, whole, each' fr. *sa-svant-, cp. Gr. a-πaς (§ 166).

 $\dot{s}u\dot{s}k\dot{a}$ - 'dry' $\dot{s}u\dot{s}yati$ 'drys in' fut. $\dot{s}\bar{o}k\dot{s}y\dot{a}ti$ (from * $\dot{s}\bar{o}\dot{s}\dot{s}yati$, § 556, 1): Av. $hu\dot{s}ka$ - O.Pers. $u\ddot{s}ka$ - 'dry', rt. saus-. The change of the initial s might have taken place here at the time when the root-final s was already an \dot{s} -sound, but had not yet acquired the cerebral articulation (\dot{s}).

The same assimilation as in $\dot{s}v\dot{a}\dot{s}ura$, only in the opposite direction, seems to exist in $\dot{s}a\dot{s}\dot{a}$ -s 'hare': OHG. haso Ags. hara (r from z, § 581) Pruss. sasn-i-s 'hare'.

Cp. the analogous assimilation in Lith., § 587, 2.

§ 558. Prim. Ar. s was retained in Iranian before n, p, t, k and after t. It became s after p(f). In other cases it either became an aspirate or disappeared.

- 1. Retention of s. Av. $snae\check{z}aiti$ 'snows': Goth. $sn\acute{a}ivs$ 'snow', rt. sneigh-. Av. $s\bar{a}s$ -na- 'a teaching': Skr. $\dot{s}\acute{a}s$ -ana- 'assignment'. Av. spas- 'spy': Skr. $sp\acute{a}\dot{s}$ Lat. au-spex. Av. as-ti O.Pers. as-tiy 'is': Skr. $\dot{a}s$ -ti Gr. $\ddot{e}o$ - $\tau\iota$. Av. skemba- 'pillar': Skr. $skambh\acute{a}$ -. s along with a preceding t became ss, whence s, as in Av. masya- 'fish' = Skr. $m\acute{a}tsya$ -, see § 473, 2 p. 349.
- 2. š from s after f (cp. § 473), except when r, tr followed, in which case s remained (Bartholomae Handb. § 149 rem. 1). Av. drafša- 'drop': Skr. drapsá- 'drop'. $\bar{a}f$ š (acc. $\bar{a}p$ -em) 'water':

Skr. dp from *dps (§ 647, 7). With df the new formation dwz-ddta- 'resting in the water', where f had become voiced, cp. $vdyz^e$ -byd § 556 rem. 1.

3. Aspirates from s.

Initially.

Av. O.Pers. h before prim. Ar. a ā, i ī, y. Av. O.Pers. hama- 'equal': Skr. samá-. Av. haṣnā- O.Pers. hainā- 'army': Skr. sēnā-. Av. hindu-š O.Pers. hidū-š (§ 197 rem.) 'country of the Indus': Skr. síndhú-š 'river, Indus'. Av. opt. hyāp 'it may be': Skr. syāt. O.Pers. hya 'qui' (relat.): Skr. syá 'that'. In Av. also before u, ū, and in the Gāpā dialect before v. hu- 'well, very, rich': Skr. su-. Gāp. hva- 'own': Skr. svá-.

For hy- Gāp. also xy-. For Gāp. hv- Late Av. xw-. See its treatment medially.

In O.Pers. h is wanting before u and uy = y. u-'well': Av. hu- Skr. su-. uva-'own': Av. $G\bar{a}p$. hva- Skr. $sv\acute{a}$ -. Cp. medially.

Prim. Ar. sm- = Av. m- (intermediate stage *hm-): maraiti 'is mindful': Skr. $sm\acute{a}rati$; mahi 'sumus': Skr. $sm\acute{a}si$. Prim. Ar. sr- = O.Pers. r-: rauta neut. 'river': Skr. $sr\acute{o}tas$ neut. It cannot be decided whether s had also disappeared in O.Pers. before m and in Av. before r.

Medially.

Av. h after prim. Ar. a-vowels before i $\bar{\imath}$, u \bar{u} . 2. sg. bara-hi (Gāp. $bara-h\bar{\imath}$) 'fers': Skr. $bh\acute{u}ra$ -si Goth. $ba\acute{u}ri$ -s O.Bulg. bere-ši. ahura- 'master': Skr. $\acute{a}sura$ - 'alive, spiritual, spirit'. $y\bar{a}hu$ loc. pl. from stem $y\bar{a}$ - 'quae': Skr. $y\acute{a}$ -su.

Av. nh between a-vowels. Sg. instr. mananh-a dat. $mananh-\bar{e}$ gen. $mananh-\bar{o}$ (manah- neut. 'sense, mind', Gr. $\mu\acute{e}ro\varsigma$) = Skr. $m\acute{a}nas-\bar{a}$, $-\bar{e}$, $-\bar{o}$, prim. Ar. * $manas-\bar{a}$, $-a\acute{e}$, -as; cp. loc. manah-i = Skr. $m\acute{a}nas-i$. Conj. 3. sg. $anh-a\rlap{p}$ from ah- 'be': Skr. $\acute{a}sat$ Lat. erit. $y\rlap{a}nham$ gen. pl. from $y\rlap{a}$ -: Skr. $y\acute{a}$ -sam, cp. Av. loc. $y\rlap{a}$ -hu.

O.Pers. h corresponded to this Av. h and nh except before u. 2. sg. conj. bavā-hy 'sis': Av. bavā-hi Skr. bhávā-si.

 $n\bar{a}ha$ -m acc. 'nose': Av. $n\bar{a}nh\bar{a}$ - Skr. $n\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ -. h is wanting before u, as initially (see above). aura- $mazd\bar{a}h$ - Ormazd: Av. ahura-. It is improbable that h was here also entirely unpronounced, cp. below on uv = prim. Ar. su.

Rem. 1. h remained here and there unwritten in O.Pers. also before other vowels than u, e.g. imperf. a-ištatā 'he placed himself', ep. Av. pres. $hi\check{s}tat\bar{e}$; $p\bar{a}tiy$ (probably to be read puatiy) for pahatiy 'he announces' beside imperf. 3. sg. $a\bar{p}aha$.

Av. \hat{n} before r (also written n, ng, nh). ha-za \hat{n} ra'thousand': Skr. sa- $h\acute{a}$ sra- Gr. Lesb. $\chi\acute{e}\lambda\lambda\iota o\iota$, Indg. *gheslo-.

Av. hm O.Pers. m from sm. Av. ahmi O.Pers. amīy 'sum': Skr. ás-mi. Av. ahmākem O.Pers. amāxam gen. 'of us': Skr. asmākam.

Av. $\check{n}h$ Gāp. hy, O.Pers. hy from prim. Ar. $s \dot{i}$. Av. $v a \check{n}h \bar{o}$ Gāp. $v a h y \bar{o}$ 'melius': Skr. $v \acute{a}s - y a s$. O.Pers. gen. sg. $k \bar{a}r a - h y \bar{a}$ ($k \bar{a}r a - m$. 'people, army') Gāp. $haoma - h y \bar{a}$ ($haoma - \acute{s}omadrink$ '): Skr. $s \acute{o}ma - s y a$. For this and the initial hy in Gāp. also $\acute{x}y$: $a \acute{x} y \bar{a}$ beside $a h y \bar{a}$ 'huius': Skr. $a s y \acute{a}$; $\acute{x} y \bar{a} \not{p}$ 'sit': Skr. $s y \acute{a} t$.

Prim. Ar. su became Av. xw and suh. $haraxwait\bar{\iota}$ - = Skr. $s\acute{a}rasvat$ - $\bar{\iota}$ - prop. name, cp. initial xw, as xwu- 'own' = Gāp. hva-2. sg. imper. mid. bara-suha = Skr. $bh\acute{a}ra$ -sva, rt. bher- 'bear'. The duality xw: suh was originally probably such that xw made its appearance under the conditions by which s without u passed into h, but suh, where s without u had become suh. Also for medial su in Gāpā hv: imper. $g\bar{u}\check{s}a$ - $hv\bar{a}$ 'hear', cp. hva- 'own'. In O.Pers. uv from su. 2. sg. imper. pati-paya- $uv\bar{a}$ 'protect thyself': Skr. -sva, cp. uva- 'own'. The pronunciation of this uv is not clear, it follows however from r. xwuu ruu ruu

4. Aryan final -s was entirely lost in O.Pers. (but not so -š, cp. $k\bar{u}r\bar{u}$ -š Cyrus). Nom. sg. aniya 'alius' (stem aniya-): Av. anyas(-ca) anyō Skr. anyás anyō. Nom. acc. sg. neut. rauca 'day' (st. raucah-): Av. raocas(-ca) raocō. 2. sg. impf. gaudaya 'thou concealedst': Av. -ayas(-ca) -ayō. It is not clear whether prim. Iran. -s was dropped here or whether we have to do

with the offspring of an already prim. Ar. further development of -as (see § 556, 3).

Rem. 2. If it were certain that the nom. $nap\bar{a}$ 'grand-child' had arisen from *napās and were identical with Skr. $nap\bar{a}t$ from `napāts, the form aniya would be supported as the regular continuation of prim. Pers. *anyas.

Armenian.

§ 559. s was retained before t, x, in combination with s and after (dropped) n, m. astλ (gen. asteλ) 'star': Skr. sty- Gr. ἀστήρ Lat. stella Goth. stairnō 'star'. sterj 'barren' (of animals): Skr. stari-š Gr. στείρα Lat. sterili-s 'unfruitful', Goth. stairō 'barren woman'. z-gest 'dress': Lat. ves-ti-s etc., see § 555. sxalem 'I go astray, err': Skr. skhálāmi 'I stumble', Gr. σφάλλομαι 'I stumble, fail', rt. sqhel-. 2. sg. es 'art' from *es-si: Gr. Hom. ἐσσί. amis (gen. amsoy) 'month': Gr. Lesb. gen. sg. μῆτν-ος from *μηνσ-ος, Lat. mēns-i-s, O.Ir. gen. mīs, epf. *mēns-. Acc. pl. eris 'three' from *tri-ns: Goth. pri-ns. us (gen. usoy) 'shoulder': Skr. ἀsα-s 'shoulder'. Umbr. onse loc. 'in umero', Goth. ams m. 'shoulder', Indg. *ómso-.

§ 560. Initial s disappeared before sonantal vowels and before nasals. $a\lambda$ 'salt': Gr. $\tilde{a}\lambda$ - ς Lat. $s\bar{a}l$ O.Ir. salann Goth. salt O.Bulg. soli 'salt'. evtn 'seven': Skr. sapta etc., see § 555. $ama\dot{r}n$ 'summer' OHG. O.Icel. sumar 'summer' (§ 232). m-i (gen. m-ioj) 'one' from *sm-: Gr. μia 'one' fem. from * $\sigma \mu$ - ιia , $\mu \tilde{\omega} v v \xi$ 'with single uncloven hoof' from * $\sigma \mu$ - $\omega v v \xi$, Indg. *sm-weak stem-form beside sem- (Gr. $\tilde{\epsilon}v$). nu (gen. nuoy) 'daughter-in-law' Skr. $snu\check{s}a$ - OHG. snur O.Bulg. $sn\tilde{u}cha$ 'daughter-in-law'. neard (gen. nerdi) 'nerve, sinew': Skr. $sn\tilde{a}van$ - 'string, sinew': Av. $sn\tilde{a}var$ - 'sinew'. h- occurs however before vowels in two words at least without any apparent reason: hin 'old': Skr. sana-s etc., see § 555; h- from *hu- prefix, e. g. h-lu 'obedient': Skr. su- O.Ir. so- su- 'well, very'.

p- probably from sp- in poit 'σπουδη'. t- from sp- probably in tut 'spattle' e-tut 'he spat': Gr. πrvω from *(σ)π μ v- μ ω Lat. spu \bar{o} Lith. spiuu-ju 'I spit' (cp. § 149).

k- from su- (cp. g(u) from u, § 162). *koir* 'sister' : Skr. $sv\acute{a}sar$ - Lat. soror from * $sues\bar{o}r$ (§ 172, 3) Cymr. chwaer Goth.

svistar Pruss. swestro 'sister', Indg. *sμέsor-. Æirtn (gen. Ærtan) 'perspiration' (-rt- from -tr-, § 263): Skr. svídyāmi 'I perspire', Gr. ίδοκός Lat. sūdor from *sμορίd-ōr (§ 170 p. 150.) Cymr. chwys 'perspiration' OHG. sweiz' 'perspiration', rt. sμερίd-. Æun (gen. Ænoy) 'sleep': Skr. svápnα-s Lat. somnu-s from *sμερηο-s (cp. soror) O.Icel. svefn 'sleep'.

Rem. If rec 'six' in Armen. arose from *syeks, the duality k- and v- = sy- must probably be placed on a level with the duality y and v in loyanam and kor (§ 162). But it may also represent a pre-Armenian form *yeks, see § 589, 3.

§ 561. Medially and Finally.

epem 'I cook' to Gr. Evin övio-v with orig. ps?

 $c = \text{Indg. } \hat{k}s$ and $s\hat{k}$ vec 'six': Gr. \mathcal{E} Lith. szesz- \mathcal{E} (ep. § 560 rem.). hurc 'quaestio' hurc-ane-m 'I ask': Skr. prchami, Lat. $posc\bar{o}$, OHG. forsca, prim. f. * $pr(\hat{k})$ - $s\hat{k}$ -. See § 408.

The š in gišer 'night' is not clear : Gr. $\xi \sigma \pi \ell \varrho \bar{\alpha}$ Lat. vesper O.Ir. fescor Cymr. ucher Lith. $v \bar{\alpha} kar \alpha$ -s O.Bulg. večer \bar{u} 'evening'.

The j in arj 'bear' (Skr. $fk\S a-s$ Gr. $aox 10-\varsigma$ Lat. ursus) probably arose from e (p. 358 footnote 1), but on account of Gr. $aox 10-\varsigma$, it is questionable whether the Indg. prim. form contained s, cp. § 554.

-y- from -si-. Gen. mardo-y (mard 'man, homo'): Skr. mytá-sya, Gr. Hom. βοοτοῖο from *-ο-σιο.

Intervocalic s disappeared. Koir 'sister', pl. Kor-K: Skr. svásā svásār-as. nu 'daughter-in-law': Skr. snušá.

s disappeared between a vowel and k (Indg. q), r, m, n. mukn (gen. mkan) 'mouse, musele': Skr. muṣ-kú- 'testiele' mūṣaka-s mūṣikā- 'rat, mouse', Lat. mus-culu-s, O.Bulg. myṣīca 'arm'. bok 'bare footed' prim. form *bhos-qo-s: OHG. bar 'naked, only' prim. Germ. *bazá-s, O.Bulg. bosũ 'bare footed'. Gen. ker 'sororis': Goth. svistrs, prim. f. *suesr-os or -es. em 'I am': Skr. ásmi, Indg. *és-mi. Pronominal dative ending -um. e. g. im-um 'to my' or-um 'to which': cp. Skr. -a-smāi in tá-smāi 'to the', Pruss. -e-smu in ste-smu 'to this'. z-ge-nu-m 'I dress': Gr. Ion. ε̄lrūμu from *fεσ-ν̄ι-μu (§ 565), rt. ves-, see § 555.

s disappeared after'r (probably only under certain conditions).
Brug mann, Elements. 27

i ver 'up, above, over', i veroy 'above': Skr. νάτξῦγαs- 'higher' Lith. virsz-ù-s 'the upper part, point' (Hübschmann Ztschr. d. deutsch. morg. Ges. XXXVI 121). tar-am-im 'I cause to fade, dry up': Skr. tarṣ-a-s 'thirst'. Gr. τέρσομαι, 'I become dry', Lat. torreō, Goth. ga-pairsan 'to be arid, gape from dryness', rt. ters-. But beside this appears also taršam-im, the relation of which to taram-im is not clear to me.

s disappeared finally after vowels. Nom. sg. mard 'homo': Skr. $mrt\acute{a}$ -s. Gen. sg. haur 'of a father': Gr. $\pi a\tau \varrho$ - \acute{a} c. But acc. pl. z mards Indg. * $mrt\acute{o}$ -ns, cp. Goth. vulfa-ns.

§ 562. Indg. s seems to have been assimilated to the \hat{k} at the beginning of the following syllable in shesur (gen. shesri) 'mother-in-law': Gr. $\dot{\epsilon} \varkappa v \phi \dot{\alpha}$, Goth. swigar, Indg. * $sue\hat{k}r\bar{u}$ -; sk-thus = $\hat{k}u$ -, see § 162. Cp. Skr. $\dot{s}va\dot{s}r\dot{u}$ - § 557, 4 and Lith. szeszura-s § 587, 2.

Greek.

 \S 563. s was preserved down to historical times in the following cases:

1. In combination with voiceless explosives. σπαίρω 'I pant, gasp': Skr. sphurámi 'I push away with my foot, pant, tremble', Lat. spernō, OHG. sporo 'spur', Lith. spiriù 'I push with my foot', rt. sper-. ἀλείνω 'I shall anoint': Skr. lēpsyámi 'I shall spread upon, besmear', Lith. lipsiu 'I shall remain sticking', rt. leip-. ἦσ-ται 'sits': Skr. άs-tē. ἦσ-θα 'thou wast': cp. Skr. άs-i-tha (cp. § 553). Aorist Hom. πάσσασθαι 'to eat, consume' from *πατ-σασθαι, to pres. πατέομαι; with reduction of the σσ to σ Hom. ἐπάσαντο (cp. § 490). βάσχω 'I go': Skr. gáchāmi, Indg. *gη-skō, rt. gem-. ἄξ-ων 'axis: Skr. άkξ-α-s etc., Indg. *αks-, see § 555. σχάνδυλο-ν 'stumbling-block': Skr. skándāmi 'I jerk, leap upon', Lat. scandō, O.Ir. perf. ro se-scaind 'he leapt', rt. sqand-. σφάλλομαι 'I stumble, stagger, fail': Armen. sxalem etc., rt. sqhel- (§ 553). Fut. λείψω 'I shall leave': Skr. rēk-ṣyámi 'I shall leave, give up to', Lith. liksiu 'I shall leave', rt. leig-.

Rem. 1. For the zt in $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \varkappa \tau \iota \sigma r$ 'carpenter' $\acute{e} \varrho \varkappa \tau \sigma - \varsigma$ 'bear' $\varkappa \tau \iota \sigma \iota - \varsigma$ 'a founding' (Skr. $t\acute{a} \iota k \S \check{a} f \iota k \S \check{a} - s \iota - s \acute{e}$) etc. see § 554.

ορ from οσ in later Attic: θάρρος, ἄρρην, κόρρη.

- Rem. 2. Hom. ἔχειρα. Att. ἔφθειρα ἔστειλα beside Hom. ἔχερσα ἔφθερσι ἔχελσα were new forms, made after the analogy of forms like ἔχτεινα ἔνειμα (from nasal stems),
- 4. In the combination nsį, whence σσ. πτίσσω from *πτινσ-μω 'I bruise, pound': Lat. pīnsiō pīnsō, Skr. 3. pl. pįš-ánti 'they pound' 1. sg. pinášmi (cp. p. 410. footnote 1). νίσσομαι from *νι-νσ-μο-μωι 'I go away, return home' beside νέσμαι from *νεσ-ο-μωι: Skr. nás-āmi 'I approach some one, I unite myself to some one', OHG. gi-nesan 'to recover, remain alive'.
- 5. Finally. 『ππο-ς 'horse': Skr. ásva-s, Lat. equo-s. ήδύ-ς 'sweet': Skr. svādú-ś. ἔφερε-ς 'thou didst bear': Skr. ábhara-s. Acc. pl. masc. Cret. τό-rς Att. τούς 'the': Goth. þa-ns. Nom. zύzλ-ωψ 'round-eyed', like Lat. vōx Av. vāxš 'voice'. Nom. rεό-της 'youth': Lat. novi-tās. Indg. *-tāt-s.
- 6. In the initial combination sm. $\sigma \mu \epsilon \rho \delta \alpha h \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \gamma$ 'terrible': OHG. smerzo 'pain' Mid. Engl. smerte 'sharp, bitter'. Beside these also the assimilation of the σ to μ , as in $\mu \epsilon \iota \delta \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota u$ 'to

smile' $\varphi\iota\lambda_0$ - $\iota\mu\iota\iota\iota\delta\eta_S$, to Skr. $sm\dot{a}y$ -a- $t\bar{e}$ 'he smiles'; $\iota\iota\prime a$ 'una' from * $\sigma\mu$ - ιa fem., to εr neut. from *sem; which is to be explained from the different conditions of sandhi (cp. § 589, 3).

- § 7. It is not quite certain that initial sy became $\sigma\sigma$, σ . The following comparisons have been made $i\sigma\sigma\sigma \varsigma$ $i\sigma\sigma \varsigma$ Cret. $fl\sigma fo \varsigma$ 'equal' with Skr. $ri\check{s}u$ 'in both directions' $ri\check{s}uva m$ 'aequinoctium') and Arcad. $i\mu u \sigma\sigma\sigma \varsigma$ 'half' with Av. $pri-\check{s}va$ 'third part' (on $i\mu u\sigma v \varsigma$ see Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 187).
- Rem. 3. Imper. $\varphi \acute{e} \varphi \acute{e} \varphi o v$ not = Skr. $bh\acute{a} ra$ -sva, but = Av. bura-vola Indg. $\acute{e}bh\acute{e} re$ -so. $\acute{e}\acute{o}$ - $\ifmmode = \ensuremath{\varsigma}$ 'arrow' to Skr. $\acute{e} \acute{e} u$ - $\acute{e} \acute{e}$ 'arrow', but it did not arise from $\ifmmode *i \sigma F o \ensuremath{\varsigma}$, but either o- and u-stem existed side by side from the beginning, or $\acute{e}\acute{e} \acute{e} \ensuremath{\varsigma}$ had been carried over into the o-declension from $\ifmmode *i v \ensuremath{\varsigma}$, ep. $\ifmmode *v \circ \ensuremath{\varsigma}$ Lat. $\ifmmode *v \circ \ensuremath{\varsigma}$ beside $\ifmmode v \circ \ensuremath{\varsigma}$.

If the above comparisons are right, it would then be possible to explain the initial σ - = $s\mu$ - instead of F- h- (§§ 166. 564) in certain words, e. g. in $\sigma\acute{a}\lambda o\varsigma$ 'groundswell, fluctuation': OHG. swellan 'to swell'; $\sigma\acute{t}ro\mu\alpha\iota$ 'I rob': OHG. $s\iota c\bar{\iota}nan$ 'to disappear'; $\sigma\bar{\iota}\gamma\acute{\eta}$ 'silence': OHG. $s\iota c\bar{\iota}g\bar{e}n$ 'to keep silent' (rt. $s\iota a\iota a\iota il$ - $s\iota a\iota a\iota ig$ -). The σ would have its regular position only medially (in the inner part of the sentence). cp. $\iota o\iota \iota f\cdot \sigma\sigma a\lambda o\varsigma$, δ $\sigma\acute{a}\lambda o\varsigma$, $\delta\iota$ $\sigma\acute{a}\lambda o\upsilon$, $\delta\sigma\acute{a}\iota s\iota v\iota \eta\nu$. Cp. Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 359.

- Rem. 4. We thus arrive at an explanation of $\sigma\tilde{\nu}_{-c}$ beside \tilde{v}_{-c} 'pig'. Corresponding to $\sigma t \alpha \lambda \sigma_{-c}$ 'fat hog' from $\sigma \sigma_{-c} \alpha \lambda \sigma_{-c}$ (ep. Goth. $sv_{-c} in$ 'pig', O.Bulg. $su_{-i}n\tilde{u}$ 'suillus') there existed once the form σF_{-o} beside \tilde{v}_{-o} (ep. Goth. $sv_{-c}in$ as opposed to Lat. $su_{-c}nu_{-s}$, like Ved. $dv\tilde{d}$ beside $dv\tilde{d}$ 'two'), the σ of which was retained in the inner part of the sentence and then was transferred to \tilde{v}_{s} \tilde{v}_{o} . Cp. Osthoff loc. cit.
- § 564. s became h in prim. Greek initially before sonants and between sonants, and then in the latter case disappeared altogether.
- ό 'the': Skr. sá Goth. sa. τμάς 'strap, rein': Skr. sī-mán-'parting, boundary', OS. sī-mo 'cord, rope', O.Bulg. si-lo 'cord

¹⁾ Bechtel (Philol. Anz. 1886 p. 15) puts * $F_{\ell}\partial_{\tau}\sigma F_{\ell}\sigma_{\tau}$ down as the prim. form, which he connects with $F_{\ell}\partial_{\tau}\rho_{\ell}\rho_{\tau}$ 'I resemble some one'. This would do very well as regards meaning, but the assumed suffix formation is not justifiable.

rope'. $\bar{\psi}_{\varsigma}$ 'pig': Lat. $s\bar{u}$ -s. OHG. $s\bar{u}$ 'sow' (on $\sigma\bar{v}$ - ς see § 563 rem. 4). $\hat{u}\mu\acute{o}$ - $\theta\epsilon\nu$ 'from some place or other': Goth. sums 'anyone', epf. *smm- \acute{o} -.

Hom. $\tilde{\eta}a$ (Att. $\tilde{\eta}$) 'I 'was': Skr. $\tilde{a}s$ -am, Indg. * $\tilde{e}s$ - ηp . $\gamma \tilde{e}v \epsilon - o \epsilon \gamma \tilde{e}v \epsilon - o$

Rem. 1. Sometimes a seems to have remained unaffected between vowels, as in $\epsilon i\theta \epsilon_{-\alpha \alpha}$ beside $\varphi \epsilon_{\varphi \epsilon_{-\alpha \alpha}}$, and Att. $\epsilon_{\pi \alpha t \gamma \alpha}$ beside El. $\epsilon_{\pi \alpha t \gamma \alpha}$. On this point see the accidence.

Rem. 2. $\alpha \dot{\nu} \omega$ beside $\alpha \dot{\nu} \omega$ and Att. (inscript.) $\ddot{\nu} \omega$ beside $\ddot{\nu} \omega$ were formed after $\alpha \ddot{\nu} \omega \omega$, $\ddot{\nu} \omega \omega$. Conversely $\alpha \dot{\nu} \omega \omega$ for $\alpha \ddot{\nu} \omega \omega$, $\pi \dot{\nu} \omega \omega \omega$ for $\omega \omega \omega$ (§ 496). In like manner each of the two forms $\dot{\omega}$ - and $\dot{\omega}$ - 'with' went beyond its original sphere, e. g. $\dot{\omega} - \theta \dot{\nu} \omega \omega$, after $\ddot{\omega} - \pi \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega}$ etc., conversely $\ddot{\omega} - \pi \dot{\omega} - \sigma \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} = 0$ after $\ddot{\omega} - 2 \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} - \sigma \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} = 0$ etc. Cp. rem. 3.

Initial sy- became voiceless \mathcal{F} -, and this became h-. foi f's', oi g' 'sibi, se': Skr. $sv\acute{a}$ -. Cp. § 563, 7 on $\sigma\acute{\alpha}\lambda og$ etc.

Initial si- became h-. v- $\mu\eta'\nu$ 'thin skin, sinew': Skr. $sy\bar{u}$ -man'string, strap'. Medial si became i, which disappeared after
Homer. Hom. $\tau\sigma\bar{i}\sigma$ Att. $\tau\sigma\bar{v}$ 'of the' from * $\tau\sigma$ - $\sigma\iota\sigma$: Skr. $t\acute{a}$ -sya.
See § 131.

Prim. Gr. h- (= Indg. s-, s μ -, s $\dot{\mu}$ - and $\dot{\mu}$ -, § 129) was lost in Lesbian according to the testimony of the old grammarians: $\dot{o} = \dot{o}$ etc. (Meister Griech. Dial. I 100 ff.). h- seems to have been reduced in Ionic-Attic at an early period. It disappeared altogether in the christian era.

Rem. 3. Besides the forms quoted in rem. 2 there are still many others with h-where the spiritus asper might be expected. In some cases it

seems to be merely a question of inexactness in the representation of the sound. In other cases it is more or less clear that they have been formed by analogy. Thus Att. ἀλενών 'kingfisher' beside ἀλενών (Lat. αlcēdō), after ἄλε from *σαλε (de Saussure's comparison of ἀλενών with OHG. swalawa, Mém. de la soc. de lingu. VI 75, does not convince me); Dor. ἀμές Att. ἡμεῖς (Skr. asma-) after ὁμές ὁμεῖς from *ἐνομε- (to Skr. yuṣ̌ma-. § 129); ἡσται 'sits' (Skr. ắstē) after forms from ἐλ- 'sit' Indg. scd-; Herael. ὁπτώ 'eight' (Lat. octō) after ἐπτά 'septem'. Further είπόμην for *εἰπόμην (from *ἐ-(h)επομην) after ἑπόμην ἔπομαι, and many others.

- § 565. Initial s before n became assimilated to this in prim. Greek, e. g. r/q- α acc. 'snow': Lith. $sn\bar{e}ga$ -s etc., see § 555. The fuller initial was still preserved in $a\gamma a$ - $rv\eta o$ -c 'deeply covered with snow' \tilde{e} - $rv\eta$ 'nebat' (O.Ir. $sn\bar{a}$ -the 'filum', OHG. snuor 'thread, string') (§ 654, 4).
- s, between a sonant and following nasal or between a nasal and following sonant, became assimilated to the nasal in print. Greek. The stage of assimilation remained in Lesbian and North Thessalian, whilst in the other dialects a reduction of the nasal, partly with 'compensation lengthening' (cp. § 618), took place. *φαΓεσ-νο-ς 'shining', to φάος: Lesb. φάεννο-ς, Ion. φαεινό-ς, Dor. Φαηνό-ς. *ἐσ-μι 'I am' (Skr. άsmi): Lesb. έμμι, Att. εἰμὶ, Dor. ἡμὶ. ζῶμα 'girdle' from *ζωσ-μα: Lith. jἀs-mut 'girdle', rt. jōs-. Aor. *ἐμεν-σα 'I remained' *ἐνεμ-σα 'I allotted': Lesb. ἔμεννα ἔνεμμα, Att. ἔμεινα ἔνειμα, Dor. ἔμηνα ἔνημα. Gen. *μηνσ-ος 'of the month' (O.Ir. mīs): Lesb. μῆνν-ος (Thess. ὑστεφο-μεινν-ία), Att. Dor. μην-ός.

ενντια probably at the same time when Πελοπόννησος arose from *Πελοπόςνησος. In like manner Att. ζώνντια from *ζωσ-ντια, a new formation for regular *ζωντια, ep. ζώνη 'belt' from *ζωσ-ντια, rt. $j\bar{o}s$ -, and others of the same kind.

sr became voiceless $\varrho\varrho$ in prim. Greek, which underwent simplication initially. Hom. $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\varrho\varrho\varepsilon$ $\dot{\varrho}\dot{\epsilon}$ 'flowed': Skr. \dot{a} -srav-a-t sr $\dot{a}v$ -a-t. Cp. § 266. It is doubtful whether such $\varrho\varrho$ was anywhere medially reduced to ϱ with compensation lengthening'.

sl became $\lambda\lambda$, initially λ , at the same period. ἄ-λληκτο-ς μετα-λλήξαντα (Il. I 157), λήγω 'I cease': OHG. slach O.Icel slakr 'slack, lazy', rt. slēg-. Lesb. χέλλωι, Ion. Att. χείλωι (beside χήλωι, the 7 of which has not yet been satisfactorily explained), Dor. χήλωι 'thousand', from *χεσλ-ω-: Skr. sa-hásra- sa-hasríyω-, Indg. *ĝheslo- *ĝhesl-ijo-.

§ 566. Transposition of σ seldom took place, as in $\xi l \phi \circ \zeta$ Lesb. $\sigma z l \phi \circ \zeta$ 'sword', Syrac. $\psi \dot{\xi}$ Ion. $\sigma \phi \dot{\xi}$ 'se'.

Assimilation of s to following explosives or spirants, whereby the st, which had arisen from t^st (§ 490), stood equal to original st. Lac. $\check{\alpha}$ - $\tau\tau\bar{\alpha}\sigma\iota$ $\check{\alpha}\iota\dot{\alpha}$ - $\sigma\tau\eta\theta\iota$ Hesych., $\check{\alpha}\varkappa\dot{\alpha}\varrho=\check{\alpha}\sigma\varkappa\dot{\varrho}$ 'leather bag'. Cret. Gortyn $\iota\iota\dot{\epsilon}\tau\tau\varepsilon\varsigma$ 'up to' from * $\iota\iota\varepsilon\sigma\varepsilon\varsigma$, which may be regarded as $\iota\iota\varepsilon\sigma\varepsilon\varsigma$ (cp. $\iota\iota\dot{\epsilon}\chi\varrho\iota\varsigma$ $\check{\alpha}\iota\varepsilon\varepsilon\iota\varsigma$ etc.) or as $\iota\iota\varepsilon\sigma\tau$ ' $\dot{\varepsilon}\varsigma$ (cp. $\iota\iota\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\varepsilon$, $\iota\iota\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\alpha$). Beot. $\check{\varepsilon}\tau\tau\varepsilon=\check{\varepsilon}\sigma\tau\varepsilon$ 'till', that is, $\check{\varepsilon}\varsigma$ $\tau\varepsilon$, $\check{\iota}\tau\tau\omega=\check{\iota}\sigma\tau\omega$ 'let him know'. Cret. $\theta\theta$ from $\sigma\theta$, as $\delta\iota\dot{\delta}\delta\theta\theta\omega=\delta\iota\dot{\delta}\delta\sigma\theta\omega$, $\tau\varrho\dot{\delta}\theta\theta\alpha=\tau\varrho\dot{\delta}\sigma\theta\alpha$, Beot. $\tau\theta$, as $\check{\delta}\sigma\iota\tau\theta\sigma\iota\dot{\delta}\bar{\alpha}$ 'cuttle fish'. These $\theta\theta$ and $\tau\theta$ are probably both to be read pp; sp became pp. Cret. $\check{\iota}\theta\theta\tilde{\alpha}\tau\tau\iota$ ($\check{\iota}\theta\theta\alpha\tau\tau\iota$?) = Att. $\check{\iota}\sigma\tau\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\iota$ shows the development st pt pp.

Italic.

§ 567. Some of the changes, which s underwent within Italic itself, belong to the development of the separate dialects, as is shown either by Latin or the Umbr.-Samn. dialects, e. g. Lat. $a\bar{e}nu$ -s from prim. Lat. * $a(\underline{i})es$ -no-s, cp. Umbr. ahesnes 'aënis'.

In other cases the agreement of the Ital. languages, as evinced by their monuments, justifies our ascribing the change to the period of the Ital. prim. community, e. g. z from s between vowels may be regarded as prim. Italic.

There are other affections where we are unable to say how old they are, because the material is too limited, e. g. Lat. -br- from -sr-.

§ 568. s was retained in all dialects:

- 2. In combination with explosives and s. Lat. spectō, Umbr. speture 'spectori': Skr. spáś- 'spy, watchman', rt. spek-. Latstō, Umbr. stahituto 'stanto', Osc. stahint 'stant': Gr. στάσι-ς etc., see § 555. Lat. est estōd, Umbr. est Osc. ist estud: Gr. εστά εστω, rt. es- 'be'. Lat. pistu-m pistor, Umbr. pistu 'pistum': Skr. piṣtά- 'rubbed, ground'. Lat. scabō: Goth. skaba 'I scrape', Lith. skaběti 'to cut', ep. § 346. Lat. gnō-scō nō-scō: Gr. γι-γνώ-σzω 'I get to know'. Lat. serpsī: Skr. ά-sārps-am and ά-srāps-am (pres. sárpāmi 'I creep, crawl'), Gr. εἶοψα (ξοπω 'I creep, go'). Preposition prim. Ital. *ops: Lat. obs-trūdō read opstrūdō, os-tendō, Umbr. os-tendu 'ostendito'. Initial ps- seems to have become s-: Lat. sabulu-m according to Osthoff from *psaflo-m: Gr. ψάμμο-ς 'sand' from *ψαφ-μο-ς, to ψῆφο-ς 'small stone' '). Lat. con-cussī from *-cutsī, to con-cutiō, see § 501.

¹⁾ It is not probable that ps- has become p- in $palp\bar{a}re$ and others (Stolz Lat. Gr. § 63, 2).

Lat. dīxī: Skr. mid. ádikṣi (disámi 'I direct, show'), Gr. εδείξα (δείπιξια). Nom. Lat. vōx: Av. vāxṣ, Indg. *μōqs 'voice, word'; Osc. meddíss 'meddix'. Initial ks- has perhaps been simplified to s- in Lat. su-b s-uper, Umbr. s-omo 'summum', to Gr. εξύπερθε (for other quite as uncertain or still more uncertain examples see Osthoff Morph. Unt. IV 266, Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 612). Lat. ges-sī beside ges-tu-s, hausī beside haus-tu-s. From prim. Ital. *is-so *is-sā or *es-so *vs-sā 'iste, ista' 2) comes the Umbr. Osc. stem is(s)o- es(s)o-, as in Umbr. isso-c eso-c 'sic' essu esu abl. 'hoc' esa 'hac', Osc. eseí loc. 'in eo'.

3. In -ns-. Lat. mēns-i-s, Umbr. menzne 'mense' (-nz-from -ns-, see § 209): Gr. Lesb. μῆνν-ος (§ 565). Lat. cēns-eō, Osc. censamur 'censetor': Skr. śaṣ-āmi 'I pronounce solemnly, recite'. Lat. ānser: Skr. hasá-s OHG. gans Lith. žaṣl-s 'goose'. Lat. pīns-ō pīns-iō: Skr. 3. pl. piṣ-ánti (§ 556).

So also original s after original m. Lat. $s\bar{u}mps\bar{\iota}$ with excrescent p (§ 228). Umbr. uze onse loc. 'in umero': Goth. ums 'shoulder'.

Rem. It is however questionable whether orig. -ms- had not become -ns- in prim. Italic, just as -mt- became -nt- (§ 207), so that these examples would not come into consideration for the prim. Indg. combination -ms-. Lat. sūmpsī may have been a new formation like sūmptu-s. Lat. umeru-s can scarcely come from a prim. Ital. *omso-. The pre-Italic form seems to be *omeso- and the Umbr. word may have arisen from this by syncope (§ 633). The same relation would follow for Osc. Niumsieis 'Numerii' beside Lat. numerus. Cp. §§ 209. 269 rem. and § 570 rem. 2 on tenebrae.

- 4. Often finally (cp. § 655, 9). Nom. sg. Lat. hortu-s, Umbr. pihaz pihos 'piatus' Osc. húrz 'hortus': cp. Gr. λύκο-ς, Gen. sg. Lat. familiās, Osc. moltas 'multae': cp. Gr. χώρᾶς.
- § 569. s between sonantal vowels became r in Latin at the beginning of the historical period; s is still found in the

²⁾ Neut. nom. acc. *is-tod or *es-tod, masc. acc. *is-tom or *es-tom. The first part of the compound was related to the s- in Pruss. s-tesse etc. t was carried over to the nom. sg. masc. fem. in Lat., iste, ista, conversely in the other dialects s was carried over from these cases into the others. Cp. Danielsson Pauli's Altital. Stud. III 158 ff. and the accidence.

oldest period. In Umbr. s and r, in Osc. s and z (= French, Slav. z). r arose everywhere first of all from z (cp. Lat. mergu-s from pre-Ital. *mezgo-s. § 594), and s in the old Ital. monuments is an inexact expression for z. The change to z was probably completed in the prim. Italic period.

Rem. 1. The process of rhotacism was at an end at the time of Appius Claudius (Censor 442 U.C.). From the report that he removed from the alphabet the letter Z we may conclude that z was also written in Lat., as in Osc., for the voiced sibilant.

Umbr. r and s. eru erom 'esse', rt. es. fu-r-ent 'erunt', cp. fu-s-t 'erit'. hapinaru gen. pl. fem. 'ovium': Skr. $t\acute{a}s\bar{a}m$ 'harum'. as a usa abl. 'ara'. The spelling of the latter word with s was a graphic archaism, or it was borrowed from another dialect without rhotacism. On the change between s and r finally see § 655, 9.

Osc. z and s. ezum 'esse', Umbr. erom. censa-zet 'censebunt' tribarakattu-set 'aedificaverint', cp. Umbr. fu-rent. egmazum 'rerum', cp. Umbr. hapinaru. Fluusai dat. 'Florae'. aasas pl. 'arae'. To which Pelign. coisatens 'curaverunt', Lat. $coir\bar{a}re$ $coer\bar{a}re$ $c\bar{u}r\bar{a}re$, perhaps to Gr. $\tau \varepsilon \tau \iota \eta - \mu \acute{\varepsilon} ro \cdot \varsigma$ 'sorrowful, troubled', $\tau \iota (\sigma)$ - from *qis- (§ 427, b).

In Lat. r=s also before \underline{u} . Minerva from *Menes- $\underline{u}\overline{a}$, cp. Skr. mánas- Gr. $\underline{\mu}'v\varepsilon\sigma$ - 'sense, mind'. furvo-s beside fuscu-s, in case the latter has not arisen from *fursco-s. $l\bar{a}rva$ ($l\bar{a}ruu$) possibly connected with $Lar\bar{e}s$ (Lases).

Rem. 2. In Lat. r = s often came through analogy into positions, especially finally in which it could not have arisen regularly. honor beside $hon\bar{o}s$, arbor beside $arb\bar{o}s$, $m\bar{a}jor$ for ${}^*m\bar{a}j\bar{o}s$, after $hon\bar{o}r$ -is etc. Cp. also

reternu-s veterrimu-s, to vetus -eris = Gr. $\check{\epsilon}\tau os$ - ϵos , through association with $h\bar{\imath}bernu$ -s $\bar{a}cerrimu$ -s etc. with old r. $p\bar{u}bert\bar{u}s$ to $p\bar{u}b\bar{e}s$ -eris, after $\bar{u}bert\bar{u}s$ with old r.

Rem. 3. After the conclusion of the process of rhotacism s often came to stand again between vowels and now remained unaffected, e. g. $quaes\bar{o}$ from * $quaes-s\bar{o}$, $haus\bar{\iota}$ from * $haus-s\bar{\iota}$. The assumption is unsatisfactory that $n\bar{a}su-s$ (ep. $n\bar{a}r-\bar{e}s$ $n\bar{a}rium$, $n\bar{a}s-turtiu-m$, Skr. nas- OHG. nasa 'nose', Ags. $nas-\bar{d}yrla$ 'nostrils', Lith. nosi-s 'nose') was formed anew from a nom. sg. * $n\bar{a}s$ (ep. $aur\bar{o}r-a$ etc.); the s in Slav. $nos\bar{u}$ is also strange (§ 588, 2); did s arise in both languages from a form with -s-s- (stem * $n\bar{a}s(e)s-$, ep. Skr. $vats-\hat{a}-$)?

§ 570. s before masals and liquids.

sn. s disappeared in Lat., but was retained in Umbrian. Lat. $n\bar{a}re$, Umbr. snata 'umecta': Skr. $sn\bar{a}-mi$ 'I bathe, wash, water', O.Ir. $sn\bar{a}m$ 'a swimming'. Lat. ninguit nix: Lith. $sn\bar{e}ga-s$ 'snow'; if Umbr. ninctu is really related to $ninguit\bar{o}$. we have here a different treatment of initial sn-which may possibly depend on different conditions of sandhi. Lat. nurn-s: Skr. $snu\bar{s}\bar{a}$ OHG. snura 'daughter-in-law'. $a\bar{e}nu-s$ $a\bar{e}neu-s$, Umbr. ahesnes 'aënis', to aes aeris, Skr. ayas- neut. 'metal, iron'. Lat. $p\bar{e}ni$ -s from *pes-ni-s: Skr. pas-as neut. Gr. ne0; neut. 'penis'. Lat. $d\bar{e}$ - $g\bar{u}n\bar{o}$ beside $d\bar{e}$ -gus- $t\bar{o}$, rt. geus-. $d\bar{e}$ - $numer\bar{o}$ from *dis-ne. In satin potin from satis-ne potis-ne, viden abin from vid $\bar{e}s$ -ne ab $\bar{e}s$ -ne the long vowel was shortened after the dropping of the s and the final -e.

xn, tsn. $l\bar{u}nu$, O.Lat. inscript. losna, from *louc- $sn\bar{a}$: Av. raox šna- 'shining'. $s\bar{e}n\bar{\iota}$ from *sex- $n\bar{\iota}$. $\bar{e}n\bar{u}nti\bar{o}$ from *ex-n. penna, O.Lat. pesna, from *pet- $sn\bar{a}$, rt. pet- 'fly'.

sm. s disappeared in Lat., but was retained medially in Umbr.-Samn. Lat. merdu 'dirt': Lith. smirdéti 'to stink'. mī-ru-s 'wonderful': Skr. smáy-a-tē 'smiles'. prīmu-s, Pelign. prismu 'primo' or 'primum'. Lat. dī-moveō from *dis-m. Umbr. pusme 'cui': Skr. ká-smāi 'to whom?'; do the Lat. adverbs quom cum and quam also belong here? Osc. posmo-m 'postremum', cp. Lat. pōne from *pos-ne.

Rem. 1. It is uncertain whether $Casm\bar{e}na = Cam\bar{e}na$ and $dusm\bar{o} = d\bar{u}m\bar{o}$ (dusmo in loco) in Paul. Fest. are examples of the retention in Lat. tself of s in this combination sm. Corssen Krit. Beitr. 431 regards

cosmittere = committere in the same source as containing — but hardly rightly — a cons-, formed like obs o(b)s-, Osc. az etc. Cp. also Bücheler Rhein. Mus. XXXVI 241 f.

xm. sub-tēmen from *-texmen. sē-mēnstri-s from sex-m. ēmergō from *ex-m.

sl. s was lost in Lat. langue \bar{o} : OHG. slach 'slack, lazy', rt. sl $\bar{e}g$ - (§ 565). $l\bar{u}bricu$ -s: Goth. sliupan 'to slip'. $d\bar{\iota}$ -lu \bar{o} from *dis-l. $p\bar{\iota}$ lu-m 'pestle' from *pins-lo-m, \bar{u} lu-m from *anslo-m, see § 208.

xl, tsl. $\bar{a}la$ from *axl \bar{a} , to axilla, OHG. ahsla 'axle'. $v\bar{e}lu$ -m from *vexlo-m, to vexillu-m. $\bar{e}lig\bar{o}$ from *ex-l. sc $\bar{a}la$ from *scant-sl \bar{a} , to scand \bar{o} .

According to some scholars (see Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 328. XXVIII 270) s disappeared in Lat. before nasals and before l without compensation lengthening (or with later shortening of the lengthened vowel), if the vowel was unaccented. The least doubtful examples are $Cam\acute{e}na$ (rem. 1), o- $m\acute{e}tt\breve{o}$ from *o(p)s- $m\acute{e}tt\breve{o}$, $corpul\acute{e}ntu$ -s from *corpos- $l\acute{e}nto$ -s, $col\~{e}na$ cul $\~{e}na$ from *co(c)-sl- $\~{e}na$. It is here a question of later Lat. accentuation (§ 681), and on account of o- $mitt\~{o}$ it would have to be assumed that a short vowel had regularly arisen in $d\~{v}$ - $m\acute{e}rg\~{o}$ etc., quoted above, and that the long vowel was due to the analogy of forms with $d\~{e}$ - $\~{e}$ -.

Initial s also disappeared in cases where it came to stand before l after the dropping of t, but not until a later period. $stl\bar{\imath}s$, $sl\bar{\imath}s$, $l\bar{\imath}s$. stlocus, locus. Cp. also lien: Gr. $\sigma\pi\lambda\acute{\eta}\nu$ 'spleen'. See § 503. L. Havet, Mém. de la soc. de lingu. V 229 f., derives $\bar{\imath}lic\bar{\imath}o$ from *in $sloc\bar{\imath}o$, like $p\bar{\imath}lu$ -m from *pinslo-m § 208, 2.

sr became pr, further fr, whence medially Lat. br. Examples only in Latin. $fr\bar{\imath}yus$: Gr. $p\tilde{\imath}_{ij}os$ 'frost', cpf. *s $r\bar{\imath}gos$. $fr\bar{\imath}gu$ -m: Gr. $p\tilde{\imath}_{ij}es$ 'berry'. $sobr\bar{\imath}nu$ -s from *syesr- $\bar{\imath}no$ -s, to soror: Skr. svasar-svasr-, Indg. *syesor-syesr-. cerebru-m from *ceresro-m, to Skr. siras- 'head'. $f\bar{\imath}nebri$ -s from * $f\bar{\imath}nes$ -ri-s, to $f\bar{\imath}nus$ -eris, $f\bar{\imath}nes$ -tu-s. $cr\bar{\imath}br\bar{\imath}o$ from * $cr\bar{\imath}s$ - $r\bar{\imath}o$: Lith. szirsz-ri etc., see §§ 303. 306. membru-m from * $m\bar{\imath}ms$ -ro-sin: O.Ir. $m\bar{\imath}r$ 'a piece of flesh' (§ 574); * $m\bar{\imath}ms$ -ro- became in Ital. first of all *mensro-sin (§§ 207.

- 612), hence *menpro- *memfro- membro-. tenebrae: Skr. támisrā-tamisra-m 'dark, dark night'.
- Rem. 2. The *n* for *m* in the last word is best explained by assuming an orig. double from * $temsr\bar{a}$, whence ` $tensr\bar{a}$ (ep. OHG. dinstar 'dark' from prim. Germ. *fins(t)ra- and Lith. timsra-s 'like a sorrel-horse'). and * $temosr\bar{a}$ -. The *n* in the first form was transferred to the latter.
- Rem. 3. $d\bar{\imath}$ - $ru\bar{\wp}$ was formed after $d\bar{\imath}$ - $lu\bar{\wp}$ $d\bar{\imath}$ - $move\bar{\wp}$ etc. or arose regularly through -sr- (-zr-) being treated differently at a later period than in the cases mentioned above. In the latter manner the difficult form $v\bar{e}r$ $v\bar{e}ris$ (to Gr. $z_{\alpha\varrho}$ Lith. $vasar\dot{\alpha}$ etc.) might also be explained by assuming, along with L Havet (Mém de la soc. de lingu. V 447), that a stem-form $z_{\mu e}rr$ $z_{\mu e}r$ Indg. $z_{\mu e}r$ was transformed to $z_{\mu e}r$ ($z_{\mu e}r$ -) after the analogy of the nom. acc. $z_{\mu e}r$ ($z_{\mu e}r$ -) and that from this arose $z_{\mu e}r$ -, which, being carried over from the oblique cases, gave rise to the nom. acc $z_{\mu e}r$ Or does $z_{\mu}r$ belong to O.Icel. $z_{\mu}r$ 'spring'?
- § 571. rs, ls. In Lat. s became, if it was not protected by a following consonant (tostu-s from *tors-tu-s), assimilated to the liquid, probably after it had first become z. rs was preserved in Umbr.
- rs. Lat. terreō, Umbr. tursitu 'terreto': Skr. trásāmi 'I tremble at', Gr. τρέω (aor. Hom. τρέσ-σω) 'I tremble, flee' ετερσεν ἐφόβησεν Hesych., O.Ir. tarr-ach 'timid' (?); the root form ters- instead of tres- seems to be a secondary formation after the analogy of the form trs- (Umbr. turs-). Lat. fār farris, farreu-m, Umbr. farsio 'farrea'; stem far-s- to Germ. *tar-iz- 'barley' (O.Icel. barr neut., Goth. bariz-eins). Lat. errō: Goth. airzeis OHG. irri 'astray'. verrēs: Lith. verszi-s 'calf', Skr. vṛṣʿa-s 'bull', rt. yers-. Lat. verrō O.Lat. vorrō: O.Bulg. vricha 'I thresh'. rt. yers-. Lat. ferre from *fer-se, cp. es-se. torreō beside tostu-s: Gr. τέρσομαι 'I become dry'.

Rem. Observe that $rs = r^{s_i}$, rts, rts remained in Lat. vorsu-s: Skr. $vytt\acute{a}-s$, Indg. $uyr^st\acute{c}-s$. $ars\bar{\iota}$ to $ard\iota\bar{\iota}$. ursu-s: Skr. $fk\acute{s}a-s$ (ep. however § 554). Up. Umbr. trah-rorfi 'transverse' (§§ 209. 501).

ls. Lat. collu-m 'neck': hals (stem halsa-) 'neck'. velle from *vel-se, ep. es-se.

Lat. rn ln from rsn lsn. cernuo-s from *cers-nuo-s, to cerebru-m (§ 570). alnu-s from *als-no-s, probably to Lith. elks-ni-s m. 'alder' (with excrescent k), further OHG. elira Ags. alor (r from z) O.Bulg. jelicha 'alder'.

§ 572. -ss- = Indg. -ss- remained after short syllables, but, like $ss = t^s t$ ts (§ 501), became s after long syllables, e. g. haesī from *haes-sī (haereō) beside yes-sī (yerō).

differo from *dis-fero.

Old Irish.

§ 573. Initial s was retained before sonantal vowels; as so, too, in Gallie, while in Britann. — first probably only after final vowels — it became h. su-so-'bene', e. g. su-thain 'perpetuus' (tan 'time'), Gall. Su-caru-s (prop. name) Cymr. hy-gar Bret. he-gar 'comis, benignus, amicus': Skr. su-. sēt 'way', Cymr. hynt Bret. hent: Goth. sinps 'way, course, time'. samail 'resemblance', Cymr. hafal 'similar' (§ 243, 4): Skr. samá- 'even, equal', Gr. όμοῦ 'together' ἄμ-α 'at once', Lat. simili-s, Goth. sama 'the same, O.Bulg. sq- 'with' samǔ 'self'.

sy appears initially as s, as siur 'sister': Cymr. chwaer, Skr. $sv\'{asar}$ -, medially as f (b), as perf. 3. sg. do-sefainn 'I hunted, drove', rt. syend-. See § 175 p. 154.

§ 574. sn- sm- sr- sl- were retained initially. snāim 'I swim': Skr. snā-mi. snechta 'snow': Goth. snāivs, rt. sneigh-. smir 'marrow': Goth. smairpr 'fat, fatness' OHG. smero (gen. smerwes) 'fat, grease'. smech 'chin': Lith. smakrà 'chin' (§ 557). sruth 'stream': Skr. srāv-a-ti 'flows' etc., see § 555. ro slecht 'destructus est', cp. perf. ro se[s]lach 'I struck down': Goth. slaha 'I strike' (§ 576 p. 431).

Medial -sm- became -mm-. 1. pl. ammi 'sumus', cp. Gr. sluèv Skr. smás; 1. sg. im am 'sum': Skr. ásmi (cp. Zimmer Kelt. Stud. II, 133).

 $m\bar{v}r$ 'a piece of flesh', according to Thurneysen from prim. Kelt. * $m\bar{e}nsr$ -, to Lat. membru-m, Skr. $m\bar{q}s\acute{a}$ - etc., see §§ 570. 585 rem. 3.

s remained, except when originally final (§ 576), after nasals which themselves disappeared (§ 212). $g\bar{e}is$ (gen. du. Mid. Ir. $g\bar{e}si$) 'swan': Skr. hasa-s OHG. gans 'goose'. Gen. sg. $m\bar{e}s$ (nom. $m\bar{e}s$) 'of a month': Lat. $m\bar{e}ns$ -is.

s became assimilated to a preceding r. err (gen. erre) 'tail': OHG. ars 'rump', Gr. δqqo -s 'tail, rump'. Cp. also orr from * $\sigma r(c)$ -s § 518.

§ 575. s in combination with explosives and s.

It disappeared initially before t. tiagaim 'I stride, go': Skr. stighnutē 'leaps upon, elimbs up' (not found), Gr. στείχω 'I stride, go', Goth. steiga 'I elimb', Lith. staiyýti-s 'to hasten'. -tau 'I am': Lat. stō etc., see § 555. Cp. on the other hand O.Bret. strouis 'stravi': Goth. stráuja 'I strew, spread out'; Bret. sterenn 'stella' Corn. steyr 'stellae'. Skr. sty- 'star' etc., see § 559.

Medial st and ts became ss (s). ro closs 'I was heard' fr. *klus-to-. s-fut. ro fessur 'I shall know' fr. *yit-s-, rt. yeid-. See § 516.

sc. scaraim 'I separate, sever': Lith. skiriù 'I separate, sever'. ro se-scaind 'he leapt': Skr. ca-skánda. cum-masc 'a mixing, mixing oneself' con-mescatar 'miscentur': Lat. misceō, OHG. misken 'to mix'.

ks became ss (prim. Kelt. probably zs). dess 'on the right hand': Goth. talhsva Gr. $\delta_{\ell}\xi_{\ell}\acute{o}-\varsigma$. s-fut. 1. pl. for-tiasam 'shall help', to tiagaim, cp. Gr. $\sigma\tau_{\ell}\acute{e}\xi_{\ell}$. See § 517.

ss. -accastar, that is, *ád-ces-s-a-thar 3. sg. of the pass. s-conjunctive of ad-cin 'I see'; cp. ad-chess 'visum est', the ss of which arose from st.

§ 576. s was dropped between sonantal vowels, the intermediate stage was h. This phenomenon occurs also in Britannic. siur 'sister', Cymr. chwaer, prim. f. *suesōr. O.lr. iarn, O.Cymr. hearn (the h prefixed from the inner part of the word — *iharn *eharn —?) 'iron', prim. Kelt. *isarno- in the place name Isarno-dori i. e. 'ferrei ostii': Goth. eisarn 'iron' a Keltic loan-word. ro cloathar 'audiat', to ro closs, Skr. śruṣ-ṭi-ṣ etc., see §§ 516. 576. au ō 'ear': Lat. auri-s Goth. áusō neut. Lith. ausì-s O.Bulg. ucho 'ear'. 2. sg. beri 'fers' fr. Indg. *bhéresi, Skr. bhárasi.

s was treated in the same manner after vowels before liquids and nasals. Perf. ro selaig 'he struck down' i. e. seślaig, see § 574. senaich 'stillavit' i. e. seśnuich, to snigid 'it drops'.

Every final s was dropped, both orig. postvocalic s and the s which arose from -ks, -ts, -ns. This dropping of s was late, since the Ogam inscriptions still have final s, as this also is still found in Gall. O.Ir. Luigdeck = Ogam inscript. Lugudeccas, gen. sg. to nom. sg. Lugaid = *Lugudex (c-stem); O.Ir. tarb 'bullock' = Gall. tarvo-s. $b\bar{v}$ 'cow': Skr. $g\bar{u}\dot{v}$ - \bar{s} Gr. $\beta o\bar{v}$ -s 'bullock'. $r\bar{\iota}$ (gen. $r\bar{\iota}g$ - 'king' Gall. Dubno- $r\bar{\iota}x$: Lat. $r\bar{v}x$. se 'six' = Lat. sex, beside sessed 'sextus'. oen-tu (dat. oentid) 'unitas' from *-t $\bar{u}t$ -s: cp. Lat. servit $\bar{u}s$ (gen. -t $\bar{u}t$ -is). $m\bar{\iota}$ (gen. $m\bar{\iota}s$) 'month' from * $m\bar{e}ns$: Gr. $\mu s\dot{\iota}s$ from * $\mu \eta \nu s$ (§ 611). Acc. pl. firu 'viros' (nom. sg. fer): Goth. vaira-ns. This loss of final s was later than the change of initial explosives to spirants which was caused by a preceding vowel; for the latter change does not show itself in cases where s was final (§ 658, 1).

Germanic.

§ 577. s was generally still intact in prim. Germanic. The only changes, which it underwent in this period, are the change of it to z by Verner's law and the assimilation of sm to mm.

§ 578. Initial s.

s before sonantal vowels. Goth. sunn-s OHG. sunn sun OS. Ags. sunn O.Icel. sunr 'son': Skr. sūnú-š Gr. v'ó-ç 'son', O.Ir. su-th 'birth, fruit', Lith. sūnù-s O.Bulg. synŭ 'sou'. Goth. saian OHG. sūan 'to sow': Gr. $\bar{\eta}_{\mu\mu}$ 'a throw', Lat. sēmen, O.Ir. $s\bar{\imath}$ 'seed', Lith. sěju O.Bulg. sěja 'I sow', rt. sē-.

 $s\underline{i}$, $s\underline{w}$. Goth. siujan OHG. siuwan 'to sew': Skr. $sy\overline{u}$ -tá-s Lith. siu-ta-s 'sewn', Indg. * $s\underline{i}\overline{u}$ -, cp. § 143. Goth. svistar OHG. swester 'sister': Skr. svásā. OHG. sweiz 'perspiration, sweat': Skr. sváda-s 'sweat'.

sn, sm, sl, sr. Goth. snáivs OHG. snēo 'snow': Lith. snēga-s 'snow', cpf. *snoigho-s. Goth. snō-rjō 'wicker-work, basket' OHG. snuo-r 'string, cord': O.Ir. snā-the 'filum'. Goth. smair-fr 'fat' OHG. smero 'fat, grease': O.Ir. smir 'marrow'. MHG. smiegen 'to ply, bend' OHG. smoccho 'underdress, shirt': Lith. smùkti (indic. smunkù) 'to slide' O.Bulg. smykati sự 'to creep, slip'.

Goth. slēpan 'to sleep' OHG. slaf 'slack' slāfan 'to sleep': O.Bulg. slabŭ 'slack'. OHG. slingan 'to wind to and fro' slango 'snake': Lith. slenkù 'I crawl' O.Bulg. slakŭ 'bent'. sr- became, as medially (§ 580), str-. OHG. stroum O.Icel. straumr 'stream': Skr. sráv-a-ti 'flows'.

sp, st, sk. Goth. speivan OHG. spīwan 'to spit, vomit': Lith. spiáu-ju 'I spit'. OHG. spuon 'to succeed, have success': Skr. spháyām: 'I swell, thrive', O.Bulg. spěją 'I succeed'. Goth. stiks 'point of time' OHG. stih 'point': Gr. στίζω 'I prick', Lat. īn-stīgāre. Goth. us-skáus 'provident, sober' OHG. scouvōn 'to look, view': Gr. θνο-σπόο-ς 'sacrificing priest'. Goth. skei-nan OHG. scī-nan 'to shine': Gr. σπά 'shadow'.

 \S 579. s in combination with Indg. voiceless explosives medially and finally.

OHG. aspa 'aspen-tree': Lett. apse Pruss. abse O.Bulg. osina (from *opsīnā, § 545); it is uncertain whether the original order was sp or ps. OHG. wafsa 'wasp': Lith. vapsà 'gad-fly'. OHG. refsen 'to chastise, blame, punish' prim. Germ. 1. sg. pres. *rafsiō: Skr. rápas- neut. 'bodily injury'.

Goth. OHG. ist 'is': Gr. έσ-τι. Goth. bat-ists OHG. bezz-ist 'best': cp. Gr. μέγ-ιστο-ς. Prim. Germ. ss, s from ts. OHG. zan 'tooth' from prim. Germ. *tans Indg. *dont-s. See § 527 p. 382.

Goth. fisks OHG. fisc 'fish': Lat. pisci-s O.Ir. iasc 'fish'. OHG. forscōn 'to ask': Lat. poscō. Goth. aúhsa OHG. ohso 'ox': Skr. ukṣʿá 'ox'. Goth. saíhs OHG. sehs 'six': Gr. धृृ Lat. sex.

So also s in combination with Indg. s probably remained unchanged in prim. Germanic.

Rem. I do not know any sure examples. Goth. is 'thou art' may represent either *iss(i) (Gr. Hom. èooù Armen. es) or *is(i) (Skr. ási Gr. èi). Does the genitive -s of a-stems (Goth. rulțis OHG. wolfes O.Icel. ulțis 'wolf's', Norse run. godagus proper name) go back to -ss-?

§ 580. Medial sr, like initial sr (§ 578), probably became str before Verner's law came into operation (see § 581). Goth, stem-form svistr- O.Icel. systr- 'sister' (gen. svistrs etc.) = Skr. svasr- Lat. sobr- (§ 570) O.Bulg. sestr- (§ 585, 2), Indg. *suesr-;

from here t was carried over into the forms, in which s and r were not in direct combination, hence e. g. nom. sg. Goth. svistar O.Icel. syster systir OHG. OS. swestar. OHG. dinstar Mid. Du. deemster 'dark': Skr. tumisra-m 'darkness', Lat. tenebrae, see § 570.

§ 581. In prim. Germ. s became z except in combination with voiceless explosives and spirants, if the sonant, directly preceding it, did not bear the principal accent of the word. This process of changing voiceless into voiced sounds concerned at the same time the spirants f, p, z, see § 530. z remained in Goth. but in the other dialects mostly became r.

Rem. Thus Indg. s partly fell together with Indg. z. See § 596.

First the s which remained unchanged. Goth. kiusu OHG. chiusu 'I test' prim. Germ. *kė́usō: Skr. jõšūmi 'I taste, enjoy', Indg. *ĝė́usō. OHG. mūs, pl. mūsi, 'mouse': Skr. mū́š- pl. mū́š-as.

z. Compar. in $-iz-\bar{o}$, Goth. $j\bar{u}hiza$ O.Icel. $\bar{o}re$ OHG. jungiro 'younger', prim. Germ. * $i\bar{u}(n)\chi-iz-\bar{o}$ (s. § 530 p. 387): cp. Skr. $-i\bar{s}$ - Gr. $-i\sigma$ - in the superlative. Goth. 2. sg. indic. pres. pass. bairaza (bairan 'to bear'): cp. Skr. $bharas\bar{e}$. Goth. riqiz-a- (nom. acc. riqis) O.Icel. rokkr neut. 'darkness': Skr. rajas, Gr. $\bar{e}\rho e \rho o g$. Goth. aiz-a- OHG. $\bar{e}r$ 'ore, metal' O.Icel. eir 'copper' prim. Germ. *aiz-a- from *ai-iz-a- (§ 635): Skr. aiyas- Lat. aes aeris.

Gothic, by levelling out, mostly gave up again the grammatical change s:z(r) in the verb. To Skr. $j\delta \xi ami$ 1. sg. pres., $juj\delta \xi a$ pf. indic. 3. sg. $juju\xi im\acute{a}$ 1. pl., $juju\xi an\acute{a}$ - partic. corresponded in prim. Germ. * $k\acute{e}us\ddot{o}$, * $k\acute{a}usi$, * $kuzun\acute{i}$, * $kuzan\acute{a}$ -. Hence regularly OHG. chiusu, chōs, churum, choran, O.Icel. kys, kaus, korom, korenn. On the other hand (toth. kiusa káus, kusum, kusans with s in stead of z in the two last forms, an innovation, which at a later period also made its appearance in the other dialects. Correspondingly Goth. nasjan 'to rescue' with s for z after nisan as opposed to OHG. Ags. nerian, prim. Germ. 1. sg. *nozijo.

The contrast, between Goth. áusō 'ear' MHG. æse 'ear' and OHG. ōra 'ear', and between OHG. haso and Ags. hara 'hare' etc., was also caused by an old difference of accentuation.

Goth. z regularly passed into s, if it came to stand finally,

cp. § 531. 2. sg. baíris from *baíriz(i) = Skr. bhárusi, cp. O.Icel. ber-r. Nom. riqis from *riqiz(-a-n) beside gen. riqiz-is (the spelling riqiz is inexact, just as Mod.HG. raub instead of raup, after raubes etc., Lat. urbs instead of urps, after urbis etc.). Adv. áiris 'earlier' beside nom. sg. áiriz-a.

§ 582. The change of s, mentioned in § 581. can also be traced in the combinations rs, ls, sl, sn, ms. The differences, which had regularly arisen in prim. Germ., were obliterated here also partly by levelling out and partly by processes of assimilation.

rs and rz (West Germ. rr). OHG. O.Icel ars 'buttock' prim. Germ. *ársα-z = Gr. Att. ὄρφο-ς from *ὄρσο-ς. Goth. vairsiza Ags. wiersa wyrsa from *wiers-(r)a 'peior', prim. Germ. *uirs-iz-ō. O.Icel. hjarse hjarsi m. 'giddiness' prim. Germ. *zérs-ē from Indg. *kers-en- (cp. also Lat. cernuo-s from *cers-n-, § 571) beside $k \not \bar{r}s$ -én- (see below), to a neuter \hat{k} éres-, represented in Lat. cerebru-m (*ceres-ro-, § 570). Goth. gu-pairsa 'I am withered, gape from dryness': Gr. τέρσομαι (the accent of which does not come into consideration here). OHG. fersana OS. fersna 'heel' prim. Germ. *férsnō, Ags. fyrsn 'heel' prim. Germ. *férsni-z:Skr. páršni-š 'heel' Gr. $\pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha$ 'heel, foot'; the z in Goth. fairzna is strange. Goth airzjan OS. irrian OHG. irren 'to mislead' prim. Germ. 1. sg. *irzijō, Goth. airzeis OHG. irri 'astray' prim. Germ. *irzijá- : Lat. errāre from *ers-āre. Goth. marzjan 'to vex' OS. merrian OHG. merren 'to delay, hinder, disturb' originally 'to make one unmindful' seems to have been an old causat. verb, related to Skr. mýš-yāmi (perf. ma-márš-a) 'I forget, neglect' mṛṣā adv. 'in vain, fruitlessly, erroneously'. OHG. hirni neut. 'brain' from *zirz-n-ijá- 'belonging to the head' (cp. O.Icel. hjarse above). OHG. hornaz 'hornet' prim. Germ. *zurzn-áta-z from a * \hat{k} ys-en- \hat{k} ys-n- = Lith. szirsz- \tilde{u} -eñs O.Bulg. srīš-en-ī 'hornet, gad-fly'; beside Mod.HG. horsel Dutch horzel: Lith. $szirszl\tilde{y}$ -s; cp. also Lat. $cr\bar{a}br\bar{o}$ (§§ 303. 306. 570).

Rem. 1. Goth. 1. pl. ga-daúrsum 'we dare' for *-daúrzum (= OHG. gi-turrun, Skr. dadhṛṣimá) after sg. ga-dars (Skr. dadhárša), like kusum for *kuzum (§ 581). Goth. paúrsu-s 'parched, dry' for *paúrzu-s after

ga-pairsan etc., cp. O.Icel. purr O.Swed. pörr OHG. durri 'withered, dry', Skr. tṛṣ-u-ṣ 'greedy, gaping with thirst'.

ls and lz (West Germ. ll). Goth. hals, gen. halsis, OHG. hals 'neck' prim. Germ. *hálsa-: Lat. collu-m from *colso-m. Goth. ga-talzjan 'to teach, instruct', to un-tala- (nom. untals) 'disobedient'; talz- may represent a nominal -es-stem. OHG. bellan 'to bark' Ags. bellan 'to bark, scream, howl' Low German bulle 'bull' point to a prim. Germ. pres. *bélsō pret. pl. *blzumí: Lith. balsa-s 'voice, sound', Skr. bháṣāmi 'I bark' (cp. § 259), Indg. *bhélsō; the ll = lz in bullun 'barked' etc. had crushed out the regular ls of the pres. and sg. pret.

sl and zl (ll). I do not know an example for sl¹). zl in MHG. krol, gen. krolles, 'curled, curled in locks' krolle 'curl, lock' Mid. English crullen 'to curl', prim. Germ. *kruz-lά-, to MHG. krūs 'crisp, curled' Mid. English crūs 'crisp, angry'. Cp. also O.Icel. hrolla 'to tremble, quake' beside hrjōsa 'to shudder', to Gr. κρνόεις 'awful, causing shudder' κρνσ-ταίνω 'I make to freezel'.

sn and zn (OHG. rn). Goth. asneis OHG. esni Ags. esne 'day-labourer' prim. Germ. *ás-nija-, as opposed to OHG. arn (gen. arni) 'harvest' O.Icel. onn 'season for working in the field' from prim. Germ. forms with unaccented root-syllable; cp. Goth. asans 'harvest, summer'. Goth. razn O.Icel. rann Ags. ærn (from *ærzn, and this from *ræzn) 'house' prim. Germ. *raz-ná-, to OHG. ras-ta 'rest, repose, a certain distance' Goth. ras-ta 'a certain distance'. OHG. lirnēn lernēn Ags. leornian OS. līnōn (cp. OS. mēda § 596) 'to learn', would have been in Goth. *liznan pret. *liznōda, to Goth. láis 'I know' OHG. lēren 'to teach', rt. leis-.

ms and mz. (toth. ams (st. amsa-) m. 'shoulder': Skr. ása-'shoulder'. Goth. mimza- 'flesh': Skr. māsá-m Pruss. mensā menso O.Bulg. meso 'flesh'.

Rem. 2. Did the s in ns once become z? For nz I do not know any other examples besides Goth. acc. pl. hvunz-uh 'all' panz-ei 'which',

¹⁾ The nominal suffix -sla- must be left out of the question, since this probably represents an Indg. -t+tlo-. See Kluge's Nominale Stammbildungs-lehre p. 64.

but nz cannot have been prim. Germ. in this case (§ 583) In numerous cases ns appears on all sides where nz or its further development might be expected, e. g. OHG. part. dunsan 'swollen' (dinsan Goth. pinsan 'to draw. pull': Skr. tasáyāmi 'I pull to and fro, shake', Lith. tesiù 'I stretch by pulling', rt. tens-). I conjecture that ns had been developed to nts (as in Umbr., in Lith. dialects and elsewhere) at the time when Verner's law operated. s could not become voiced with this articulation.

Owing to Goth. pamma 'to the', beside Skr. tásmād tásmāi Pruss. stesmu etc. Umbr. pusme 'cui', and Goth. im O.Icel. em 'I am', beside Skr. ásmi. it may be assumed that sm became mm in prim. Germ., im first of all from *imm. Otherwise, but not convincing to me, Osthoff Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 428.

§ 583. Final s (Indg. -s and -z — see § 645 finals 3 — may at that time partly or entirely have been levelled out to -s) was also subject to Verner's law. This law thus gave rise e. g. in the nom pl. of Germ. a- and \bar{o} -stems to $-\bar{o}z$ beside $-\bar{o}s$ (Skr. $-\bar{a}s$), and in the gen. sg. of *i*-stems to -aiz beside -ais (Skr. $-\bar{e}i$). Such doublets were then treated differently in the separate dialects.

In Gothic, -z became s (*uulfōz 'wolves' *qa-qumþáiz gen. 'of a meeting, synagogue' *buiráiz opt. 'feras' became vulfos qaqumpáis baíráis) either at the same time with the z which became final at a later period (e. g. *bairiz(i) 'thou bearest', § 581 p. 534 f.) and thus fell together again with the s which had remained unchanged (mundos nom. 'existimatae' = Skr. matás, Indg. *mptás). Or else, before the dropping of the final short vowels (§ 660, 1), a generalisation of the -z first took place, as in Norse, so that e. g. *mundoz was also spoken instead of regular *mund $\bar{o}s$, and then later -z everywhere became -s. I am in favour of the latter assumption. For thus can be best explained why z regularly appears for original final s, when a particle is attached to it (e. g. is 'he': iz-ei; veis 'we': veiz-uh; hvas 'who?': hvaz-uh; vileis 'velis': vileiz-u), whilest s appears in vas-uh beside vas 'he was', where s had only become final at a later period (*uasi, prim. form *ue-uós-e).

-z, as absolute final, was dropped in West Germanic, whilst -s was retained. -z had frequently been extended beyond its original sphere and had supplanted -s. Nom. pl. OHG. geba OS. geba Ags. zifa 'gifts' = Ooth. gibōs. Nom. sg. OHG. OS.

Ags. sunu 'son' = Goth. sunu-s. In OHG. the z-form was generalised in the nom. pl. of a-stems, taga, in OS. and Ags. the s-form, OS. dagos Ags. dagas. Likewise the s-s in the OS. gen. sg. burges nahtes kustes etc. is probably to be regarded as Indg. final s-s. OHG. nom. $s\bar{u}$ 'sow' from " $s\bar{u}z$ for older " $s\bar{u}s$ -s. Where r=z occurs in West Germ., as OHG. ir er (Goth. is), vir (Goth. veis), zar-zer-zir- (Goth. tuz-), this mode of treating the z was occasioned by the close connexion with following words and by the different stress which the pronouns and particles had in the sentence and in compounds. Cp. Paul in Paul-Braune's Beitr. VI 550 ff.

Prim. Germ. -z was generalised in Norse, whence -r, e. g. $s\bar{y}r$ 'sow' (dat. acc. $s\bar{u}$), ulfar 'wolves', gjafar 'gifts'.

Nothing prevents our assuming that -z became generalised in the nom. sg. in the prim. Germ. period.

Baltic-Slavonic.

§ 584. Indg. s remained generally intact in the period of the Balt.-Slav. primitive community.

The changes in this period were:

 $\hat{k}s$ became šš, whence Lith. sz, O.Bulg. s. Lith. aszi-s O.Bulg. ost 'axle': Lat. axi-s, cpf. * $a\hat{k}si$ -. See § 414. 544 p. 398.

Primitive Balt.-Slav. $š\check{s} = \text{Indg. } s\hat{k}$ is less certain, as Lith. maiszýti O.Bulg. měsiti 'to mingle, mix'. See § 414 rem.

 \S 585. s remained unchanged in Balt. and Slav. in the following positions:

1. Initially before sonants and consonants (except s_{ℓ}). Lith. septyni O.Bulg. sedmi 'seven': Skr. saptá. Lith. sūnù-s O.Bulg. synŭ 'son': Skr. sūnú-š. Pruss. swai-s 'his' O.Bulg. svatŭ 'relation' svojĭ 'own': Skr. svá-. Lith. sesū O.Bulg. sestr-a 'sister': Skr. svásar-. Lith. snēga-s O.Bulg. sněgŭ 'snow': Av. snaežaiti 'it snows', rt. sneigh-. Lett. sméi-ju 'I laugh' smai-da 'a smiling' O.Bulg. smě-ja se 'I laugh': Skr. smáy-a-tē 'he smiles'. Lith. smùkti 'to slide' O.Bulg. smykati se 'to creep, slip': MHG. smiegen 'to wind, bend'. Lith. srav-à 'a flowing, bleeding' O.Bulg. stru-ja a flowing' (with excrescent t, cp. 2.): Skr. sráv-a-ti 'flows'. Lith.

slenkù 'I creep' O.Bulg. sląkŭ 'crooked': OHG. slingan 'to wind to and fro' slango 'snake'. Lith. spěju 'I have time, leisure' O.Bulg. spěją 'I have success': Skr. spháyāmi 'I swell, thrive'. Lith. staigýti-s 'to hasten' O.Bulg. stignąti 'to come somewhere': Gr. στε/χω 'I stride, go'. Lith. skaběti 'to cut' O.Bulg. skoblĭ 'radula': Lat. scabō.

- 2. Medially in the combinations su, sm, sn, sr, sl, st.
- $s\mu$. Lith. ès-va O.Bulg. jes-ve 1. du. 'we two are': cp. Skr. s-vas.
- sm. es-mì O.Bulg. jes-mǐ 'I am': Skr. ás-mī. Lith. jữ s-mī 'I gird' jửs-mữ 'girdle': cp. Gr. ζωμα from *ζωσ-μα (§ 565), rt. jōs-. Lith. ges-mẽ 'a low glimmering fire', to gèsti (pret. gesaŭ) 'to expire, go out'. O.Bulg. kosmŭ 'hair' beside kosa 'hair' (cp. § 588 rem. 4): OHG. hār 'hair' from prim. Germ. *χēzά-?
- Rem. 1. The datives Lith. $t\acute{a}mui$ $t\acute{a}m$ and O.Bulg. $tom \breve{u}$ 'to the' beside Pruss. s-tesmu Skr. $t\acute{a}sm \bar{a}i$ have not lost their s regularly, but are new formations after the analogy of other cases with m-suffixes without s. See the accidence.
- sn. Lith. prus-nà 'mouth' (praus-iù 'I wash my face') O.Bulg. prys-na 'spargo': Skr. pruṣ-nu-tḗ 'squirts, trickles, wets'. Lith. pa-klus-nù-s 'obedient' (cp. Pruss. po-klus-ma-n acc. 'obedient'), to klausà 'obedience': O.Bulg. sluchŭ 'a hearing, obedience', Indg. kleus-; on the initial Lith. k cp. § 467, 2. Lett. saus-ni-s 'a dried up tree', to Lith. saŭsa-s 'dry', rt. saus-. O.Bulg. ves-na 'spring' beside Lith. vasarà 'summer', Skr. vas-antá-s 'spring'. O.Bulg. gasną 'I expire' beside Lith. gesaŭ 'I extinguish; to which Skr. ghas- 'consume'? O.Bulg. po-jas-nǐ 'girdle': cp. Gr. ζ ώνη 'girdle' from * ζ ωσ-ν $\bar{\alpha}$ (§ 565), rt. $j\bar{o}$ s-.

Rem. 2. Slav. -chn- in dŭchnati etc. has arisen by analogy. See \S 588 rem. 2.

sr became str (cp. str from kr § 545) in Slavonic universally, in Lithuanian only partially. O.Bulg. sestr-a 'sister from *sesr-ā: Skr. svasr-. Lith. timsra-s 'sorrel': Skr. tumisra-m darkness' etc., see §§ 570. 580. Lith. nas-raī nastraī pl. 'throat', to nósi-s 'nose'. Correspondingly srovē and strovē 'a flowing' (cp. 1.) etc. It is not clear in what parts of Lith. and to what extent the excrescent consonant made its appearance.

Rem. 3. O.Bulg. nozdri pl. 'nostrils, nose' has been directly connected with Lith. nasraī, as if zdr had arisen from sr It is probably better to divide the word into noz-dri and to regard the second part as a noun belonging to the root der-, cp. O Bulg. dira dĕra 'slit', Skr. dāra-'slit, hole'. Also mezdru 'fine skin on a fresh wound, the fleshy part on a thing', which has been connected with Lat. membru-m membrāna (-br-from -sr-, § 570) and O.Ir. mīr 'piece of flesh' (§ 570), and regarded as a further formation of meso 'flesh' (Skr. māsá-Goth. mimza-) may be compounded of *mems+drā- (from the same root der-; with *mems- cp. the Skr. stem mās- instr. sg. mās-ā compound mās-pácana- 'serving for the cooking of the flesh') and have originally signified 'a skinning of the flesh'.

sl. Lith. veislė 'brood' veislū-s 'fertile', to veisiù 'I propagate'. Lett. trusls trausls 'fragile', to trusu 'I become bristly', Lith. triŭsai pl. 'the long feathers in the tail of a cock'. O.Bulg. tręslŭ part. pret. to tręsą 'I shake'.

Rem. 4. Slav. -chl- in u-sŭchl \breve{u} etc. has arisen by analogy. See § 588 rem. 2.

- st. Lith. ès-ti O.Bulg. jes-tǐ 'is': Skr. és-ti. Lith. jű's-ta-s girded': Av. yās-ta- Gr. $\zeta \omega \sigma \tau \delta \varsigma$ 'girded'. O.Bulg. 2. pl. of the s-aorist dě-s-te, to dě-ti 'to put, place': Skr. dhā-s-ta.
 - 3. In the medial combinations ms, ns, ls, ts, ss.
- ms. Pruss. mensā menso O.Bulg. mṛso 'flesh': Goth. mimza-Skr. māsá- 'flesh'. Lith. fut. iñsiu, to imù 'I take', O.Bulg. aor. jęsŭ, to ima 'I take': cp. Gr. ἐνεμια from *ἐ-νεμ-σα (§ 565).
- ns. Lith. fut. pisiu, to pinù 'I plait, twist', O.Bulg. aor. pṛṣŭ, to pīną 'I strain, hang'. Lith. žạsì-s 'goose': OHG. gans. Lith. tṛṣiù 'I stretch' tạsù-s 'extensible': Goth. at-pinsan 'to draw towards'. O.Bulg. trṛṣa 'I shake' from tres- (Gr. Hom. τρέσ-σαι) with 'nasalinfix' or from *trem-s- (cp. Lat. tremō)? Old Czech loc. pl. Polás (proper name, see Miklosich Vergl. Gramm. III 16). to nom. pl. O.Bulg. poljan-e 'field inhabitants', according to Leskien for prim. Slav. *polja-sŭ (*poljān-sŭ) after the analogy of polja-mi -mŭ (with regular loss of the n before m, § 219); in other cases, after vowels, Slav. -chŭ = Skr. -su, § 588, 2.

Rem. 5. Forms like $pech \ddot{u}$, beside $pes \ddot{u}$, were new formations. See § 588 rem. 2.

ls. Lith. bałsa-s 'voice, tone': OHG. bellan 'to bark', Skr. bháṣāmi, see § 582 p. 436. Lith. skelsiù 'I drive away, reach out'; delsiù 'I tarry, delay'. Lith. fut. kélsiu, to kelù 'I raise'. O.Bulg. glasŭ 'tone, note, voice' from prim. Slav. *golsŭ, to O.Icel. kalla (ll from /z? § 582) 'to name, call'.

ts became s through the intermediate stage ss in both language divisions. Lith 'esiu 'I shall eat'. O.Bulg. jasi 'thou eatest' aor. $jas\~u$: cp. Skr. fut. $atsy\~u$ mi 2. sg. pres. 'atsi, rt. ed. See § 544.

Rem. 6. Forms like $jach\breve{u}$, beside $jas\breve{u}$, were new formations. See § 588 rem. 2.

ss became s in both language divisions. Lith. fut. $l\dot{e}siu$, to $les\dot{u}$ 'I pick up', Goth. lisa 'I gather together'. Lith. fut. $j\ddot{u}siu$, to $j\ddot{u}s-mi$ 'I gird'. cp. Gr. aor. $\ddot{\epsilon}\zeta\omega\sigma\alpha$ from * $\dot{\epsilon}\zeta\omega\sigma-\sigma\alpha$, rt. $j\bar{\sigma}s$ -. O.Bulg. s-aorist ot \ddot{u} -try $s\ddot{u}$, to ot \ddot{u} -try $s\ddot{u}$ 'I shake off'. O.Bulg. jesi 'thou art': cp. Gr. Hom. $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\dot{\epsilon}$. $nas\ddot{u}$ (gen. loc. pl. to my 'we') from * $n\bar{a}s-s\ddot{u}$, cp. the possessive pronoun $na\ddot{s}\ddot{\iota}$ from * $n\bar{a}s-\dot{\chi}\bar{\iota}$ (§ 588. 2. 3).

 \S 586. Besides the cases mentioned in \S 585 Indg. s also regularly remained in Lithuanian in the following cases:

1. After vowels and diphthongs before sonantal vowels. lesù 'I pick up': Goth. lisa. saŭsa-s 'dry': Skr. śōṣʿa-s 'drying up, parching' (§ 557, 4), rt. saus-. Part. perf. act. fem. áugus-i, to áugu 'I grow': ep. Skr. vid-úṣʿ-ī (indic. vēda 'I know').

Rem. 1. sz frequently appears where we should expect s. Concerning maiszýti etc. see § 414 remark. máisza-s 'large sack, hay net', which has been regarded as a genuine Lith. word and brought together with Pruss. mousis 'bellows' O.Bulg. měchű 'hide, leather bag', might have been borrowed from German (OHG. meisa 'a frame for carrying on the back', O.Icel. meiss 'food basket'), with the same substitution of sz for Germ. s as in āszila-s beside āsila-s 'ass' from Germ (Goth.) asilu-s. Cp. further § 587 rem. 2.

- 2. In si. siūvù 'I sew' siúla-s 'thread' : Skr. syū-tá-s 'sewn'. Fut. dű-siu 'I shall give' : Skr. dā-syámi Indg. *dō-sió.
 - 3. in qs. Fut. lìksiu 'I shall leave' : cp. Skr. rēkšyāmi.

Rem. 2. Such futures with ks are not quite reliable examples, since s may have been introduced by analogy just as in $g\acute{e}rsiu$ (§ 587 rem. 1). *liksziu can hardly be regarded as the regular form, even if

áukszta-s 'high' is related to Gr. αὔξω Lat. auxiliu-m O.Ir. ōs uas Cymr. uch (§ 434).

- 4. Finally. Nom. sg. viłka-s 'wolf': Skr. vfka-s. Nom. pl. diakter-s 'daughters': Gr. θυγατίρ-ες.
 - \S 587. Changes of s in Lithuanian.
- 1. rs became rsz. mar̃sza-s 'a forgetting' mirszañ 'I forgot': Skr. marša-s 'a patient enduring' mṛṣ̄yāmi 'I forget. bear patiently'. ver̃szi-s m. 'calf': Lat. verrēs from *versēs (§ 571), Skr. vṛṣ̄a-s 'bullock'. virszù-s 'top, point': Skr. várṣ̄īyas- 'higher', perhaps also Lat. verrūca 'lump, wart'.
- Rem. 1. Fut. $g\acute{e}rsiu$ had been formed after the analogy of $k\acute{e}lsiu$ $i\acute{w}siu$ etc. Leskien (Der Ablaut der Wurzelsilben im Lit. 65) explains $ga\~rsa$ -s 'noise' as coming from *gard+sa-s, to gird'eti 'to hear'. $vars\grave{a}$ 'flake' is a borrowed word, see Brückner Litu-Slav. Stud. I 151.
- 2. sz for s through assimilation to the sz of a neighbouring syllable. szeszura-s 'father-in-law' from *seszura-s : Gr. ἐκυρό-ς, Indg. *sμέκωτα-s. szą-szłavýna-s beside są-szł. 'heap of sweepings' : O.Bulg. są- Skr. sam- 'with'. Assimilation in the opposite direction probably in szãsza-s 'scurf' : O.Bulg. socha 'piece of wood' o-sošiti 'abscindere', Skr. šásāmi 'I cut, slaughter'. Cp. the analogous assimilation in Skr. § 557, 4.
- 3. scz(i) from $st\underline{i}$ became szcz(i) in East Lithuanian dialects. Opt. $kl\acute{a}uszcziau$ ($kl\acute{a}uszcze$) from $kl\acute{a}uscziau$, to indic. $kl\acute{a}usiu$ 'I ask'. Cp. $p\~eszczia$ -s from $p\~esczia$ -s i. e. * $p\acute{e}d+tia$ -s, § 544 p. 397 f. sz is pronounced softened (sz'), the course of development was stsz' $\acute{s}t\'$ 'sz' sz'tsz.
- 4. kláuzdama-s beside kláusdamas (part. of kláusiu 'I ask'), just as mèzdama-s beside mèsdama-s. See § 544 p. 398.
- Rem. 2. Has sk become szk in pure Lith. words? jëszkóti 'to seek' (O.Bulg. iskati 'to seek' OHG. eiscōn 'to seek') and the suffix -iszka-s e. g. tév-iszka-s 'fatherly' (O.Bulg. žen-ĭskŭ 'womanish', Goth. barn-isks 'childish') have the suspicion of being borrowed from Germanic. Cp. § 414 rem.
- § 588. Prim. Balt. Slav. s did not remain unchanged in Slavonic in any of the positions, in which it was preserved in Lith. (§ 586), nor in those in which it underwent a change in Lith. (§ 587), the case in § 587, 2 excepted.
 - 1. $ch(\chi)$ from s after k (Indg. q) and r.

ks became chch, further ch. Aor. techu 'I ran' prim. f. teq-s-o-m, to pres. tekq. See § 462.

rch. vrachŭ 'a threshing' from *uorchŭ, vricha 'I threshfrom *uircha: Lat. verrō vorrō, rt. uers-. vrichŭ 'top': Lith. virszù-s Skr. várṣīyas-, see § 587, 1. s-aorist trichŭ, to tīra 'I rub'.

This ch became š and s under the same conditions as those by which k passed into č and c, see §§ 461. 462. vrišeti 3. sg. to vricha. srišen-i 'hornet, horse-fly' from "sirchen-: Lith. $szirsz-\hat{u}$, prim f. "kys-en- (§ 582). vrisi nom. pl. to vricha 'top' (stem vricho-). vrisěte 2. pl. opt. to vricha.

Rem. 1. The inf. $vr\check{e}\check{s}ti$ 'to thresh' must be explained from * $yercht\bar{t}$, more precisely * $yerc't\bar{t}$, see § 462 rem. Cp. with it however triste from *tirste 2. pl. to $trich\check{u}$. Which of the two forms represents the regular treatment of rst?

2. Further ch (š, s) for Indg. s between vowels; numerous sure examples for ch especially after u- and i-vowels. snucha 'daughter-in-law' snŭšinŭ 'like a daughter-in-law': Skr. snušá, Indg. *snusā-. mūchū 'moss': Lith. pl. musaī 'mould', OHG. mos 'moss'. myšī 'mouse': Lat. mūs OHG. mūs. jucha 'soup': Pruss. juse 'broth'. Skr. yūša- 'sauce', Lat. jūs. duchŭ (voc. sg. duše nom. pl. dusi) 'breath', duša 'soul' from *dūchįā: Lith. daŭsos pl. 'upper air'. Part. pret. fem. nom. sg. pek-ŭši (peka 'I cook') from *-ŭchī, acc. sg. -ŭša from *-ŭchia : Skr. -uš-ī--uṣ-yā-. pichati 'to push, stamp, strike', indic. pres. piśą from *pichia: Lith. pës-tà 'stamping vessel' paisýti 'to strike the awns off barley': Lat. pīns-ō. lěcha 'beet root' prim. f. *lois-ā: Lith. lýsė 'beet', Lat. līra, MHG. leis leise 'trace, track'. socha 'piece of wood' o-sošiti 'abscindere': Skr. šásāmi 'I cut, slaughter'. Aorist ending 1. sg. -chŭ 3. pl. -šε (cp. Skr. -s-am-): da-chŭ to da-ti 'to give'. dě-chữ to dě-ti 'to place', by-chữ to by-ti 'to be', plu-chữ to plu-ti 'to sail', vi-chŭ to viti 'to wind'. Suffix of the loc. pl. -chŭ = Skr. -su: žena-chŭ to žena 'woman', vlŭcė-chŭ to vlŭkŭ 'wolf', patī-chŭ to patī 'way'. Gen. pl. těchŭ to từ 'the' : Skr. tế-ṣām O.Icel. pei-ra (Goth. pi-zē blindái-zē). Ending of the 2. sg. -ši from *-chī (not = mid. Skr. -sē Gr. -(σ) α ; for prim. Slav. *-choj

Rem. 2. Through new formation ch frequently came into positions where it could not have arisen regularly. chn: dùchnati 'to breathe', sùchnati 'to become dry'. chl: n-sùchlǔ 'dry' sùchlǔ f 'dry wood'. ch after nasal vowels: aor pechǔ beside pesǔ; achati 'to smell' instead of "asūtī (*an-s-, to v-on-ja 'smell' op. Lat. ālu-m (h)ālāre from 'anslo- §§ 208. 570) through association with ia-chuti 'vehi' (Skr. yā-mi 'I drive' Lith. jò-ju 'I ride') ma-chuti 'agitare, ventilare' (beside ma-ja 'vibro'). ch for s = ts: aor. jachǔ beside jasǔ 'I ate' (§ 543). Cp. § 585 with rem. 2. 4. 5 6.

Rem. 3. ch appears often initially before vowels and r, l, v. Most of the words are unfortunately not etymologically clear. Some are undoubtedly borrowed words and the ch in them has nothing to do with s, as $chlad \check{u}$ 'coolness, dew' from Germ. (Goth.) kalds 'cold'.

chodž 'incessus, iter' has been regarded as a pure Slav. word and connected with Gr $\delta\delta\delta\sigma_{-c}$ Skr. \bar{a} -sad-'reach, attain', so, also, chromž 'lame' to Skr. $sr\bar{a}m\acute{a}$ -s 'lame'. I know nothing which helps to explain their ch.

s often appears where, from what has been said above, we should expect ch (or š). Examples: nosũ 'nose': OHG. nosa. bosũ 'bare footed: Lith. bāsas OHG. bar. po-jasũ 'girdle'. gasiti 'to extinguish': Lith. gesýti. běsũ 'demon': Lith. baisù 'fright'. rosa 'dew': Lith. rasà 'dew', Skr. rasá 'that which is wet, fluid', Lat. rōs rōris. kosa 'hair': OHG. hār? Gen. sloves-e (nom. slovo 'word'): Skr. śrávas-as Gr. zλέ(f)ε-og. I cannot find any special reason (vicinity of sounds etc.) which should have prevented s from passing into ch in these examples. I conjecture that none of these words had an s at the time s became ch.

Rem. 4. For some cases a plausible explanation lies close at hand. po-jasŭ after po-jasni, gasiti after gasnati, kosa after kosmi or similar forms, in which s regularly remained. sloves-e sloves-i etc. may be explained, if we take into account that for sloves-imi -imi -imi -ima there once existed *sloves-mi -mi etc. and for sloves-ichi *sloves(s)ŭ (cp. polja-mi from *poljān-mi etc. § 585, 3); *slovos could also have formed a factor, if -s had not already been dropped at that time (7). On nosŭ cp. § 569 rem. 3. The whole question requires a thorough investigation.

3. Prim. Slav. $\check{s} = \text{Indg. } s \underline{i} \text{ had}$, as we saw under 2., passed through the stage $ch \underline{i}$, in $du \check{s} a p \check{i} \check{s} a$ etc. On the other hand \check{s} is to be traced directly back to $s \underline{i}$ in $\check{s} i \check{t} i$ 'to sew' from $*s \underline{i} v t \bar{t} = \text{Lith. } s i \check{u} t i$ and in such cases as $q a \check{s} a$ 'I ex-

- tinguish' beside 2. sg. gasiši etc. (2.). This latter, younger change took place at the same time with the transition of $s\underline{i} = \text{Indg. } k\underline{i}$ into \underline{s} , as in $no\underline{s}a$ '1 carry' from root $ne\hat{k}$ -, $pi\underline{s}a$ 'I write' from root $pei\hat{k}$ (§ 147). $ga\underline{s}a$: $gasi\underline{s}i = no\underline{s}a$: $no\underline{s}a$: $no\underline{s}a$:
- 4. stį, strį, skį, slį, snį became O.Bulg. št, štrj, št, šlį, šnį. goštą 'I take as guest' from *gostįa, inf. gostiti, to gostī 'guest': Lat. hosti-s, Goth. gasts. tūštī 'empty' from *tūskįī: Skr. tuchyá- 'empty'. See § 147.
- 5. If s came to stand before mediae, it passed into z. zborŭ fr. $s(\tilde{u})$ -borŭ 'a bringing together, a uniting'. zdělati fr. $s(\tilde{u})$ -dělati 'to carry out, complete'. zdravŭ fr. $s(\tilde{u})$ -dravŭ 'healthy'. Here eventually also nozdri, see § 5.5 rem. 3.
- 6. rsn became rn in prim. Slav. črinu 'black' fr. *čirsno-, Pruss. kirsna- Skr. kṛṣṇá- 'black', Indg. *qṛsno-. Cp. § 302 rem. 1.
- 7. Final s was dropped. nebo 'sky': Skr. nábhas, Gr. régoç. Other examples in § 665,4.

Primitive Indg. changes of s.

- \S 589. The following modifications of s may be regarded as prim. Indg.
- 1. s became z before voiced explosives and spirants, e. g. *z-dhi 'be' imper. from rt. es-, *menez-bhi(s) instr. from ménes-sense'. See § 590. Cp. Indg. -bd- from -pd- etc. § 469, 2.
- 2. ssk became sk. *iskó i. e. *is+skó pres. from rt. ajs-'seek': Skr. ichámi 'I seek, wish', ep. OHG. eiscōn 'to seek'.
- Rem. 1. There is little foundation for the assumption that intervocalic ss has also become s in secondary accented syllables, as *esi 'thou art' (Skr. úsi Gr. ɛi) from *es-si (Osthoff Z Gesch. d. Perf. 18), and that ss has become ts under certain conditions (J. Schmidt Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVI 343 ff. XXVII 330 ff.).
- 3. Initial s was dropped under certain conditions before consonants, possibly e. g. after s at the end of words, so that this reduction would be identical with the one mentioned under 2. (Cp. Skr. duṣṭuti-ṣˇ 'bad song of praise' = duṣˇ+ṣˇṭuti-ṣˇ, Gr. $\delta v'\sigma \tau \eta v \sigma \varsigma = \delta v \varsigma + \sigma \tau \eta v \sigma \varsigma$, Gortyn inscript. $\tau \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \iota \varsigma = \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma$

στέγαις). Hence it often happens that several languages concurrently show loss of s- without a sufficient reason for its loss being discoverable by the sound-laws of the separate languages. Thus e. g. steg- and teg- 'cover': Skr. sthágāmi 'I conceal, hide', Gr. στέγος 'roof', Lith. stógα-s 'roof' O.Bulg. o-stegŭ 'toga' + Gr. τέγος 'roof', Lat. tegō, O.Icel. pak OHG. dah 'roof'. Goth. stáutan OHG. stōzan 'to push' + Skr. tudámi 'I push', Lat. tundō. Skr. spáš- 'spy, watchman', Lat. -spiciō, OHG. spehōn 'to spy' O.Icel. spakr 'sensible' + Skr. pášyāmi 'I see', O.Bulg. paziti se 'cavere', rt. spek- speg- (§ 469, 7). Gr. θνοσχόο-ς 'sacrificing priest', Goth. us-skáu-s 'provident, prudent' + Skr. ā-kuvatē 'he intends' kaví-š 'seer, prophet', Gr. κοέω 'I observe', Lat. caveō. Skr. smárāmi 'I intend, bear in mind' + Lat. me-mor, O.Ir. maraim 'maneo' (cp. Lat. mora). Perhaps here belong also Armen. vec 'six', Pruss. wuschts uschts 'sextus' (to which also Lith. ũszės beside szēszios pl. 'childbed') as opposed to Gr. $\xi\xi$ from * $\sigma F \xi\xi$ Lat. sex etc. Cp. further Gr. σκάζω 'I limp' + OHG. hinchan 'to limp'; Gr. έννη 'nebat', O.Ir. $sn\bar{\imath}m$ 'a spinning' ($\bar{\imath} = Indg. \bar{e}$) $sn\bar{a}the$ 'filum' ($\bar{a} = Indg. \bar{e}$) OHG. snuor 'cord, string' + Goth. nēpla OHG. nādela 'needle'.

It is certain that s- often first disappeared in the separate developments of the Indg. languages, as in Skr. utthátum inf. 'to rise' from *ut-sthātum (§ 557), Lat. nāre from *snāre (§ 570), O.Ir. -tau 'am' from *stā-jō (§ 575), and it is not possible everywhere to separate this loss from that in the parent Indg.

4. It is probable that the combinations bh, dh, gh, gh+s, which are etymologically to be presupposed for words like Skr. dipsati, had expierenced a change of articulation in the prim. Indg. period. But it is not yet determined what this change was. bzh, dzh etc. are to be assumed for prim. Aryan. See §§ 469,6. 470. 482. 552.

Rem. 2. That s was dropped between explosives already in prim. Indg., is an uncertain conjecture. This theory is based on OHG. sehto O.Icel. sētte sētti Gr. £xro-c 'sextus'. I grant an Indg. *syekto-s. But it is however quite possible that this form had never had the final -s of *syeks. For the present it seems to me wisest to regard the loss of s between explosives as a process carried out in the separate languages.

Indg. z.

 \S 590. Primitive Indg. period. So far as can be seen z occurred only in combination with following mediae or mediae aspiratae.

Before mediae. *ni-zd-o- 'place of rest' (ni- 'down', rt. sed'sit'): Skr. nīdá-s 'place of rest, nest', Armen. nist 'seat, possession'
Lat. nīdu-s, O.Ir. net 'nest', OHG. nest 'nest'. *ozdo-s 'branch,
twig': Armen. ost, Gr. ŏζo-s, Goth. asts. Rt. mezg- 'tie knots':
OHG. masca 'mesh, loop', O.Icel. moskve moskvi 'loop', Lith. mezgù
'I tie in knots, knit' māzga-s 'knot'.

Before mediae aspiratae. *z-dhí 'be' imper. from rt. es-'be': Av. (fāp. $zd\bar{\imath}$, Gr. ἴσθι. Instr. in -z-bhi(s) from s-stems: Skr. uṣádbhíṣ́ from uṣás- 'dawn of day', Gr. ἐψέβεσφι from ἔφεβεσ- 'darkness'.

Root forms mezg- and mezgh- 'duck, sink down' (cp. stemband stembh- etc. § 469, 8): Skr. májjāmi 'I duck under' madgú-š a water fowl majján- 'marrow' (g) Av. mazga- 'marrow' (g or gh), Lat. mergō merg-us (g), Gallo-Lat. mesga 'whey' (g or gh, cp. § 521), OHG. OS. marg 'marrow' (gh), Lith. mazgóti 'to wash' O.Bulg. mozgŭ 'marrow' (g or gh).

z probably occurred finally before voiced explosives, but we are no longer able to follow it in detail (§ 645, 3).

§ 591. Aryan. Indg. zd(h) fell together with Indg. $d^zd(h)$ in prim. Aryan, see § 476. At the same time Indg. z became \tilde{z} under the same conditions as s became \tilde{s} , and then \tilde{z} became \tilde{z} in Skr., as \tilde{s} became \tilde{s} , see § 556, 1. In consequence of this change to \tilde{z} , Indg. zdh, zbh fell together with $\hat{g}dh$, $\hat{g}bh$, see §§ 404. 482.

Prim. Ar. azd(h) $\bar{a}zd(h) = \text{Skr. } \bar{e}d(h)$ $\bar{a}d(h)$, Iran. azd $\bar{a}zd$. Reduplic. stem Skr. $s\bar{e}d$ - Av. hazd- from rt. sed- 'sit', 3. sg. opt. perf. $s\bar{e}d$ - $y\acute{a}$ -t, hazd- $y\ddot{a}$ -t, Skr. $s\bar{e}d$ -i-t, 'a weakening': Lat. $s\bar{e}d$ -imus $s\bar{e}d$ - $\bar{e}s$ $s\bar{e}d$ -dre, Indg. *se-zd-. Skr. $n\acute{e}d$ -iyus- Av. nazd-yah- 'nearer' from prim. Ar. *na-zd- from the same root sed-, properly ' $\dot{e}q\dot{\iota}\zeta\omega\nu$, $\pi\varrho o\varsigma\dot{\eta}\mu\varepsilon\nu o$ - $\dot{\varsigma}$. Skr. $\bar{e}dh\dot{\iota}$ 'be' imper. from prim. Ar. *az- $dh\dot{\iota}$ beside Av. $G\bar{a}p$ z- $d\bar{\iota}$, op. § 313 p. 252. Skr.

mēdas- 'fat': OHG. mast 'feeding' (v. Bradke Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII 300). 2. pl. of the s-aorist Skr. $tr\bar{a}dhvam$ Av. $G\bar{a}p$. $pr\bar{a}$ -z- $d\bar{u}m$ from Ar. $tr\bar{a}$ - 'protect'; in like manner Skr. \acute{a} - $r\bar{a}dhvam$ beside 3. pl. \acute{a} - $r\bar{a}$ -s-ata from Aryan $\mathring{r}\bar{a}$ - 'present, grant'. Skr. 2. pl. $\acute{a}dhv\bar{e}$ 'ye sit' beside 3. sg. \acute{a} s- $t\bar{c}$: Gr. $\acute{h}\sigma$ - θ s $\acute{h}\sigma$ - $\tau a\iota$. $\bar{a}daghn\acute{a}$ -from * $\bar{a}z$ -d 'reaching up to the mouth' (\acute{a} s-). Cp. Skr. $d\bar{e}h\acute{e}$ Av. $dazd\acute{e}$ imper. 'give' from Indg. *de-d^z- $dh\acute{e}$, § 476.

Prim. Ar. $\check{z}d(h)$, e. g. $i\check{z}d(h) = \operatorname{Skr.} \bar{\imath}d(h)$, Iran. $i\check{z}d$. Skr. $n\bar{\imath}d\acute{a}$ -s from * $ni\check{z}da$ -s prim. Ar. * $ni\check{z}da$ -s Indg. *ni-zd-o-s, see § 590. Skr. $p\bar{\imath}d\acute{u}y\bar{a}mi$ 'I press' from *pi-zd- (sit up'): ep. Gr. $n\iota'\check{z}\omega$ 'I press' from * $n\iota$ - $\sigma\varepsilon\delta$ - $\iota\omega$ or * $n\iota$ - $\sigma\varepsilon$ - $\sigma\delta$ - ω . Av. $G\bar{n}p$. $c\bar{\imath}\check{z}d\bar{\imath}$ from $cae\check{s}$ - 'make known'. Skr. $d\bar{u}$ - $d\acute{a}\check{s}$ - 'impious' $d\bar{u}$ - $dh\acute{\iota}$ - 'having an evil disposition' Av. $du\check{z}$ - $d\bar{a}h$ - 'acting badly, villain', ep. Skr. $du\check{s}$ - Gr. δv_s -. Skr. 2. pl. mid. of the s-aorist $\acute{a}st\bar{\jmath}dhvam$ from *a- $st\bar{\jmath}$ - $\acute{a}hvam$: 3. sg. \acute{a} - $st\bar{\jmath}$ - \acute{s} -ta, pres. 1. sg. $st\bar{u}\acute{u}$ -mi 'I praise, value'. Cp. Skr. $l\bar{\imath}dh\acute{a}$ -s 'licked' from prim. Ar. * $li\check{z}dha$ - i. e. *ligdha-, § 404.

Rem. 1. 2. sg. imper. dviddhi (to indic. $dv\check{e}\check{s}-mi$ 'I hate') instead of *dvidhi was a new formation of the same kind as dididdhi (to $did\check{v}\check{s}mi$ 'I show'). See § 404 rem. 2.

Prim. Ar. zbh = Skr. dbh (Iran. zb, not found). Skr. instr. pl. ušádbhiš, <math>vidvádbhiš, mādbhiš from ušás- 'dawn, daybreak', <math>vidvás- 'knowing', más- 'moon, month'.

Rem. 2. The endings of the instr. pl. of stems in -as- Skr. $-\bar{a}$ -bhi \check{s} Av. $-\bar{e}$ -b \bar{b} \check{s} O.Pers. -a-bi \check{s} were new formations. See the accidence.

Prim. Ar. $\check{z}bh$, e. g. $i\check{z}bh=$ Skr. $i\check{q}bh$ Av. $i\check{z}b$. Skr. instr. pl. $dvi\check{q}bhi\check{s}$ from $dvi\check{s}$ - 'hating'. Skr. vi-pr $\check{u}\check{q}bhi\check{s}$ from vi-pr $\check{u}\check{s}$ - 'crumb, small spot'. Av. dat. du. $snai\check{p}i\check{z}bya$ from $snai\check{p}i\check{s}$ - n. 'sword'. Av. instr. pl. da-d- $u\check{z}$ - $b\check{\imath}\check{s}$ from da-d- $u\check{s}$ - $u\check{s}$ - part. perf. act. from $d\bar{a}$ - 'give, place'. Cp. Skr. $vi\check{q}bhi\check{s}$ Av. $G\bar{a}p$. $v\bar{\imath}\check{z}b\bar{\imath}\check{s}$ from Skr. $vi\check{s}$ - Av. $v\bar{\imath}s$ - 'clan, village community', orig. * $ui\hat{g}bhis$ § 404, 3.

Rem. 3. The Skr. endings of the instr. pl. of stems in -i - i - and - u - i - bhi and -u - bhi were new formations. See the accidence.

Prim. Ar. azg = Skr. adg Av. azg. Skr. $madgú-\S$, a water fowl, Av. mazga- marrow, rt. mezg-, see § 590. But

prim. Ar. azj passed through adi into ajj in Skr. (cp. újjiti-š 'victory' from "ud-jiti-š, § 355). $májj\bar{a}mi$ 'I duck' $majj\acute{a}n-$ 'marrow' from the same root mezg-. $sajjat\bar{e}$ 'clings to something' from "sa-zj-a- redupl. (cp. $sa-\dot{s}c-a-ti$ 'follows' from sac-): cp. Lith. segù 'I fasten'.

z was dropped between explosives and spirants (cp. § 557, 3). Skr. ámugdhvam i. e. *amugzdhvam 2. pl. mid. of the s-aorist (3. pl. ámukšata) from muc-'loosen'. In sá-gdhi-š 'a common meal' i. e. *sa-gzdhi- gzdh was = ghst (ghas-'eat'), and in the 3. du. babdhám from *ba-bzdhām bzdh was = bhst (3. sg. indic. pres. bá-bhas-ti 'chews'), cp. § 589, 4. From the fact that we have here gdh, not gdh, it cannot without further consideration be concluded that the dropping of the sibilant was older than the prim. Ar. transition of z to ž. gždh may have existed in prim. Ar. and have become gdh in the Skr. separate development, before prim. Ar. and prim. Skr. ž and š acquired the cerebral articulation (\tilde{z} , \tilde{s}).

§ 592. Armenian. The only sure examples seem to be nist 'a lying, nest' and ost 'branch', see § 590. On the sound-shifting cp. §§ 361. 484.

§ 593. Greek. z was retained in combination with mediae; in writing it was not sufficiently distinguished from s.

($\Delta \log$ - gen. sg.) and $\Delta \theta \eta' \nu \alpha \zeta \varepsilon$ to Athens' = $\Delta \theta \eta' \nu \alpha \zeta - \delta \varepsilon$. Ion. Att. ζ was probably only the graphic representative of zd, cp. § 493 rem.

z before mediae became dialectically ρ , as Thess. Θεορδότειο-ς beside Ion. etc., Θεόςδοτο-ς (new formation after Διόςδοτο-ς); ep. Eretr. ὀμινούρᾶς § 489, Cret. κόρμο-ς § 565, El. τίρ § 653, 6.

§ 594. Italic. Sure examples are found in Latin only. zg became rg (cp. 569). mergu-s from rt. mezg-, see § 590.

z was dropped before d, with 'compensation lengthening' after short vowels. $n\bar{\iota}du$ -s fr. Indg. *ni-zd-o-s, see § 590. $p\bar{e}d\bar{o}$ $p\bar{o}dex$ from * $pezd\bar{o}$ *pozdex: Gr. $\beta\delta\epsilon\omega$ 'I break wind' from * $\beta z\delta$ - $\epsilon\omega$ (cp. § 334), Sloven. $pezd\bar{e}ti$ 'to break wind'. With $s\bar{\iota}d\bar{o}$ (see § 593) Bucheler connects Umbr. sistu, as being $s\bar{\iota}dit\bar{o}$; but this explanation of the form is very doubtful. Cp. further $tr\bar{e}decim$ fr. * $tr\bar{e}z$ -decim, $j\bar{\iota}dex$ fr. *jouz-dex, $qu\bar{\iota}dam$ fr. *quiz-dam, $\bar{\iota}dem$ fr. *iz-dem: the s in $c\bar{\iota}jusdam$ $e\bar{j}usdem$ $e\bar{\iota}sdem$ etc. and doubtless also the s in isdem = $\bar{\iota}dem$ had been introduced by analogy, just as m for n before d, see § 207.

rzd became rd in hordeum, prim. f. *sphyzdeio-m: OHG. gersta 'barley' (Gr. $zo\bar{\imath}\theta\eta'$ is to be separated from it), and in turdu-s $turd\bar{\imath}da$: O.Icel. prostr MHG. drostel Lith. $str\bar{\imath}zda$ -s 'thrush'. We are not, however, certain whether we have Indg. zd or $d^{\bar{\imath}}d$ in these words.

Lat. sp, st, sc are to be expected as the regular representatives of original zbh, zdh, zgh, cp. st from $d^zdh \S 507$. Perhaps here belong also hasta (see § 507) and $fast\bar{\imath}giu$ -m (see § 595).

nobīs vobīs from *nozbīs *vozbīs or *nozbīs *vozbīs with Indg.

bh-suffix (see page 123 foot note), cp. nos-ter, vos-ter and O.Bulg. gen. loc. nasŭ from *nās-sŭ; but these forms with zb were not the regular continuation of the Indg. original forms, cp. $cr\bar{e}d\bar{o}$, which had probably taken the place of regular *crest\bar{o} (§ 507 rem.).

§ 595. Old Irish. z before mediae became d in Irish and Britannic. Gallo-Lat. mesga 'whey', which is to be read mezga, became first of all in those branches *medga, thence O.Ir. medg Mod.Ir. meidhg, Cymr. maidd (from *medja). *nizdo-s (§ 590) became Ir. Brit. *neddo-s, thence O.Ir. net Cymr. nyth (from *nydd) Bret. neiz. Cp. § 521.

In like manner O.Ir. brot 'sting, prick' from prim. Kelt. *brozdo-s, *borzdo-s (cp. frass § 274). The root is bha*rs- (Skr. bhṛṣ-ṭi-ṣ 'point, prong'), and OHG. brort 'edge' Ags. brord 'sting, prick' O.Icel. broddr 'point' and O.Bulg. brazda 'furrow' show that the Indg. form contained zdh. With these is also related Lat. fastīgiu-m from *farst-; it cannot however be determined, whether its st was Indg. zdh or Indg. st (cp. Skr. bhṛṣ-ṭi-ṣ).

§ 596. Germanic. z + media became s + tenuis in prim. Germ. (§ 541, 6). OHG. masca 'mesh' OHG. nest Goth. asts (§ 590), OHG. mast 'a feeding' (§ 591), OHG. gersta MHG. drostel (§ 594) have already been mentioned. In addition to these possibly OHG. nestilo 'loop of riband' to Lat. $n\bar{o}du$ -s; OHG. geist 'spirit' to Skr. $h\bar{e}da$ -s 'anger' $h\bar{e}d$ -'be angry' (3. sg. perf. mid. ji- $h\bar{e}d$ - \bar{e}), to which perhaps also Av. $z\bar{o}i\bar{z}di\bar{s}tu$ - superlative with the meaning 'very bad' (v. Bradke Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII 295 ff.); OHG. mast 'ship's mast' to Lat. $m\bar{e}lu$ -s, if this arose from * $m\bar{e}do$ -s (§ 369).

z + media asp. became z + voiced spirant in prim. Germ., further z + media (§ 541, 7). The transition of z to r in West Germ. and Norse was mentioned already under the prim. Germ. z which arose from Indg. s (§ 581). OHG. OS. marg Ags. mearz O.Icel. mergr 'medulla', Goth. *mazga-: O.Bulg. mozgŭ etc., see § 590. OHG. brort 'edge' etc.: O.Bulg. brazda, see § 595. Goth. razda 'pronunciation, language' OHG. rarta Ags. reord O.Icel. rodd 'voice, language from original *raz-dh-, to rt. $r\bar{a}^z s$ -:

Skr. rásatē rásati 'sounds, screams'. Ags. heord O.Icel. haddr 'hair' beside O.Bulg. kosa 'hair' Lith. kasà 'braid of hair'. Goth. mizdō f. 'pay, reward' OHG. mēta miata (cp. § 75 rem. 2) OS. mēda Ags. mēd and meord 'pay, rent': Skr. mīdhá- 'prize of battle, combat' Av. mīžda- 'reward', Gr. μισθό-ς O.Bulg. mīzda 'pay'; with compensation lengthening in West Germ. comes OS. līnon beside OHG. lirnēn (§ 582 p. 436 § 621).

§ 597. Baltic-Slavonic. z + media and z + media asp. must have fallen together, as in Iran. and Keltic.

Lith. rezgù 'I knit': Skr. $r\acute{a}jju$ - \S 'string, rope'. Lith. mezgù I knot, knit': OHG. masca, see \S 590. Sloven. $pezd\bar{e}ti$ Little Russ. pezdity bzdity Czech bzdíti 'to break wind': Lat. $p\bar{e}d\bar{o}$, see \S 594. It is doubtful whether Lith. $str\tilde{a}zda$ -s 'thrush' belongs here, see \S 594. Cp. further O.Bulg. noz-dri 'nostrils' and mez-dra 'fine skin', \S 585 rem. 3.

O.Bulg. $m\bar{\imath}zda$ 'pay': Goth. $mizd\bar{o}$, see § 596.

It is doubtful whether O.Bulg. $mozg\bar{u}$ 'marrow' contains Indg. zg or zgh, see § 590.

Indg. j.

§ 598. This spirant can be established as Indg. with most certainty initially before vowels.

Greek alone kept initial j- and i- apart, the former being represented by ζ , the latter by the spiritus asper (§ 129). j-fell together with Indg. di- in prim. Greek, hence $\zeta v \gamma \acute{o} - \nu$ like $Z \varepsilon \acute{v} - \zeta$, $\delta v \gamma \acute{o} - \nu$ like $\Delta \varepsilon \acute{v} - \zeta$, $\tau \acute{\omega} r \bar{\alpha}$ ($\zeta \acute{\alpha}' r \eta$) like $T \tilde{\eta} r \alpha$ ($Z \tilde{\eta} r \alpha$), see § 493. In the other Indg. languages the two sounds fell together in i. But here, too, the original difference can still be

recognised, in so far as an old inherited weak-grade form with i or $\bar{\imath}$ (as representative of j+a-vowel) is wanting in roots beginning with j-. Cp. e. g. Skr. perf. mid. $y\bar{e}\check{\jmath}-\check{e}$ from *je-js-, part. pass. yas- $t\hat{a}$ - from yas- Indg. jes- bubble' (Gr. $\zeta \not\in \omega$) as contrasted with $\bar{\imath}j$ - $\dot{\bar{e}}$ $i\check{s}$ - $t\acute{a}$ - from yaj- Indg. $ja\bar{g}$ - respect, honour' (Gr. $\tilde{a}\gamma uo$ -s).

It is less certain that j stood beside i medially also in the period of the prim. Indg. community. The assumption of a rt. $\hat{k}ej$ - 'lie' for Skr. $\dot{s}\acute{e}$ - $t\ddot{e}$ (part. perf. $\dot{s}\acute{a}$ - $\dot{s}\acute{a}$ - η - η - η - η) Gr. $\varkappa \epsilon \tilde{i}$ - $\tau \alpha u$ and of a rt. tjeg- 'leave, withdraw' for Skr. $tyakt\acute{a}$ -s Gr. $\sigma \epsilon \pi \tau \acute{o}$ - ς (on σ - see § 489) is nevertheless not improbable. Cp. § 117.

OTHER COMBINATORY SOUND-CHANGES.

§ 599. In the first Section (§§ 28—598) we considered the history of sounds by taking each of the prim. Indg. sounds, so far as was possible by itself, and following its development through the separate languages. There thus remains a number of change-processes which could hardly be fully and sufficiently discussed, because in these either a great number of different sounds would be concerned in quite the same manner, or because there are more complicated changes which a rather large series of elements underwent at the same time within a phonetic unity (word-unity or sentence-unity).

These processes are as follow:

CONTRACTION (HIATUS, ELISION).

§ 600. By contraction we understand the uniting together under one syllabic accent, of two vowels or sonants generally, which come directly together and are pronounced with a separate expiratory impulse. In this way arise either simple long vowels (Gr. $\tilde{\alpha}\theta\lambda\rho\nu$ from $\tilde{\alpha}\varepsilon\theta\lambda\rho\nu$) or diphthongs (Gr. $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}\varsigma$ from $\pi\dot{\alpha}\iota\varsigma$).

We saw in §§ 111—116 that contractions took place even in the period of the prim. Indg. community.

There is sufficient reason for assuming that all cases of hiatus, caused by the addition of stem-forming or flexional elements, were removed already in the Indg. prim. period, so that every historic word-form containing one root only, which exhibits medial hiatus, did not assume this state until the period of the separate developments. Cp. § 111 rem.

Rem. No hiatus existed from the very first in the sonantal combinations iu, uu. pu, pa in the interior of such unitary word forms. i, u. n, r were here pronounced as glides from the prim. Indg. period onward, e. g. *patr-ijo-s = Skr. pitr-iya-s Gr. $\pi a r_0 - io$ -; Lat. patr-iu-s, *is-rro-s = Skr. $i \xi$ - $ir \acute{a}$ -s Gr. Coreyr. i- $a g\acute{o}$ -i-. See § 117.

On the other hand hiatus might still have occurred at the point of junction in compounds at the period of the dissolution of the prim. Indg. community, e. g. *juqto-ekuo- (*juqto- 'yoked', *ekuo- horse'). In Vedic we have yuktaašva-, in Av. yuxtaaspa-, in O.Bulg. dobrookŭ 'beautiful-eyed' etc., and though it may be in itself highly possible that all such compound forms with hiatus are due to composition in the individual languages, yet the fact, that the pure compounds in the separate languages go hand in hand with the generally later juxtaposita as regards the treatment of the junction, speaks strongly in favour of the assumption of an open *juqtoekuo- directly inherited from the Indg. primitive language. In Sanskrit contraction took place: class. Skr. yuktāšva-s see above, prārtha- 'implements' from pra+artha-, $ap\bar{a}pga$ - 'outer corner of the eye' from apa+apga-, sūktá- 'beautifully spoken' (Ved. su-uktá-). Elision in Greek: ίππ'αγωγό-ς 'carrying horses', ἀπ'άγω 'I lead away'; beside these προαγωγό-ς προάγω. Elision in Latin also: mult'ungulu-s, ap'eriō (§ 499), ab'igō. Hiatus in O.Bulg.: dobrookŭ see above, poorati 'to plough'.

Opportunity for contractions in the individual developments was given

- 1. through two originally independent words, the first originally ending in and the second originally beginning with a sonant, entering into a fixed combination;
 - 2. through forms with hiatus having been newly made

after the type of forms without old inherited hiatus (e. g. Hom. στήσμεν δώσμεν);

- 3. through a consonant or combination of consonants disappearing between sofants in the body of a simple word or in the junction of two words drawn near together.
- § 601. Aryan. Contraction seems not to have taken place in the old Aryan dialects when a consonant was dropped in the body of a word.

Rem. On O.Pers. 3. sg. pres. pātiy beside 3. sg. pret. apuha see § 558 rem. 1 and on O.Pers. māhyā Bartholomae Bezzenb. Beitr. IX 309 f.

Contraction however was frequent through the fusion of two stems of a compound or of two words. But, on the one hand, it is difficult here to separate that which has arisen phonetically from that which is merely due to the imitation of older type-forms on the other hand; it can hardly be determined how far the natural language was ruled by the constraint of metre and by grammatical reflexion. In Vedic and Avestic the contracted and uncontracted forms, the latter recognisable as such through the metre, existed side by side without any perceptible differences. In classical Sanskrit the contracted forms with few exceptions became the rule.

Examples. Skr. yuktāśva- beside yuktaaśva-, Av. yuxtāspa-beside yuxtauspa-, see § 600. Skr. $up\bar{a}iti$ Av. $up\bar{a}iti$ 'draws near', prim. Ar. *upa+aiti (simple form Skr. $\acute{e}ti$ Av. aeiti). Skr. $av\bar{a}hanam$ O.Pers. $av\bar{a}janam$ 'I slew, killed', prim. Ar. *ava+ajhanam. Skr. $\bar{e}hi$ imper. 'come near' = $\bar{a}+ihi$. O.Pers. $paraid\bar{\imath}y$ imper. 'go to' = para+idiy, Skr. $par\bar{e}hi$. Skr. $mahar\check{\imath}i-\check{\imath}$ 'great wise man' from * $mah\bar{a}+\gamma\check{\imath}i-\check{\imath}$. Skr. $s\bar{\imath}kt\acute{a}$ -(Ved. $suukt\acute{a}$ -) Av. $h\bar{\imath}xta$ - 'well spoken'. Skr. $adh\bar{\imath}sa$ -s 'supreme lord' from * $adhi+\bar{\imath}sa$ -s.

- § 602. Armenian. Contraction after the loss of s in koir 'sister' pl. kor-k, see § 561; after loss of t in hair 'father' pl. har-k', see § 483; after loss of u in kea-n-k 'life', kea- (monosyllabic) from *kiua- = * $g\bar{t}uo$ * $g\bar{t}u\bar{a}$ -, see §§ 421, etc.
- § 603. Greek. Very many contractions in consequence of the dropping of intersonantal i, u (F), s (§§ 130. 165. 564).

Examples: Att. $qo\varrho\tilde{\omega}$ 'I carry' from $qo\varrho\epsilon\omega$, older * $qo\varrho\epsilon_{\omega}$; $\pi a \tilde{\imath}_{g}$ 'boy' from $\pi \acute{a}\iota_{g}$, older * $\pi a \digamma \iota_{-g}$; $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu o v_{g}$ 'generis' from $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon o \varsigma$, older * $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma - o \varsigma$; ' $\pi \pi o v$ 'equi' from ' $\pi \pi o o$, older * $i \pi \pi o - \sigma \iota_{g}$. Since the loss of consonants took place at different times — partly in prim. Greek (s, i), partly in the course of the individual dialects (u) and i as remnant of si, cp. § 639) —, the contractions took place at various periods. Most of them did not happen until after the dialectical variation was already considerably developed. The uncontracted forms were most completely put aside in Attic, while Ionic preserved the full forms to the greatest extent.

When the components of contraction were qualitatively equal, simple long vowels uniformly arose, e. g. Att. $\mathcal{A}\theta\eta\nu\tilde{\alpha}$ from $\mathcal{A}\theta\eta\nu\tilde{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}$, $\beta\alpha\sigma\imath\lambda\tilde{\eta}\varsigma$ 'kings' from $\beta\alpha\sigma\imath\lambda\tilde{\eta}\varepsilon\varsigma$ Cypr. $\beta\alpha\sigma\imath\lambda\tilde{\eta}\digamma\varepsilon\varsigma$, El. and elsewhere $\mathcal{A}t$ from (Att.) $\mathcal{A}\iota t$, older $\mathcal{A}\iota\digamma t$. $\varepsilon\varepsilon$ and oo gave in Ion-Attic., partly in the Dor. dialects as also in North West Greek, close \bar{e} (written $\varepsilon\iota$) and close \bar{o} , which was further weakened to \bar{u} (written $\varepsilon\iota$), e. g. $\tau\varrho\varepsilon\bar{\iota}\varsigma$ 'tres' from * $\tau\varrho\varepsilon\iota$ - $\varepsilon\varsigma$ Skr. $tr\dot{a}y$ -as, gen. Hom. $\dot{\eta}ο\tilde{v}\varsigma$ from * $\dot{\eta}ο\sigma$ - $o\varsigma$ cp. Skr. gen. $u\dot{\varsigma}\dot{a}s$ -as Lat. $aur\bar{o}r$ -a. These were no more real diphthongs than the $\varepsilon\iota$ and ov (§ 618) which had arisen by compensation lengthening.

If a monophthong arose from two vowels having a different quality, the levelling was sometimes progressive, e. g. Att. ἄχων 'unwilling' from ἀέχων, older *ἀ-Γεχων, Dor. gen. sg. 'Δτρείδα from (Hom.) 'Δτρείδα, gen. pl. fem. τᾶν 'of the' from (Hom.) τᾶων (Skr. tάsām, Lat. istārum), Att. Διομήδη from (Hom.) Διομήδεα, older *-εσ-α, Att. ἐλάττους Dor. ἐλάσσως pl. 'smaller' from -ο(σ)-ες, cp. Lat. pl. mā-jōr-ēs (os after complete assimilation of the ε to o was treated like original oo); so metimes regressive, e. g. Att. gen. pl. fem. τῶν = Dor. τᾶν, gen. sg. γένους from γένεος, *-εσ-ος (ε became o by attraction to the o which was pronounced close, and this gave rise to \bar{u}).

So-called diphthongs arose if the second element was ι or v, and the first an α -, e- or o-vowel, as $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\mathcal{G}}$ from $\pi\acute{\alpha}(\mathcal{F})\iota_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\delta\tilde{\iota}_{\mathcal{G}}$ 'sheep' from $\ddot{o}(\mathcal{F})\iota_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}_{\mathcal{G}}$ 'thou art' from * $\dot{\epsilon}(\sigma)\iota$, $\delta\alpha\nu\lambda\dot{o}$ - ς 'thick, shaggy' from * $\delta\alpha(\sigma)\nu\lambda_0$ - ς cp. $\delta\alpha\sigma\dot{v}$ - ς 'densus'. Furthermore ϵv from ϵo

in Lesb., Ion., Rhod., as $q_0 q_0 ε \tilde{v} v \tau a \iota$ from $q_0 q_0 ε v \tau a \iota$, gen. β ε λευς 'of an arrow, dart' from β ε λευς, and $-a v (\bar{a} v?)$ from $-\bar{a} v$ in Arcad.-Cypr., as gen. ' $A \pi o λ λ ω v \iota \delta a v$.

If the first of the vowels in the contraction was long, it was frequently shortened before the proper contraction, see § 611.

Hiatus rarely arose through new formation in non-compounded words. The treatment was the same as the hiatus which was produced through the loss of consonants. Conj. Hom. $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$, Att. $\sigma \tau \ddot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ $\delta \ddot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$: $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ stands in contrast with Arcad. $\delta \tau \tau \ddot{\alpha} \tau \sigma \iota$, notwithstanding the clearer development of the original elements of the formation, just as later new formations like e. g. Lat. $coagit\bar{o}$ as opposed to $c\bar{o}git\bar{o}$ (§ 604). Hom. $\zeta \omega \dot{o} \zeta$ was a new formation for older $\zeta \dot{\omega} \zeta$. Such new creations did not come into existence until after hiatus had arisen in many cases in the body of a word through loss of \dot{i} etc.

§ 604. Italic. Most contractions after the loss of medial i (see § 134). A part of them may be prim. Italic. Lat. $tr\bar{e}s$ from tre(i)-es, Umbr. puntes (\bar{e}) 'pontes' from ponte(i)-es, cp. Skr. $tr\acute{a}y$ -as. Lat. $mon\bar{e}te$ from mone(i)-e-te, cp. Skr. $m\bar{a}n\acute{a}y$ -ata. Lat. $st\bar{o}$ from tre(i)-es, am \bar{o} from tre(i)-e-te, cp. Skr. tre(i)-es, cp. Cap-i $\bar{e}s$ (cp. perf. tre(i)-es, tre(i)-es, tre(i)-e-te, tre

The combinations $e\tilde{o}$, $e\tilde{a}$, $a\tilde{e}$ remained uncontracted in Latin. mone \tilde{o} : Skr. $m\bar{a}n\acute{a}y\bar{a}mi$. eam 'her': Goth. ija. $a\bar{e}nu$ -s: Umbr. ahesnes 'aënis', cp. Skr. $\acute{a}yas$ -; on the other hand aer-is =

¹⁾ Osthoff's remarks (Morph. Unt. IV 383) on forms of this kind, are quite uncertain.

Skr. $\acute{a}yas-as^{1}$), after which the nom. acc. aes was formed anew (* $a(\underline{i})os$ would have become * $\bar{o}s$ or * $\bar{d}s$). Cp. also Umbr. eam 'eam', Osc. 1 o-k 'ea'.

Vowel contraction after the loss of h. Lat. $n\bar{e}m\bar{o}$ from *ne-hemō, $b\bar{\imath}mu$ -s from *bi-himu-s, $praebe\bar{o}$ beside prae-hibeō Umbr pre-habia 'praebeat'. See § 510. Cp. Umbr. mes-tru 'maior', Osc. mais 'magis': Lat. magis $m\bar{a}jor$.

Old inherited hiatus and hiatus which had arisen anew through new compounds. Elision: e. g. mult'angulu-s, for which multiangulu-s came into existence at a later period after the analogy of multi-foru-s etc.; noenum $(n\bar{o}n)$ from ne+oenom 'not one'; $n\bar{u}llu$ -s from $ne+\bar{u}llu$ -s. Contraction: $d\bar{e}g\bar{o}$ from $*d\bar{e}$ - $ag\bar{o}$, $c\bar{o}g\bar{o}$ from *co- $ag\bar{o}$, $c\bar{o}pula$ from *co-apula, $pr\bar{o}m\bar{o}$ from *pro- $em\bar{o}$, $c\bar{o}pia$ from *co-opia. Such contraction did not take place however if the second vowel was long and had the principal accetu: co- $\acute{a}ctu$ -s, $c\bar{o}$ - $\acute{e}g\bar{\iota}$, ro- \acute{a} - $u^{\dagger}n$ -m. thus the same case as $a\acute{e}nus$ beside aer-is.

Rem. 1. The exception $coep\bar{\imath}$ beside $co\bar{e}p\bar{\imath}$ (Lucretius) I explain with Osthoff (Zur Gesch. d. Perf. 158) by assuming that contraction took place regularly in $co-\bar{e}pist\bar{\imath}$ $co-\bar{e}per\tilde{a}mus$ etc. and that this form of the stem became generalised. That in the form-system $co\bar{e}p\bar{\imath}$ forms like *coepisti had to give way, was due to the uncompounded form $\bar{e}g\bar{\imath}$.

Forms like co- $agit\bar{o}$ co- $al\bar{e}sc\bar{o}$ were created at a later period and remained uncontracted; cp. older $c\bar{o}g\bar{o}$ $c\bar{o}git\bar{o}$.

Rem. 2. I do not venture an opinion on the relation of the dissyllabic *neuter* to the trisyllabic *ne-uter* and of both to $n'\bar{u}llu$ -s etc. A plausible explanation must first be given for the initial sound in *uter* etc., of which we have already spoken in § 431 rem. 3.

§ 605. Old Irish. Very many contractions in consequence of the disappearance of intersonantal p (§ 339, the loss belongs to the prim. Kelt. period), \dot{x} (§ 138), \dot{y} (§ 174) and s (§ 576). $t\bar{e}$ (pl. $t\bar{e}it$) 'hot' from *te(p)ent-. -tau - $t\bar{o}$ 'am' from * $st\bar{a}$ - $(\dot{z})\bar{o}$, rt.

¹⁾ The contraction of *a(i)er- to uer- did not occur until after the later Lat. accentuation came into being (§ 681). For if it had already taken place in the period of the older, *áësno-s would in all probability have become *áesno-s. The accentuation *aësnos preserved this form from contraction.

stā-'stand'. biid and later $b\bar{\iota}d$, gen. of biad 'nourishment' (prim. Kelt. *biyoto-n), Gr. β to τ o-g. \bar{o} from prim. Ir. oy underwent fusion with the following vowel, $\bar{\upsilon}ac$, later $\bar{\upsilon}c$ 'youth': Cymr. ieuanc (§§ 212. 243); gen. pl. $b\bar{\upsilon}$ n-'boum': Gr. β o(F)- $\bar{\omega}$ r. beri 'fers' from prim. Kelt. *bere(s)i.

Contraction takes place after the loss of $f:t\bar{o}r$ -tuar- from to-for, $t\bar{o}$ - $t\bar{u}$ from to-fo-. The same phenomenon also frequently appears where words meet together having prefixes beginning with or ending in a vowel, e. g. for $\bar{o}crad$ 'indicatus est' = *fo-ro-od-garad. In this case elision also appears elsewhere, as e. g. tar- from to-ar-, tess- from to-ess-, tind- from to-ind-.

§ 606. Germanic. Processes of contraction from prim. Germanic, Gothic and OHG, are unknown to me.

Rem. In Goth. $salb\bar{o}m$ OHG. $salb\bar{o}m\bar{\epsilon}s$ 'we anoint' there is no contraction of $-\bar{v}(i)a$ - to $-\bar{v}$ -, see the accidence. Goth. bairau (1. sg. opt. to baira 'fero') hardly from *beru(j)u(n), see § 142. Phenomena like prim-Germ. *aiz-a- 'ore, metal' from *aiiz-a-, OHG. eid-bsa 'lizard' from eqidebsa are rather to be regarded as examples of syncope than of contraction, see § 635.

Hiatus, which had arisen in word-forms through new formations made by analogy and at the point of junction in compounds was partly permitted and partly removed by clision.

Goth. aiáuk sg. pret. of áukan 'to increase'; saisōum (trisyllabic) pl. pret. of saian 'to sow'. ga-arman 'to pity', fra-ïtan 'to consume', ga-unlēdjan 'to make poor', sa-ei relat. 'qui'. On the other hand nist 'is not' from n(i)-ist. sei 'quae' from s(i)-ei, karist 'it troubles' from kar(a)-ist, þammuh 'to this' from þamm(a)-uh.

OHG. In man ke-auckan gi-ougen 'to bring before one's eyes, show' be-unwerden 'to appear despicable', MHG. misse-ahten 'to despise'. On the other hand galtiro (beside gialtiro) 'contemporary', nein 'no' from n(i)-ein, MHG. binnen 'within' from b(i)-innan.

That hiatus remained at one time, and that at another elision took place, is due to the various influences of sentence accentuation. That elision occurred already in prim. Germ., is

rendered probable by Goth. frēt OHG. frāz 'ate' (of lower animals); prim. Germ. 3. sg. *frēti from *fra-ēti. With this cp. OHG. fr-avili 'outrageous' to OHG. avālon 'to trouble oneself' O.Icel. afl n. 'power, strength'.

§ 607. Baltic-Slavonic. The commissure of compounds only comes under consideration.

In Lithuanian hiatus is generally permitted or there is elision pri-im̃ti 'to accept' (prijim̃ti is also heard). pā-ausi-s 'the part about the ears'. pa-ēti 'to go away'. be-aũsi-s 'one without ears'. be-urēdi-s 'one without office'. On the other hand tateēt 'he may come' from te-at-eīt. timē 'he may take' from te-imē. natimīk 'do not take away' from ne-at-imīk. neīk 'do not go' from ne-eīk. pasimīti 'to take up' from pa-si-imīti. Differences of sentence accentuation have been at work also here (cp. § 606).

Older East Lithuanian shows a real contraction, as there ne 'not' along with the a- of some prepositions appears as no-, e. g. notamenu 'I do not remember' = ne ata-menu. In the first instance ne- had probably become na- by assimilation. See Garbe Lit. und Lett. Drucke des 16. und 17. Jahrh., B. IV. p. XXV.

In Old Bulgarian partly hiatus, partly contraction. dobrookŭ 'εὐόφθαλμος'. golo-ąsŭ 'beardless' (golo- 'naked, bare').
mŭnogo-učenŭ 'πολυμαθής'. po-orati 'to plough'. pro-iti 'to go
through'. pri-iti 'to go to'. pra-otĭcĭ 'grandfather'. Imperf.
želĕachŭ to želĕją 'I wish', dĕlaachŭ to dĕlają 'I do'; the second
member is *ĕchŭ *jachŭ 'eram', see the accidence. Forms of
the compounded adjectival inflexion: gen. sg. novaago from
nova jego, loc. sg. novĕĕmĭ from novĕ+jemĭ, dat. sg. novuumu
from novu+jemu (novŭ 'new'. stem novo-); beside this loc. sg.
dobliimĭ from dobli+jemĭ (doblī 'brave', stem doblje-, cp. § 84).
Beside these also contracted forms already in the oldest monuments: priti, želĕchŭ, dĕlachŭ, novago, novĕmĭ, novumu, doblimī.
Similarly instr. sg. novymĭ from novy-(j)imĭ.

A very old contraction seems to occur in $n \in m$ 'am not' $n \in s$ 'art not' etc. = * $n \in s$ * $n \in s$ etc., dating back to the period when initial e- had not yet become $j \in (j \in s$), see § 666, 1.

SHORTENING OF LONG VOWELS.

§ 608. Simple long vowels may be shortened under very different relations and from very different causes. We treat here only the most important phenomena of vowel shortening.

Shortenings happened already in the period of the Indg. prim. community under the influence of the chief accent on the following syllable, as *stató-s (Skr. sthitá-s Gr. στατό-ς) from *stā-tó-s. See §§ 310. 316.

§ 609. Aryan. Shortening in Avestic in final syllables, as $br\bar{a}ta$ 'brother' = Skr. $bhr\acute{a}t\bar{a}$, see § 649, 1.

§ 610. Armenian. The long vowels had undergone shortening in most cases (if not in all) in prehistoric times. This can be controlled with the greatest certainty in original final syllables and in those syllables which preceded the penultimate syllable. For the dropping of vowels, which happened here, concerned the original long vowels as much as the short. Thus the former must have been shortened, e. g. dustr'daughter' from *dustir, older *duster: Gr. $\theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \eta \rho$; l-nu-m'I fill' from *li-nu-m older * $(p)l\bar{e}$ -: Lat. ex- $pl\bar{e}$ -nunt, O.Ir. $l\bar{v}$ -naim 'I fill'. Cp. §§ 632. 651, 1. 675.

§ 611. Greek. Shortening before u, i, nasal, liquid + explosive or spirant in prim. Greek. $Z\varepsilon\dot{v}_{\mathcal{S}}$ from $*di\bar{\varepsilon}u$ -s: Skr. $dy\bar{u}\dot{u}$ - \dot{s} 'sky'. $\beta o\tilde{v}_{\mathcal{S}}$ 'bullock' from $*g\bar{v}u$ -s: Skr. $g\bar{u}\dot{u}$ - \dot{s} . $\pi\lambda\varepsilon\bar{\iota}\sigma\tau\sigma$ -s 'plurimus' from $*pl\bar{e}is$ -i. e. $*pl\bar{e}$ -+ comparative suffix -is-, cp. O.Icel. flestr from *fleistr and compar. fleire § 614; the same $*pl\bar{e}is$ - also in Hom. $\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\varsigma$ $\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}u\varsigma$ Cret. $\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\varsigma$ $\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}u\varsigma$; $*\pi\lambda\epsilon\iota\sigma$ - $\epsilon\varsigma$ - $\alpha\varsigma$ became $*\pi\lambda\epsilon\iota$ - $\epsilon\varsigma$ - $\alpha\varsigma$, and then $\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\varsigma$ $\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}u\varsigma$. Instr. pl. $i\pi\pi\sigma\iota$ ($i\pi\pi\sigma$ - ς 'horse') from $*\bar{\sigma}is$: Skr. $i\dot{\sigma}iv\dot{\sigma}i\dot{s}$ (§ 115). Part. $i\dot{\sigma}\epsilon\nu\tau$ -'blowing' (indic. $i\dot{\tau}\eta\iota\iota\iota\iota$) from $*\dot{\sigma}f\eta\nu\tau$ -: Skr. $i\dot{\sigma}iv\dot{\tau}\eta$ - $i\tau$. $i\dot{\tau}\iota\iota$ ever '1. sg. $i\dot{\tau}\iota\iota$ in mixed myself') from $i\dot{\tau}\iota\iota$ in $i\dot{\tau}\iota$ in

The operation of this law of shortening was later than the transition of -ns- to -nn-. This is shown by $\mu\eta\nu$ - $\delta\varsigma$ Lesb. $\mu\tilde{\eta}\nu\nu$ - $\delta\varsigma$ from * $\mu\eta\nu\sigma$ - $\delta\varsigma$ gen. = O.Ir. $m\bar{\iota}s$ Lat. $m\bar{e}nsi$ -s-s (§ 565); cp. beside this the nom. sg. $\mu\epsilon\iota\varsigma$ from * $\mu\epsilon\nu\varsigma$ with regular shortening. But it was older than the dropping of final τ (§ 652, 5). This is shown by $\epsilon\mu\nu$ from * $\epsilon\mu\nu$ - ν - τ .

Rem. Certain exceptions to this sound-law were caused by new formations, e. g. Ion. $\eta_0\tilde{\nu}_s$ 'ship' formed after $\eta_0(\mathcal{F}) - \delta_s$ etc. beside regular Att. $\eta_0\tilde{\nu}_0\tilde{\nu}_s$; 3. pl. conj. act. $\varphi_{\ell\varrho\omega\nu\tau\iota}$ (Dor.), $\varphi_{\ell\varrho\omega\nu\tau\alpha\iota}$ for * $\varphi_{\ell\varrho\omega\nu\tau\iota}$, * $\varphi_{\ell\varrho\omega\nu\tau\alpha\iota}$ after $\varphi_{\ell\varrho\omega\mu\nu}$ etc. (in order to distinguish the conj. from the indic.).

Long vowels remained, if the same sound-combination arose in a later period through regular transformation, e. g. $\tilde{\varrho}\tilde{\varphi}\sigma\tau\sigma-\varsigma$ ($\varphi=\bar{\alpha}\hat{\varrho}$) from $\tilde{\varrho}\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha}\sigma\tau\sigma-\varsigma$ 'easiest', $\tilde{\varrho}\varrho\tilde{\omega}_{\sigma}\tau\tau\varepsilon\varsigma$ 'videntes' from $\tilde{\varrho}\varrho\dot{\omega}_{\sigma}\sigma\tau\varepsilon\varsigma$.

Shortening of long vowels before vowels. Hom. $\eta \rho oo\rho = \eta \rho \omega v \rho c$ 'of the hero', $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \epsilon \omega l = \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \omega l$ 'art hit', Herod. $\nu \epsilon \epsilon \rho \rho c$ from $\nu \eta (F) - \epsilon \rho c$ 'naves', $\zeta \delta \eta = \zeta \omega \eta l$ 'life', Att. $\nu \epsilon \omega \nu l$ from $\nu \eta (F) - \delta \nu l$ navium'. In such cases it is probably a question to some extent of medium long vowels, which could not be represented as such.

The so-called quantitative metathesis in Ionic and Attic is connected with this latter reduction of quantity, e. g. Ion. part. perf. έστεῶτες 'stantes' from έστη-(F)ότ-ες, τεθνεῶτες 'mortui' from τεθνη-(F)ότ-ες, Att. gen. στέᾶτ-ος 'of standing fat' from *στη-(F)ατ-ος (§ 165), Att. gen. $i\pi\pi\acute{\epsilon}ω\varsigma$ acc. $i\pi\pi\acute{\epsilon}\bar{\alpha}$ ($i\pi\piε\acute{\nu}\varsigma$ 'horseman') from $i\pi\piγ̃(F)$ -ος $i\pi\pi\tilde{\eta}(F)$ -α. This phenomenon sometimes formed the stage previous to contraction, as Ion. gen. IIαπτύω beside Φιλιστίδεω ep. Hom. 'Ατοείδᾶο; Att. gen. IIειομιῶς acc. IIειομιᾶ from -έως -έ $\bar{\alpha}$.

§ 612. Italic. In Latin (it cannot be determined whether also in the other dialects) shortening before \underline{i} , \underline{u} , nasal, liquid + explosive or sonant. oloes illīs from *- $\bar{\nu}$ is, see § 81 p. 74, § 115; cp. Pelign. cnatois 'gnatis, filiis'. nau-fragu-s from *nāu-fr. or *nāu(-i)-fr.; claudō from *clāu(i)dō, to clāvi-s Gr. $\varkappa \lambda \eta(F)/\varsigma$; gaudeō beside gāvīsu-s, Gr. $\gamma \eta \theta \acute{\epsilon} \omega$ from * $\gamma \bar{\alpha} F - \epsilon \theta \acute{\epsilon} \omega$, cp. § 633. ventu-s from * $u\bar{e}$ -nt-o-s, cp. Gr. $u\tilde{e}$ -v τ - § 611. membru-m from * $u\bar{e}$ -ns-ro-, see § 570. In $u\bar{e}$ nsi-s (Gr. Lesb. gen. $u\tilde{\eta} \nu \nu$ -o ς , O.Ir. gen. $u\bar{n}$ s) \bar{e} may have been shortened and then lengthened

again in accordance with § 619. Lat. ars artis from * $\bar{a}rti$ -, prim. fr. * \bar{r} -ti-, see § 306.

Rem 1. The nom. $b\bar{o}s$ must be left out of the question, since it is probably not a pure Latin word (§ 432 rem. 1)

Shortening of long vowels before vowels in Latin. $ne\bar{o}$ from $*n\bar{e}(\underline{i})\bar{o}:$ OHG. $n\bar{a}an$ 'to sew'. $re\bar{\iota}$, $fide\bar{\iota}$ from $r\bar{e}\bar{\iota}$, $fide\bar{\iota}$ from illia, cp. also $di\bar{e}\bar{\iota}$. illius from illias. Shortening of $d\bar{e}$, $s\bar{e}$ - in deorsu-m seorsu-m etc. The forms with shortening got more and more the upper hand in the poets. We do not know in detail by what laws shortening took place and did not take place in the naive common language.

Long vowels in final syllables were shortened to a great extent in Latin, e. g. equam = Skr. $\acute{a}\acute{s}v\bar{a}m$, see § 655, 4.

In the same language shortening frequently took place under the influence of the sharply cut tone, in this case the quantity, taken from the vowel, was transferred to the following consonant; hence this was also written double. cuppa (and cupa): Skr. kūpa-s 'hole, hollow'. Juppiter (and Jupiter) voc., = Gr. Ζεῦ πάτερ (L. Havet Mém. de la soc. de lingu. V 230 f.). mitto from *mīto: OHG. mīdan 'to let go, avoid'. littera (and litera, inscrip. also leitera). muccu-s (and mucu-s) : Gr. ἀπο-μύσσω 'I blow my nose' from *-\(\psi\)-\(\psi\) (\(\xi\) 489). \(\alpha\) \(\alpha\) in\(\alpha\)\(\ta\) all\(\alpha\) in\(\alpha\)\(\ta\). Gr. ἀλύω 'I am troubled, ill at ease' ήλεός 'bewildered'. narrāre from *gnārāre. parricīda (and paricīda). There are about 12 more cases which may be regarded as certain or probable. The fluctuation of the orthography in most of the examples met with, seems to be not so much due to a retention of old literary forms after completion of the process, as to the fact that a difference in pronunciation existed between these geminated consonants and other double consonants (cp. e. g. cuppa with suppetō).

Rem. 2. Analogous cases in other languages. Pāli khiddā beside $k\bar{\imath}l\bar{a}=Skr.\ kr\bar{\imath}d\bar{a}$ 'game', Prākr. $p\bar{e}mma=Skr.\ pr\bar{e}man$ - 'love' $\bar{e}nva=Skr.\ \bar{e}va$ 'straight, even'. Mod.HG. $mutter=MHG.\ muoter$, $jammer=MHG.\ j\bar{a}mer$. French (16. Cent.) complette, now written complete, = Lat. completa.

§ 613. Old Irish. Shortening took place in all except chief accented syllables, e. g. the form of the feminine suffix -ā- in pl. nom. tửatha dat. tửathaib i. e. tửathaib by § 640 (nom. sg. tuath 'folk') and in pl. nom. mná dat. mnáib (nom. sg. ben 'woman'); nom. béo-thu 'life' gen. bé-thath, from *-tū(t)s *-tūt-os (Cymr. -tit, as duintit 'deitas', Zeuss-Ebel G. C. 844): Lat. -tūt-i-, Goth. -dūp-i- (Lat. vir-tūs, Goth. gamáin-dūps 'community'). These reduced vowels remained, if the syllable in question was the last of the word, as in the examples given above. They generally disappeared altogether, if one or more syllables still followed. See § 634.

It is not clear to me how far vowel shortening had happened either in chief accented syllables both before the appearance of the above named reductions or in the other syllables. That no vowel shortening took place before -ns-, is shown by $m\bar{\imath}$ mīs 'month', which can only be explained from *mēns-, not from *mens-, and $m\bar{\imath}r$ 'piece of flesh', only from *mēnsr-, not from *mensr-.

§ 614. Germanic. Vowels seem to have been shortened in prim. Germanic before $n+\exp$ losive or spirant. Goth. vinds OHG. vint: Lat. ventu-s, Skr. $v\acute{a}nt$ -, see §§ 611. 612. Less certain are Goth. mims (stem mimza-) 'flesh': Skr. $m\ddot{a}s\acute{a}$ - (cp. O.Bulg. $m\acute{e}so$, § 615), and Goth. juggs OHG jung O.Icel. ungr 'young' from * $i\ddot{a}nga$ -z, older *iuuunga-z (§ 179); whether the latter word belongs here, is uncertain, because we do not the quantity of the Goth. u. The same reduction before i+explosive or spirant is seen in O.Icel. fleire fleiri (compar. to margr 'many a') from prim. Germ. *flaiz- \bar{e} from * $pl\bar{e}is$ -, cp. Gr. $\pi\lambda\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\sigma\tau\sigma$ - ς § 611. Cp. also Goth. $gib\acute{a}i$ from * $zeb\bar{o}i$ and other examples in § 659, 3.

Vowel shortening took place in Goth. and OHG. in final syllables only, as Goth. baira OHG. biru 'fero' from prim. Germ. *berō, see §§ 660. 661. But towards the end of the OHG. period the shortening of vowels in syllables without the chief accent began and this became characteristic for MHG. and Mod.HG. This shortening gradually spread more and more and in the Mod.HG.

period brought about relations which, in some respects, resemble Irish: e. g. OHG. saltōn, MHG. salben, Mod.HG. salbų salbų; OHG. friuntlīh, MHG. vriuntlīch, Mod.HG. freundlich.

\$ 615. Baltic-Slavonic.

Shortening before i or Nasal + evplosive or spirant. Lith. instr. pl. $vilku\~as$ 'with the wolves' from *- $\=ais$: Skr. $vj^*k\=ai\~s$, see § 115. Gerundive $j\~eszkant$ from *- $\=ant$, cp. 2. pl. indic. $j\~eszko-te$ 'ye seek'. Acc. pl. fem. O.Lith. rankans 'hands' (the older form for $rank\~as$) from *- $\=ans$, cp. instr. pl. $ra\~nko-mis$ (cp. also Pruss. gennans 'feminas'). Correspondingly O.Bulg. raky, which in the first instance is derived from *ronkons (§ 219 p. 187). may also be traced back to an older * $ronk\=ans$, see the accidence. Here perhaps also belongs O.Bulg. meso 'flesh': Skr. $m\=as\'a$ - (cp. Goth. mims § 614).

The quantity of the vowels in the O.Bulg. period is not determined. \check{e} , a, i, y must once have been long in the prim. Slav. period. since they with Lith. \dot{e} , \bar{o} \mathring{u} , y, \bar{u} represent the continuation of Indg. \bar{e} , \bar{u} \bar{o} , $\bar{\tau}$, \bar{u} (i also = Indg. $e\dot{j}$), so too u, since it took the place of older oy (Lith. au). In the modern Slav. developments where we are able to control the relations of quantity. these vowels often appear as short and conversely O.Bulg. e, o = Indg. e, u o and the representatives of O.Bulg. $\bar{\tau}$, \bar{u} = Indg. i, u as long. These changes were undoubtedy due in a great measure to the relations of accentuation. It has not yet been settled how far the shortenings of the modern dialects had already taken place in the O.Bulg. period or in the period of the Slav. prim. community.

In Lith, the long vowels frequently suffered reduction in the final syllables, e. g. nom. $alg\grave{u}$ 'reward' = Gr. $a\lambda\varphi\acute{\eta}$. See § 664, 5.

LENTHENING OF SHORT VOWELS.

§ 616. Aryan. In Skr. 'compensation lengthening' exists in $l\bar{\iota}dha$ - 'licked' from prim. Ar. * $li\dot{z}dha$ - etc. See §§ 404. 591.

Rem. On Av. Gāḥ. $ast\bar{\imath}$ 'is' = Skr. $\acute{a}sti$, O.Pers. $ak\bar{\imath}tt\bar{a}$ 'he made himself' = Skr. $\acute{a}k\bar{\imath}ta$ etc., see § 649, 1.

- § 617. Armenian. 'Compensation lengthening' had in all probability once taken place in the forms in which m and n disappeared after short vowels before s, as us 'shoulder' (Goth. ams), acc. pl. eris 'three' (Goth. prins). Cp. §§ 202. 610.

It may be remarked once more here (§ 205 rem. 3) that the $\varepsilon\iota$ and ov, which arose by 'compensation lengthening', were not diphthongs any more than the $\varepsilon\iota$ and ov which had arisen by contraction from $\epsilon\varepsilon$ and oo (§ 603).

§ 619. Italic. Every vowel was pronounced long before ns, nf, gn, gm in the Latin classical period, hence vowel lengthening must be assumed for forms like pīnsō cōnsul, īnfēlīx, dīgnus benīgnus, āgmen, see §§ 208. 500. 506. A similar lengthening and at the same time suppression of consonantal elements had taken place in an older period of the Lat. language in equōs fr. *equo-ns, pīlum fr. *pinslo-m, scāla fr. *scantslā etc., see § 208; aēnu-s fr. *aës-no-s, dīmoveō fr. *dis-moveō, sēnī fr. *sexnī, subtēmen fr. *-texmen, dīluō fr. *dis-luō, āla fr. *axlā etc., see § 570; nīdus fr. *nizdo-s etc., see § 594.

Rem. 1. In many cases, where vowel lengthening was formerly assumed in Latin, they are much rather pre-Italic long vowels, or diphthongs, e. g. ēsus fr. *ēsso-s i. e. *ēd+to- (§ 501), rēctu-s, ēmptu-s etc. It is immaterial here whether the long vowels in the cases in question had been handed down from the Indg. prim. period or whether they had arisen by new formation.

Rem. 2. The long vowels in $qu\bar{\iota}nque$ $qu\bar{\iota}ntu$ -s, $\bar{\sigma}rd\bar{\sigma}$ etc. are not clear to me.

§ 620. Old Irish. 'Compensation lengthening' exists in sēt 'way' = Cymr. hynt Bret' hent, cenēl 'race' = O.Cymr. cenetl, dēr 'tear' = O.Bret. dacr, ām 'manus hostium' fr. *agmen etc. See §§ 212.518.523.526. The name-forms in -agni (Dalagni, Corbagni) on the Irish Ogam inscriptions, as the predecessors of the later in $-\bar{a}in$ (Ultāin, gen. of Ultān).

§ 621. Germanic. 'Compensation lengthening' exists in prim. Germ. before $n\chi$, e. g. *fāxō 'I seize, catch' (Goth. fāha) fr. *fanoxō, see § 214.

Such lengthenings did not take place in Gothic.

In West Germ. OHG. mēta OS. mēda 'hire': Goth. mizdō; OS. līnon 'to learn': OHG. lirnēn. See § 538 p. 394. § 582. p. 436 § 596.

Many lengthenings in High German in the later phases of the language, as Alemanic saft 'soft', usir 'our'.

Cp. further O.Icel. $g\bar{a}s$ Ags. $z\bar{o}s$ = OHG. gans 'goose', O.Icel. $h\bar{u}sl$ Ags. $h\bar{u}sl$ = Goth. hunsl 'offering', Ags. $f\bar{i}f$ 'five' = Goth. fimf, Ags. $\bar{o}der$ 'other' = Goth. $an\bar{p}ar$, O.Icel. $t\bar{a}r$ 'tear' from *tahr- (OHG. zahar Goth. tagr), Ags. $r\bar{i}nan$ 'to rain' = Goth. rignjan.

§ 622. Baltic-Slavonic.

In Lithuanian compensation lengthening exists in $z\bar{a}si-s$ (written $z\bar{a}si-s$) 'goose' = OHG. gans etc., see § 219.

 α and e, too, were often lengthened elsewhere in this language, but it remains to be determined by what laws.

dēga 'burns' beside inf. dèkti, cp. Skr. dáhāmi. āria āre 'he ploughs', 1. sg. ariù = O.Bulg. orja (cp. § 147). tãka-s 'footpath' = O.Bulg. tokŭ 'course, river'. mãra-s 'pest' = O.Bulg. morŭ 'death'. Pl. sēsers 'sisters', cp. O.Bulg. sestra 'sister'. kálna-s 'mountain': Lat. colli-s fr. *colni-s (§ 208). áugu 'I grow' = Goth. auka. mėlžu 'I milk' = Gr. $au\acute{}\lambda\gamma \omega$. $v\acute{e}ida$ -s 'face', cp. Gr. $s\~idos$.

Rem. According to Baranowski and H. Weber Ostlit. Texte I p. XXIII sqq. it is here everywhere a question not of real long, but only of medium

long vowels. In $d\tilde{e}$ -g \tilde{a} -rie the second mora of the medium long vowel has the accent, in kál-kes áy-gu the first. Cp. § 691 rem.

in, un became \bar{i} , \bar{u} (O.Bulg. i, y) before explosives and spirants in Slavonic in the period of the prim. community, e. g. O.Bulg. acc. gosti 'guests' = 6 oth. gasti-ns, syny 'sons' cp. Goth. sunu-ns, see § 219.

O.Bulg. vlčka 'I draw' from *yelka, vlakŭ 'draught' from *wolkŭ etc., show another kind of origin of long vowels.

Vowel lengthening in O.Bulg. through following j in ij from ij, yj from ij, see §§ 36. 84.

Lengthenings (date still unknown) through the influence of accentual relations can be proved in the modern phases of the language, concerning which see § 615.

ANAPTYXIS FROM CONSONANTS.

§ 623. It is here a question of the development of a short or reduced vowel from a voiced consonant, namely medially before or after another consonant, initially (prothetic anaptyxis, prothesis) and finally.

If anaptyxis takes places medially after a consonant preceded by a sonant, the syllable must be pronounced with a double pointed accent. This prepares the way for the development of two syllables from one, e. g. OHG. beruc 'mountain' from berc. If the vowel is developed from the second consonant, the latter becomes sonantal in the first instance, e. g. French canif from Low Germ. knīf through the intermediate stage * $cy(n)\bar{t}f$ (-(n)- represents the consonantal glide).

How far the development of vowels initially and finally (in the latter case exceedingly rare) was caused by the relations of word-position in the sentence (sandhi), is left out of question here.

Rem. 1. The transition of f(y(n)) to canii is the same process as that by which Indg. */ynú- became Skr. tanú- Gr. τανύ- etc. and Indg. #grú- became Skr. gurú- Gr. βαρύ- etc. (§§ 227, 287). The latter cases do not however belong here, because it cannot be proved that these Indg. forms originally had a shape, shorter by one syllable, corresponding to knīf, cp. §§ 312. 313.

For comparison may also be mentioned here the case where a vowel is developed from a smant liquid or nasal between consonants or finally after a consonant, thus e.g. Gr. $\delta qard$ -z $\delta aqro$ -z 'skinned' from Indg. 'dro-s, over-s' (adder' from Indg. 'oudhr. Lat. 'e. tu-s fr. Indg. "tp-o-s, dro-m fr. Indg. "dr-fm (§§ 2237-224, 284, 285). 'our-s' field' became in prim. Ital. "t-t-s, thence "our-s" 'our-s' our-s' field' became in prim. Ital. "our-s' thence "our-s" our-s' our-s' field' became in prim. Ital. "our-s' thence "our-s" our-s' our

Rem. 2. One speaks also of anaptyctic prothesis before voiceless consonants, e. g. Gr. $iz\tau t_2$ beside $z\tau t_2$ 'weasel', $iz\sigma\tau u i\varphi \omega$ beside $\sigma\tau u i\varphi \omega$ 'I struggle convulsively', Late Lat. $isp\bar{\imath}ri$ u-s istatua. Prākr. $itth\bar{\imath}$ - (Gū $\bar{\imath}$), $istr\bar{\imath}$ -) = Skr. $str\bar{\imath}$ - 'wife'. So far as it is here really a question of a pure phonetic product — in many of the cases brought under this head the vowel has an etymological value (remnant ef a prefix etc.) —, it is always a process of sandhi. The vowel was developed from the close of preceding words.

§ 624. Aryan.

Sanskrit. Medial anaptyxis made its appearance first in the Prākrit dialects. e. g. harisu- = Skr haršu- 'joy', paduma- = Skr. pádma- 'water-rose', sumarāmi = Skr. smárāmi 'I think of', sinēha- = Skr. snēha- 'attachment', while prothesis appears already in Vedic in irajyati 'puts in order', iradhatē 'seeks to win', ilaya- 'resting' (beside laya- 'rest'), ulōká- (beside loká-) 'free space, world'. These forms were possibly taken from some vulgar dialect.

Anaptyctic vowels were very frequent in Avestic. The orthography fluctuated considerably, and these vowels are almost without exception of no importance for the metre. It is thus not a question of a full vowel. The development of a vowel takes place most frequently before and after r, uniformly before initial $r\bar{t}$, $r\bar{u}$, rv and after final r. It is generally represented by e, sometimes by a, \bar{e} , \bar{o} and i, u, the latter especially initially. Examples for anaptyxis from r, as $d\bar{u}dar^esa^irista$ - antare, see §§ 157. 260. From other voiced consonants: γ^ena - 'murder': Skr. ghna-; Gāp. $dad^emah\bar{u}$ 'we give': Skr. dadmasi; Gāp. d^abitya -'the second': Skr. $dvit\bar{u}ya$ -.

Only u, between d-r and g-d, can be established with certainty as anaptyxis in Old Persian. The following or preceding syllable contains an u-sound in all the examples met with $d\bar{u}r\bar{u}j\bar{v}y\bar{a}m\bar{v}y$ 'mentior': Skr. part. $dr\hat{u}h\bar{v}ant$ - 'injuring in a cunning manner'. $sug\bar{u}da$ - suguda- Sogdiana: Av. $su\gamma\bar{d}a$ -. \bar{u} is to be read as u, see p. 25.

§ 625. Armenian. Prothesis before initial liquids, e. g. erek 'evening': Skr. rájas-. See § 263.

§ 626. Greek. A vowel between consonants is regarded as anaptyctic in many words (see among others Curtius Grundz. 5 727 ff., G. Meyer Gr. Gr. 95 ff., 2. edition p. 109 ff.), but only few cases are certain, 1) as e. g. Lesb. $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \varrho \varrho o - \varsigma$ from * $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \varrho \varrho o - \varsigma$ within measure' from $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \tau \varrho o - \upsilon$ measure' (§ 131 p. 119).

On the other hand prothesis is certain in many cases. Most frequently before liquids, as $\xi \varrho v \theta \varrho \delta - \varsigma$ 'red' = Lat. ruber, $\xi \lambda \alpha \varphi \varrho \delta - \varsigma$ 'nimble' = OHG. lungar, see § 266. Before \mathcal{F} in Ionic : Hom. $\xi(\mathcal{F}) \xi \varrho \sigma \eta$ Cret. $\tilde{\alpha} \xi \varrho \sigma \alpha$ 'dew' beside $\tilde{\epsilon} \varrho \sigma \eta$ $\tilde{\epsilon} \varrho \sigma \eta$: Skr. $var \tilde{s} \tilde{\alpha} - s$ 'rain'; Hom. $\xi(\mathcal{F}) \xi \iota \nu \sigma \sigma \iota$ beside $(\mathcal{F}) \xi \iota \nu \sigma \sigma \iota$ 'twenty' Lac. $\beta \xi \iota \nu \sigma \tau \iota$ Before nasals probably e. g. in $\tilde{\alpha} \mu \xi \lambda \gamma \iota \nu \iota$ 'I milk': Lith. $m \ell \ell \tilde{\epsilon} u \iota$; $\tilde{\alpha} \mu \iota \nu \lambda \eta$ 'fog' (Att. $\tilde{\alpha} \mu \iota \nu \lambda \eta$ through association with $\tilde{\alpha} \mu \sigma - \tilde{\epsilon} \iota$, cp. § 564 rem. 3) $\tilde{\alpha} \mu \iota \nu \iota \nu \iota$ 'I make water', inf. aor. $\tilde{\alpha} \mu \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota$ in Hesych. : Lith. $m \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota$ 'fog', Lat. $m \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota$ 'first cousin': O.Bulg. $n \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota$

That prothesis sometimes appears, and sometimes not (cp. $\hat{\epsilon}\varrho\dot{\nu}o\mu\alpha\iota$ and $\hat{\varrho}\dot{\nu}o\mu\alpha\iota$, $\hat{\alpha}\lambda\epsilon\dot{\iota}\rho\omega$ and $\lambda\dot{\iota}\pi\alpha$, $\hat{o}\mu\dot{o}\varrho\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}\mu\iota$ and $\mu\dot{o}\varrho\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}\mu\iota$, $\hat{\alpha}\nu\epsilon\mu\iota\dot{o}-\varsigma$ and $\nu\dot{\epsilon}\pi\sigma\delta\dot{\epsilon}\varsigma$) seems partly to be due to difference of dialect, and partly to be explained from various kinds of sandhi-relations.

The development of the l- in $i\sigma\theta \iota$ 'be' imper. = Av. Gāþ. $zd\bar{\imath}$, Indg. *z-dhí, see §§ 590. 593, is older than the development of the ten. asp. from med. asp. (§ 495). Prothesis perhaps also in $i\chi\theta\dot{\imath}'-\varsigma$ 'fish' and $\dot{\imath}\chi\theta\dot{\imath}'\varsigma$ 'yesterday'.

¹⁾ Curtius and Meyer use the term anaptyxis in a wider sense, according to which it includes the phenomena discussed in § 623 rem. 1. Many of the forms, brought forward by both these scholars, certainly contain no development of a vowel either in the stricter or wider sense, θ . g. $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} - \varepsilon - \tau \mu ds$ in Curtius, $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} - \varepsilon - \nu \eta = 0$ in Meyer.

§ 627. Italic.

Medial anaptyxis in Latin with liquids and nasals, the vowel thus developed usually taking the timbre of the vowel in the following syllable: $p\bar{o}$ -colo-m $p\bar{o}$ -culu-m from $p\bar{o}$ -culu-m, sta-bulu-m from *sta-blo-m, sta-bili-m from *sem-clo-m, see § 269. Acc. famulu-m probably from *famlo-m, familia from *fam-liā.

Rem. 1. In the forms with -l- the frequent fluctuation in the orthography (cp. also succlum beside sacculum, poplus beside populus, disciplīna beside discipulīna etc.) probably points partly to different influence of the accent in the various cases, and partly to the fact that at first only $\cline{l}(l)$ or $\cline{l}(l)$ or $\cline{l}(l)$ and $\cline{l}(l)$ or $\cline{l}(l)$ and $\cline{l}(l)$ or discip $\cline{l}(l)$ was spoken beside $\cline{l}(l)$ and that this sound was written sometimes $\cline{l}(l)$, sometimes $\cline{u}(l)$, the latter at least in the oldest period of historic Latinity. Cp. rem. 3.

Inscript. (ca. 218 B. C.) $Terebonio = Treb\bar{o}ni\bar{o}$. Late Lat. acc. $magistar\bar{a}tu$ - $m = magistr\bar{a}tu$ -m, $car\bar{a}br\bar{o} = cr\bar{a}br\bar{o}$ (Italian calabrone) etc.

Anaptyxis with nasals can be established with the greatest certainty in loan-words, as Tecumēssa, drachuma, mina, techina.

Rem. 2. sumus, humus, homō, hemō, umerus and numerus hardly belong here, see § 269 rem. 568 rem. I come to no decision with regard to terminus and similar forms, see § 241.

Anaptyxis seems to have been foreign to Umbrian. We find it all the more frequently in Oscan. Examples for the development of a vowel from liquids as aragetud 'argento' see § 271. From nasals: akenei from *aknei 'in fundo' or 'in agone'; Patanai dat. sg. from *Patnai; Liganak-dikei dat. sg. from *Lignak-d.

From the material brought forward by Thurneysen Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 181 f., it is probable that anaptyxis only took place regularly in the combinations tr, kr, tl, kl, tn, kn, if the preceding syllable was short. Cp. e. g. maatreis 'matris' Fuutrei 'Genetrici' with paterei 'patri'; sakarāklúm 'sacrum' with Pukalatúi (to $p\bar{u}klo$ -).

Rem. 3. The Osc. leaden tablet (published by F. Bücheler, Frankf. a. M. 1877) does not contain these inserted vowels, e. g. sakrim 'sacrum' against oaxogo 'sacrum' sakarater 'sacratur' elsewhere. Hence it is probable that at first only the weak glide vowel was spoken or after consonants at first only sonant liquids and nasals, thus are getud, ake nei or aknnei. Cp. rem. 1.

Anaptyxis also in Pelign., as in Alafis 'Alfius', see § 271.

§ 628. Germanic. Goth. miluks OHG. miluh Ags. meoloc O.Icel. miolk (fr. *meluk by so-called fracture), connected with OHG. melchan Lith. mélžu etc., seem to be an example of primitive Germanic anaptyxis. If it be maintained along with Paul that liquid + explosive in final syllables gave rise to anaptyxis in prim. Germ., then OHG. hiruz beside hirz might also be understood (cp. § 533). Both words must have been consonantal stems.

Goth. Anaptyxis perhaps in filigrja- (Luke XIX, 46 filegria-) n. 'a hiding, hole', to filhan 'to hide'. Here comes into consideration the inconvenience of the combination lgrj, but at the same time also the possibility of a popular association with ligrs 'couch'.

Frequent anaptyxis in West Germ., especially in OHG. With r+i, e. g. herige 'to the army': Goth. harja § 143 p. 129. With cons. + y, e. g. zesawēr zesewēr 'dexter': Goth. taihsva, see § 180 p. 157. With cons. + nas., e. g. wahsamo m. 'growth' beside wahsmo, see § 215. With liqu. +h and other letters, e. g. ferah 'soul, life': Goth. fairhvu-s 'world', see § 277.

§ 629. Baltic-Slavonic.

In Lithuanian appear frequent attempts at anaptyxis, which may be represented somewhat in this way: giriszti 'to return' $k^u r i ma$ -s 'shrub', $suk^e n \dot{e}$ 'dress', $\check{z}ar^i st \acute{y}ti$ 'to scrape', $mar^i q \tilde{e} l \dot{e}$ (mergēlė) 'girl', baladoti 'to knock', nénedrė 'reed'. In the case of vowel development from liquids and nasals after consonants it is mostly merely a question of the consonants becoming sonants. Observe that the phenomenon has nothing to do with the contrast between slurred and broken accentuation $(n\acute{e}n^e dr\acute{e})$. Cp. Bezzenberger Z. Gesch. d. lit. Spr. 67 f., Brückner Litu-slav. Stud. I 49, Leskien-Brugmann Lit. Volksl. u. Märch. 290.

In Slavonic, anaptyxis was connected with the process of transformation of prim. Slav. or, ol, er, el, before consonants, ep. Russ. porosjá 'sucking-pig': Lith. parsza-s etc., see § 281.

YOWEL ABSORPTION.

§ 630. Vowel absorption often happens in languages with predominantly expiratory accentuation. The stronger the chief accent of the word, the more easily loss of vowel takes place in syllables which have not the chief accent.

If the absorption happens between consonants in such a way that the word loses one of its syllables, the loss of vowel is called syncope, e. g. Lat. caldu-s fr. calidu-s. We have besides loss initially before consonants (aphaeresis) and finally after consonants (aphaeresis) in the latter cases it is often difficult to say whether the changes were caused by the relations of accentuation only of the word in question, or whether they were due to relations of sandhi.

If the absorption happens in such a manner that the syllable retains its value as a syllable, which is only possible, if another sound is able to undertake the part as bearer of the syllabic accent, we call the process sampras trana (after the Indian grammarians).

Vowel absorption took place already in prim. Indg. under the influence of the chief accent of following syllables. Syncope: stem *pt-é- from *pet-é-, Gr. πr -é- $\sigma \theta m$ 'to fly'. Aphaeresis: *s-té from *es-té 'ye are'. Skr. $sth\acute{a}$. Samprasāraņa: stem * $dy\^k$ -é- from * $der\^k$ -é-, Skr. $dy\^s$ - \acute{a} -t \acute{a} - $dy\^s$ -n-t 'he saw'. Cp. § 307 ff.

- § 631. Aryan. Samprasāraņa in Av. acc. sg. *ainim* = Skr. *anyá-m* 'alium'. *pouru-m* = Skr. *pūrvá-m* 'primum' etc. Cp. § 125 p. 115, § 159 p. 143.
- § 632. Armenian. i and n only remained in final syllables between consonants, in other syllables they were dropped. gtanem 'I find' from "git-ane-m: aor. e-git. Gen. dster 'of a daughter' from "duster: nom. duster. See §§ 31. 47.
- § 633. Italic. Syncope frequently occurred in all dialects, and it may be conjectured that it was partly completed during the period of the Ital. prim. community, just as the samprasāraṇa (§§ 33. 623 rem. 1) in the transition of *ágro-s 'field' to *agrs

(hist. Lat. ager), of *ágro-lo-s (cp. porculu-s, $f\overline{\iota}liolu$ -s) to *agrlo-s (hist. Lat. agellu-s), of *sácro-dō(t)s to *sacrdō(t)s (hist. Lat. sacerdōs), of *sé-crinō to *sēcrnō (hist. Lat. sēcernō) etc.

Syncope shows itself in Latin in the syllable immediately following the one which had the chief accent (according to prim. Lat. accentuation, see § 680), if one or more syllables followed this. reppulī, rettulī, reccidī from *ré-pepulī, *ré-tetulī, *ré-cecidī. Correspondingly dēcidī, attigī, incurrī from *dé-cecidī, *át-tetigī, *in-cecurrī. cette from *cé-dite, mattu-s from *mádito-s, see § 501 rem. 2. hospes from *hostpes *hosti-pes (§ 81 p. 73). claudō from *clávidō, cp. § 612. ūndecim from *ánu(s)decim, quīndecim from *quínquedecim. prīnceps, manceps, vīndēmia from *prími-ceps etc. surgō from *súb-regō, surpuī from *súb-rapuī. caldu-s, soldu-s, valdē beside calidu-s, solidu-s, validu-s. ārdu-s (Lucil.), ārdeō beside āridu-s. It is questionable whether the spelling should be trusted in the case of certain examples of syncope which are found on inscriptions only, as decmus vicesma (acc. sg. fem.). viglias.

Syncopation, so far as we know it, was comparatively more frequent in Umbr.-Samn. than in Latin. Umbr. co-vertu 'convertito', an-dendu 'intendito' (§ 499), sestu sistu 'sistito', umtu 'unguito' fr. *umptōd *umbetōd (§ 502 rem.), sumtu 'sumito'; Osc. factud 'facito' = Umbr. feitu (§ 502), actud 'agito'. Umbr. osatu 'operato', Osc. úpsannam 'operandam'. Umbr. ambr-Osc. amfr- (Lat. amb-) fr. *amfer-, a transformation of *amfi after ander 'inter' etc.; on the Umbr. form cp. also § 209. Osc. vincter 'convincitur', úíttiuf 'usio, usus' (§ 501 rem. 2), minstreis 'minoris' (cp. Lat. minister). Pelign. af-āed fr. *af-dāēd 'abdidit'.

Short vowels were also syncopated in final syllables in this Ital. branch (except some cases, where syncopation was prevented by double consonants, as 3. pl. furent 'erunt' cp. Lat. fuerint). Nom. sg. Umbr. pihaz 'piatus' fratreks 'fratricus', Osc. húrz 'hortus' túvtíks 'tuticus, publicus'. Umbr. Osc. fust 'erit' cp. Lat. fuerit. Cp. § 655, 5.

§ 634. Old Irish. The syllable, immediately following the one with the chief accent, was the least accented in the

word (as in Ital.), and its vowel became reduced and disappeared, if one or more syllables followed, thus having either syncope or samprasāraṇa. On the retention of the vowel in final syllables see § 613.

Syncope. From the stem *fóllessu- 'clear, manifest' was formed nom. pl. fóilsi with the derivatives fem. fóilse 'clearness' and the verb fóilsigim 'I show'; the middle syllable of the stem *fóllessu- had experienced syncope here, while the nom. sg. fóllus retained the same syllable, as a syllable. which had become final after the loss of the end syllable. From *béromi, older *héro-me(s)-i (1. pl. indic. pres. from ber- = Indg. bher- 'ferre'), arose bérme (-e was caused by the o which was dropped; beside this 3. pl. bérit from *béront(i). menme, dat. menmain, 'sense' from prim. f. *men-o-men-, see § 110 p. 104. From the stem *cárēt- 'friend' (from *cáryt-, see § 212 and cp. Gall. Carantonus Carantillus, Cymr. pl. ceraint) pl. dat. cáirtib acc. cáirtea, but sg. nom. cáre cára gen. cárat dat. cárit. Instructive for this process are also the Lat. loan-words, e. g. péccad 'peccatum' gen. pécctho, ídal 'idolum' dat. pl. tállaib.

Samprasāraṇa. Beside nom. sg. só-nirt só-nairt 'strong, brave (nert 'strength') stood dat. pl. só-nartaib compar. só-nortu 'stronger' and the derived substantive só-nirte só-nairte 'strength, firmness'. In the latter forms the syllable -nert- had become -nrt-, the timbre of rt was conditioned by the vowel in the following syllable; r then generated a reduced vowel. So also du-fu-tharcair 'voluit' goes back in the first place to *du-fu-thrcair, this to -thracair (cf. du-thracht 'will'). Cp. §§ 66. 298. Here belong also cases like bria-thar: the course of development was -tra, -tr (r with r-tra), -tr, cp. § 623 rem. 1.

Rem. On the ai in só-nairt, só-nairte cp. § 640.

§ 635. Germanic.

Prim. Germanic syncopation. *áz-a- 'ore, metal' (Goth. áiza-, OHG. ēr') from *áziz-a-, cp. Skr. áyas-. So probably also *máz-ō 'maior' (Goth. máiza OHG. mēro) in the first instance from *mázizō. Further *ármēzā(i) 'he has compassion on' (Goth. armáip

OHG. $arm\bar{e}t$) fr. * $arm\bar{e}$ -ji-di and * $frij\bar{o}jd(i)$ 'he treats lovingly' (Goth. $frij\bar{o}p$) fr. * $frij\bar{o}$ -ji-di, see § 142. This syncopation which did not take place if an i directly preceded the ii, belongs to the later prim. Germ. changes. For it presupposes the new Germanic accentuation (§ 687).

Syncopation in Gothie in final syllables, e. g. vulfs 'wolf' fr. prim. Goth. *vulfa-z. See § 660, 1. Whether forms like ibns 'even' akrs 'acre' were pronounced as monosyllables or dissyllables (ibus akys), is not clear, cp. §§ 215, 277 (p. 221 foot-note 1). 660, 1. Here may also be placed the transition of ii to i after consonants, as in satja 'I set' from prim. Germ. *satijō, hairdjōs nom. pl. 'shepherds' from prim. Germ. *zirdijōz (nom. sg. hairdeis from *zirdijōz).

Rem. Some regard this latter process as prim. Germanic. But then the 2. sg. imper. satei (Indg. *sodéie) could not well be explained. For *satii would certainly also have become *satii in prim. Germ., and this would have led to *sati.

In West Germanic a law of syncope operated which Paul (Paul-Braune's Beitr. VI 144) has formulated in the following manner: - Short vowels were dropped in open syllables 1. after chief accented syllables, if these were long, 2. after a secondary accented syllable following the one with the chief accent, whether this was long or short. The operations of this law are in many cases not evident and clear, because the secondary tone and the deeptone also changed their position in the same word according to logical principles and many levellings took place by analogy. OHG. hōrta 'I heard' for *hōrita = Goth. háusida, branta 'I burnt' for *brannita = Goth. brannida. OHG. OS. hērro 'master', compar. of her 'high, sublime', from *hériro (Goth. *háiriza), OHG. OS. jungro 'younger' from *júngiro, cp. Goth. jūhiza. OHG. andres gen. of ander 'alius', unsres gen. of unser 'our'. OHG. sēula OS. sēola 'soul' = *sēwla: Goth. sáivala. OHG. īsnīn 'iron' adj. from īsan 'iron'. OS. mahtigro from *máhtègiro gen. dat. sg. fem. and gen. pl. of mahtig 'mighty', cp. Goth. gen. pizos. Forms like OHG. zimbarta 'built' (§ 310 rem.) acchar 'field' fogal 'bird' eban 'even' had experienced samprasāraņa, see §§ 215. 277. 623 rem. 1.

For the activity of the West Germ, law of syncope in final syllables, see § 661, 2.

§ 636. Baltic-Slavonic. i experienced syncopation in unaccented syllables in Lithuanian. I am not in a position to say how far the phenomenon, which can only be proved for a comparatively small number of words, is dialectical and how far it was dependent on various grades of accent-stress or similar factors. Examples: szulnÿs beside szulinÿs 'well, spring'. mótna beside mótina 'mother' (also mótyna); fut. 2. pl. árste beside ársite. 1. du. ársva beside ársiva, from ariv 'I plough'.

Vowels were dropped in final syllables by syncope, e. g. pl. dùkters 'daughters' fr. *dùkter-es (Gr. 0vyarkor), gen. sg. dukter's fr. *dukter-ès (O.Bulg. dǔster-e). Cp. § 664.

ĭ and ŭ, which were reduced vowels already in the prim. Slav. period, disappeared in Slavonic medially in open syllables. In the O.Bulg. monuments e. g. vsi beside vīsi gen. of vīsī 'vicus', rekšu beside rekūšu dat. sg. of the part. perf. of reku 'I say'. See §§ 36. 52.

PALATALISATION AND LABIALISATION WITH EPENTHESIS.

§ 637. Consonants are often palatalised by sonant and consonant i- and e-sounds following them, through the mouth-articulation anticipating the i or e. In like manner u- and o-sounds cause labialisation.

If any other vowel but an i or u precedes a consonant or group of consonants thus palatalised or labialised, this often becomes an i-, or u-diphthong, e. g. ail'i- from al'i- (where indicates the palatalisation of the l). The i- or u-articulation thus extends still further than the specific articulation of the consonants. This phenomenon is called epenthesis. It occurs in the older phases of the Indg. languages only in the case where postconsonantal i or u was consonantal. This i and i0 then disappeared in the preceding consonant, a result of the intensity of the process of palatalisation or labialisation.

Rem. The phenomena, which are denoted as umlaut, fracture, vowel-harmony etc., do not differ materially from epenthesis, e. g. OHG. nerian = Goth. nasjan § 83, O.Ir. fer 'man' fr. *uiro-s § 34, O.Bulg. toboja instr. beside tebe gen., tebè dat. loc. of ty 'thou'.

§ 638. Aryan. Simple consonants and nt appear palatalised in Avestic before i, $\bar{\imath}$, y, \bar{e} , $\tilde{n}h$ (from Ar. si, § 558, 3 p. 415) after a, \bar{a} , u, \bar{u} , \bar{e} , o, e, ae, ao; the palatalisation was indicated by an i written before the consonant. aipi 'up, on, in' = 0.Pers. apiy Skr. api. barainti 'they bear' = 0.Pers. $bar\cdot u(u)^i iy$ Skr. bharanti. $per^e s\bar{a}iti$ 3. sg. conj. of $per^e s\bar{a}mi$ 'I ask' = 0.Pers. $pars\bar{a}tiy$ Skr. prchati. $st\bar{u}iti$ 2. sg. imper. of staomi 'I praise': Skr. stu-hi. $ker^e naoiti$ 'he makes' = Skr. kr-no-ti. $ber^e zait\bar{\imath}m$, acc. sg. fem. of $ber^e zant$ -'great, high', = Skr. brhatím. aeibis instr. pl., $aeiby\bar{o}$ dat. pl. to aem 'this', = Skr. $\bar{e}bhi$ s, $\bar{e}bhy$ as. dat-maite, da

r appears labialised before u, \bar{u} , v after a, \bar{a} , o; an u written before the r marked the labialisation. auruša-'white, glittering' = Skr. aruša-. dāuru 'wood, spear' = Skr. dāru. pouru (also written paouru-) 'much' = O.Pers. paru- Skr. puru-(§ 290). aurvant-'quick, strong' = Skr. ārvant-.

Rem. The metre proves that diphthongs were not spoken in forms like $aipi\ pouru$. This mode of writing is to be explained from the fact that the transition from the a, \overline{a} etc. to the i- or u-position of the palatalised or labialised consonant gave the impression as if an \underline{i} or \underline{u} was heard before the consonant.

Hence it is quite out of the question to speak of a triphthong in forms like $aeibi\check{s}$ etc.

Cp. the similar mode of writing in Irish, § 640.

In Bartholomae's Handb. d. ir. dial. this i and u, just as the anaptyctic vowels (§ 624), are placed above the other letters in small type, e. g. $a^ip^i \ ae^iby\bar{o}$, $a^{u}ru\bar{s}a$.

 \S 639. Greek. Epenthesis of i and probably also of u took place in the period of the primitive community.

 αίκνο-ν · δείπνον (Hesych.) fr. *ἀκνιο-ν, to Skr. aś-nά-ti 'eats'. δεῖπνο-ν 'meal' fr. * δ επνιο-ν, rt. deg- (§ 444 c). έξ-αίq rης 'suddenly' fr. *-αφνιάς, ep. ἄφνω · εξαίφνης (Hesych.). τ and δ disappeared by assimilation before **ν**: δέσποινα 'mistress of the house' fr. *-ποτιμα, ὁαίνω 'I besprinkle' fr. *ὁαδιμω, see §§ 488. 492. αίγμή 'point of a spear' fr. * $\alpha \chi u \iota \bar{\alpha}$, probably related to $\epsilon \gamma \chi o c$, $\alpha \chi =$ *19χ-. μάκαιοα fr. *μακυρ-μα, fem. to μάκαο 'blessed'. σπαίοω 'Ι struggle convulsively fr. *σπαρ-ιω, original form *spr-iδ (§§ 129. 293). Hom. τοῖο 'of the' Att. τοῦ Dor. τῶ fr. *τοισο, the latter fr. *το-σιο: Hom. κλαίω 'I weep' fr. *κλαιξω, this fr. *κλαξ-ιω, see § 131.

Rem. Epenthesis of i has been wrongly assumed in zostrom 'stronger' beside Ion. zofaawr, prim. Gr. *zoer-zwr. and in uellwr 'greater' beside Ion. ustor, prim. Gr. *usy-swr. st had taken the place of s here through analogical association with xelowr 'inferior in strength etc.' auxiror 'better' olelian 'smaller'. Epenthesis of i has also been wrongly sought in ztelra 'I kill', αμείνωι, Hom. είν 'in', φθείοω 'I destroy', γείοων, Hom. έτείο 'over'. In the first instance assimilation took place in these cases (cp. $-\epsilon \lambda \lambda$ from $-\epsilon \lambda \mu$ in στέλλω), then 'compensation lengthening'. See §§ 131. 618.

Cypr. allo-c beside Arcad. Att. allo-c 'alius' is very strange. It stands too isolated to be able to establish with certainty a process of epenthesis for the period of the separate dialects. Cp. Spitzer Lautl. des Arcad. Dial. 34.

Epenthesis of u in Greek is entirely denied by some scholars, and it is clear that most of the examples brought forward are certainly or probably false. The following are less doubtful: $\tau a \bar{\nu} \rho o - s$ 'bull' beside Gall. tarvo - s and $K' r \tau a \nu \rho o - s =$ Skr. gandharvá-s (Kértargos for *Ksrtargo-s, which is to be presupposed by § 496, through popular association with τανρο-ς, or κεντέω, or both at the same time).

In the transformation, which guttural and dental explosives experienced through a following i or i in prim. Greek or in the period of the separate dialects, as $-\sigma\sigma$ fr. -ki -ti, $-\sigma\iota$ fr. $-\tau_{l}$ (§§ 131. 489. 493), it was in all cases a question of palatalisation.

§ 640. Old Irish. Palatalisation and labialisation took place extensively. At the end of chief accented syllables, in which the original vowel-differences were kept apart, the palatal timbre of the consonant was denoted by placing an *i* before it, and in like manner the labial by an *u* or *o*. We have thus no more to do with proper diphthongs or triphthongs here than in Avestic (§ 683). The vowels following the chief accented syllable, which had imparted their timbre to the preceding consonants, were mostly dropped according to the laws for unaccented syllables, so that no trace of their existence was left except in the colouring of the preceding consonants. In Keltic grammars this process is called infection.

For the sake of clearness we give here in small type the vowels which serve only to indicate the timbre of the consonant (cp. § 24 p. 27).

Palatalisation. Gen. e^ich 'of a horse' fr. prim. Kelt. $*eky\bar{\imath}$, to nom. ech. su^ide 'seat' fr. *sodio-m, rt. sed. Gen. ma^icc 'of a son' fr. maqi (Ir. Ogam inscript.), to nom. sg. macc. a'le 'alius', stem *alio. $f\bar{a}^ith$ 'poet' fr. $*u\bar{u}ti(s)$: Lat. $v\bar{u}t\bar{e}s$. Acc. $r\bar{u}^in$ 'secret' fr. $*r\bar{u}nin$, to nom. $r\bar{u}n$ fr. $*r\bar{u}n\bar{a}$. $for-t\bar{e}^is$ 'thou wilt help' fr. $*t\bar{e}ssi(s)$ with $\bar{e}=$ Indg. ej. Cp. §§ 58. 66. 82. 98. 106.

Labialisation. Dat. $ne^u rt$ fr. *nertu *nert\overline{\sigma}, stem nerto'strength'. Dat. $e^o ch$ fr. *echu *eku\overline{\sigma}, st. echo- 'horse'. $ad\text{-}ga^u r$ 'I prohibit' fr. *-garu *-gur\overline{\sigma}. Cp. \cdot\overline{\sigma} 66. 98.

If the vowel of the syllable which had not the chief accent was still present only as an irrational vowel, its colouring was dependent on the timbre of the following consonant, e. g. (ni) épur $(\text{fr.}*\acute{e}d\text{-}bur)$ beside $at\text{-}bi^ur$ 'dico', both from the same original form, but with different accentuation according to the connexion, so, too, nom. biad gen. biid ('victus') fr. *biyot(o-m) *biyot(\overline{\gamma}). See §§ 66. 82. In like manner the irrational vowel, which was developed in Keltic itself from sonant liquid, assumed the quality of the following consonants, e. g. $s\acute{o}$ -nirte beside $n\acute{e}rt$ 'strength'. See § 634.

Where a diphthong is written in unaccented syllables, the second vowel was properly the syllabic vowel and the first really only shows the timbre of the preceding consonant. Hence

we may write epiur, which occurs beside epur, as épiur. Correspondingly só-n'irte beside só-nirte, rér'aig 'direxit', dat. túath'aib beside thathib 'populis' (cp. mnā'b from ben 'woman'), 1. sg. cár"im beside cárim 'I leve'. In general this colouring of the consonant is the quality of the vowel which originally followed the consonant, cp. e. g. $\epsilon p^i ur$ with $at-bi^u r$ and 3. sg. $ud-con-d^a irc$ (Gr. δέδορκε) with 1. sg. ad-cón-darc (Gr. δέδορκα).

Rem. If two consonants with different timbre came together through loss of vowel, the second became assimilated (with certain exceptions) to the first, e. g. in */e'dligetho 'of persistence' (nom. fe'dligad, to fe'dligim 'I continue, hold out') a palatal g and a dark the came together after the loss of the e in the last syllable but one. The latter then also became palatal, hence the form fe'dligtheo. The timbre of the preceding consonants had influence upon final vowels partly even in O.Irish, but especially in Mid.Irish. E. g. sú'digthe' o' of setting' became sú'digthe; nom pl. $gn\tilde{t}m^ai$ 'deeds' (also written $gn\tilde{t}mi$ without indication of the timbre of the m, nom. sg. $gn\tilde{t}m$) became $gn\tilde{t}ma$ or $gn\tilde{t}ma$ (all these spellings exist side by side of each other). - According to Thurneysen. Cp. also § 657 rem.

§ 641. Germanic. Until recently a prim. Germ. epenthesis of i was universally assumed in a series of words, as Goth. háils OHG. heil 'whole', which is said to be related to Skr. kalya-s 'healthy, pleasant'; Goth. hráiva- (in hráiva dūbō) OHG. hrēo (gen. hrēwes) 'corpse', said to be connected with Skr. krávya-m 'raw flesh'. See Scherer Z. Gesch. d. deutsch. Spr. 274, J. Schmidt Vocal. II 474 ff., Osthoff Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIII 427 f. I am by no means convinced of the correctness of this assumption; on háils cp. § 439.

§ 642. Baltic-Slavonic. Palatalisation exists largely. On the palatalisation of consonants in Lithuanian see §§ 26. 147. 280.

Original ri, li, ni appear in O.Bulg. as palatalised r, l, n; i had been absorbed in the preceding consonant. Palatalisation was also the first stage in the development of ki ke to č če, of chi che to š še etc. See § 147.

LOSS OF SYLLABLE BY DISSIMILATION.

§ 643. When two medial syllables with the same or similiar initial sound follow each other, one of the two is often 31

lost. When a person reproduces sounds from impressions on the memory and repeats their order in speaking, the syllables with the same initial sounds run into one another, and thus after the production of the initial sound of the first syllable he at once passes over to the production of the sounds following the second, e. g. Gr. $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \tau \varrho \alpha \chi \mu o \nu$ four drachmae' = $\tau \epsilon \tau \varrho [\acute{\alpha} \delta \varrho] \alpha \chi \mu o \nu$. Such hurrying over one part of the sounds of the body of a word does not always become chronic and universal, hence the frequent co-existence of the full and the shortened form in languages closely related together.

In languages with preponderating expiratory accentuation it was probably always syllables which had not the chief accent which were affected by this act of dissimilation.

Aryan. Vedic irádhyāi inf. 'to try to gain' = *iradh[a-dh]-yāi, cp. 3. pl. mid. iradhanta. Avestic. $d\bar{u}raoša$ - 'shining far' = * $d\bar{u}r[a-r]aoša$ -. $amer^et\bar{u}t$ - beside $amer^eta$ - $t\bar{u}t$ - 'immortality'. $mai\bar{d}y\bar{u}irya$ -, name of a feast, fr. * $mai\bar{d}y[a-y]\bar{u}irya$ - ($mai\bar{d}ya$ -'medius', $y\bar{u}r^e$ 'year').

Greek. ἀμφοςεύ-ς beside ἀμφι-φοςεύς 'jar with two handles'. ἡμέδιμνο-ν beside ἡμι-μέδιμνον 'half a bushel'. κωμφδιδάσκαλο-ς beside κωμφδι-διδάσκαλος 'comic poet'. λιπνοία 'intermittant fever' = *λιπ[ο-π]νοια. στόμαργο-ς 'talkative' = *στομ[ο-μ]αργο-ς or *στομ[α-μ]αργο-ς. ἀπισθέναρ 'back of the hand' = *όπισθ[ο-θ]-εναρ. κέντωρ 'goader' = *κεντ[η-τ]ωρ from κεντέω. θάρσυνο-ς 'confident' fr. *θαρσ[ο-σ]ννο-ς.

Rem. 1. From what has been said above it is probable that forms like dēbilitānus dēbilitāvissem, nūtrīcis nūtrīcāmus, dentiōnis were already created in Latin at the time *dēbilitātō (1. sg.), *nūtrīcīx, *dentītiō were still spoken. The shortening of the latter forms was due to the analogy of the first through a dēbīlitō being created to dēbilitāmus etc.

Rem. 2. The later stages of development of Latin also show many phenomena which belong here, as Italian aramo fr. arevamo, cavalleggieri fr. cavalli leggicri, French neté fr. netteté. See Car. Michaëlis Stud. zur roman. Wortschüpfung 18.

Keltic. I do not know anything belonging here from Irish 1). In Gallic Leucamulus = *Leuc[o-c]amulu-s (cp. Leucimāra etc. and Camulus), Clutamus = *Clut[o-t]amus from Cluto-and the not unfrequent element -tamu-s. See Esser Beitr. zur gallo-kelt. Namenknnde I p. 46.

Germanic. Goth. avistr n., OHG. evist m. avista f. 'sheep fold' = *av[i-v]istr, *ew[i-w]ist *aw[i-w]ista, the second part to OHG. wist 'stay, dwelling place'. In like manner *navistr = *nav[i-v]istr 'abode of the dead' which is to be deduced from Goth. ga-navistron 'bury'.

Possibly also Goth. $\acute{a}in\bar{o}hun$ 'ullum' = $*\acute{a}in[an]\bar{o}-hun$. The spelling $\acute{a}inn\bar{o}-hun$ is due to an attempt of the writer to take a middle course between the form actually spoken by him and the form dictated to him by his grammatical feeling.

Baltic-Slavonic. Lith. $ak\mathring{u}'ta$ -s beside $ak\mathring{u}t\mathring{u}'ta$ -s 'awny' (from $ak\mathring{u}'ta$ -s 'awn'). Loc. sg. fem. $ger\~ojoje = *geroj[e-j]oje$, to nom. ger'o-ji, definite form of $ger\grave{u}$ 'bona'. O.Bulg. fem. gen. dobryje = *dobry-j[ej]e, dat. $dobr\~eji = *dobr\~e-j[ej]i$, instr. dobroja = *dobroj[a-j]a and this = *dobroja-j[ej]a, to nom. dobra-ja, definite form of dobra 'bona'. With the last named double shortening cp. Lat. $volunt\~ariu$ -s $= *volunt[\~at]\=ariu$ -s and this $= *volunt[i-t]\=at-\=ariu$ -s.

SANDHI (SATZPHONETIK).

§ 644. Words, united together so as to form a single thought, are mostly also spoken in a connected manner, so that the whole sentence, or at least a member of it consisting of several words, forms a complete phonetic unity. The sounds of the

¹⁾ The first consonant was dropped in Irish in such cases, and the vowels, thus brought together, were contracted: e. g. *arob-ró-nenasc became arob-róinasc 'I have betrothed you' (nascim 'I bind'), where oi is to be read as a pure diphthong (Thurneysen Rev. Celt. VI 323 f.).

word are dependent on the varying position of the word in the sentence. It makes a difference whether a word with this or that set of sounds precedes or follows another, whether the word begins or ends the sentence, whether it has the chief accent of the sentence or not. As a word has generally life in connected speech only, it develops itself phonetically also in connexion, and those variations of position are often at the same time the active cause which produces the change. Thus e. g. in Skr. tát phálam 'this fruit' and tád dātrám 'this gift' the difference of the final sound of the first word was caused by the difference of the initial sound of the second word, in siicis tvám 'splendidus tu' and šubhrás tvám 'nitidus tu' the difference of the initial sound of the second word was occasioned by that of the final sound of the preceding word. The shortening of prim. Balt. -ā in the Lith. nom. sg. fem. gerà 'bona' was brought about by the position at the end of a sentence (absolute finality, position in pause), and the reduction of $\rho\rho$ = Indg. sr- to φ- in Gr. ģεῖ 'flows' (Skr. srávati, cp. ἔφοει 'flowed' Skr. asravat) by the position at the beginning of the sentence (absolute initiality). OHG. mo 'to him' nan 'him' beside imo inan arose from the fact that they were used unaccented (enclitically) in the sentence as a whole; when preceded by the chief accent they became subject to the law of syncope (§ 635).

Those changes, which absolutely initial and final sounds underwent and which were caused by such positions of the word, may generally be separated as forming a special category of phonology.

But the sound-affections, which a word suffered through its connexion with a preceding or following word, are not to be separated from those. which were caused through the connexion of the sounds and syllables of the same word as a whole. In many cases no one even thinks of making such a separation. Thus no one treats under the laws of sandhi the Att. $\eta = \text{prim. Gr. } \bar{\alpha} \text{ in } \tau \iota \mu \eta' \text{ or in } \bar{\eta} \gamma \circ \nu$, which is of the same nature as that in $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \circ \epsilon \varsigma$. But e. g. in the acc. pl. like $\nu \dot{\nu} \mu q \bar{\alpha} \varsigma$, $\nu \dot{\sigma} \mu o \varsigma$ (in several dialects equal to Att. $\nu \dot{\nu} \mu q \bar{\alpha} \varsigma$, $\nu \dot{\sigma} \mu o \nu \varsigma$), in

Att. $z d \pi i$ Dor. $z \eta \pi i = z \alpha i \delta \pi i$, in $\tau \delta \lambda$ $\lambda \delta \gamma \sigma v = \tau \delta v$ $\lambda \delta \gamma \sigma v$, $\delta \sigma$ 'Ρόδω = ἐν 'Ρόδω, κὰν νόμον = κὰτ νόμον, in Hom. ὅττι (Att. $\ddot{o}\tau\iota) = *\ddot{o}\delta \tau\iota$ (§ 363) etc. we can also only speak of such laws as generally held good for the sound-combinations in question. viugăs arose from viugărs in combinations like rύμφανςκαλάνς according to the same law as that by which *κενστό-ς became κεστός (§ 204 p. 171). κάπὶ κἢπὶ from *κα(ι)επι like $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \tilde{a} \tau \varepsilon \tau \bar{\iota} \mu \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$ from * $\tau \iota \mu u (\iota) \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (§ 603). As regards $\tau \delta \lambda$ λόγον etc. and ὅττι, such assimilations, it is true, did not take place at the same time in the body of a word — we take the term word here in its narrowest sense, thus we do not regard compounds like πάλλευνο-ς παροησία as one word —, but it was only because the sound-groups in question did not occur in the body of the word at the time when the change took place 1). The latter is just as much a secondary consideration for the sound-change itself, as it is immaterial for the changes which take place in a word when isolated, if the sound-group in question at the time of the change occurred in the isolated word only as e. g. in the transition of $-x_{\ell}$ - to $-\sigma\sigma$ - or of $-\gamma_{\ell}$ to -I- in Greek.

The following circumstance also does not occasion an exceptional condition for the laws of sandhi in question. After the ending of a word has assumed a different shape caused by the varying nature of the following initial sound and the activity of the respective sound-law or of the respective sound-laws has become extinct, the different forms are often used promiscuously, irrespective of the conditions by which the difference was brought about, or at least one of the different forms goes beyond its original sphere. Thus in Greek $v\dot{v}\mu\rho\bar{\alpha}_{\mathcal{S}}$ came to be used also before vowels while $v\dot{v}\mu\rho\bar{\alpha}_{\mathcal{S}}$, which had arisen in combinations like $v\dot{v}\mu q \alpha v \zeta \alpha i \tau \dot{\alpha} v \zeta$ (ep. $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha$ from $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \sigma \alpha$) and when absolutely final, appeared before consonants². The same holds good with regard

On account of zἀν νόμον observe that the syllabic division zἀτ |νόμον was in opposition e. g. to φά|ννη.

²⁾ On the newly found inscription of Gortyn the old phonetic division still shows itself in preferring especially the article form $\tau \dot{o} \nu_{\varsigma}$ before vowels and the sister form $\tau \dot{o}_{\varsigma}$ before consonants.

Double forms like Gr. στέγος : τέγος 'roof' to initial sounds. were originally dependent on the varying form of the end of the preceding word (§ 589, 3). But both forms then became generalised in use. Now this fluctuation did not for the most part stop here. One of the different forms gained the mastery and entirely suppressed the other or the others. Thus in the Attic dialect the accusative forms $r' \psi \mu \varphi \bar{\alpha} \varsigma$, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma$, $v \dot{\omega} \psi v \varsigma$, $\tau v \dot{\varsigma} \varsigma$ came to be the only forms in use, νύμφᾶς, τάς, νόμος, τός became extinct 1); in Latin steg- entirely gave way to teg- (tegō toga). It is here everywhere merely a question of the effects of analogy, which followed after the regular change had taken place, and the case is essentially the same as if e.g. in OHG. jungro had arisen by syncopation beside bezziro etc. and jungiro had then been formed after the latter and similar forms (§ 635). The latter new formation is parallel to the younger τονς παῖδας ($\tau \circ \nu \circ \pi \circ \pi \circ \delta \circ \circ$) instead of the regular $\tau \circ \circ \pi \circ \pi \circ \delta \circ \circ$.

If, in sandhi-changes, transformations of finals through the following initials are much more frequent than transformations of initials through the preceding finals, it fully corresponds also to what we may observe with regard to the changes in the body of a word, namely that transforming operations are far more frequent in a regressive direction (anticipations) than those in a progressive direction.

In the so-called laws of finals and initials it is not merely a question of the last or the first sound in the body of the word, but often also of the medial sounds. The important part is that the cause of transformation lay outside the body of the word, in the first case in the following, in the second case in the preceding word. The most striking examples

¹⁾ The existence side by side of ϵl_{ς} and ϵl_{ς} (older $\epsilon \nu_{\varsigma}$ and ϵl_{ς} , originally $\epsilon \nu_{\varsigma}$ only) is the same as $\tau \dot{\alpha}_{\varsigma}$ and $\tau \dot{\alpha}_{\varsigma}$, $\tau o \dot{\nu}_{\varsigma}$ and $\tau \dot{\alpha}_{\varsigma}$. If in Attic the fluctuation between ϵl_{ς} and ϵl_{ς} continued long after the victory of $\tau \dot{\alpha}_{\varsigma}$ $\tau o \dot{\nu}_{\varsigma}$ was decided (see the author Ber. d. sächs. Ges. der Wiss. 1883 p. 186 f.), this is to be explained from the fact that the accusatives with compensation lengthening were the regular, often used pause-forms, while the preposition was generally pronounced only in close connexion with following words and ϵl_{ς} only occurred rarely as pause-form.

are the Skr. combinations like $pr\acute{a}$ hanyatē, agnḗr áveṇa, where \rlap{n} took the place of n through the influence of the r in the preceding word (§ 648, 3). The expressions laws of finals and initials are thus too limited. We retain them however in default of a more appropriate short name. —

On the basis of the above explanation we ought, in the discussion of sound change, to separate from all other changes, those which happened in the beginning and end of a sentence and were caused by this position of the sounds. With the present state of our science, this is unfortunately impossible in an exposition of the history of sounds, which everywhere from the prim. Indg. sounds: the cases are too numerous, in which we do not know whether the word has obtained its present form when absolutely initial or final respectively or conditionally so i. e. in the juxta-position with the sounds of other words. Every thing, which preceded in the prehistoric periods of the separate developments, is known to us by induction only. But processes certainly happened even then, quite as complicated as those we can so often observe in the later phases of language: conflict of the pause-forms and the forms at the beginning of the sentence with the medial forms and of the latter with one another, utter loss, without leaving any trace, of one or several of the different forms which had arisen phonetically, etc. We are mostly not in a position to reconstruct these prehistoric processes in their course with any certainty. And even where we work on the basis of historic records we are often badly off from the fact that the written exposition, as regards the formation of the final and initial parts of words, is generally still less a faithful picture of the living speech than as regards the medial syllables, since they had arisen by sound-laws which operated independently of the connexion in the sentence. In writing one generally recalled to mind the isolated word as an independent whole and either overlooked the sandhi-operations altogether or only imperfectly paid regard to them. In this point, it is true, the exposition of the Sanskrit forms a brilliant exception. But what in the

other languages is too little, is too much here. The sandhisystem of the Hindoos is not free from various pedantic niceties and exagerated generalisations; it is quite inconceivable that the naive speech, uninfluenced by grammatical theorising should always have moved in the track, which the grammarians prescribe, and which became the normal for the written exposition of the language. The fettered language of the Vedas already furnishes the proof that the sandhi-system of the classical Sanskrit is not a thing of natural growth. —

In face of the overwhelming difficulties we shall therefore not undertake the theoretical division mentioned above. But it seemed to me necessary to point out here at least the aim, which a more searching investigation of details should have specially in view in the future even more than hitherto.

Rem. Cp. among others Schuchardt Romania III 1 ff., Sweet Transact. of the Philolog soc., London 1875—76 p. 470 ff., Curtius Stud. X 205 ff., Sievers Paul-Braune's Beitr. V 61 ff. Phonet. 3 205 ff., Paul Princip, der Sprachgesch. p. 100 ff. and elsewhere, Neumann Ztschr. f. roman. Phil. VIII 243 ff., Holthausen Die Soester Mundart, 1886, § 44 f. §§ 204—222.

Prim. Indg. period.

§ 645. Only a few changes can be established with probability in conditionally final and initial sounds.

Finally.

1. $-\bar{o}u$ became $-\bar{o}$ before certain consonants in the nom. acc. du. of o-stems, say * $du\delta$ sānű 'two sons' beside * $du\delta u$ é $\hbar u \bar{o}u$ 'two horses'. This is shown by the fact that the Vedic has mostly $-\bar{a}$, rarely $-\bar{a}u$, before consonants, and $-\bar{a}u$ rather more frequently than $-\bar{a}$ before vowels.

In classical Sanskrit the forms in $-\bar{a}u$ came to be the only ones in use. Conversely in the European languages the forms in $-\bar{\sigma}$ almost entirely supplanted those in $-\bar{\sigma}u$: Gr. $\delta\omega - \delta\varepsilon z\alpha$, $\ell\pi\pi\omega$, Lat. duo, O.Ir. $d\bar{a}$, Lith. $d\hat{u}$ from * $du\tilde{u}$, $ger\hat{u}$ from * $ger\tilde{u}$ (§§ 184. 664, 3), O.Bulg. dva, $vl\tilde{u}ka$. $-\bar{\sigma}u$ was retained in O.Ir. dau $d\bar{\sigma}$ (older $d\bar{u}u$) 'two' and Goth. aht du 'eight' (§ 85). Cp. Meringer Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII 217 ff.

From this it may be assumed that $-\bar{o}\mu$ once interchanged with $-\bar{o}$ in the loc. sg. in $-\bar{o}\mu$ also as $*s\bar{u}n\bar{c}\mu = \mathrm{Skr.}\ s\bar{u}n\bar{u}$ etc. (§ 85).

Compare Indg. * $g\bar{o}m$ acc. sg. 'bullock', which probably arose from * $g\bar{o}y$ -m, § 188.

It is more doubtful whether $-\bar{e}i$ interchanged with $-\bar{e}$ in a similar manner. Vedic loc. sg. $agn\bar{a}$ of $agn\hat{t}-\bar{s}$ 'fire' suggests the conjecture that there existed in prim. Indg. a loc. sg. in $-\bar{e}$, which had arisen from $-\bar{e}i$. The latter form is said to be represented by Goth. $anst\hat{a}i$ (stem ansti). Cp. the accidence.

Compare the hypothesis according to which Indg. * $r\bar{e}s$ 'res' arose from * $r\bar{e}i$ -s, § 150.

Rem. 1. That nominatives like Gr. $H_{\nu}\theta \omega'$ and Skr. $s\dot{\alpha}kh\bar{\alpha}$ (pl. $s\dot{\alpha}k-h\bar{\alpha}y-\alpha s$) represent an Indg. $-\bar{\sigma}$ from $-\bar{\sigma}\dot{i}$, as has been maintained, seems to me very doubtful.

2. -i and -u were pronounced as consonants before sonants. E. g. *próti beside *próti 'against' (Skr. práty and práti, Gr. $\pi \rho \acute{o} \varsigma$ and $\pi \rho \acute{o} \imath i$, cp. $\pi \rho \acute{o} \sigma \imath \omega$ from * $\pi \rho o \imath \iota \iota \omega$), the former e. g. in Skr. praty-abharam = Gr. $\pi \rho o \varsigma - \dot{\epsilon} \varphi \epsilon \rho o \iota$. *upéri beside *upéri 'over' (Skr. upáry and upári, Gr. Hom. $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \iota \varrho$ and * $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \varrho \iota$, cp. Hom. $\dot{\epsilon} \imath v$ and $\dot{\epsilon} v \imath$, § 131 p. 119). * $m \acute{e} dh \iota u$ and * $m \acute{e} dh \iota u$ 'honey', the latter e. g. in Skr. $m \acute{e} dh \iota u$ asti 'mel est'. Cp. §§ 122. 156.

In like manner -m and -m probably interchanged, e. g. acc. sg. *bhrátor-m (Gr. $\varphi o \acute{a} \tau o \rho a$) and *bhrátorm (Goth. $br \bar{o} \rho a r$). Cp. § 192. 231 rem.

- Rem. 2. On the accusative forms like $*di\acute{e}m$ (Skr. $dy\acute{a}m$) see § 188. If the conjecture given there is right, there existed between $*di\acute{e}m$ and $*n\acute{a}um$ (Hom. $r\~{\gamma}\alpha$ Lat. $n\~{a}vem$) the same relation as between $*bhr\~{a}torm$ and $*bhr\~{a}vorm$.
- 3. Voiceless explosives and spirants became voiced before voiced explosives and spirant, say *édōdbhrátrai = *édōt+bhrátrai 'he gave to the brother' (Skr. ádād bhrátrā); *tázgiiás = *tás+giiás 'these sinews' (cp. Skr. tá jyáh). Cp. *-bd- from *-pd-, *dydbhis = *dyt+bhis § 469, 2, *zdhi = *s+dhi § 589, 1. Conversely voiced explosives and spirants became voiceless before voiceless explosives and spirants, say *wit*tótplu = *wit*tód+plu 'know thou (let him know) much' (Skr. vittát purú),

* $t\acute{o}tsij\bar{e}t = *t\acute{o}d + sij\bar{e}t$ 'that may be' (Skr. $t\acute{a}t$ $siy\bar{e}t$). Cp. * $juqt\acute{o}-=$ * $jug+t\acute{o}-,$ * $pets\acute{u} = *ped + s\acute{u},$ § 469, 1.

Sanskrit and Greek especially point to such assimilations.

4. Bremer (Paul-Braune's Beitr. XI 38 f.) assigns it to this also, that the nom. endings sg. masc. fem. of n- and r-stems sometimes have -n and -r, sometimes not, e. g. Gr. ἄκμων, πατήρ, but Skr. ἀἐπά, pitά (see § 92). The duality was formerly frequently explained by assuming that the forms without -n and -r were once the only ones in use and that the final consonant was transferred from the other cases. In the n-stems one might also imagine that the forms with -ōn- without gradation like Gr. ὀυρανίων- Goth. raþjön- O.Bulg. poljan- (§§ 219 extr. 311. 585, 3) had originally the nominative with -n, the others without -n and that then intermixture took place. The uncertainty however prevents anything being here definitely arrived at.

Initially.

- 1. s- disappeared before consonants after -s, perhaps also after other consonants, hence the double forms like steg- and teg- 'cover'. See § 589, 3.
- 3. Double forms like *siḗm and *sijḗm 'sim' *duḗ and *duųť *mrijėtai and *mriėtai 'moritur' were due to a varying construction of the preceding syllable. See § 120 p. 112 § 153 p. 138 f.
 - 3. Indg. *toi from *tuoi? See § 187.

Aryan.

§ 646. Prim. Aryan.

Finally. 1. Nasals adjusted themselves to the organ of the following consonants, so that e. g. -n and -m became -n before k-, and $-\hat{n}$ before c-.

- 2. The ending -am attained complete supremacy in the acc. sg. of most consonant stems, the origin of which must apparently be explained from sandhi relations. Analogously -am in preterites like ás-am 'eram'. See §§ 231 rem. 645 p. 489.
- 3. The explosives and spirants, which had become voiced before initial voiced explosives and spirants, remained voiced;

correspondingly those, which had become voiceless before initial voiceless sounds, remained voiceless (§ 645 finals 3). t and d occurred most frequently finally. The aspirates, from of old rare finally, may have then lost the aspiration in this position. -z stood beside -s, $-\check{z}$ beside $-\check{s}$; $-\dot{z}$ and $-\check{s} = \text{Indg. } -\hat{g}$ and $-\hat{k}$ were rare (§ 396).

-as and $-\bar{a}s$, when absolutely final, passed into -ah and $-\bar{a}h$ (Skr.) or groups similar to these, and it seems that these forms, introduced also into the position before voiced explosives and spirants and before vowels, became here $-\bar{a}$ and $-\bar{a}$ already in prim. Aryan. See § 556, 3.

Initially. 1. kt- became (when absolutely initial?) t-: Skr. túrya- Av. tūirya- 'quartus' from *ktur-, cp. Av. ā-xtūirya- 'four times repeated'. See § 471.

2. s- became š- after -i, -u etc. (§ 556, 1), whence Skr. š-. Hence such combinations as hi šáh, hi šma, divi štha, ni šthirám.

Rem. Since we are not justified in assuming that the transition of s to \check{s} (\check{s}) was still active in the Sanskrit separate development, this phenomenon of sandhi must be referred back to the prim. Aryan period. It is immaterial whether in individual cases we have to deal with a prim. Arword-combination or with one which had first come into being in Sanskrit itself. In the latter case it is a question of imitation of older models.

§ 647. Sanskrit. Finals.

1. The $-\bar{c}$, which arose from prim. Ar. $-a\underline{i}$ when absolutely final and before consonants, was also introduced if the next word began with a sonant, e. g. $-\bar{c}$ a- for regular -ay a-, cp. Av. $zastay-\bar{a}$ O.Pers. $dastay-\bar{a}$ 'into the hand', loc. sg. $+\bar{a}$ 'towards a thing'. Before a-, which for its part disappeared, $-\bar{c}$ remained, before other sonants it was shortened to -a. $ván\bar{e}$ 'smín from $ván\bar{e}$ asmín loc. 'in this forest'. vána $\bar{a}s\bar{i}t$ from $ván\bar{e}$ $\bar{a}s\bar{i}t$ 'he was in the forest'. This loss of a- was in its first beginnings in the Vedic period.

The $-\bar{\sigma}$ which had taken the place of -as (§ 646) was treated in like manner. $any\hat{\sigma}$ ' $ny\hat{a}m$ 'one to the other'. $r\bar{a}m\hat{a}uv\bar{a}ca$ 'Rama spoke'.

- $-\bar{a}y$ became $-\bar{a}$ before sonants. $striy\bar{a}$ $adad\bar{a}t$ 'he gave to the woman'.
- 2. The assimilation of the nasals to following consonants (§ 646), remained e. g. $t\acute{a}n$ $kav\acute{a}n'$ 'this sage', $t\acute{a}n$ $d\bar{e}v\acute{a}m$ 'this god'. -m passed into the so-called 'Anusvāra' before sibilants, h and r, e. g. $t\acute{a}$ $sih\acute{a}m$ 'this lion' (cp. § 199 rem. 1).
- 3. -r became -h when absolutely final. Voc. $m \acute{a}tah$ 'mother' = Av. $m \ddot{a}tar^e$ Gr. $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \varrho$. 3. pl. perf. $\ddot{a}s$ - $\iota \dot{h} =$ Av. $\mathring{a}nh$ - ar^e from Ar. as- 'be' (§ 290).

The r, which had first become final in Sanskrit (by 7), also underwent this change. $\acute{a}kah = \acute{a}kar$ 'thou madest, he made', when 2. sg. arose from *a-kar- ξ , when 3. sg. from *a-kar-t.

4. Absolutely final explosives and spirants were pronounced voiceless only, hence here e. g. tát 'this' from *tu-d, Indg. *tó-d.

The law, inherited from the pre-Aryan period, that explosives and spirants were pronounced voiced before voiced and voiceless before voiceless explosives and spirants (§ 645 finals 3), was followed by an analogical innovation. They said tát phálam 'this fruit', tád dātrám 'this gift' (prim. f. *tód) and ábharat phálam, ábharad dātrám (prim. f. *ébheret 'he brought'), but tád ánnam ('food'), tád vástram ('dress'), tád mádhu ('honey') opposed to *ábharat ánnam, – vástram, — mádhu. The latter state was levelled out and ábharad ánnam etc. introduced.

ábharad ánnam for regular *ábharat ánnam after úbharad dātrám and tád ánnam may be compared with śagmá- 'powerful, helpful', for regular *šakmá- after šagdhí and tigmá-, see § 472 rem.

- -t remained in the old combination bhárat-u 'let him bring' (injunctive bhárat + particle u), because it was felt as a single word. See Osthoff Z. Gesch. des Perf. 42.
- 5. -t c- became -cc-, as ádācca 'dabatque', -t ś- became -ch- (-cch-), as ádāchatám 'dabat centum'. Cp. §§ 352. 355. Cp. also § 557, 2 on tánchátrūn acc. 'these enemies'.
- 6. The endings -as and - \bar{a} s have been treated in § 556, 3. 646. 647, 1.

-h appears in pausa for the \S which arose from Indg. s prim. Ar. \S after i- and u-vowels (\S 556, 1), e. g. $\acute{a}vih$ 'sheep', $s\bar{u}nih$ 'son'. It is uncertain whether the transition to h was phonetically developed or whether it \S a question of analogy after $\acute{a}\mathring{s}vah = \acute{a}\mathring{s}vas$ etc. - \S was retained in Vedic before p- and k-, e. g. $dy\bar{u}u\S$ $pit\acute{a}$ ($=Z_{E}v\S$ $\pi ax n/\varrho$), but the pause-form in -h forced its way in also before these initial sounds, just as there still appears the older ending -as (e. g. $y\acute{a}s$ $p\acute{a}tih$ 'qui dominus') in Vedic before p- and k-, but beside this already also -ah. - \S too is still Vedic before t-, where t- was pronounced cerebral (\S 352), e. g. $\mathring{s}\acute{u}ci\mathring{s}$ $tv\acute{a}m$ 'splendidus tu'. But beside this also $\mathring{s}\acute{u}cis$ $tv\acute{a}m$, a new formation after the analogy of -as t-.

-h for -s and - ξ also where these did not become final until after the loss of a following consonant (7.), e. g. δh 'he was' = * $\delta s(t)$, $\delta f \delta ih$ 'he overcame' = * $\delta f \delta i$, ep. $\delta k \delta h$ = * $\delta k \delta h$ = * $\delta k \delta h$ above 3. Also - δt = - δt , e. g. 3. sg. injunct. aor. $\delta h \delta t$ from $\delta h \delta t$ 'to attain' (cp. 3. sg. $\delta h \delta t$ 'he is willing' from $\delta h \delta t$ = 399).

Original -is, -us had become -iž, -už in prim. Aryan before voiced explosives and spirants, e. g. *patiž dadāti 'the master gives'. -ż (= Skr. -ž) already at an early period, perhaps in the Aryan prim. community (cp. Av. duž-ita- 'difficult of access' duž-vacah- 'speaking badly'), came to stand also before vowels, liquids and nasals through generalisation by analogy and here passed into -r in Sanskrit, e. g. śrćr iyám 'this splendour' from *śrīž. This -r then came to be used by analogy also before voiced explosives and spirants, e. g. pátir dadāti 'the master gives', sānúr dadāti 'the son gives', pátir bharati 'the master brings': the regular forms had been *pátī dadāti, *sūnū dadāti (cp. dū-dāś- 'impicus', § 591) and *pátīd bharati (cp. dviḍbhíṣ ibid.). r was even also transferred to the ending -as in Vedic, as áśvar 'horse' śrutár 'renowned'.

7. Of two or more final consonants (except i and i as the second components of diphthongs before consonants) the first only was preserved. ábharan 'they brought' from *a-bhara-nt. ákar 'he made' from *a-kar-t : Av. cor i (prim. Ar. *car-t, Indg.

*qer-t). $ilde{a}s$ 'he was' from * $\bar{a}s$ -t. $ilde{a}$ -j $\bar{a}i$ \tilde{s}' he overcame' from *a-j $\bar{a}i$ - \dot{s} -t: Av. $c\bar{o}i$ \tilde{s}t' he made known' from ca-e\tilde{s}-. $ilde{a}p$ 'water' from *a-p-s: Av. a-f\tilde{s}-s: Av. a-f\tilde{s}-s:

ábhār 'he brought' from *a-bhār-ṣ-ṭ (s-aorist). Nom. sg. prán 'turned forwards' from *prān h-ṣ̄. Nom. sg. tudán 'tundens' from *tudán 'tundens' from *tudán 'tundens'.

-nn appears instead of -n after short vowels, as ábharann, tudánn.

The simplification of final consonant groups was older than the origin of short nasal vowels from short vowel + nasal before s. This is shown by the gen. sg. $d\acute{a}n$ ($d\acute{a}nn$) from * $d\acute{a}ns$, 2. sg. $\acute{a}gan$ ($\acute{a}gann$) from *a-gans. See § 199.

If a long vowel preceded the nasal + s, -n stood in only absolute finality, in conditioned finality a long nasal vowel was pronounced and -s had the same form as after non-nasalised long vowels. $\acute{a}\mathring{s}v\bar{a}n$ 'equos' in pausa, but $\acute{a}\mathring{s}v\bar{a}s$ t-, $\acute{a}\mathring{s}v\bar{a}\mathring{s}$ c-, $\acute{a}\mathring{s}v\bar{a}h$ p-, $\acute{a}\mathring{s}v\bar{a}$ a-. $s\bar{u}n\acute{u}n$ 'filios' in pausa, but $s\bar{u}n\acute{u}h$ p-, $s\bar{u}n\acute{u}n$ a-. $vidv\acute{a}n$ 'knowing' in pause, but $vidv\acute{a}s$ t- etc. $\acute{a}t\bar{a}n$ 'he stretched' (s-aorist, from *a- $t\bar{u}n$ -s(-t), 1. sg. $\acute{a}t\bar{a}s$ -am) in pausa, but $\acute{a}t\bar{q}$ a-.

Rem. From this it seems to follow that n, after long vowels before -s not ending the sentence, had become with the preceding vowel a nasal vowel earlier than elsewhere. Of course in this question there comes into consideration the fact that the long vowels in the accusative endings $-\bar{a}n - \bar{u}n$ $-\bar{v}n$ have not yet been satisfactorily explained (the last attempt at an explanation by Hanssen in Kuhn's Ztschr XXVII 615), and that the nomvidv $\bar{a}n$ was a new formation for *vidv $\bar{a}s$ (cp. Av. $v\bar{v}\bar{d}v\bar{a}$, Gr. $\epsilon l\partial \omega c$). Thus the ending long vowel +n+s is not proved as old inherited in any of the cases here.

- § 648. Sanskrit. Initials.
- 1. On the loss of -a after $-\bar{e}$, $-\bar{o}$, see § 647, 1.
- 2. -ch- (-cch-) fr. -t s-, see § 647, 5.
- 3. r also caused cerebralisation of a following n, if the two sounds belonged to different words. In Vedic $p\acute{a}ri$ nas,

vár náma, prá hanyatē, indra ēnam, agnér ávena. Cp. bháramāna-s in § 199 and the phonetic explanation of its origin given there.

- 4. \S changed t- into t-, as \S úci \S tvám. Cp. $\S\S$ 352. 647, 6. Rem. On hí \S áh etc. see \S 646 initials 2.
- § 649. Iranian. Finals.
- 1. In late Avestic final -ā, -ī, -ā were shortened in polysyllabic words. Nom. sg. haena 'army': Skr. sɛ́nā. Nom. sg. brāta 'brother': Skr. bhrātā. Nom. du. pupra 'the two sons': Skr. putrā. Nom. sg. berezaiti 'alta': Skr. byhatī. Nom. du. paiti 'the two masters': Skr. pátī. mainyu 'the two spirits': Skr. manyū. On the other hand e. g. zemā instr. to zā 'earth': Skr. jmā.

All final vowels are written as long in $G\bar{a}p\bar{a}$, not only $haen\bar{a}$ $mainy\bar{a}$, but also e. g. $bar\bar{a}$ 2. sg. imper. = Skr. bhara Gr. $q \not e \varrho \varepsilon$, $ast\bar{a}$ 3. sg. indic. = Skr. asti Gr. $e g \sigma u$. It is probably rightly assumed that these longs are due to a later transformation on the part of redactors and that they were pronounced short — perhaps with a few exceptions — in the same manner as elsewhere, at the time of the origin of the hymns. See Bartholomae Die $G\bar{a}p\bar{a}$'s p. 67 ff., Handbuch p. 3.

In Old Persian the deviations from the quantity, which is to be expected by the prim. Ar. sound-laws, are likewise only of a graphic nature, e. g. 3. sg. akūtā 'he made himself': Skr. ákrta; abara(n)tā: Skr. ábharanta Gr. ἐφέροντο; amīy 'I am': Skr. ásmi Gr. εἰμὶ; pātūv 'let him protect': Skr. pátu. Cp. § 21.

- 2. Late Av. -e fr. -ia -ia, as ye-he = Skr. yá-sya. See § 125 p. 115.
- Av. - \bar{e} from - $a\dot{q}$, as $asp\bar{e}=$ Skr. $\acute{a}\dot{s}v\bar{e}$. See §§ 62 rem. 78. 94.
- 3. Av. $-\bar{a}$ (written -a, -an, -an) from $-\bar{a}m$ $-\bar{a}n$, as pwap $pwap = Skr. <math>tv\acute{a}m$. See § 200.
- 4. Av. $-r^e$ fr. -r, as $antar^e = \text{O.Pers. } a(n)tar$ Skr. antar. See §§ 260. 624. The anaptyctic vowel arose before initial consonants, at the same time perhaps in absolute finality.

- 5. Final combinations of consonants were mostly permitted. Cp. the Av. forms $cor^e p$, $c\bar{o}i\check{s}t$, $\bar{a}f\check{s}$, $v\bar{a}x\check{s}$ in § 647, 7. Exceptions under 6.
- 6. Prim. Ar. -t and -d after vowels (also anaptyctic) fell together in Av. in -\$\bar{\rho}\$. This sound or a similar one, in any case a consonantal element, was also spoken in O.Pers., since -a appears for prim. Ar. -at and -ad. If the sound -a had ended the word, this vocalic finality would have been expressed by the addition of the a-sign (in the transcription -\bar{a}). See \ 21 rem. 3. sg. Av. abara\$\bar{\rho}\$ O.Pers. abara 'he brought': Skr. abharat. Av. ci\$\bar{\rho}\$ O.Pers. ciy, indefinite particle: Skr. cid, Lat. quid.
- -t appears unchanged in Av. in -st = prim. Ar. -tst prim. Indg. -t^st, as $h\bar{\iota}$ sast, and in -st = prim. Ar. -st prim. Indg. -st, as $c\bar{\iota}$ oist. On the other hand -s from prim. Ar. prim. Indg. -st, as $\bar{\iota}$ s. See § 474 rem. 2.
- -t was dropped after n in prim. Iran.: 3. pl. Av. abaren O.Pers. abara: Skr. ábharan ábharann, Indg. *ébheront, rt. bherbear'.
- 7. On Av. -as - \bar{o} O.Pers. - α = prim. Ar. -as see §§ 556, 3. 558, 4. 646 finals 3.

Ar. $-\bar{a}s$ appears in Av. as $-\hat{a}$: nom. pl. fem. $y\hat{a}$ 'quae' = Skr. $y\hat{a}s$, 2. sg. conj. $bar\hat{a}$ 'feras' = Skr. $bh\hat{a}r\bar{a}s$. Beside this -a (from older $-\bar{a}$, see 1): nom. pl. aspa 'equi' = Skr. $a\hat{s}v\bar{a}s$. $-\hat{a}$ probably corresponds to Skr. $-\bar{a}h$, and -a to Skr. $-\bar{a}$. The ending $-\hat{a}s$ -ca (ca 'and'), as in $y\hat{a}s$ -ca, seems to be a transformation of regular *- $\bar{a}s$ -ca after $-\hat{a}$. Only $-\bar{a}$ occurs in O.Pers., e. g. nom. pl. $martiy\bar{a}$ 'men', which may also correspond to Av. $-\hat{a}$ and -a. Cp. § 556, 3.

Opposed to Skr. acc. pl. $t\bar{a}n$ (§ 647, 7) and Gr. $\tau \acute{o}\nu \varsigma$ Goth. pans stands in Av. ta (also written tan, tan).

Prim. Ar. $-\check{s} = \operatorname{Indg.} -s$ (§ 556, 1) was, as it seems, the rule in every connexion in both Iranian languages. Av. $a\check{z}i$ - \check{s} 'dragon': Skr. $\acute{a}hi$ - \check{s} ; mainyu- \check{s} 'spirit': Skr. $many\acute{u}$ - \check{s} . O.Pers. fravarti- \check{s} Phraortes, $k\bar{u}r\bar{u}$ - \check{s} Cyrus. Sanskrit relations of sandhi (see 647, 6), which certainly partly represent prim. Ar. differences

of finals, show that the Iran. -š did not stand regularly in all word-combinations.

- § 650. Iranian. Initials.
- 1. The development of anaptyctic vowels in Av., as 'rista-, and the metathesis of vr-, connected with it, as "rvāta-, may regularly have taken place partly in absolute initiality and partly after certain consonants. See §§ 157. 260. 624.
- 2. So also the transition of prim. Ar. sm- (through the intermediate stage hm-) to m-, in Av. mahi 'sumus' = Skr. $sm\acute{a}si$ etc. See § 558, 3.

Armenian.

§ 651. Finals.

1. The vowels in the originally final syllables of polysyllabic words disappeared, whether they themselves, or consonants following them, ended the word. em 'I am': Skr. ásmi. mardoy 'of man': Skr. mṛtásya. Nom. dustr 'daughter' fr. *dustir, older *dustēr: Gr. θvy át ηq . asth 'star': Gr. $\partial \sigma \tau \eta q$. Nom. acc. mard 'man': Skr. mṛtá-s, mṛtá-m. Nom. akn 'eye' (gen. akan): cp. Gr. $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \varkappa \tau \varpi r$. haur 'of a father': Gr. $\pi a \tau q \acute{o} \varsigma$. dster 'of a daughter': θvy at $\acute{\epsilon} \varrho o \varsigma$. Acc. marts (mards) 'men' from *mardo-ns: Gr. Cret. róuo-r ς .

Monosyllables retained the vowel. mi 'lest': Gr. $\mu\eta$ '. Nom. $\check{s}un$ 'dog' from * $\hat{k}\mu\bar{o}n$ (§ 87), but akn (see above). Acc. eris 'three' from *ris, *trins = Goth. prins, but sirts from *sirtins from stem sirti- 'heart'.

2. -m disappeared: acc. $mard = Skr. mrt\acute{a}$ -m (§ 202). -n remained: nom. šun, akn.

Rem. Therefore tasn 'ten' cannot be derived regularly from Indg. *dekm (Skr. dáša Lat. decem). We must start, as in the case of Goth. tathun, from *dekmt: the labial nasal became dental before -t, *tasant became tasn. Or did the word receive its -n from inn 'nine' = *enun, as Lat. novem conversely took the place of *noven after decem?

3. -s disappeared after vowels: nom. mard = Skr. mṛtá-s; gen. haur = Gr. πατρός. It remained, if a nasal preceded it: acc. marts (mards) = Indg. *mṛto-ns (cp. § 202).

Initials. e, o or a was prefixed to an initial liquid, as erek 'evening' = Skr. r'ajas. See §§ 263. 625.

Greek.

- § 652. Prim. Greek finals.
- Short vowels often suffered elision before vowels. The elision, e. g., in ἀπ'αὐτοῦ, οἱ δ'ἄλλοι etc. may be regarded as prim. Greek. See § 603 p. 457.
- 2. The contrast between $\pi \varrho o r i$ and $\pi \varrho o r \chi$ ($\pi \varrho o g$), $\delta r i$ and $\delta r i \ell r \ell$ was inherited from the pre-Greek period. See § 645 final 2.

If a word ended in an $\underline{\iota}$ -diphthong and the following word began with a sonant, \underline{i} was dropped as elsewhere (§ 130), e. g. $\varkappa a(\underline{\iota}) \ \hat{\iota} \pi \hat{\iota}, \ \varkappa a(\underline{\iota}) \ \hat{a} \gamma a \theta \hat{a} \varsigma = \text{Att. } \varkappa \hat{a} \pi \hat{\iota}, \ \varkappa \hat{a} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{a} \varsigma$.

- 3. -m became -n, as $\tau \acute{o}$ - ν = Skr. $t\acute{\alpha}$ -m. See § 204 p. 171 f. This occurred in absolute finality and also before dentals. Cp. § 653 rem. 2.
- 4. -y became - $\alpha \rho$ in absolute finality, as $o \bar{v} \theta \alpha \rho$ 'udder' from *o y d h y. See § 285.
- 5. All explosives were dropped. 3. sg. $\tilde{\epsilon}qs\varrho\varepsilon$: Skr. $\tilde{a}bharat$. 3. pl. $\tilde{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\rho\nu$ from $\tilde{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\omega\nu\tau$ (cp. § 611): cp. Lat. era-nt. Dor. $\tilde{\eta}_{\mathcal{S}}$ 'erat': Skr. Ved. $\tilde{a}s$, Indg. $\tilde{\epsilon}s$ -t. $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$: Skr. $t\dot{\alpha}$ -d. $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$: Lat. qui-d. Voc. $\gamma\dot{\nu}\nu\alpha\iota$ from $\tilde{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\nu\alpha\iota x$, cp. acc. $\gamma\dot{\nu}\nu\alpha\iota\dot{\nu}z$ -a. 3. sg. $\tilde{\eta}$ 'spoke' from $\tilde{\tau}\dot{\eta}\varkappa$ - τ (to Skr. perf. $\tilde{a}h$ -u), with which goes the new formation 1. sg. $\tilde{\eta}\dot{\nu}$.

The exceptions as Hom. $\tilde{\sigma}\tau\tau\iota$ from * $\sigma F \delta \delta$ $\tau\iota$, $\tilde{\sigma}\pi\pi\omega\varsigma$ from * $\sigma F \delta \delta$ $\pi\omega\varsigma$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\varkappa$, where the consonant remained on account of the close connexion, show that this change belonged entirely or especially to the absolute finality.

- 6. -s and -z (e. g. * τlz $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ 'but who') had come over from the pre-Greek period. See § 645. final 3.
- -ss became -s before consonants and when absolutely final. $\mu\tilde{v}_{\varsigma}$ 'mouse' $\mu\epsilon\ell_{\varsigma}$ 'month' from * $m\bar{u}s$ -s * $m\bar{e}ns$ -s. Dor. $\pi\omega\epsilon_{\varsigma}$ 'foot' Att. $\nu\epsilon\delta\tau\eta_{\varsigma}$ 'youth' from * $p\bar{o}ss$ *neuo- $t\bar{u}ss$, older * $p\bar{o}ts$ *- $t\bar{u}ts$ (§ 490).

- § 653. Finals in the separate dialects.
- 1. The prim. Gr. elision (§ 652, 1) was extended further. With this went contraction (crasis), as Att. τάλλα from τὰ αλλα, to which was joine apphaeresis, a phenomenon differing from the former rather in the written representation than in reality, as Att. ἐμοῦ ἀπάχουσον.

The tendency to remove hiatus, was intentional in the literary language and in some works it is avoided altogether.

Rem. 1. The so-called r λορελευστικό has not arisen from an attempt to get rid of hiatus. Its use in antiquity was not at all regulated according to the theory of later grammarians. The consonant rather had an original etymological value in some forms and then became further extended by analogy. See the author's Gr. Gr. § 64 rem. and the literature quoted there and G. Meyer Gr. Gr. 2 297 ff.

The contractions in cases like Att. $\varkappa d \pi i$ Dor. $\varkappa \eta \pi i$ from $\varkappa u i$ $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i$ belonged to the period of the separate dialects (§ 652, 2).

- 2. The loss of the $-\mu$ in $-\mu$ $-\omega$ $-\bar{\omega}$ $(-\mu$ $-\omega$ -a) as in Att. $\pi\iota\theta\tilde{\mu}$ $\tau\iota\mu\tilde{\eta}$ $\tilde{\eta}\pi\pi\omega$ $\chi\omega\tilde{\phi}q$ was effected in the same manner as medially. See § 132.
- 3. -v (= Indg. -n and -m) was assimilated to following guttural and labial sounds, e. g. Att. $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \gamma \times \alpha i$, $\tau \dot{\gamma} \mu \pi \delta \lambda v$, $\mu \sigma \theta o \dot{\nu} \tau \sigma \phi \mu \dot{\epsilon} v$. The omission of the nasal in writing in Cypr., e. g. $n\alpha o to te = v\alpha \dot{o}(v) \tau \dot{o}(v) \delta \varepsilon$, permits our assuming that here, as medially, a nasal vowel only was spoken. Cp. § 205.
- Rem. 2. $\chi\theta\omega'r$ and $\chi\iota\omega'r$, already discussed in § 204 p. 172, show that Indg. final -m was not retained in $\tau\partial_{\mu}$, $v_{i,\mu}$ (ep. Skr. $t\dot{\alpha}$ -m, $t\dot{\alpha}$ -m) before labials. If Indg. -m had been preserved before labials to any extent in prim. Greek, $\chi\theta\sigma\sigma\dot{c}$; $\chi\iota\dot{\sigma}\sigma\sigma\dot{c}$ would not then have taken the place of $\chi\theta\sigma\sigma\dot{c}$; $\chi\tau\dot{\sigma}\sigma\dot{c}$ would not equal to the place of $\chi\theta\sigma\sigma\dot{c}$; $\chi\tau\dot{\sigma}\sigma\dot{c}$ and $\tau\dot{c}$ had been once generalised in prim. Greek.
- 4. - φ became assimilated to δ in Cret. (Gortyn), as $\mathring{\alpha}\nu\mathring{\eta}\delta$ $\delta\tilde{\varphi}=\mathring{\alpha}\nu\mathring{\eta}\varphi\ \delta\tilde{\varphi}$.
- 5. The tendency, inherited from the pre-Greek period, to level explosives with different form of articulation (tenuis and media) operated further. Ex Buzartov Att., Ex Buzartov Corinth. Exbállo Paros, Ex Sing Att., Ex Sauxibov Att. (Ex = Ez, ep. Ex $\pi o \delta \tilde{\omega} v$ Att.), Ex Sinal Chios, $\chi \tilde{\omega} \delta \delta s'$ Hom., $\chi \tilde{\omega} \beta \beta \kappa \lambda \omega v$ Hom.

Assimilation of the position of articulation: Hom. καπ πεδιόν from κατ π., κάππεσον from κατ π., κάππεσον from κατ π. κάββαλον from κατ κατ

6. -s and -z (§ 652, 6) became assimilated in Cret. (Gortyn) to θ , δ -, λ -, as $\tau \dot{\alpha} \theta$ $\theta \nu \gamma \alpha \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \alpha \varsigma$ (cp. $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \theta \theta \alpha$ from $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha$, § 566), $\nu \dot{\iota} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon}$, $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \delta \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \sigma \varsigma$ (cp. the verbal ending $-\dot{\alpha} \delta \delta \dot{\alpha}$ from *- $\alpha z \delta \alpha$? § 493 rem.), $\tau \sigma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha}$.

-z passed into $-\varrho$ in El., e. g. $\tau \ell \varrho = \tau \ell \varsigma$, $\tau \tilde{a} \varrho = \tau \tilde{a} \varsigma$, $\pi \epsilon \pi o \lambda \ell \tau \epsilon \nu z \omega \ell \varrho = \pi \epsilon \pi o \lambda \ell \tau \epsilon \nu z \omega \ell \varrho$. -s beside this existed in the older periods. But the old regular spheres of use of $-\varrho$ and $-\varsigma$ were even then no longer kept apart (e. g. $\gamma \tilde{a} \varrho \tau \tilde{a} \varrho \ldots$ instead of $\gamma \tilde{a} \varsigma \tau \tilde{a} \varrho \ldots$) and the forms in $-\varsigma$ were gradually driven out by those in $-\varrho$.

-o from -z also in the dialects of Laconia and Thera.

Cp. Thess. Θεοφδότειος beside Ion. etc. Θεόσδοτος i. e. Θεόσδοτος and Διόζοτος, § 593.

§ 654. Initials in prim. Greek and later.

- 1. It was remarked in § 626 that doublets like $\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\rho}\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ and $\mu\dot{\delta}\rho\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ (Skr. marj-) arose from differences of sandhi.
- 2, r-, \bar{r} -, \bar{l} always appear as $\dot{a}\varrho$ -, $\dot{\varrho}\varrho$ (before consonants), $\dot{\varrho}\lambda$ (before consonants), as $\check{a}\varrho \times c \varsigma$ 'bear', $\dot{\varrho}\varrho \theta \dot{\varrho} \varsigma$ 'upright'. This is undoubtedly the form for absolute initials. On the other hand the particle $\dot{\varrho}\dot{\alpha}$ = Lith. ir Indg. *r arose after consonants. Cp. §§ 292. 306.
- 3. On differences like $\sigma \tau \acute{\epsilon} \gamma o \varsigma$ and $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \gamma o \varsigma$ 'roof' from rt. steg-, $\sigma \mu \epsilon \rho \delta a \lambda \acute{\epsilon} o \varsigma$ 'terrible' (OHG. smerzo) and $\mu \epsilon \iota \delta \tilde{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ 'to smile' (Skr. smáyatē), which mostly seem to go back to the pre-Greek period, see §§ 563, 6. 589, 3.

όποῖά ττα, πηνία ττα from *qia, cp. Megar. σά 'quae?' (§ 489). Cp. also Thess. inscript. οἱ ττολίαρχοι from οἱ πτ. (as ἀρχιττολιαρχέντος, Αεττίναιος, see § 333).

Rem. In $\delta \pi o i a' \tau \tau u$, $\sigma \mu \gamma e a' \tau \tau \alpha$ etc. was developed the feeling for a causal dependence of the $\tau \tau a$ on preceding -a, so that these combinations were felt as $\delta \tau o i'$ $\varepsilon \tau \tau a$, $\sigma \mu z e'$ $\delta \tau \tau a$. The result was that $\varepsilon \tau \tau a$ came to be used independently also. Thus in Plato $\tau \epsilon \tau \tau a e a$ $\delta \tau a e a e a' \tau c e$. In like manner arose the postposition $e e \epsilon \tau \epsilon a$ on account of in combinations like $\epsilon z \epsilon \epsilon e e e$, where $\epsilon \tau \epsilon a$ had been fused by erasis with final -e e. See Wackernagel in Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII 109 ff.

5. τράπεζα '(four legged) table' in absolute initiality from *πτραπεζα, Indg. *qtwy-. See § 490.

Italic.

- § 655. Finals. Owing to the scantiness of the Umbrian-Samnitic materials, handed down to us, it remains doubtful at what period certain processes of sandhi took place in Latin.
- 1. Prim. Ital. -i became in Lat. partly -e, and was partly dropped. The former: mare, animale, sedile, ante, loc. rare see § 33. The latter: aut: Osc. avti avt Umbr. ute ote 'aut'. tot: cp. toti-dem. et: Gr. $\check{e}\tau\iota$ 'still', again'. per- (per- $id\bar{o}neu$ -s, per- $m\bar{a}gnu$ -s): Gr. $\pi\check{e}\varrho\iota$. animal, exemplar, etc. It is I believe no mere accident that the latter form of the final occurs especially in such words as seldom or never ended the sentence.

Prim. Ital. -e was in Latin partly retained and partly dropped. The former: eque, legite, quīnque, neque, neve. The latter: nec, neu, quī-n, sati-n, dīc dūc beside older dīce dūce. Umbr. pum-pe 'quomque' and nei-p ne-p 'neque, neve, non', voc. Tefre. Osc. nei-p ne-p 'neque, neve'.

Prim. Italic. -o and -a became -e in Latin. sequere: Gr. $\mathcal{E}\pi\varepsilon o$ (§ 81). pede instr.: Gr. $\pi\varepsilon \delta \acute{\alpha}$ (§ 97 p. 91). Loss of -o: Lat. ap-eriō (§ 499) and so ab eō etc., Pelign. af-đed 'abdidit': Gr. $\H{\alpha}\pi o$; -o was retained in Lat. po-situs, where the already prim. Indg. loss of the initial a- preserved the -o from disappearing.

Prim. Italic -i, -e, -o, -a had thus fallen together in -e in Latin. This was connected with the position in unaccented

syllables. Loss of the vowel occurred regularly before vowels, partly perhaps also by syncope (before consonants), see §§ 633. 679.

Rem. 1. It is doubtful whether the elision of vowels, which became the rule in Lat. verse (e. g. Lucret I 234 át fue ante ácta, four syllables), is directly connected historically with the loss before vowels. In any case however the custom of the poets, which extended itself to long final vowels also (e. g. spatio átque in the same verse, four syllables), was founded on a stopping of hiatus in the ordinary language of the people.

2. The Romans began to shorten $-\bar{o}$ $-\bar{a}$ already in the preliterary period. First of all in iambic words. The rest followed gradually, for the most part probably by form-transference. $duo: Gr. \delta v'\omega$, ego: $Gr. \delta v\omega'$, modo instr. sg.: cp. Lith. gerù, geri'l-ju. fero: φέρω. homo: cp. Skr. áśmā. equa: Skr. áśvā. juga: Skr. Ved. yuqā. In the poets the forms with long vowel still occur beside those with the shortened, and it is pretty easy to follow in them the course of development, which the shortening took; e. g. ambo beside ambo came into existence later than duŏ. Cp. Stadelmann De quantitate vocalium Latinas voces terminantium, Lucerne 18841). Especially instructive are the numerals as trīqintā septuāqintā, old nom. acc. pl. neut. -ā remained here, because these words were early isolated from the connexion in thought with juga bona etc. (cp. trīgintā virī). They prove that the shortening of neuters with non-iambic ending, armenta etc., was due to the analogy of juga etc.

Contemporaneous shortening of $-\bar{e}$ in locatives from *i*-stems as *peregre*, if it is true that these with Skr. loc. as $agn\bar{a}$ go back to Indg. $-\bar{e}$. See p. 489 and the accidence.

 $-\bar{a}$ $-\bar{e}$, which arose by contraction, also underwent shortening in iambic word-forms. In the dramatic poets imper. roga puta mone habe etc., originally *- \bar{a} -ie *-eie *-eie *-eie. But no generalisation took place here (not imper. $plant\bar{a}$ etc.). In the classical period it was again (with few exceptions) $rog\bar{a}$ etc.; the long

¹⁾ With reference to this treatise and other expositions of the vowel shortening in question, it does not Geem superfluous to point out that equă cannot be the old vocative form (cp. Gr. νύμφὰ) nor duŏ identical with Gr. δύο. By 1. we should expect *eque and *due, like pede and sequere.

vowels were thus restored again after the analogy of non-iambic forms like $\rho lant \bar{a}$.

The relations of quantity cannot for the most part be controlled in Umbrian-Samnitic. We saw in § 105 that $-\bar{a}$ in the nom. sg. fcm. and nom. acc. pl. neut. had become an o-vowel in Umbr. and Oscan. Since this cannot be separated from the o in Umbr. pihos 'piatus', and this o was certainly long, it must be assumed that the final vowel was still long -a at the time the weakening took place.

3. From $-\bar{o}i$, $-\bar{a}i$ Lat. $-\bar{o}$, $-\bar{a}$, e. g. dat. $eqn\bar{o}$, $M\bar{a}t\bar{a}t\bar{a}$. See § 136.

Lat. loc. $\bar{u}s\bar{u}$, Umbr. manuv-e 'in manu' contained Indg. $-\bar{o}u$, if they were locatives like Skr. $s\bar{u}m\bar{u}u$. See § 85.

4. Long vowels were shortened in Latin before -t, -m, -r, -l. This change belongs to the archaic period, in which poets still often have long vowels. siet siem beside siēs. amet amem amer beside amēs amēris. pater: Gr. πατήφ. erat eram beside erās. loquar beside loquātur. equam: Skr. áśvām. exemplar animal beside exemplāre animāle (cp. 1.). clāmor beside clāmōris. vīderim vīderit, sim sit beside vīderīmus, sīmus. The long vowels remained in monosyllabic words in -r, -l: fūr sōl.

Osc. paam acc. sg. 'quam'.

5. In Umbr.-Samn. short vowels were syncopated in final syllables ending in a consonant, as Umbr. pihaz 'piatus', Osc. húrz hortus'. See § 633. The contrast between Umbr. Ikuvins 'Iguvinus' Osc. Púmpaiians 'Pompeianus' on the one hand and Umbr. abrof 'apros' Osc. feihúss 'fines', whose endings arose from *-ons (9.), on the other, proves that the former syncope was late; the -ns in the nom. sg. must have been restored again through the influence of form-system.

On the samprasāraṇa in Lat. Umbr. ager from prim. Ital. *agrs see 9 p. 506.

6. Final -m and -n may have been assimilated even in prim. Ital. to succeding consonants produced by different organs e. g. $*k^n$ or k^n e from $*k^n$ om k^n (Lat. quonque, Umbr. pumpe). See § 207. On -m in Lat. see § 208 p. 176. -n

was assimilated in the same language, as inscript. in bello etc. shows. On -m and -n in Umbr.-Samn. see § 209.

On Umbr. -r see § 270.

- 7. -t, so far as it was not dropped, appears in Italic as -t and -d. Lat. siet sient, erat erant; on archaic inscriptions feced fecid etc. Osc. fusíd 'esset' (cf. Lat. conj. perf. fuerit), pútíad 'possit'. I am not satisfied with any of the various explanations of this duality (Bugge Kuhn's Ztschr. XXII 385 f., Osthoff Rhein. Mus. XXXVI 487 f., Stadelmann De quantitate etc. p. 64 sq., Danielsson in Pauli's Altit. Stud. III 148).
- -t disappeared in Latin after c, s, r. lac fr. *lact; the form lact in Varro was formed anew after the analogy of the other cases. os fr. *ost, cp. Av. gen. sg. $ust-\bar{o}$ 'of a bone'. sem-per tantis-per etc.: Osc. petiro-pert 'quater', Gr. Pamphyl. $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \epsilon' \delta u \sigma \varepsilon$; was the cpf. *perti?
- 8. -d remained in Lat. after short vowels: id, quid, quod, illud, ad. It disappeared after long vowels in the archaic period, from which many forms with -d are handed down to us: abl. $equ\bar{a}(d)$ $equ\bar{a}(d)$ $m\bar{e}(d)$ $t\bar{e}(d)$, imper. $est\bar{o}(d)$.

Umbr. asam-a asam-ař 'ad aram' (ř from d, § 369 p. 280). It was dropped everywhere after long vowels: abl. pihaclu 'piaculo', imper. sumtu 'sumito'.

Osc. píd Pelign. pid 'quid', Osc. púd pod 'quod', abl. dolud 'dolo' tovtad 'civitate' akrid 'acri', imper. estud 'esto' actud 'agito'.

-d disappeared in Lat. or in prim. Italic after r: Lat. cor fr. *cord, cp. cord-is.

9. -s after explosives remained in absolute finality in all dialects. Lat. ex, vōx, au-spex, pēs novitās from *pēts *novitāts, agēns from *agents, con-cors from *-corts, puls from *pults, nox from *nocts, abs i. e. aps. Umbr. fratreks fratrexs 'fratricus', pihaz 'piatus'. Osc. meddíss meddis 'meddix' from *-diks, húrz 'hortus', az 'ad' i. e. ad+s.

-nts in Umbr. forms an exception: zeřef 'sedens', like abrof 'apros' (see below).

For conditional finality cp. Lat. \bar{e} Umbr. eh (i. e. \bar{e}) from eks, § 570.

-s after vowels. Lat. equo-s, genus. Umbr. puntes 'pontes'. Osc. scriftas ph femin. 'scriptae', Lúvkanateís gen. 'Lucanatis'.

-s is often wanting on Lat. archaic inscriptions, e. g. Cornelio = Cornēlius, locu = locus, mino = minus. The archaic poets (as also Cicero in his juvenile poems) allowed the sound sometimes to make strong position, sometimes not, e. g. in dactylic hexameters ind index) formam, fluctibu(s) mandet. The writers of the classical period restored -s in all cases. It is frequently left out again on the inscriptions of the later imperial period. The conclusion drawn from these facts, that -s after vowels had throughout a weak articulation already several centuries B. C. which was retained in the vulgar language, while the full articulation was restored in the classical period in the language of cultured persons is unsatisfactory from the very fact that the sound has remained in some Romance developments down to modern times. It ought rather to be assumed that just as s (like z) disappeared medially before nasals, l and d (§§ 570, 594), it was also dropped before these consonants at the end of words; they said e.g. nom. manu laeva gen. manū laevae (like corpulentu-s from *corpozlento-s) beside manus parva etc.; the different forms were then used promiscuously, especially by the poets, to whom these sentencedoublets, in the case of final syllables with short vowel, afforded considerable ease; grammatical reflexion, regard for clearness of word-form, and regard to Greek (equos: ἵππος, genus: γένος etc.) procured complete supremacy for the forms in -s in the literary language of the classical period.

Just as s had become z medially between vowels (§ 569), -z may also have arisen regularly finally before vowels. The -r, which is to be expected as its continuation, exists only in dir-imo and similar words.

In Umbr. nom. pl. Ikuvinus Ikuvinu and *Iiovinur* 'Iguvini', Indg. -ōs; the last form presupposes -z. What the sandhi processes were which gave rise to these differences remains

undetermined; it must be taken into account that s beside the voiceless sound perhaps represented the voiced sound also.

Rem. 2. The question of postvocalic -s in the Italic languages requires a thorough investigation, in which the next following initial sound in the texts handed down to us must carefully be taken into account. Our exposition given above is especially intended to suggest such an investigation.

-s after liquids had disappeared throughout the Italic branch. Cp. the nom. sg. Lat. par, fūr, sōl, ager (st. agro-), ācer (st. ācri-), famul (st. famulo-), Umbr. ager 'ager' (gen. agre), katel 'catulus' (acc. katlu), Osc. Frunter 'Frunter', famel, Mutíl 'Mutilus'. We may assume that the changes *agros *agrs *agers ager and *ākris *ākrs *ākers āker were effected in the period of the prim. Ital. community. Cp. Lat. sacerdōs from *sácro-dōts and sēcernō from *sécrinō. See § 33. 623 rem. 1. 633.

-s after nasals. Lat. aprōs Umbr. abrof fr. prim. Ital. *apro-ns (cp. Goth. vulfa-ns 'wolves'), Osc. feíhúss 'fines'. See §§ 208. 209.

§ 656. Initials.

- 1. In the vowel elision mentioned in § 655, 1, the e-was lost in est, which was attached enclitically: itast, sitast = ita est, sita est. These forms being felt as ita'st, sita'st, there were formed after them also autemst, situst, situmst, etc.
- 2. \bar{u} -, \bar{v} appear in Latin as an-, ar-, as antae, arduos. See §§ 253. 306. They were the forms of absolute initiality.
- 3. f = Indg. bh dh gh maintained itself in Lat. only initially, as $fer\bar{o}$ $f\bar{u}mu$ -s formu-s. See § 509. It was the form of absolute initiality.
 - 4. Lat. stlīs slīs līs etc., see §§ 503. 570.

Old Irish.1

§ 657. Finals.

1. Final short vowels were dropped in polysyllabic words,

¹⁾ With my insufficient knowledge of the sound-laws of the Britanndialects I am not in a position everywhere to keep apart properly what

except when i preceded. This loss was not effected until after the vowels had imparted their timbre (palatal, labial) to the preceding consonants (§ 640), and thus the quality of the dropped vowels is mostly still reorganisable.

Voc. ā maice 'son' from *makne: ep. Gr. ἄδεληε. Imper. sg. beir 'bear' from *bere: Gr. φέρε. Imper. berid 'bear ye' from *berete: Gr. φέρετε. ad-cón-daire 'conspexit' from *(de-)dorce: Gr. δέδορεε. berid 'he bears' from *bereti: O.Bulg. bereti. is 'is' from *esti: Gr. ἔστι. berit 'they bear' from *beronti (ep. § 212): Gr. Dor. φέροντι. Dat. (loc.) athir 'patri' from *(p)ater-i or *(p)atr-i (ep. briathar from *brētrā, § 623 rem. 1): Gr. πατέρι πατρ'. Cp. also imb- 'around, about': Gall. ambi- Gr. ἀμφ'.

Pres. secund. 3. sg. no-bered no-berad 'ferebat, ferret' from *bereto: Gr. $q \not\in oeto$ Skr. bhárata. Also the 3. pl. pres. do-berat, which cannot be explained from *beronti, may be middle: Gr. $q \not\in ooto$; for another explanation see § 82 p. 76. Loss of Indg. -a in the voc. \bar{a} thuath 'folk'? cp. Gr. $r\not\in uq\bar{a}$. suth n. 'fetus' fr. *sutu: Gr. cp. $u\not\in \theta v$.

2. Similarly long vowels disappeared, except when \dot{i} preceded.

Nom. acc. du. sūil 'eyes' from *sūlī: cp. Skr. pátī 'masters'. Nom. sg. fem. Briqit from *briqentī: Skr. bṛhatī femin. 'high'.

as-biur 'I bring forward, say' from *-berō: Gr. $\varphi \not\in \varrho \omega$. Dat. (instr.) sg. fiur (to nom. fer 'man') from * ψ irō: Lith. gerù gerǔ-ju. Nom. tuath 'folk' from * $t\bar{\upsilon}$ tā, * $te\psi$ tā : Goth. finda. Nom. acc. pl. neut. $tr\bar{\iota}$ chēt 'three hundreds' from * $cent\bar{a}$: Skr. Ved. $tr\acute{\iota}$ śat \acute{a} .

Nom. sg. fem. *brčtrā 'word' became *brčtr, then briathar. Gen. *cčtlī, dat. *cčtlō (stem cčtlo-'song' from *can-tlo-, pl. nom. cčtla dat. cētlaib) became cčtil, cčtul through an intermediate stage with l, which in the former case had an i- and in the latter an u-timbre. See §§ 623 rem. 1. 634.

is to be ascribed to the special Gaelic and what to the prim. Keltic period of development.

3. After *i (ii)* short vowels united with this and formed sonantal vowels (cp. pp. 124. 125) and long vowels were shortened.

Voc. ā chēli (st. cēlijo- 'companion') beside ā maicc.

no rāidiu 'I speak' beside as-biuŗ. Dat. instr. ailiu, cēliu beside fiur. air-mitiu 'honour': Lat. mentiō.

Rem. - $\dot{q}u$ further became -i. Hence e. g. dat. duini beside duiniu 'homini'. This phenomenon is parallel with the transition of $gn\tilde{t}m^ai$ to $gn\tilde{t}ma$ (§ 640 rem.).

- 4. i-diphthongs became monophthongic and were then treated like long vowels. Nom. pl. eich 'equi' from * $eky\bar{\iota}$ *ekyoi, see § 82. Nom. acc. du. fem. tuuith from * $t\bar{\iota}$ *teytai, see § 98; cp. $d\bar{\iota}$ 'two' = Skr. $dv\dot{\epsilon}$. Dat. sg. tuaith from * $t\bar{\iota}$ and older probably * $teyt\bar{a}i$: Gr. $-a^{-1}$).
- 5. If a consonant followed a short final vowel in polysyllabic words, the vowel disappeared, where it was not preceded by *i* and had not become long by 'compensation lengthening'.

fer 'man' from *uiro-s. Nom. acc. neutr. tech 'house' fr. *tegos: Gr. $\sigma \tau \acute{\epsilon} \gamma o \varsigma$. Gen. bethad 'of life' from *biyo-tūt-os: cp. Gr. $\pi o \delta - \acute{o} \varsigma$. athir 'fathers' from *(p)ater-es: Gr. $\pi a \tau \acute{\epsilon} \varrho - \epsilon \varsigma$. fāith 'poet' from *uāti-s: cp. Lat. hosti-s. fid 'tree' from *uidu-s: OHG. witu.

fer n-(aile) 'virum' (alium)' from *uiron: Lat. viru-m. sūil n-(aile) 'oculum (alium)' *from *sūlin: ep. Lat. siti-m. fid n-(aile) 'arborem (aliam)' from *uidu-n: ep. Lat. fructu-m. deich m-(bai) 'ten (cows)' from *decen, Indg. *delīn. ainm n-(abstil) 'nomen (apostoli)' from *an-men, Indg. *-mu.

no beir 'fert' from *beret : Gr. φέρε Skr. bhárat.

In eter etir 'between' = Lat. inter *-ter had in the first instance become *-tr. Cp. criathar under 2.

A short vowel combined with preceding i (ii) and formed a sonantal vowel (cp. 3.). Nom. aile $c\bar{e}le$ acc. aile n- $c\bar{e}le$ n- beside nom. fer acc. fer n-.

¹⁾ If this explanation of the dat. sg. tuaith be right, the dat. fur $c\bar{e}liu$, which we brought under 2. and 3. as instr. in original $-\bar{o}$, cannot at the same time be, as has been believe⁻¹, the Indg. dat. form in $-\bar{o}\dot{\chi}$ (Gr. $-\varphi$). For it is not probable that $-\bar{o}\dot{\chi}$ should have become $-\bar{o}$, while $-\bar{a}\dot{\chi}$ became $-a\dot{\chi}$ $-\bar{\iota}$.

6. If a consonant (except -m, see 7.) still followed an original long final vowel, the vowel was shortened. So, too, were long vowels which had arisen by 'compensation lengthening'.

athir 'father': Gr. $\pi \chi \tau \eta \rho$. siur 'sister' from *svi(s)ur: Lat. soror, older soror.

Nom. tuatha 'peoples' from *tōtās *teutās : Goth. piudōs, Osc. scriftas; cp. mnā 'women' = Skr. Ved. gnās. Voc. ā firu 'viri' from *wirōs: Goth. vairōs, Osc. Núvlanús. Compar. laigiu 'smaller' from *lag-iōs, see § 139.

Conj. -air-ema 'suscipiat' from *emāt: Lat. emat. Indic. no chara 'he loves' from *carāt, originally *-a-je-t; conj. ro-chara from * $car\bar{a}t$, orig. *-a- $i\bar{a}$ -t.

Long vowels by compensation lengthening. Acc. pl. firu 'men' fāthi 'poets' cruthu 'forms, shapes' from *-ōs *-īs *-ūs, orig. *-o-ns *-i-ns *-u-ns. Nom. cure cura 'friend' (gen. curat dat. carit) from *carent-s. fiche 'twenty' (gen. fichet dat. fichit) from *wicent-s, orig. stem-form *wiknt- or *wiknt-. tricha 'thirty' (gen. trichat dat, trichait trichit) from *tricont-s. Cp. § 212.

7. Long vowels seem to have been early shortened before nasals (cp. Latin § 655, 4) and then to have been treated by 5. Conj. do-ber 'afferam, dem' from *berām: Lat. feram O.Bulg. bera, cpf. *bherā-m. Gen. ech n-(aile) 'equorum (aliorum) from * $e\bar{k}u\bar{o}n$ (Gr. $l\pi\pi\omega\nu$) or from *ekuon (ep. O.Bulg. $vl\bar{u}k\bar{u}$)? cp. the accidence. -

All the vowel shortenings and loss of vowels discussed in 1.-7., were caused by the development of the strongly expiratory accent at the beginning of words. Cp. 634. 684. 685.

- 8. -m became -n in prim. Keltic. This was often retained at the beginning of the following word, before vowel or media. Examples under 5. and 7. and in § 211.
- 9. -t was dropped. no beir from *beret. for-tē s-fut. 'he will help' (1. pl. for-tiasam) from *-stēkst i. e. *steigh+s+t. Did the dental in original -nt remain? See § 76.
 - 10. -s was dropped everywhere.

Vowel + s. fer 'man' from viuiro-s (5.), tuatha 'peoples' from *tōtās, mnā 'women' from *bnās (6.).

-ns. firu 'viros' from *uiron-s (6.). mī 'month' (gen. mīs) from *mēns: Gr. μείς.

-ts -nts. beothu 'life' (gen. bethad) from *-tūt-s, care cara 'friend' from *carents (6.).

-ks. rī 'king' (gen. rīg) from *riss *rīks: Gall. -rīx, Lat. rēx. ail 'rock, stone' (gen. ailech dat. ailig) from *aileks.

§ 658. Initials.

1. Just as t and c became p (written th) and χ (ch) in the body of a word after vowels (§ 514), spirants also arose initially after words ending in a vowel. This affection took place, before the final consonants had been dropped (§ 657). For no spirants show themselves where a final consonant did not originally stand. Thus we arrive at the following important conclusion for the investigation of the history of sounds: word-forms, after which spirants generally make their appearance, originally ended in vowels, and those, after which the tenues remained, originally ended in consonants. To these were added various innovations by transference of forms, and the law can still be observed with any consistency in our monuments only in groups of words which are syntactically closely connected. ā thuath 'O folk', ā chara 'O friend': Gr. \$\bar{o}\$ Lat. \$\bar{o}\$. \$d\bar{a}\$ charit 'two friends', \$d\bar{i}\$ thuaith 'two peoples': Skr. dvá, dvé. ro charus s-pret. 'amavi', ro = Gr. $\pi \rho \phi$. Cp. the list of the forms, behind which spirants appear for the tenues, in Windisch's Ir. Gram. § 92. Compare also the initial sound after the members of compounds: oenchossid 'one legged' from *oino- c., so-thenga 'eloquent' from *su-t.

t became d in pre-accentual syllables, when absolutely initial, e. g. do-gáir 'he calls', but tó-gairm 'a call'; do-máthir thy mother', but co-t-máthir 'with thy mother' t-és- $\bar{e}rge$ 'thy resurrection', cp. Skr. táva Gr. $\tau s\acute{o}$ - ς). So also Mid. Cymr. dy wlat 'thy land' (gwlat), but yth wlat 'in thy land'.

In the same positions where the tenues became spirants, s-passed into \dot{s} - (h-) and f- was dropped (the muteness of the f is represented by \dot{f}). no $\dot{s}essam$ 'or standing': cp. Lat. $sist\bar{o}$. \bar{a} $\dot{f}ir$ 'O man'. Compare the τ -transition of medial s to h, see § 576, and the loss of medial u, see § 174.

We saw in § 522 that b, d, g had become b, \bar{d} , \bar{g} after vowels, while the letters b, d, g were retained in Old Irish writings. That this change was also effected in conditional initiality, that e. g. \bar{a} $d\bar{z}$ O god' was pronounced \bar{a} $d\bar{e}$, may be concluded from the modern orthography which also puts bh, dh, gh initially.

A similar relation existed between sim and fim 'sister from $*sue(s)\bar{o}r$ as between s- and \dot{s} -. The form with f- stands regularly after words ending in a vowel. Cp. § 175.

2. We saw in §§ 212. 513 that -nt- and -nc- became -nd- and -ng- and the nasal disappeared, e. g. $c\bar{e}t$ pronounced $c\bar{e}d$ (Mod.Ir. ceud) 'hundred' = Cymr. cant Lat. centum; $\bar{e}c$ pronounced $\bar{e}g$ (Mod.Ir. eug) 'death' = Bret. ancou. Further by § 520, that -mb- and -nd- became -mm- and -nn- in O.Irish, e. g. camm 'crooked' = Gall. cambo-. Both previous stages occur also in the junction of connected words.

From gen. pl. *inna n-cert 'of the rights', *inna n-tuath 'of the peoples' (cp. inna n-anmann 'of the names' etc. §§ 211. 657, 5. 7. 8) arose inna gert, inna duath, generally written inna cert, inna tuath (like $\bar{e}c$, $c\bar{e}t$ instead of $\bar{e}g$, $c\bar{e}d$); it was only in few cases in the O.Ir. period that account was taken of the change which had taken place, by the writing of the media. In Mod.Ir. orthography they write gc-, dt-, e. g. na gceart = O.Ir. (in)na cert. This phenomenon is called eclipsis destituens in Keltic grammar.

Gen. pl. $inna\ m-b\bar{o}$ 'of the cows' became $inna\ mm\bar{o}$, $inna\ m\bar{o}$, $inna\ n-dath$ 'of the colours' became $inna\ nnath$, $inna\ nath$. The old spelling was however retained, and the spelling -mb- and -nd- also existed medially in the O.Ir. period beside the phonetic spelling. Cp. also ath connarc beside ath condarc 'I looked' (to Gr. $\delta \xi \delta o \rho z a$). This phenomenon is called eclipsis nasalis in Keltic grammar.

Gen. pl. inna $s\bar{u}le$ 'of the eyes' from *inna n- $s\bar{u}le$, just as medial n disappeared before s, e. g. in $g\bar{e}is$ 'swan', see § 212.

3. s- disappeared before t, 3. g. tiagaim 'I stride, go': Gr. $\sigma\tau s/\omega$. See § 575.

Germanic.

- § 659. Finals in Prim. Germanic.
- 1. Final long and short vowels underwent no reduction at this period.

*fezu n. 'cattle' Goth. faihu: Skr. páśu. *berizi 'thou bearest' *beriđi 'he bears' Goth. bairis bairip: Skr. bharasi bharati. *uzsin-i loc. sg. of the st. *uzsen- 'ox' Goth. aihsin: ep. Skr. ukṣáṇ-i. *uaiti, older *uaite 'he knows' Goth. vait: Gr. oð $\delta \varepsilon$. *uulfi, older *uulfe voc. 'wolf' Goth. vulf: Gr. λv uz ε . *aba 'of, from' Goth. af (ab-u): Gr. å π o.

Rem. Some assume that all short vowels except $\cdot u$ were already dropped in prim. Germ. in unaccented syllables. But then "berizi beridi must necessarily appear in Goth. as "buirs 'bairp and the 2. sg. imper. "yardiji = Skr. vartayu Indg. "yortéje as "vardi (whereas it is fra-vardei 'destroy').

*frijōndī fem. 'friend' Goth. frijōndi: cp. Skr. part. fem. tudatī 'tundens'. *zumō 'homo' Goth. guma: Lat. homō. Nom. acc. pl. neut. *jukō 'yokes', Goth. juka beside þō: cp. Lat. oppidā oppida, Skr. Ved. yugā. Nom. sg. fem. *ainō 'una' Goth. áina beside áinō-hun sō: Gr. olví 'the ace on dice'.

- 2. In like manner -ai = Indg. -oi and -ai suffered no change. Nom. pl. *blindai 'blind' Goth. blindai OHG. blinte: cp. Gr. ×αλοί. Loc. sg. *yulfai (*yulfa- 'wolf'), OHG. wolfe O.Icel. ulfe ulfi: cp. Gr. οἴχοι 'at home'.
- 3. -ōi, -ōu became -ai, -au. Dat. sg. fem. *zebōi 'to the gift' became *zebai Goth. gibái: ep. Gr. χώρα (ep. also dat. sg. Goth. pizái and Skr. tásyāi). OHG. wolfe (2) was also probably a dat. form, which arose from *μυlfōi: Gr. λίνω. *αχtōu 'eight' became *αχtau Goth. ahtáu OHG. ahto. Loc. sg. *sunōu (sunu- 'son') became *sunau Goth. sunáu. It is more uncertain whether Goth. anstái (st. ansti- f. 'favour') came from *anstēi (§ 645 finals 1.)

These shortenings were carried out in absolute finality and before initial consonants. Cp. *flaizē (O.Icel. fleire) fr. *flēizē § 614.

4. Vowels remained unshortened before nasals, liquids, ex-

plosives and spirants, e. g. nom. sg. *yulfaz nom. pl. * $yulf\bar{o}z$: Skr. vfkas $vfk\bar{a}s$.

5. -m became -n and thus fell together with Indg. -n. Acc. sg. *uulfa-n *sunu-n Goth. vulf sunu, cp. Goth. pan-a 'the': Skr. vfka-m sūnú-m tá-m. Gen. pl. *zebōn Goth. gibō: cp. Av. vanam 'of trees'. Indg. -n e. g. in nom. sg. *raþiōn 'account' Goth. raþjō: cp. Gr. $\mu\eta\varkappa\omega\nu$ 'poppy'. Later -n dropped out after short vowels, whereas it was only reduced in some way or other after long vowels. *uulfa-n *sunu-n became *uulfa *sunu, but *zebōn *raþiōn became *zebōn *raþiōn. Cp. § 214 p. 182.

-rm (through the intermediate stage -rn) probably became -r in prim. Germ. For Goth. acc. $br\bar{o}\bar{p}ar$ 'fratrem' probably arose from *bhrátorm. See § 645, finals 2.

6. Explosives dropped out. It cannot be determined whether they had previously undergone all the stages of sound-shifting (§ 527 ff). If we assume that they disappeared after undergoing complete sound-shifting, the prim. Germ. changes are to be exhibited as follow: - 3. sg. opt. pres. *bérai(d) 'he may bear' Goth. bairái: Skr. bhárēt Indg. *bhéroit. 3. sg. opt. pf. *bēri(b) Goth. bēri: cp. Lat. velit; the ending -ī-t was a new formation for Indg. -ie-t, see the accidence. 3. sg. *iie(d) 'went', Goth. iddja: Skr. \acute{a} - $y\bar{a}t$. 3. pl. opt. pres. * $b\acute{e}rajn(d)$, probably a Germ. new formation, Goth. baíráin-a (the particle -ō may have been first added after the dropping of the dental): cp. Gr. $q \notin ooiv$ subsidiary form of $q \notin ooiev$. 3. pl. opt. pf. *berin(b), certainly a Germ. new formation (see the accidence), Goth. $b\bar{e}rein$ -a. 3. pl. indic. pf. * $b\bar{e}r\acute{u}n(p)$, Goth. $b\bar{e}run$; -un(p) = Indg. -it, § 226. *té $\chi un(d)$ 'ten', Goth. taihun : ep. Lith. st. dẽszimt-; the same ending in Goth. niun 'nine', but this is probably a Germ. new formation after the word for ten, since judging from the other Indg. languages we should rather expect *niu (= *neun) in Goth. (§ 179).

Goth. pamma 'to the' may have been ablative, prim. f. *tosmēd (cp. hvammē-h), in which case OHG. demu would be fr. *tesmōd: Skr. tásmāt; but the prim. Germ. ending -ē -ō

Brugmann, Elements. 33

might equally have been an instrumental ending, Indg. $-\bar{e}$ $-\bar{o}$ (Goth. instr. $p\bar{e}$). The same doubt exists in the case of Goth. vulfa, which can be traced back to Indg. $*ulq\bar{e}d$ $-\bar{o}d$ abl. and to $*ulq\bar{e}$ $-\bar{o}$ instr. and possibly was a continuation of both Indg. cases at the same time. Cp. the accidence.

7. Indg. -s and -z may for the most part have been levelled to -s, when Verner's law began to operate. By this law, the double endings like $-\bar{o}z$ and $-\bar{o}s$ in the nom. pl. of Indg. o-and \bar{a} -stems then arose. See § 583. Was -z in the nom. sg. already at that period generalised?

-ts became -ss -s, -ks became - χ s according to § 527. One said e. g. * $f\bar{o}ss$ * $f\bar{o}s$ 'foot' (Gr. Dor. $\pi\omega'\varsigma$, Indg. * $p\bar{o}ts$, stem ped-, cp. 311 p. 249) and * $melu\chi s$ 'milk' fr. * $mel\chi s$ (§ 628); Goth. $f\bar{o}tus$ and miluks were new formations.

§ 660. Finals in Gothic.

- 1. With the exception of u all other prim. Indg. final short vowels, or short vowels which became final in prim. Germ. were dropped and also in the final syllables of polysyllabic words when followed by a single consonant other than i, u (§ 659, 1. 4. 5. 7).
- 2. sg. baíris fr. *birizi. 3. sg. váit fr. *yaiti. Voc. vulf fr. *yulfi. 2. sg. imper. fra-vardei fr. *yarđij(i). af fr. *aba. But faíhu: § 659, 1.

Acc. vulf fr. *uulfa. Acc. gast 'guest' fr. *zasti. But sunu. § 659, 5.

For the different treatment of the u in triu 'stick, prop' fr. *triu(a-n) and $l\bar{e}v$ 'opportunity', fr. * $l\bar{e}u(a-n)$ ep. § 179 p. 156.

Rem. 1. The acc. $br\bar{o}par$ would also form an exception, if -n was dropped already in prim. Germ. See § 659, 5.

Rem. 2. So far as the vowels in final syllables are concerned the language on Norse runic inscriptions is more archaic than Goth. Acc. sg. Neut. horna 'horn' = Goth. haárn. Nom. sg. dagaa 'day' = Goth. dags. Nom. sg. gastia 'guest' = Goth. gasts. Nom. pl. dohtr-ia 'daughters'.

When Consonant + $\dot{\eta}$ as., liquid, $\dot{\chi}$ or u preceded the vowel, the nas., liquid, $\dot{\chi}$, u became sonantal (Samprasāraņa):

Nom. *ebna-z acc. *ebna(-n) 'even', nom. *maipma-z acc. *maipma(-n) 'present', nom. *akra-z acc. *akra(-n) 'acre', nom. *fuzla-z acc. *fuzla(-n) 'bird', respectively became ibus ibu, máipus máipu, akṛs akṛ, fugls fugl, in like manner gen. *faðraz 'father's, loc. faðri (= Gr. $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \delta_S \pi \alpha \tau \rho i$) became fadrs fadṛ. We do not know however whether these forms remained disyllabic in Goth. or whether they had become monosyllabic by further reduction (ibns ibn etc.). Cp. §§ 215. 277. 635.

- 2. sg. imper. hiri 'come hither' fr. *hir-ii, older -ie (2. pl. hirjip): Gr. κλαῖε fr. *κλαβ-με. Acc. sg. masc. hari 'army' fr. *χαr-iα(-n). Nom. acc. sg. neut. huni 'race, sex' fr. *kun-iα(-n). Nom. skadu-s acc. skadu 'shadow' fr. *skaðuu-z -uα(-n), as is to be concluded from the derivatives ufar-skadvjan 'to overshadow' and from OHG. scato gen. scatawes.
- Rem. 3. Nom. like harjis 'army', aljis 'alius' present difficulties. Forms in -io-s and -ii-s had descended from pre-Germanic times, cp. Lith. naū-ju-s 'new' and mēdis 'tree' (fr. *med-ii-s § 147 p. 131). See § 84 rem. 1. I believe that both categories first of all regularly fell together: *alia-z (Lat. aliu-s) became *aliz and *alii-z (O.Lat. alis) became *aliz (with the latter compare *puny- fr. *puny-§ 180 p. 158). Goth. then re-introduced the i (aliis) after the gen. aliis on the analogy of the (regular) formal equality of the nom. vilpeis ('wild') and gen. rilpeis etc. Did niujis 'new' appear for *nivis?
- 2. Prim. Indg. long final vowels, or those which became final in prim. Germ., were shortened in the final syllables of polysyllabic words (§ 659, 1. 6).

Nom. $frij\bar{o}ndi$ fr. * $frij\bar{o}nd\bar{\iota}$. guma fr. * $zum\bar{o}$. baira 'I bear' fr. * $ber\bar{o}$: Gr. $\varphi \acute{e} \varphi \omega$. áina fr. * $ain\bar{o}$. Acc. hvan-a 'whom?' fr. * $zuan-\bar{o}$, cp. $hvan-\bar{o}-h$; $-\bar{o}$ particle. hvamma fr. * $zuamm\bar{e}$, cp. $hvamm\bar{e}-h$. $b\bar{e}ri$ fr. * $b\bar{e}r\bar{\iota}$.

3. Prim. Germ. -ai = Indg. -oi -āi -ēi (?) -oit (§ 659, 2. 3. 6) and prim. Germ. -au = Indg. -ōu (659, 3) remained unchanged: blindái, gibái, anstái (?), baírái, ahtáu.

- 4. The reduced nasal (§ 659, 5) disappeared after long vowels, but the long vowel remained unchanged. Nom. sg. fem. $ra\bar{p}j\bar{o}$ fr. * $ra\bar{p}i\bar{o}$ ". Gen. pl. $gib\bar{o}$ fr. * $ze\bar{b}\bar{o}$ ". Nom. acc. sg. neut. hairt \bar{o} 'heart' fr. * $zert\bar{o}$ ", cp. nom. acc. pl. hairt \bar{o} n-a. Gen. pl. $vulf\bar{e}$ fr. * $zert\bar{o}$ ". Nom. sg. fem. zezet 'multitude' fr. * $zert\bar{o}$ ", cp. gen. sg. zezet managein-s.
- Rem. 4. Acc. sg. giba was the nom. form which supplanted $*gib\bar{o}$ (cp. Skr. $d\mathring{s}v\bar{a}$ -m). 1. sg. tavida 'did' was the form of the 3. sg. = prim. Germ. *- $d\bar{e}d$, which was supplanted by $-d\bar{o}$ fr. *- $d\bar{o}$ -m (Norse run. tawido), in like manner also in Norse the real old 1. sg. in -da later gave way to the form of the 3. sg. in -da (Noreen Aisl. and Anorw. Gramm. § 449).
- 5. -b, -d, -z, -z became voiceless (for -rz see 6.). af 'of, from' fr. *ab(a). bairib 'bears' from *birid(i). Acc. sg. vig 'way', read vix, fr. *uiz(a-n). Nom. sg. vulfs fr. *uulfa-z, pl. vulfōs fr. *uulfōz. bairis 'bearest' fr. *biriz(i). This change regularly took place partly in absolute finality, and partly before voiceless spirants (cp. nomin. sing. liufs 'dear' from *liubs). See §§ 531. 539. 583.

We conjectured in these passages that in like manner the voiced explosives became voiceless, even though the writing does not exhibit them as voiceless sounds, e. g. band 'he bound'.

6. -rz became -rr -r. Nom. vair 'man' fr. *yir(a)-z (gen. vairis), correspondingly bair 'son' stiur 'bull', kiisar 'emperor', anpar 'second', unsar 'our'. Exceptions are adjectives like $h\bar{o}rs$ 'adulterous' (Eph. V. 5) skeirs 'elear' in which the -s was restored to distinguish them from the neuter. Cp. footnote on p. 221.

Rem. 5. Compare on the other hand the adv. vairs 'worse' fr. *wirsiz (adj. vairsiz-a).

- § 661. Finals in West Germ. especially in OHG.
- 1. Final long vowels, inherited from prim. Germ., were shortened in prim. West Germ. (§ 659, 1. 6). OHG. biru 'I bear' fr. prim. Germ. *berō. OHG. instr. sg. tagu fr. prim. Germ. *đazō, st. *đaza-. Ags. nom. sg. fem. ziefu 'gift' fr. prim. Germ. *zebō (OHG. geba is the acc. form). OS. nom. acc. pl. neut. fatu 'vats, vessels' fr. prim. Germ. *fatō. Ags. đon-e OS. than-a 'the' (acc.) fr. prim. Germ. *pan-ō. Ags. nom. sg. fem. hæð 'field, heath', older *haiþi (2.), fr. prim. Germ. *χαiþō (Goth. háiþi). OHG. 3. sg.

opt. pf. zigi 'he may have accused' (1. sg. indic. pres. zīhu 'I accuse') fr. prim. Germ. *tiʒī(þ) (Goth. taíhi).

2. After the completion of this process the West Germ. law of syncope operated (§ 635) according to which final short vowels or short vowels followed by a single consonant disappeared in the final syllable of disyllabic words (with the accent on the first syllable), when the first syllable was long; they likewise disappeared in trisyllabic and polysyllabic forms when the penult had the secondary accent. The purely regular relation was often disturbed through new formations made by levelling.

OHG. sunu 'son' := Goth. sunu-s, fihu 'cattle' = Goth. faihu, but fluot 'tide' = Goth. flōdu-s; the forms sun, lid (Goth. lithu-s 'member') etc. were new formations after forms like fluot. OHG. chumi 'a coming' = Goth. qums prim. Germ. *kumi-z, but gast 'guest' = Goth. gasts prim. Germ. *zasti-z; stut 'place, stead' = Goth. stafs prim. Germ. *staāti-z (OS. still stedi) etc. were new formations. Here are also to be compared the compounds like OHG. situ-līh 'moral' (situ, Goth. sidu-s) witu-hopfo 'hoop' i. e. 'wood-hopper' (witu 'wood') beside lust-sam 'pleasant' = Goth. lustu-sams 'wished for'; steti-got 'genius loci' slegi-rind 'a bullock to be killed' (OS. slegi 'a slaying') beside gast-hūs 'inn' (cp. Goth. gasti-gōdei 'hospitality').

OHG. wolf = Goth. vulfs prim. Germ. *yulfa-z, sceffin 'sheriff', drigil 'servant-boy', $ird\bar{\imath}n$ 'earthy' = Goth. $air\bar{\jmath}eins$ prim. Germ. * $ir\bar{\jmath}e\bar{\imath}na-z$ etc. were regular, and after them were formed such as tag 'day', weg 'way'. In like manner also neut. joh 'yoke' (prim. Germ. *iuka(-n)) after wort 'word' etc. Cp. tagolih $taga-l\bar{\imath}h$ 'daily' $wego-w\bar{\imath}so$ $wega-w\bar{\imath}so$ 'sign-post' with $w\bar{\imath}n-garto$ 'vineyard' $himil-r\bar{\imath}hhi$ 'kingdom of heaven'.

Prim. Germ. *\(\frac{\partitiz}{\tau}\) 'guests' regularly became *\(\frac{\partitiz}{\tau}\), through the intermediate stage *\(\frac{\partitiz}{\tau}\), (after this *\(\frac{\partitiz}{\partitiu}\) 'three') which gave OHG. gesti (5. 6.).

After hilf 2. sg. 'help' fr. *hilfi were formed such as bir 'bear' for *biri (Gr. $\varphi \not\in \varphi \varepsilon$); prim. Germ. *naziji 'make whole' (Goth. nasei) became *nazī, thence (by 6.) neri. After bant 'he bound' (prim. Germ. *bandi, Skr. babándha) chōs 'he chose'

(prim. Germ. *kausi) etc. were formed such as was 'he was' nam 'he took'. 3. sg. indie. pres. birit fr. *biriđi (Goth. bairiħ). Instr. pl. blintēm 'blind' fr. *blindai-mi (Goth. blindaim). aba 'from': Gr. ἄπο.

1. sg. biru 'I bear'; forms like hilfu were either formed after biru or had regularly remained unshortened before enclitics and then came to be used in other cases (cp. Paul in Paul-Braune's Beitr. VI 160). Instr. tagu; after this and the trisyllabic forms, in which the case endings had a secondary accent and therefore remained (§ 689), arose forms like sēwu (nom. sēo 'sea'); in this the effort not to leave the form phonetically equal to that of the nom, and acc., might have formed a factor. preserved the regular double forms in the nom. sg. of the Germ. ō-stems: ziefu 'gift' (Goth. giba), but sorz 'sorrow' (Goth. saúrga) firen 'sin' (Goth. fairina 'debt'); OHG. had the regular forms in buoz 'improvement' (Goth. bōta 'benefit') kraingin 'queen' adj. blint 'caeca' (Goth. blinda) etc.; the forms buoza kuniminut geba etc. beside these were accusatives. In the nom. acc. pl. neutr. the regular state shows itself in Ags. OS. fatu 'vats, vessels' against Ags.OS. word 'words' (Goth. vaúrda); on the other hand OHG. faz a new formation after wort. Ags. don-e OS. than-a 'the'. Ags. $h\overline{e}d$ 'heath' = Goth. $h\acute{a}i\rlap/pi$, beside this OS. thiui 'maid' = Goth. pivi. OHG. 3. sg. opt. zigi, but also hulfi bāri etc.; that the regular forms *hulf *bār were put aside, was due to the influence of the other persons of the same mood (cp. the 3. sg. opt. of the Alemanic weak preterites like salbōtī neritī mohtī, where the influence of the other persons is still clearer, cp. 2. sg. $salb\bar{o}t\bar{\imath}s$ 1. pl. $-\bar{\imath}m(\bar{e}s)$).

In all the cases named it is a question of loss of a vowel by which the word lost one syllable. On the other hand forms like OHG. eban 'even' acchar 'acre, field' fogal 'bird' had experienced samprasāraṇa: the first form e. g. had passed through the stages *ebnaz *ebnz *ebn eban. See §§ 215. 277. 635. 660,1. Here perhaps also belong forms like nom. sg. masc. gelo 'yellow' (gen. gelawes) from *zelua-z (cp. Lat. helvos), nom. acc. sg. neut. melo 'meal' (gen. melawes) from *melua(-n).

- 3. The -au, which arose from $-\bar{o}u$ in prim. Germ. (659, 3), became $-\bar{o}$ in West Germ. at the same time with the other au in unaccented inflexional syllables. Hence OHG. OS. ahto 'eight' = Goth. $aht\acute{a}u$. The shortening of the $-\bar{o}$ took place according to 6.
- 4. The weakened nasal of prim. Germ. forms as nom. sg. $*\chi an\bar{o}_n$ 'cock' (beside $*\chi an\bar{o} = \text{Goth. } hana$) gen. pl. $*\bar{d}a\bar{g}\bar{o}^n$ 'of days' (beside $*\bar{d}a\bar{g}\bar{e}^n = \text{Goth. } dag\bar{e}$) nom. sg. $*mana\bar{g}\bar{v}^n$ 'multitude' (Goth. $manag\bar{v}$) was dropped in prim. West Germanic: OHG. hano, tago, managi menigi. The shortening according to 6.
- 5. Of the prim. Germ. postvocalic -s and -z the former remained in West Germ., e. g. OS. dagos = Goth. $dag\bar{o}s$. The latter was preserved as -r when closely connected with a following word, as in OHG. ir = Goth. is, in other cases it was dropped, e. g. OHG. OS. nom. sunu = Goth. sunus. See § 583. On the shortening of the long vowels after the loss of the -z see 6.

Rem. The -s of the OHG. 2. sg. opt. berēs (prim. Germ. *béraiz, Goth. bairáis) and of the 2. sg. indic. biris (prim. Germ. *berizi, Goth. bairis) is due to the pronoun du prim. West Germ. *pū being frequently attached enclitically. The sibilant was pronounced voiceless before p- and p- became t- (cp. Goth. 2. sg. perf. lust from *laspa, §§ 541, 1. 553, 1). Thus arose OHG. berēstu, biristu, correspondingly also opt. bārīstu and Indic. neritōstu. From these were then made by false etymological division birist du (thu) etc., in which process the 2. sg. bist, which had already previously come into existence for bis after the analogy of the preterite-presents kanst tarst, helped to set the model.

But beside these still appear in OHG. wili = Goth. vileis (Lat. velīs), $b\bar{a}ri$ = Goth. $b\bar{e}reis$ etc., which represent the undisturbed development of the West Germanic ending $-\bar{\iota}z$ (cp. von Fierlinger Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 430 ff.).

6. Later than the shortening mentioned under 1. occurred the shortening which was experienced in polysyllabic words by the long vowel, after which $-^{n}$ (4.) or -z (5.) had been dropped, and by $-\bar{e}$ and $-\bar{o}$ (§ 83 p. 79) from $-a_{\bar{i}}$ and $-a_{\bar{i}}$, which were either already final in prim. Germ. or had become so after the loss of -z (5.), as well as by the $-\bar{v}$ (2.) which had arisen from $-i\bar{v}i$. This shortening also, as it seems, occurred already in the period of the West Germ. prim. community.

OHG. hano from *hanō("). tago from *dagō("). managi menigi from *mana \overline{z} ō("); the form managō existing beside this had its \overline{z} after the analogy of the other cases (managōn).

OHG. 2. sg. wili from *wilī(z). $b\bar{a}ri$ from * $b\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}(z)$. Nom. pl. gesti 'guests' from * $zast\bar{\imath}(z)$ prim. Germ. *zastijiz (Goth. gasteis); cp. beside this $dr\bar{\imath}$ 'three' (Goth. preis). Nom pl. masc. taga 'days' =: Goth. $dag\bar{o}s$. Nom. pl. geba 'gifts' == Goth. $gib\bar{o}s$; I leave it undecided whether the form $geb\bar{a}$ had its long vowel from other cases with long vowel or from once present secondary (originally oxytoned) forms in $-\bar{o}s$ (§ 583).

OHG. nom. pl. masc. blinte 'blind' = Goth. blindái; beside this $d\bar{e}$ 'the' = Goth. $p\acute{a}i$; in $andr\bar{e}$, which is met with twice, the $-\bar{e}$ was transferred from $d\bar{e}$. Loc. sg. tage from prim. Germ. * $d\bar{a}za\dot{a}$ (cp. § 659, 2. 3). 3. sg. opt. bere 'he may bear' from prim. Germ. * $tera\dot{a}(d)$ = Goth. $tera\dot{a}(d)$

OHG. 2. sg. imper. neri 'make whole, heal' from *nazī, prim. Germ. *naziįi Indg. *nosėje.

§ 662. Initial sounds.

- 1. Prim. Germ. χ from k seems to have undergone the transition to h first initially before vowels, perhaps already in the prim. Germ. period. *hunda-n 'hundred' Goth. hund OHG. hunt etc. See § 529.
- 2. wr-, wl- became r-, l- and hw-, hr-, hl-, hn- became w-, r-, l-, n- in OHG., e. g. riz 'stroke' = Goth. $vrit\acute{s}$; waz older hwaz 'what' = Goth. hva. See §§ 178. 529.

ration, it is here merely a question of the various grades of stress with which the sounds were produced 1).

I cannot feel convinced that Nother's law only exhibits an arbitrary orthographical adaptation of fluctuating spelling (cp. Steinmeyer Ztschr. f. deutsch. Altert. XVI 139, Scherer Z. Gesch. d. d. Spr. ² 143), but believe that there existed a real observation of an actual difference.

Baltic-Slavonic.

§ 663. Finals in prim. Balt.-Slavonic. The following changes seem to belong to this period.

- 1. $-\bar{o}m$ $-\bar{o}n$ became $-\bar{u}n$ (cp. 2.), whence Lith. $-\bar{u}$ O.Bulg. -y, e. g. Lith. gen. pl. $d\ddot{e}v\tilde{u}$ ($d\ddot{e}v\tilde{u}$) 'deorum', O.Bulg. nom. sg. kamy 'stone'. See § 92.
- 2. -m became -n, e. g. Lith. acc. sg. $t\tilde{q}$, dialectically tan $t\tilde{o}n$ 'the', Indg. *to-m, O.Bulg. $s\tilde{u}n$ - $\tilde{e}sti$ 'comedere', Indg. *som. See §§ 217. 218. 219.
- 3. -t and -d were dropped. 3. sg. opt. Lith. $te\text{-}ve\check{z}\check{e}$ O.Bulg. vezi (Lith. $ve\check{z}\check{u}$ O.Bulg. veza 'I drive, ride') = Skr. $v\acute{a}h\bar{e}t$ Indg. * $ye\bar{g}ho\underline{i}$ -t. O.Bulg. 3. sg. aor. veze = Skr. $v\acute{a}hat$ Indg. * $ye\bar{g}he$ -t, 3. pl. aor. veza = Skr. $v\acute{a}han$ Indg. * $ye\bar{g}ho$ -nt. Lith. nom. acc. sg. neut. $v\check{e}\check{z}\bar{a}$ (see Kurschat Gramm. d. lit. Spr. § 1345) from * $ve\check{z}ant$, cp. Gr. $\varphi\acute{e}ov$ from * $\varphi\acute{e}ov\tau$. O.Bulg. to 'the' = Skr. $t\acute{a}d$ Indg. * $t\acute{o}$ -d. It is less certain that the gen. sg. Lith. $vi\~{l}ko$ O.Bulg. $vl\~{u}ka$ 'of a wolf' corresponds to the abl. Skr. $vfk\bar{a}d$ Lat. $lup\bar{o}(d)$, see footnote to page 108.

Rem. The assumption of some scholars, that -r was dropped in the period of the Balt.-Slav. prim. community, is exceedingly uncertain. Lith. mote wife O.Bulg. mati mother and Lith. sest sister: (Skr. mātā

¹⁾ With our sound-law is compared a law which obtains in the middle and south Italian dialects as also in Sardinian, according to which we have e. g. sas cosas, but una gosa (like connosco: formiga), sos poveros, but su boveru (like ispingo: pobulu), sos tempos, but su dempu (like postu: isiadu) (Schuchardt Verhandl. der Leipz. Philolog.-Versamml. 1872 p. 208 and Romania III 1 ff.). Cp. also French gras, which had arisen from crassus after vowels (Neumann Ztschr. f. rom. Phil. VIII 255), and O.Ir. inna gert, inna duath (§ 658, 2).

 $sv\dot{a}s\ddot{a}$) prove nothing at all, cp. § 645, finals 4. That O.Bulg. nom. voda 'water' is identical in the ending with Gr. $\tilde{v}\delta\omega\varrho$ and voc. brate 'brother' with the ending in Gr. $\sigma\tilde{\omega}\tau e\varrho$, and that the loss of -r in the former case caused the transition to the \bar{a} -declension (acc. $voda\varrho$ etc.), and in the latter case to the o-declension (nom. acc. $brat\tilde{u}$ etc.), are mere hypotheses.

- § 664. Finals in Baltic, especially in Lithuanian.
- 1. Final short vowels, except -u, were dropped under certain conditions which are not clear. Lith. 3. sg. čsti and čst 'is': O.Bulg. jestř Gr. ἔστι. Instr. sg. aki-mì and aki-m̃ (nom. akì-s 'eye'): cp. O.Bulg. paṭǐ-mǐ (paṭǐ 'way') Skr. sánē-mi 'from of old, olim'. sukū-si and sukū-s 'I turn myself'. 2. pl. vēža-te and vēža-t 'ye drive, ride': O.Bulg. veze-te Gr. φέφε-τε. Voc. sg. mótyna and mótyn (nom. mótyna 'mother'): O.Bulg. ženo 'O wife' Gr. νύμφᾶ. From pirmà adv. 'before' arose the preposition pirm 'before'. The latter form shows that these shortenings took place in conditional finality.

-u suffered such a loss nowhere, as it seems. Neutr. gražu 'beautiful' (mase. gražu-s): ep. Gr. $\eta \delta v$. O.Lith. loc. pl. akisu (aki-s): ep. O.Bulg. pati-ch-u Skr. uvi-su (uvi-su 'ovis').

Thus disappeared dialectically also by 3. the -i, which had been shortened from $-\bar{\imath}$, in the feminine forms $t\acute{o}$ -ji 'the same' $\acute{a}ugusi$ part. pret. (to $\acute{a}ugu$ 'I grow'): $t\acute{o}j$ $\acute{a}ugus$.

2. e was dropped in the ending -es in a prehistoric period of Lithuanian. Nom. pl. ākmens 'stones', dùkters 'daughters' dĕszimts and deszimts 'decades': O,Bulg. kamen-e from *-es, Gr. θυγατέρ-ες, O.Bulg. desęt-e. Gen. sg. akmens, dukters: O.Bulg. kamen-e (§ 665, 4), O.Lat. salūt-es class. salūt-is (§ 81 rem. 1).

At a later period a, i, u also disappeared before -s.

sẽna-s and séns 'old' (on the accentuation cp. § 691 rem.), dễva-s and dễvs 'god': Skr. sána-s dēvá-s. This shortening of the nom. sg. of Indg. o-stems is more frequent in some Lith dialects than in others, but seems nowhere to be entirely wanting. I conjecture that it had its beginning in forms of three or more syllables like óbûla-s 'apple' ãvina-s 'ram' and participles in -dama-s.

-i disappeared only in forms of three or more syllables. Instr. pl. akims beside akimis, mergoms beside mergomis (merga 'girl'); ep. Skr. -bhiš.

So also u. Dat. pl. vilkáms from O.Lith. vilkamus (cp. § 691 rem.). The form may be identical with O.Bulg. vlŭkomŭ, see the accidence.

3. Long vowels and also \ddot{e} and \mathring{u} were shortened in final syllables, if they had the broken accent (§ 691); see Leskien Archiv. f. slav. Phil. V 188 ff. Since a appears for \ddot{o} , it may be assumed that this shortening took place, when \ddot{a} or at least very open \ddot{o} was still pronounced for \ddot{o} (cp. § 108).

Nom. sg. fem. gerà 'bona' from *gerá, cp. the compound form $ger \acute{o}$ -ji, so too $t\grave{a}$ 'the' beside $t\acute{o}$ -ji: cp. Gr. $\gamma \acute{\omega} \rho \bar{\alpha}$. Instr. sg. fem. gerà beside gerá-ja, so too tà beside tá (the latter originally only proclitic); the ending $-\tilde{a}$ from *- $\tilde{a}m$. Acc. pl. fem. geràs beside gerás-ias, so too tàs beside tás (the latter to be judged like tá); -ás from *-áns. Nom. sg. fem. patì 'wife, spouse' from *patí : cp. Skr. deví 'goddess'. Nom. acc. du. naktì (nakti-s 'night') žmogù (žmogù-s 'man') from *naktī *žmogū : O.Bulg. nošti (nošti 'night') syny (synŭ 'son'). 3. sg. fut. bùs rìs beside 1. sg. búsiu 'I shall be' rýsiu 'I shall swallow'. Nom. pl. masc. qeri beside qeri; (beside this strangely $t\bar{e}$): cp. Gr. καλοί, τοί. Nom. acc. du. fem. gerì beside gerë-ji, so also dvì 'two': Skr. ášvē, dvé, Indg. -aj. Instr. sg. masc. gerù beside gerů'-ju: cp. Lat. modo, Indg. -ō. Nom. acc. du. masc. gerù beside $qer \hat{u}' - ju$, so also $d\hat{u}$ from $*dv \hat{u}'$ (§ 184): cp. Gr. $\tilde{u}\pi\pi\omega$, δω-δεκα. 1. sg. sukù 'I turn' beside the reflex. sukù-si su $k\tilde{u}'$ -s (1.).

Rem. Compare the retention of long vowels with slurred accent in gen. sg. $an\tilde{o}$ 'illius', gen. pl. $merg\tilde{u}$ 'puellarum' gen. sg. $merg\tilde{o}s$ 'puellae', gen. sg. $nakt\tilde{e}s$ etc.

Such shortening also before u, i, r, l+s. It shows itself here by the broken accentuation passing into the slurred. Compar. $geria\bar{u}s$ adv. 'better' beside geriausia 'best'. 3. sg. fut. $ga\bar{u}s$, $kela\bar{u}s$, $pa-le\bar{\imath}s$, $ge\bar{\imath}s$, $ke\bar{\ell}s$ beside 1. sg. gausiu 'I shall get', kelausiu 'I shall travel', pa-leisiu 'I shall let loose', gersiu 'I shall drink', kelsiu 'I shall raise'. Cp. the author Lit. Volksl. u. Märch. p. 315, Bezzenberger in his Beitr. X 202 ff., and § 691 rem. below.

- 4. Prim. Balt. $-\delta i$ $-\delta i$ became -ui -ai. Dat. sg. masc. $vi\tilde{l}kui$: Gr. $\lambda v'z \omega$. Dat. sg. fem. $ra\tilde{n}kai$ 'to a hand' tai 'to the': Gr. $\chi \omega \varrho a$, $\tau \tilde{\eta}$ (Dor. $\tau \tilde{e}$). With this compare $vilka\tilde{i}s$ § 148.
- 5. Assimilation of final nasals to explosives and spirants of different organs, as in kita 'in alium' im pona 'in dominum'. The treatment of -n (= Indg. -n -m) in other respects has already been discussed in § 218.
- 6. -s became assimilated to following sz- and ž-. věnaszóvé 'one shot' = věnas szóvé. tókiožůvys 'such fishes' = tókios žůvys. So also s- following -sz. asiūsiu 'I shall send' = àsz siūsiu. isvéto 'out of the world' = asz svéto.
- 7. Of the numerous other final changes of the Lithuanian dialects, suffice it here to mention the shortening in certain districts of \bar{o} , \acute{e} , y, \bar{u} to a, e, i, u, which took place at the end of words and before final single consonants, if the syllable did not bear the chief accent. Gen. sg. $ra\bar{n}kas$ of a hand (standard Lith. $ra\bar{n}kos$) beside $merg\bar{o}s$ of a girl. Nom. sg. $merg\bar{e}le$ girl (stand. Lith. $merg\bar{e}l\dot{e}$) beside $srov\tilde{e}$ a flowing. Nom. pl. $p\bar{a}tis$ ipsi (stand. Lith. $p\bar{a}tys$), sunus sons (stand. Lith. $sun\bar{u}s$). This shortening was caused by the expiratory accentuation.
 - § 665. Finals in Slavonic, especially O.Bulg.
- 1. In prim. Slav. o became u before nasals in final syllables. *ulku-n 'the wolf' (O.Bulg. vliki) from *ulqo-m: Skr. vfka-m Gr. $\lambda \acute{v} \times o-\nu$. *nesu-n 'I carried away' (O.Bulg. $nes\check{u}$) from * $ne\hat{k}o-m$: Skr. $\acute{a}bhara-m$ Gr. $\acute{e}\varphi \in o-\nu$. *ulku-ns acc. 'wolves', whence further by § 219 *ulki (O.Bulg. vliky): Gr. Cret. $\lambda \acute{v} \times o-\nu_S$ Goth. vulfa-ns.
- 2. Then the -n of the endings -un, -in, -ūn was dropped, and there arose -ŭ, -ĭ, -y, the historical endings of O.Bulg. Acc. synŭ 'son': Skr. sūnú-m. Acc. vlŭkŭ: Skr. výka-m (1.). Acc. gostĭ 'guest': cp. Skr. ávi-m 'ovem'. Nom. kamy 'stone': cp. Gr. ἄκμων (§ 663, 1).

Rem. The ending of the gen. pl. -ŭ, e. g. vlŭkŭ 'of wolves' mater-ŭ 'of mothers', must be explained from -om. See the accidence.

So also prim. Slav. -en and -in (the latter = Indg. -n, -n) lost the nasal and there arose -e. Nom. acc. sg. neutr. polje 'field' from *polie-n, older *polio-m. Acc. sg. mater-e from *mater-in. See § 219 p. 186 f.

On the other hand $-\bar{a}n$ and $-\bar{e}n$ became nasal vowels. Acc. sg. fem. $\check{z}ena$ 'wife': ep. Skr. $\acute{a}\acute{s}v\bar{a}-m$ Lat. equa-m. Nom. acc. sg. neutr. ime 'name' from * $\bar{\imath}-m\bar{e}n$. See § 219 p. 186 f.

3. Indg. prim. Balt.-Slav. $-\bar{a}_{\dot{i}}$ became $-a_{\dot{i}}$ and fell together with Indg. $-a_{\dot{i}}$ $-o_{\dot{i}}$ and $-\bar{e}$ in $-\bar{e}$. In historical times there appears partly -i, e. g. O.Bulg. nom. pl. masc. ti = Lith. $t\tilde{e}$ Gr. $\tau o'$, mati 'mother' = Lith. $mot\tilde{e}$, partly $-\bar{e}$, e. g. dat. sg. fem. $rac\tilde{e}$ 'to a hand' = Lith. $ra\tilde{n}kai$ (§ 664, 4), loc. sg. masc. $vl\tilde{u}c\tilde{e}$ ($vl\tilde{u}k\tilde{u}$ 'wolf') = OHG. wolfe (§ 659, 2). To what this duality -i and $-\tilde{e}$ is due, remains undetermined. See §§ 76. 84.

Indg. prim. Balt.-Slav. $-\bar{o}y$ became -oy, further $-\bar{u}$. Loc. sg. synu: Skr. $s\bar{u}n\bar{u}$ Goth. $sun\acute{u}$ (§ 659, 3).

4. Originally final -s and the -s which later became final, were dropped. Nom. sg. synĭ: Lith. sūnì-s. Nom. pl. synov-e: Skr. sūnáv-as. Gen. sg. kamen-e: Lith. akmeň-s (§ 664, 2). Nom. acc. sg. neutr. nebo 'sky': Skr. nábhas Gr. νέφος. 2. sg. νεze 'thou drovest': Skr. νάhα-s. 2. sg. opt. νεzi: Skr. νάhē-š. Acc. pl. syny from *sūnūs, older *sūnuns (§ 219): cp. Goth. sunu-ns; νlŭky (1.): Goth. νulfα-ns; kraję (krajī 'edge') from *krājens, older *kājo-ns (§§ 84. 219). 2. 3. sg. da (1. sg. dachŭ 'I gave', 2. pl. daste) from *dās; prim. Balt.-Slav. 2. sg. *dōs-s 3. sg. *dōs-t. 2. 3. sg. ja (1. sg. jasŭ 'I ate' prim. f. *ēts-o-m i. e. *ēd+s-o-m, rt. ed- 'eat') from *ēs; prim. Balt.-Slav. 2. sg. *ēts-s 3. sg. *ēts-t.

This loss of -s was probably brought about in absolute finality and before words beginning with a consonant. See § 281 rem. 3.

5. -ĭ and -ŭ disappeared in the O.Bulg. period, after imparting their timbre to the preceding consonants, e. g. patem(v), patech(v). See §§ 36. 52. The transition of -ŭ to o in combinations like iz-bavito i (ħ) 'liberat eum' iz-bavljeto i (ħ) liberant eum' etc. is to be explained from the fact that the

pronoun, which was attached enclitically, was still only spoken as j: from $-t\tilde{u}$ j(i) arose -to $j(\tilde{i})$ like $domoch(\tilde{u})$ from $dom\tilde{u}ch(\tilde{u})$, see § 52.

§ 666. Initials in Baltic-Slavonic.

1. i and u frequently arose before initial vowels. The phonetic condition was that the preceding word ended in a vowel. i and u represent the movement of transition.

Lith. i. j-iñti 'to take'. j-iñ 'in'. j-irkla-s 'oar'. j-yrà 'is'. j-ëszkóti 'to seek': OHG. eiscōn. pri-si-j-ësti 'to gorge oneself'. su-j-ësti 'comedere'. lẽpė-j-eiti 'he bid go'. Also j-añt 'up', j-àsz 'T' etc. j- seems to have been entirely generalised only in jëszkóti and in j-ùnkti 'to become accustomed' (O.Bulg. v-ykną 'I learn' Goth. bi-ūhts 'accustomed'); association with jùnkti 'to yoke' (rt. jeug-) formed a factor in the latter word.

Lith. u. v-ugn \hat{i} -s 'fire'. v- $\hat{u}p\dot{e}$ 'river'. v-oszk \hat{u} 'goat'. v- \hat{u} ' $szv\dot{e}$ 'mother-in-law'. So far as I know v became fixed nowhere before u- and o-, whilst in some dialects it became permanent before \hat{u} - and entirely supplanted the form with \hat{u} - (\hat{u} ' $szv\dot{e}$). Here probably also belongs the v- in v- \tilde{e} na-s 'unus', which must be very old, since it occurs permanently in Lettic (w- \hat{i} ns) and in the whole of Lithuanian.

Slav. i. j-estĭ 'is': Lith. ĕsti, cp. něstĭ from *neestĭ § 607. j-elenĭ 'stag': Gr. ĕλαqο-ς. jastĭ 'eats' from *'j-ĕstĭ (§ 76): Lith. ĕsti; but iz-ĕstĭ 'consumes'. imą 'I seize' from *'j-ĭma, inf. j-ṛti: Lith. imù imti, j-imù j-imti; but iz-īma iz-ṭti 'to take out'. j-ṭza 'illness' from *ṛnzā, prim. f. *nghā, from rt. angh-. j-ṭzykŭ 'tongue': Pruss. insuwis. j-ablūko and ablūko 'apple'. j-aza and aza 'cord'. j-utro and utro 'morning'.

¹⁾ Further examples for Slav. j- and v- in Miklosich's Vergl. Gramm. I ² 198 f. 234 f.

- Rem. 1. We must leave it for a more thorough investigation to determine, between what vowel qualities j- and v- were regularly developed in each single case and how far levelling out has taken place, further, whether anything prevents our assigning the beginning of the development of these glides to the Baltic-Slavonic primitive community (cp. Lith. -j-ësti O.Bulg. jasti etc.).
- 2. e- uniformly became a- in certain Lith. districts, e. g. asù = esù 'I am', $a\~siu = e\~siu$ 'I shall go' (but at-e $\~siu$, isz-e $\~siu$ etc.). If we remember that every consonant before e had a palatalised pronunciation in the dialects in question, this change is easily explained as an affection of absolute initiality.
- 3. In Slav. ě- (from older oż-) became i-. $in\bar{u}$ 'one' = Lat. oino-s $\bar{u}nu$ -s. See § 84.
- Rem. 2. We have probably no right to explain this change by assuming that i was first developed before oi- as a glide and that ioi-then became iei- ii- (cp. jichi § 84 p. 82). Such an i- before o has not yet, so far as I know, been established.

ACCENTUATION.

The nature of accentuation 1) and the accentuation of the Indg. languages in general.

§ 667. 1. Accentuation of syllables, words and sentences.

By accentuation in the widest sense is understood the gradation of a sentence according to the stress and pitch of its members.

In every separate syllable, i. e. in every quantity of sound produced with a single independent expiratory impulse, there is always one element which with respect to accentuation has the precedence of the rest, e. g. a in English man; this element is called the sonant of the syllable. The form of the tone movement in the whole of the syllable, the relation, in which non-sonant members of the syllable stand in respect of accentuation to one another and to the sonant, may differ, e. g.

¹⁾ Cp. among others Sievers Grundzüge der Phonet. 3 176 ff., Seelmann Die Ausspr. des Lat. 15 ff.

Lith. vìr-siu 'I shall cook' and vir-siu 'I shall fall', Gr. oï-zot loc. sg. and oī-zot nom. pl.

In a polysyllabic word there is always one syllable which by its accentuation takes precedence of the rest. This syllable is generally called simply the accented syllable. Syllables which have not the high tone or principal accent can also display different degrees of accentuation, which characterise the word. E. g. in Mod. English chérishing, forgétfulness the final syllable is more strongly accented than the penultimate, in Mod.HG. ábend-dämmerung 'evening twilight' the antepenultimate more strongly than the other syllables which have not the principal accent and these latter again show gradations among themselves. Wordaccent is thus the relative characteristic of all the syllables of a word.

The word seldom stands alone; generally it is the member of a sentence which in phonetic just as in syntactical relations forms a complete unity. Within this whole again there are words with higher, others with lower accent-points. Cp. e. g. the accentuation of the sentence speak trúth and lie not. To the accentuation of the sentence belongs thus everything, which bears upon the different accentuation of the words as members of a sentence.

2. Expiratory and musical (chromatic, tonic) accentuation.

If the preeminence of the sonantal element consists in the greater force, with which the breath-current is expelled (voice-stress), we have expiratory accent; if on the other hand in a raising of the voice above its ordinary level (voice-pitch) we have musical accent. The latter may be heard e. g. in the final syllable of our *really*, when spoken in a tone of surprised enquiry.

Rem. 1. For accentuation, particularly expiratory, quantity also requires attention. Languages with considerable differences of accent-stress, as German and English, have generally also more considerable differences in the duration of syllables than languages, which, like Romance, Slavonic and Mod.Gr., form their syllables with less varying stress. The treatment of syllables, which do not bear the principal accent in languages

where the accent is strongly expiratory, often shows very distinctly, how the different quantity of these syllables and the different grades of the secondary accent stand in mutual relation to one another.

None of the Indg. languages had and has exclusively expiratory and none exclusively musical accentuation. But one of the two methods of accentuation always appears in the stages of development accessible to our observation as dominant and typical for the history of sounds. Essentially expiratory accent appears in Old Armenian, in the Old Italic dialects, in Keltic, Germanic and Lithuanian, essentially musical in Sanskrit and Old Greek; we have no satisfactory information concerning the accentuation of the Old Iranian dialects and of the Old Bulgarian.

3. Three accent-grades.

Between the highest imaginable and the lowest imaginable grade of accentuation there are, strictly speaking, innumerable intermediate grades. For all practical purposes it is sufficient to distinguish three grades. The highest grade of the expiratory accent we designate the principal accent, that of the musical accent high tone, the lowest grade absence of accent (also loss of accent) and low tone. Between these lie secondary accent and medium tone.

Rem. 2. The grammatical terminology here roughly resembles that for the positions of articulation of the lingual palatals; here as there we have to deal with an unbroken graded series, and the ordinary division into dentals etc. leaves free scope, since such division fails to draw sharply defined limits.

4. The different forms of syllabic accent.

Expiratory accent. An expiration, simply allowed to die away, contains but one point of expiration. If on the other hand fluctuations in the expiratory impulse take place, still other points become perceptible alongside the principal point; these owing to their smaller force are felt as subordinate to the principal point. Where the accentuation has only one point, the sonant, the bearer of the syllabic accent, is separated from the following consonant simply by the change of position in the organs of articulation, it is cut off by the consonant, and such accent is called clear cut accent ('), e. g. English hát. Where

the accentuation has two (') the second point may still fall upon the sonant of the syllable, e. g. Mod.G. $s\tilde{o}$ (in certain cases), or on the consonant immediately following, e. g. $m\tilde{an}$ (Thuringian).

Musical accent. Whilst the sonant or the syllable lasts, the voice remains on the same level (level tone), or ascends from its level (rising tone), or descends (falling tone), or within the same syllable both rises and falls, or falls and rises (musical circumflex). The level tone also combines in different ways with the rising and falling tones, e. g. / .

These differences of accentuation hold good for all syllables. not merely for principal accented and hightone syllables, in which alone according to the ordinary mode of marking accentuation they are usually indicated. This conclusion is established by the following considerations. In Lithuanian the nom. sg. *várnā 'crow' became várna, just as *gerá became gerà, and the gen. sg. tilto 'of the bridge' retained the length of the -ō just like ano (§ 664, 3). The final syllables of *várnā and *tilta (tilto) had thus an accent of the same form as the final syllables of *qerā and *anā (anō)1). In Greek lowtone final -ou was counted long for the word-accentuation, in case the syllable, if bearing the accent, shows the circumflex, e. g. loc. sg. oizoi beside $I\sigma\theta\mu\sigma\tilde{i}$, on the other hand it was treated as short where the syllable, if bearing the high tone, shows the acute, e.g. nom. pl. olzor beside zaloi; the -or of olzor was thus circumflexed. but the -oi in ofinoi had the acute.

§ 668. Our means for determining the accentuation in the older periods of the Indg. languages are the works of the native grammarians, metre, the accent marks of the texts handed down to us, the modifying influences, exercised by the accent on the sounds, and the accentuation in those living languages which form the continuation of these older stages of development.

¹⁾ Native Lithuanian scholars affirm that the differences of syllabic accent appear also in syllables which do not bear the principal accent, that e. g. in the instr. sg. kirviù the same slurred ('geschliffen') accentuation is heard in the first syllable as in the nom. sg. kirvis 'axe'.

Notices of grammarians we possess for Sanskrit and the classical languages. They are not precise enough to furnish us with a clear and complete picture of the accentuation.

Metre hardly requires consideration elsewhere than in HG. For the same languages we have accented texts. system of indicating accent is however very incomplete. The Hindoos marked with the udatta only the word-accent, without betokening the differences of accentuation between the syllables which had not the high tone and without taking account of the kind of emphasis, the quality of syllabic accentuation. tence accent was indicated only in so far, as under certain circumstances words with subordinate tone in the sentence as a whole, remained without mark of accent. In Greek the tone movement, which was worked out within the individual syllable, was expressed by the distinction of acute and circumflex, only however, if the syllable was the most prominent in the word. Both accents thus served at the same time to indicate the position of the high tone in the word. First attempts at indicating sentence-accent were the use of the grave (e. g. βασιλεύς εγένετο but εγένετο βασιλιύς, περί τούτου but τούτου πέρι) and the non-accentuation of words.

From sound-changes we may often gather what the accentuation was. Reduction and total loss of syllables point to a more strongly developed expiratory accentuation. If the accent-force of several syllables is concentrated upon one, those left without force lessen their duration and firmness. Thus the position of the principal tone is at once recognisable.

Conclusions, drawn from the younger periods of languages, are not to be applied to the older without great care. As the languages, whose course of development we can trace through considerable periods of time, show, the accentuation of a language may undergo complete modification in a comparatively short time. Only where on the whole a coincidence in the accentuation of earlier and later periods seems already probable on other grounds, ought the younger phases of language to be adduced, e.g. in HG., to clear up the phenomena of the older periods.

Primitive Indg. period 1).

§ 669. The position of the word-accent can be arrived at through comparison of Sanskrit, Greek and prim. Germanic

1) Of the older works on the accentuation of the Indg. languages in general or of single Indg. languages we may mention here: L. Benloew De l'accentuation dans les langues indo-européennes, 1847, F. Bopp Vergleichendes Accentuationssystem, 1854, C. Göttling Allgem. Lehre vom Accent der griech. Sprache, 1835, W. Corssen Über Aussprache, Vokalismus und Betonung der lat. Sprache II² (1870) p. 794 ff.; cp. the list of literature in F. Misteli's Über griech. Betonung, 1875, p. 3 ff.

Modern literature. General: L. Masing Die Hauptformen des serbisch-chorwatischen Accents nebst einleitenden Bemerkungen zur Accentlehre insbesondere des Griechischen und des Sanskrit, 1876. A. Hillebrandt Zur Lehre von den starken und schwachen Casus, Bezzenb. Beitr. II 305 ff. (cp. also X 318 ff.). — Sanskrit: R. Garbe Das Accentuationssystem des altind. Nominalcompositums, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIII 470 ff. W. D. Whitney Sanskrit Grammar, 1879 p. 29 ff. F. Knauer Über die Betonung der Composita mit a priv. im Sanskrit, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 1 ff. - Greek: F. Misteli Über griech. Betonung, 1875, Erläuter. zur allgem. Theorie der griech. Betonung, 1877. J. Wackernagel Der griech. Verbalaccent, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIII 457 ff. L. Schroeder Die Accentgesetze der homer. Nominalcomposita, mit denen des Veda verglichen, ibid. XXIV 101 ff. Th. Benfey Die eigentliche Accentuation des ind. praes. von & und pa sowie einiger griech. Präpositionen, in: Vedica und Linguistica, 1880. F. Blass Über die Aussprache des Griech., 1882, p. 106 ff. M. Bloomfield Historical and critical remarks, introductory to a comparative study of Greek accent, Americ. Journ. of Philol. IV 21 ff. J. Kuhl Die Bedeutung des Accents im Homer, Progr. von Jülich, 1883. R. Meister Bemerkungen zur dor. Accentuation, in: Zur griech. Dialekto-Brugmann Griech. Gramm. in I. Müller's Handbuch d. logie, 1883. klass. Altert.-Wiss. II 48 ff. F. Hanssen Der griech. Circumflex stammt aus der Ursprache, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 612 ff. B. J. Wheeler Der griech. Nominalaccent, 1885. - Latin: F. Schöll De accentu linguae Latinae, Acta soc. phil. Lips. VI 1 ff. R. Kühner Ausführl. Gramm. der Lat. Sprache I 145 ff. F. Hartmann Ein merkwürdiger Fall von Verbalenklise im Lateinischen, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 549 ff. E. Seelmann Die Ausspr. des Latein, 1885, p. 15 ff. F. Stolz Lat. Gramm. in I. Müller's Handb. d. klass. Altert.-Wiss. II 192 ff., Gibt es wirklich gar keine Spuren einer älteren Betonung des Lat.?, in: Wien. Stud. VIII 149 ff. - Keltic: H. Zimmer Über altir. Betonung und Verskunst = Kelt. Stud. II, 1884. R. Thurneysen L'accentuation de l'ancien verbe irlandais, Rev. Celt. VI 129 ff., Zur ir. Accent- und Verslehre, ibid. VI 309 ff. - Germanic: K. Verner Eine Ausnahme der ersten Lautverschiebung, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIII 97 ff. E. Sievers Zur Accent- und Lautlehre der

(Verner's law). The word-accent was free, that is, unrestricted either by number of syllables or by questions of quantity. E. g. *pətē(r) 'father': Skr. pitā, Gr. πατήρ, Goth. fadar O.Icel. faðer faðir prim. Germ. *faðer. *bhrátō(r) 'brother': Skr. bhrátā Gr. φράτωρ Goth. brōþar prim. Germ. *bróþōr. *οktó 'eight': Skr. aṣṭā, Gr. ὀντώ. *dṛkônt-es nom. pl. part. act. from derk- 'see': Skr. dṛṣʿánt-as Gr. δρακόντ-ες. *ei-mi 'I go' *i-més 'we go': Skr. émi imás. *lelip-nmé or *lelip-əmé 1. pl. indic. perf. act. from leip- 'smear, stick to': Skr. lilipimá Goth. bi-libum prim. Germ. *libumí. *μοττέἰο̄ causat. from μert- 'turn': Skr. vartáyāmi Goth. fra-vardja prim. Germ. *ματδίἰο̄. Sanskrit preserved most accurately the word-tone, nevertheless we find even in this language also not a few instances of shifting, see § 672.

For the relation to one another of the syllables of a word which do not bear the high tone (§ 667, 3 p. 529) we have no certain information as regards the time immediately before the breaking up of the Indg. prim. community. From certain fluctuations perceptible in the historical periods and affecting the accentuation of certain classes of genuine nominal compounds, owing to which the accent rested sometimes on the first, sometimes on the second member of the compound, we may conclude that the less accented member had preserved as medium tone the high tone originally belonging to it as an independent word, just as the syllable -zieh- in Mod.HG.

germ. Sprachen, 1878. F. Kluge Das germ. Accentgesetz, in: Beitr. zur Gesch. der german. Conjug. p. 131 ff., Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVI 68 ff. W. Scherer Zur Gesch. d. deutsch. Sprache 2 p. 75 ff. H. Paul Zum Verner'schen Gesetz, Paul-Braune's Beitr. VI 538 ff. and elsewhere. — Lithuanian: F. Kurschat, Gramm. der littau. Sprache, 1876, p. 57 ff. A. Baranowski and H. Weber Ostlitauische Texte, 1882, p. XV sqq. A. Leskien Die Quantitätsverhältnisse im Auslaut des Litauischen, Arch. f. slav. Philol. V 188 ff. Bezzenberger Zur lit. Accentuation, in his Beitr. X 202 ff. — Slavonic: L. Masing Die Hauptformen etc., see above. Nemanić Čakavisch-Kroatische Studien, 1. Accentlehre 1883—85, Sitzungsber. der Wiener Akad. B. 104. 105. 108. J. Hanusz Über die Betonung der Substantiva im Kleinrussischen, ein Beitrag zur vergleichenden Accentlehre im Slav., 1883. A. Leskien Untersuchungen über Quantität und Betonung in den slav. Sprachen, I, 1885.

wéchsel-beziehungen still exhibits the word-accent of beziehungen or the syllable -tai- in Lith. vaīk-pataīkis ('ne'er-do-well') that of pataīkis (§ 691 end). The misplacing of the high tone in the former case resembles that in the German unbeschréiblich beside unbeschrèiblich, ungemein beside ungemein etc.

So far as sentence-accent is concerned, the enclitic use of certain words and categories of words in Sanskrit, Greek etc. was doubtless inherited from the period of the prim. community.

Thus enclitic were:

Certain particles, as *qe 'and' : Skr. ca Gr. $\tau \varepsilon$ Lat. que; * $\psi \check{e}$ 'or' : Skr. va vā Gr. * $f \varepsilon$ in $\mathring{\eta} - \mathring{\varepsilon}$ from * $\mathring{\eta} - f \varepsilon$ (§ 677) Lat. ve.

The interrogative pronouns (st. *qo- and *qi-: Skr. ka- and ci-, Gr. π o- and τ i-, Lat. quo- and qui-), if they had an indefinite meaning, ep. e. g. Gr. τ i- ς 'who?' and $dv\eta'\varrho$ $\tau\iota\varsigma$.

The personal pronouns, if no contrast of meaning prevailed, as between I and thou etc., cp. e. g. the enclitic Skr. $m\bar{e}$ Gr. $\mu\omega$ O.Bulg. mi 'to me' ¹).

Further, probably in many instances, the vocative, see § 672;

Lastly the finite verb also to a large extent, always if the temporal particle *é, the augment, immediately preceded it, e. g. *é $dr\hat{k}et$ 'he looked': Skr. ádršat Gr. č $\delta\rho\alpha\kappa\epsilon$; apparently already in the period of the Indg. prim. community an agglutination had taken place here which obliterated the feeling for é as an independent word. Further, the verb in the principal sentence was frequently appended with the low tone to those adverbs which appear in the separate languages as prepositions, as *pró bherō = Skr. prá bharāmi²); more rarely the verb was welded into a unity with living case-forms. But apart from such definite categories of words with which the verb showed a special pre-

¹⁾ It is assumed that this enclitic use had occasioned the weakening of a form * $t\mu o i$ to *to i 'to thee' (Skr. $t\bar{e}$ Gr. $\tau o \iota$ O.Bulg. ti). Cp. § 187.

²⁾ So also the passive participles and verbal abstracts with suffix -ti- had the tone on the prefix, e. g. *próbhyto-s *próbhyti-s (Skr. prá-bhyta-s prábhyti-š) beside *bhytó-s *bhytí-s (Skr. bhytá-s bhytí-š).

ference for enclitic connexion, the verb in principal sentences had the low tone. In these it preserved its accent in Sanskrit only when the first word of a sentence (§ 672).

§ 670. Did the expiratory or the musical accentuation preponderate at the time of the breaking up of the primitive community?

The reduction and rejection of vowels point to a more strongly developed expiratory accent. This method of accentuation therefore must be referred to that period of the Indg. primitive language in which the syllable preceding the principal tone underwent the weakenings, mentioned in § 310 ff., when e. g. * $pet-\acute{e}$ - and * $der\acute{k}-\acute{e}$ - became * $pt\acute{e}$ - and * $dr\acute{k}\acute{e}$ -. That between these processes and the close of the primitive language a certain time had elapsed, is shown by the fact that the state of the language, phonetically produced by those accentual influences, was altered by a series of new formations which with good reason are referred to prim. Indo-Germanic.

Rem. E. g. dat. sg. *bhrárr-ai 'to the brother' (Skr. bhrátrē) had come in either for older *bhrátor-ai after the analogy *pɔtr-ái 'to the father' (Skr. pitrē) or for older *bhrtr-ái after the analogy of the stemform of the strong cases *bhrátor- (e. g. acc. *bhrátor-m). Nom. pl. *mātér-es 'mothers' (Skr. mātáras) with strong grade radical vowel beside *pɔtér-es must in like manner have been influenced by analogy. Prim. Indg. is also the levelling of *dérĥo-m *dṛĥé-s *dṛĥe-t *dérĥo-mc *dṛĥe-te *dérĥo-nt (rt. derĥ- 'see') on the one hand to *dérĥo-m *derĥe-s *dérĥe-t etc. (Skr. dárša-m dárša-s etc.), on the other hand to *dṛĥo-m *dṛĥe-s etc. (Skr. dýsá-m dýsá-s stc.).

Towards the end then of the Indg. primitive community the expiratory character of the accent seems to have no longer preponderated. The fact that Sanskrit and Greek with preponderantly musical accent show as separate languages hardly any phonetic changes which could be ascribed to the effects of expiratory accent, points to this conclusion 1). If at the time of the separation of the peoples and accordingly still in the oldest periods of their separate existence the accent had had

¹⁾ In Aryan the only difference to be considered is that of a = v and an = v (§§ 228. 230) and Skr. $y\bar{a}t$ -as beside $y\bar{a}nt$ -an (§ 198).

a strongly marked expiratory character, these two languages would doubtless not have preserved so faithfully and so unabbreviated the old inherited condition of the sonants. I am of opinion therefore — without venturing to express a final decision on a question so difficult and still so much requiring a comprehensive and thorough investigation —, that Skr. and Greek accentuation on the whole represent in the point tn question the method of accentuation which prevailed at the time of the separation. In the prim. Germanic development the Indg. accent again became more strongly expiratory; for it is to the strengthened breath-current of the syllable bearing the principal accent that we must ascribe the circumstance that the voiceless spirants (*brópar- 'brother' *kéysō 'I test') remained voiceless (see §§ 530. 581).

§ 671. The contrast between circumflex and acute in Greek and that of the slurred (geschliffen) and broken (gestossen) accent in Lithuanian appear to correspond to one another and to stand in historical connexion. Gr. nom. sg. $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{\alpha}$ nom. pl. $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \alpha i$ (orig. nom. du., see accidence): gen. sg. $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \tilde{\alpha} s = \text{Lith.}$ nom. sg. $ger \dot{o} - ji$ nom. du. $ger \dot{e} - ji$: gen. sg. $ger \dot{o} s - ios$. Nom. du. $ger \dot{\alpha} - ji$ nom. pl. $ger \dot{\alpha} - ji$: gen. pl. $ger \dot{\alpha} - ji$ loc. sg. $ger \dot{\alpha} - ji$ l

Rem. 1. Hanssen's attempt (Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 612 ff.), to establish this difference of syllabic accent for Germanic also, has, in my opinion, not succeeded.

Further the difference of accentuation in Skr. nom. $dy\bar{a}u\dot{s}$ and voc. $\widehat{dy\bar{a}u\dot{s}}$ and Gr. nom. $Z\varepsilon\dot{v'}\varsigma$ and voc. $Z\varepsilon\tilde{v}$ points to an original qualitative difference in Indo-Germanic (§§ 673. 677).

Hence it may be conjectured that already in the time of the Indg. primitive community, there existed different forms of syllabic accent.

Rem. 2. Owing to the incompleteness of the present investigation, I have taken no account in writing the Indg. prim. forms in this work of these different accents. In every care the word-accent has been written with the acute (').

Rem. 3. In Italic, Keltic and Germanic, a stress accent was developed on the first syllable of the word, which drove out the Indg. free accent. Thurneysen (Revue Celt. VI 313) conjectures historical connexion here and sets up 'a general West European regulation of accent'. The assumption of such a connexion is bold. For since in the special Germanic development the prim. Indg. word-tone still acted as a stress accent (Verner's law), the new Germanic accentuation would be a borrowing from Keltic, the Germanic tribes would through contamination have given up their native accentuation in favour of the Keltic: should not then Keltic influence reveal itself in other directions too in a far larger extent than is actually provable? Moreover Lettic and Czech show by their accentuation on the first syllable that languages can pass through the same revolution in accent quite independently. To the assumption of a connexion between Keltic and Italic accentuation I am less opposed. No other branches show so many special coincidences as Italic and Keltic.

× Aryan.

§ 672. With Sanskrit accentuation alone have we a tolerably intimate acquaintance.

It has been already remarked in § 669 that the Hindoos preserved on the whole the prim. Indg. position of the word-accent. Changes were doubtless due only to analogy; in one set of instances however it is impossible to decide whether the variation belonged to the Sanskrit or the prim. Aryan period.

Examples. For *ganvás 1. du. 'we come' (from *gn-uás, § 229) came gánvas after the singular forms like gánti; the formal similarity with the forms, accented on the root, which had arisen phonetically, occasioned this innovation, cp. alongside these ga-thás ga-tás etc. Presents with original á in the root syllable, as bhárāmi, caused the accent in those verbs whose root syllable had unaccented a to pass over to the root syllable: gáchāmi 'I come' for *gachāmi from *gn-skō (cp. ichāmi rchāmi etc.), dášāmi 'I bite' for *dašāmi from *dūkō (§ 224). The transition from mati-š to máti-š ('thought'), from pakti-š to pákti-š ('a cooking') etc. is connected with the circumstance that these nouns from the Indg. prim. period had a final and initial accentuation which varied in the different cases. The accentuation $pañcá\bullet$ (Atharvaveda V 15, 5) for páñca 'five' follows saptá 'seven'; cp. pañcábliš : saptábhiš.

As regards sentence accent Sanskrit appears to have preserved the old position almost invariably. At least the enclitic use of the particles, like ca vā u ha, of the forms of the personal pronouns, as $m\bar{e}$ $m\bar{a}$, of the vocative and of the verb must be regarded as Indg., as was already remarked p. 534. In independent sentences the verb had no accent unless at the beginning of a sentence, e. g. agnim īdē pur ohitam 'I praise Agni, the house-priest' (beginning of the Rigveda). The vocative had from the beginning the high tone on the first syllable, e. g. pitar 'O father' mátar 'O mother' (Gr. $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho$, $\mu \tilde{\eta} \tau \epsilon \rho$). It had however its independent high tone only at the beginning of a sentence, otherwise it was unaccented, e. g. idám indra śrnuhi 'this, O Indra, hear'. Just as this use appears to be original, so also the law may be old which requires the vocative, if connected with a word which more nearly defines it, to form in respect of accent a unity with that word, e. g. vásō sakhē or sákhē vasō O good friend', sáhasah sūnō or súnō sahasah 'O son of strength'. I conjecture that this practice in Sanskrit as in other languages, if it did not occasion, at any rate helped the formation of improper compounds, cp. e. g. Skr. jās-pati-š 'lord of the family', Gr. δεσ-πότης, νοc. δέσ-ποτα, 'house master' (§ 204 p. 171) 1), Διόσ-χουροι 'sons of Zeus', Lat. Juppiter (see § 612 p. 463), Dies-piter.

§ 673. According to the account of the native grammarians the Sanskrit accent was a musical one. It is obvious that there had also existed alongside that accent differences of voice stress. The expiratory accent cannot however have been very strong.

The high tone was called udatta, that is, 'raised' ('). Opposed to it was the anudatta, that is, 'unraised' (not-high tone).

The udātta was a simple rising tone. If the udātta-syllable was followed by two or more unaccented syllables, the first of these syllables had the so-called enclitic svarita ('): it was

¹⁾ The combination *dems-potis probably dates from the Indg. primitive period; op. Skr. pátir dán and dámpatiš, the latter perhaps for *dás-pati-, with substitution of the pause-form dán.

pronounced with falling tone, and its accent formed the natural transition from the high tone reached in the udātta-syllable to the low tone of the next syllable but one, e. g. bhrátàras 'fratres', vidúṣṭàrēbhyas 'doctioribus'.

There was, besides, the so-called independent svarita (^). It arose, when a high accented vowel was joined in the same syllable with a low accented vowel, e. g. $div\hat{v}va$ from $div\hat{i}$ iva, $\bar{e}v\hat{a}\dot{s}n\bar{v}y\bar{a}t$ from $\bar{e}v\hat{a}$ $a\dot{s}n\bar{v}y\bar{a}t$, $s\bar{o}brav\bar{v}t$ from $s\delta$ (a) $brav\bar{v}t$, $apsvant\hat{a}r$ from $aps\hat{u}$ ant $\hat{a}r$, $vy\bar{a}pti\dot{s}$ from $v\hat{i}$ - $\bar{a}pti$ - \dot{s} . This accent is defined as a compound accent, as a combination of a higher and a lower tone within the limits of a single syllable. It was rising-falling, the combination of the udatta with the enclitic svarita. It may be, accordingly, compared with the Greek circumflex (e. g. $\tau osi\hat{\varsigma}$ from $*\tau oisign$).

The contrast in accentuation between nom. $dy\bar{a}u\dot{\xi}$ and voc. $dy\bar{a}u\dot{\xi}$ appears to have descended from the period of the Indg. primitive community, since it corresponds to the contrast between Greek $Z\varepsilon\dot{v}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $Z\varepsilon\ddot{v}$. Cp. § 677.

Rem. Further peculiarities of Sanskrit accontuation in Whitney § 80 ff., L. Masing Die Hauptformen etc. p. 37 ff. 72 f. and elsewhere.

§ 674. As regards the accentuation of the Old Iranian dialects we have no information.

If the sound-law respecting the transition of r before k, p, t into a spirant which has been assumed by Bartholomae for Avestic and mentioned above in § 260, is correct, we must conclude that at the time when this sound-change took place, Avestic had in general the same word-accent as Sanskrit.

Armenian.

§ 675. That the accentuation of Old Armenian was once essentially expiratory, is shown by the numerous weakenings of syllables.

Since the vowels of the original final syllables in polysyllabic words disappeared (§ 651, 1), the accent must have been thrown back, cp. e. g. mrd 'man' from Indg. * $mrt\acute{o}$ -s (Skr. $mrt\acute{o}$ -s). And since i, u, \bar{e} , oi, ea remained unchanged

only in the originally penultimate syllables, while in earlier syllables i and u were rejected and \bar{e} , oi, eu were reduced to i, u, e (§§ 31. 47. 63. 79. 632), the accent must have been concentrated on the originally penultimate syllables.

Further conclusions depend upon the answer to be given to the question of the chronological relationship between the weakening in originally final syllables and the weakening in syllables earlier than the original penultimate. I believe we must assume with Hübschmann that the first mentioned weakening was earlier in time. Consequently we must conclude that in the first place a withdrawal of the accent from the final syllables in general took place, but that at the period when gen. *duster became dster, accentuation of the final syllable was the regular principle.

The abandonment of the prim. Indg. word-accent presupposes the development of an expiratory secondary accent, limited by number of syllables. This gradually overpowered and drove out the original accent.

Greek.

- § 676. The prim. Indg. freedom in the position of the word accent and the capability of the prim. language to use enclitically in the sentence words of any number of syllables whatever (particles, pronouns, forms of the finite verb), were materially limited in Greek through the development of a secondary accent, of the accent, which displays itself in the so-called law of three syllables. The chief points are as follow (cp. Wheeler's treatise, cited above p. 532.):

gurú-š. $\eta \delta v'$ - ς : $sv\bar{a}du'$ -š. $\mu \dot{\epsilon}\theta v$: $m \dot{a}dhu$. $v \dot{\epsilon} \phi o \varsigma$: $n \dot{a}bhas$. $\ddot{a}v \theta o \varsigma$: $\dot{a}n dhas$. $\epsilon \tilde{\iota} \mu \alpha$: $v \dot{a}sm \alpha$. Here belong also word-combinations like $\ddot{o}\varsigma$ $\tau \varepsilon$: Skr. $y \dot{a}\dot{s}$ $c \dot{a}$.

2. In disyllabic words with long final syllable and in all trisyllabic and polysyllabic words, as also in similar combinations under one accent, there was developed, in the period of the Greek primitive community, a secondary accent on the third mora from the end or, if the word ended in a trochee, on the fourth mora. Accordingly at the end of a word or combination of words not more than two, or in the case of a trochaic ending three morae could remain unaccented. In words with long final syllable the effect of the law was to permit only the acute and not the circumflex to stand on the penultimate. The secondary accent conquered the older accent, situated nearer to the beginning of the word.

Rem. 1. Almost all the forms of the finite verb had the recessive tone, cp. e. g. $l_{\mu\nu}$ $\delta\epsilon\delta_{\alpha\varrho}$ with Skr. imás dadárša. This is probably not to be explained exclusively from the enclitic use in the principal sentence (§ 669 p. 534). Nothing prevents our assuming that the accentuation of the subordinate sentence was still in force at the time when the secondary accent was developed. Then many forms of the principal sentence necessarily acquired the same accent as the forms of the subordinate sentence. *L $\phi\epsilon\varrho_0\nu\epsilon\nu$ of the principal sentence (Skr. L bharāmas) became $\phi\epsilon\varrho_0\nu\epsilon\nu$, the form of the subordinate sentence (Skr. L bharāmas), *L $\phi\epsilon\varrho_0\nu\epsilon\nu$ of the principal sentence (Skr. L bharāmahi) and * $\phi\epsilon\varrho_0\nu\epsilon\theta$ of the subordinate sentence (Skr. L bharāmahi) fell together in $\phi\epsilon\varrho_0\nu_0\nu\epsilon\theta$, etc. Such falling together of a part of the forms, led to considerable levellings, after the

¹⁾ We give the words as they exist in Ionic or Attic. Strictly speaking we ought to have given the prim. Greek forms.

analogy of the accentuation of the forms of the principal sentence, e. g. * $i\partial\sigma_i\mu\epsilon\nu$ of the subordinate sentence (Skr. $vid\acute{e}ma$) gave way to $i\partial\sigma_i\mu\epsilon\nu$ of the principal sentence.

Τhe newly developed accent had not suppressed the old one in $\tilde{\alpha}\nu\theta\varrho\omega\pi\acute{o}\nu$ τωα, $\tilde{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\acute{h}\acute{e}\varsigma$ που, $\sigma\check{\omega}\mu\acute{a}$ μου from * $\tilde{\alpha}\nu\theta\varrho\omega\pi\acute{o}\nu$ τωα, * $\tilde{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\acute{h}\acute{o}\varsigma$ που, * $\sigma\check{\omega}\mu\acute{a}$ μου. The accent, which the first word bore, if it was not burdened with any enclitic, was too strongly fixed in the mind, to have absolutely no value. We have thus to do with the operations of analogy. Such influence shows itself still more clearly in cases like $\sigma\check{\omega}\mu\acute{a}$ τε. If the development had not been disturbed we should have had $\sigma\acute{\omega}\mu \alpha$ τε (cp. $\sigma\check{\omega}\mu \alpha$: $\sigma\acute{\omega}\mu\alpha\tau\acute{o}\varsigma$); the Greek left the circumflex on $\sigma\check{\omega}\mu\alpha$ and accented after the analogy of $z\alpha\acute{h}\acute{o}\varsigma$ τε etc.

Irregular, too, is ἄλγεά τινων for *ἄλγεα τίνων (*ἀλγεα-τίνων), cp. $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \varrho$ $\eta \mu \omega \nu$; the accentuation here followed the analogy of ἄλγεά τινος, τινι etc. Conversely ἄλγεα $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ for *ἄλγεά $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ after ἄλγεα $\eta \mu \omega \nu$.

- 3. No changes took place if the position of the original accent and that of the secondary accent coincided. Voc. θύγατερ: Skr. dúhitar. ΰστερο-ς: úttara-s. Neut. ήδιον: svắdīyas. ἀπτώ-πους: aṣṭά-pāt. φίλος τις, Σωκράτης τις, πατήρ μου, ποταμοί τινες.
- 4. If the original accent stood nearer to the end of the word than the place of the secondary accent, the former partly remained and was partly thrown back.

The old tone had remained e. g. in $\gamma \varepsilon r \varepsilon r \eta \rho$: Skr. $janit \dot{\alpha}$; $l\alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} - \varsigma i\alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} - \varsigma i \varepsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} - \varsigma : i \dot{\varepsilon} i r \dot{\alpha} \dot{\varsigma} : n \alpha \chi \nu \lambda \dot{\alpha} - \varsigma : bahul \dot{\alpha} - \varsigma$

If the accent was thrown back we have to distinguish two cases.

a. Oxytons ending in a dactyl became paroxyton independently of the secondary accent. ἀγκύλο-ς καμπύλο-ς from *-νλό-ς: cp. παχυλό-ς. ἐροωμένος ἀκαχμένος λελειμμένος from *-μενό-ς: cp. Φαμενό-ς Τεισαμενό-ς and Skr. sasṛmāṇá-s; the accent of the forms of the perf. part. which ended in a dactyl was

generalised, hence $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\nu} \mu \varepsilon r \sigma - \zeta$ instead of * $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\nu} \mu \varepsilon r \sigma \delta - \zeta$ etc. $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma - \varphi \delta \varphi \sigma - \zeta$ from * $-\varphi \sigma \varphi \sigma \delta - \zeta$ cp. $\varphi \sigma \varphi \delta - \zeta$ and $\psi \overline{\nu} \chi \sigma - \tau \sigma \psi \pi \delta - \zeta$ forms in $-\omega \omega$ were followed by $\delta \eta \mu \sigma - \beta \delta \varphi \sigma - \zeta - \alpha \delta \sigma \chi \varphi \sigma - \lambda \delta \gamma \sigma - \zeta$ etc. instead of * $\delta \eta \mu \sigma - \beta \sigma \varphi \delta \zeta$ etc.

Rem. 2. This law of accent seems to have been the model in the levelling of the accent in the case-forms of the consonantal declension. Following it also appeared e. g. $\delta \varphi \varrho \tilde{\nu} \varepsilon$ $\delta \varphi \varrho \tilde{\nu} \varepsilon$ $\delta \varphi \varrho \tilde{\nu} \varepsilon$ (Skr. bhritž bhrittás *bhrittí) beside regular $\pi o \tilde{\nu} \varepsilon$ $\pi o \delta \tilde{\sigma} \varepsilon$ $\pi o \delta \tilde{\sigma}$, and such accentuation may in many cases have been the pattern for the polysyllabic stems.

For details see Wheeler loc. cit. pp. 60-104.

b. The secondary accent appears in place of the original. $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \varkappa v - \varsigma$: Skr. $para \dot{s} \dot{u} - \dot{s}$. $\ddot{\epsilon} \lambda v \tau \varrho o - v$: $var \dot{u} t r a - m$. $\dot{\epsilon} \varkappa \tau o - \varsigma$: $\dot{\varsigma} a \dot{\varsigma} t h \dot{u} - s$. Whether in such cases the secondary accent drove out the original in the same way as $\ddot{\eta} \dot{\delta} \bar{\tau} \omega v$ became $\dot{\eta} \dot{\delta} t \omega v$ through $\ddot{\eta} \dot{\delta} t \omega v$, or whether the throwing back of the accent was in the first instance quite independent of secondary accent and the latter afterwards defined how far the accent should be thrown back, is quite uncertain; we might assume, e. g. that the plural $\ddot{\tau} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varkappa \varepsilon \varsigma = \text{Skr. } para \dot{s} \dot{u} v - a s$ had first become $\ddot{\tau} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \varkappa \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ from which arose afterwards $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \varkappa \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \iota \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}$. The second hypothesis seems to me the more probable.

Rem. 3. Some cases have been already mentioned in which the effect of analogy had caused a change of the old accent position. Such alterations af accent occurred frequently in other cases also. We may further mention έχυζό-; instead of *ἔχυζο-; (Skr. ἐνάἔντα-s) after έχυζά; χρυποῦ; instead of *χρύπου; (from χρύπου-) after χρυποῦ χρυποῦ (from χρυπέου χρυποῦ); conversely εὔνου instead of *εὖνοῦ (from *εὐνο΄ου) after εὔνου; εὔνουν (from εὔνου-ς εἕνου-ν).

§ 677. The syllabic accentuation of Greek was down to the christian era essentially musical.

Rem. 1. Soon after the beginning of that period, as is shown by certain metrical peculiarities, the expiratory accent became stronger and in the Middle Ages the language had already the same essentially expiratory accentuation which it has at present.

The acute (') was a rising tone. If the sonant element had two morae, the accent continued rising in the second mora. The circumflex (~), produced by gradual rounding of (^), was a rising-falling tone. That this difference of accentuation existed also in syllables which had not the high tone, is demonstrated by direct proofs, as was shown in § 667, 4 (p. 529).

Besides the acute and the circumflex the old grammarians ascribe to Greek the grave accent ($\beta \alpha \varrho \epsilon i \alpha \pi \varrho o \epsilon \varphi \delta i \alpha$), marked by '. It is alleged for two essentially different cases.

Firstly, for the last syllable of proclitic words, e. g. $\tau\iota\nu\dot{\alpha}$ $\gamma\dot{\alpha}\varrho$, $\pi\varepsilon\varrho\dot{\iota}$ $\tau\sigma\dot{\nu}\tau\sigma\nu$, $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$ $\tau\alpha\bar{\nu}\tau\alpha$, $\dot{\eta}\dot{\varepsilon}$ $\sigma\dot{\nu}$. The accent of these words, when not used proclitically, was $r\dot{\iota}\nu\alpha$, $\pi\dot{\varepsilon}\varrho\iota$, $\ddot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\alpha$, $\ddot{\tau}\dot{\eta}$ - $F\varepsilon$; the last form is proved by the fact that - $F\varepsilon$ is the old Indg. enclitic *- ϱ e (Lat. - ϱ e), § 669 p. 534.

Rem. 2. That the Byzantines and we along with them write the proclitic δi , $\delta \xi$, δi , of al without accent, but $n_{ij}\partial z$, $n_{ij}\partial z$, $r\partial r$ with the grave, has a purely graphical reason. When in the case of initial vowels the signs for accent and breathing coincided in the same letter, the proclitic monosyllables were relieved of their accent-sign. See Wackernagel Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII 137.

Secondly, as substitute for a final acute, when the word did not close the sentence ($\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon v \dot{\varsigma} \epsilon \dot{\tau} \sigma \iota (\eta \sigma \epsilon)$, with the exception of $\tau i \dot{\varsigma} \tau i$, which always retained the acute ($\epsilon i \dot{\varsigma} \epsilon \sigma \iota (\eta \sigma \epsilon)$).

Whether in both cases there was precisely the same tone movement, is not clear. Equally obscure is the character of the modification undergone by the acute in $\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\varepsilon\dot{\nu}\dot{\varsigma}$ $\xi\pi\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\eta\sigma\varepsilon$.

Rem. 3. If we speak of a 'weakened' or 'stifled' acute, the matter is as obscure as before (see L. Masing's excellent remarks loc. cit. p. 19 ff.).

§ 678. In matters of accentuation only trivial differences appear between the different Greek dialects.

The Lesbians alone instituted a more important innovation, by throwing back, as the grammarians tell us, the accent in every case according to the law of three syllables, e. g. $\sigma \delta \phi \rho \sigma_s$, $\beta \alpha \sigma \delta \lambda \epsilon \nu s$, $\beta \tau \delta \nu s$, $\delta \tau \delta \nu s$, $\delta \tau \delta \nu s$.

Rem. When it is said that prepositions and conjunctions as $\frac{\partial r\partial_t}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial \partial z}{\partial t}$ retained their accent on the last in Lesbian too, it is simply a question of the proclitic grave (§ 677), which formed no opposition to baryton accentuation.

The Lesbian accentuation seems, in the main, to have arrived at this position through the great bulk of forms with baryton accent dating from the Greek prim. period having gradually drawn over the forms which were otherwise accented.

Italic.

§ 679. While the native grammarians tell us something of the accentuation of Latin, in the investigation of the accentuation of the other Old Italic dialects we are thrown back on what their phonetic form teaches us when compared with that to be presupposed for the period of the Italic and Indg. primitive period.

There is nothing to contradict and much to support the assumption that the prim. Indg. accentuation had already, during the prim. Italic unity, undergone a complete revolution. In polysyllabic words the accent which was expiratory was borne by

the first syllable. Thus a secondary accent had developed on the first syllable and driven out the competing original accent.

Rem. The assumption of F. Stolz (Lat. Gramm. p. 148 and Wiener Stud. VIII 149 f.), that the Indg. final accord in *aimó-m (aerom), gen. * $n\bar{a}w$ -ós ($n\bar{a}vis$) etc. still survived in the separate Lat. development, does not convince me in the slightest.

This accentuation also held good for the compound verb. and it was here certainly not merely caused by the mechanical principle of initial accentuation, but represents the prim. Indg. accentuation of the principal sentence. Such compounds with accentuation of the preposition in the Italic prim. period are e.g. Lat. prae-hibeō praebeō Umbr. pre-habia 'praehibeat', Lit. prohibeō Osc. pru-hipid 'prohibuerit', Lat. in-eo Umbr. en - et u imp. 'inito', Lat. con-verto Umbr. co-vortus 'redierit'. To the prim. Indg. enclisis of the verb in the principal sentence may also be due Lat. né-sciō, nốn vīs, mắ-vīs etc. So also igitur which is the same as the second member of ad-igitur and occurred originally only in such combinations as quid igitur? = *quid agitur? etc.; if igitur at a later period appeared as the first word in a sentence this is parallel to the similar use of Greek $\tau o i$ in $\tau o - \gamma a \rho - o \tilde{v} r$. Here belongs further also the unaccented forms of the indic. of the substantive verb, as Lat. pótis-sum póssum, sítus-est sítust, Umbr. peretom-est 'peremtum est', Osc. prúftú-set 'probata sunt'.

The enclisis of certain pronouns and particles was also descended from the early period. Lat. st quis, núm quis etc., Umb. sve-pis Volsc. se-pis Osc. svae-pis 'si quis', Osc. pútúrús-píd pl. 'utrique'. Lat. ne-que, Umbr. nei-p 'nec, non, neu', Osc. nei-p ne-p 'nec, neu'; Lat. uter-que, Umbr. putres-pe 'utriusque'. Lat. sī-c, hī-ce hī-c, Umbr. eso-c 'sic', Osc. ion-c 'eum'. Lat. alter-ve.

Postpositions too were enclitic in primitive Italic. Lat. quōcum, Umbr. asa-ku 'apud aram'. Lat. tantis-per parum-per sem-per, Umbr. trio-per 'per tria, ter', Osc. petiro-pert 'quater'. It is impossible however to make out if this usage was pre-Italic.

Certain vowel weakenings may have been called into being in the prim. Italic period through the action of initial ac-

centuation. Cp. the nominatives Lat. Umbr. ager Osc. Frunter against Gr. $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\varrho\dot{\delta}$ - ς , Lat. famul Osc. famel 'famulus', §§ 623 rem. 1. 633. 655, 9. Compare further the loss of the final vowel in some prepositions, as Lat. ab = Gr. $\ddot{\alpha}\pi o$, s - ub = Gr. $\ddot{v}\pi o$: Lat. $ab - d\bar{o}$, Pelig. af- $\bar{d}ed$ 'abaidit', Lat. $sub - d\bar{o}$ sub-tend \bar{o} , Umbr. sutentu 'subtendito'. Here syncope might be suspected (§ 633). Yet it must be remembered that these prepositions often stood before vowels, and thus the form which had lost its final vowel before other vowels, might have become generalised (§ 655, 1).

§ 680. In Latin the initial accentuation, descended from the prim. Ital. period, caused vowel reduction in the next syllable, which had the weakest accent. The method of its action was twofold, the syllable either retained its syllabic value or, as a syllable, entirely disappeared.

pepercī from *péparcī: parcō. cecīdī from *cécaidī: caedō. $c\bar{o}n$ -scend \bar{o} from * $c\acute{o}n$ -scand \bar{o} : $scand\bar{o}$. an- $h\bar{c}l\bar{o}$ from * $\acute{a}n$ - $(h)ansl\bar{o}$: $h\bar{a}l\bar{o}$ from *(h)ansl \bar{o} (§ 208). ex-erce \bar{o} from *éx-arce \bar{o} : arce \bar{o} . ob-sideō from *ób-sedeō: sedeō. cōn-ficiō cōn-fectu-s from *cónfaciō *cón-factu-s: faciō factus. prae-hibeō from *prái-hubeō: habeō, Umbr. pre-habia 'praehibeat'. cōn-stituo from *cónstatuō: statuō, Osc. Anter-stataí dat. '*Interstitae'. ex-īstumō from *éx-aistum \bar{o} : aestum \bar{o} . in-clūd \bar{o} from *in-claud \bar{o} : claud \bar{o} . sē-cernō in-certu-s from *sē-crinō *in-crito-s : Gr. α-zοιτο-ς. inimīcu-s from *in-amīco-s: amīcu-s. con-tubernāli-s from *cóntabernāli-s: taberna. Cp. §§ 33. 65. 81. 97. 109 d. vowel weakening was not one of the oldest historical characteristics of the prim. Latin period, is shown by the form of the borrowed words Alixenter, Agrigentum, Tarentum; these came by their e instead of a through the same phonetic law and were at the time accented on the first syllable.

Rem. Historical forms like ab-arceō beside ab-erceō, ob-tractō beside ob-trectō, cx-pandō do not prove that the law worked irregularly. Such forms depend on a re-composition, just as e. g. Mod.HG. ur-theil dritt-theil for urtel drittel show a revival of the second part of the compound after the analogy of theil.

ind-uō from *índ-ovō. ēlyācru-s from *élavācru-s. See § 172, 1.

reppulī from *ré-pepulī. ūndecim from *únu(s)-decim. surpuī from *súb-rapuī. See § 633.

Perhaps the fact. that o remained unweakened before consonants in final syllables, e. g. novo-s socio-s (sociu-s), but became an irrational vowel in middle syllables; e. g. novi-tās socie-tās, is to be explained from a secondary accent carried by the final syllable in polysyllabic words. Cp. § 81 p. 73.

§ 681. In trisyllabic and polysyllabic words an expiratory secondary accent developed itself in pre-literary Latin according to the quantity of the penultimate syllable. If this syllable was long it bore the accent, if short, the accent was carried by the antepenult. Thus *pépercī became pepércī, *inimīcu-s inimīcu-s, *éxīstumāmus exīstumāmus, and *éxīstumō passed into exīstumō, *cōnficiunt into cōnficiunt, gen. *contubernālium into contubernālium.

Rem. "The Romance languages, by preserving, as a rule, the first syllable of Latin words while unaccented middle syllables often disappeared show that the first syllable always retained a considerable secondary accent; cp. *mànsiōnāticum French maisnage, ménage". Thurneysen Revue Celt. VI 313.

Through loss of vowel in final syllables this new word-accent came to stand here and there on the last syllable, as in $ll\bar{t}c(e)$, $tant\delta$ -n(e), $aud\tilde{t}n(e)$, $disturb\tilde{a}t$ from $disturb\tilde{a}vit$, $m\bar{u}n\tilde{t}t$ from $m\bar{u}n\tilde{t}vit$. Whether the nominatives as $nostr\tilde{u}s$ $Arp\bar{\iota}n\tilde{u}s$ $Samn\tilde{\iota}s$ $Comp\tilde{u}ns$, usually classified with these forms, were genuine Latin nominative formations, may be doubted.

It is difficult to get a clear idea of the nature of the newly developed expiratory accent as syllabic accent.

According to the native grammarians there were an acute (') and a circumflex accent (^). The former stood on all short vowels, e. g. nix, biene, vietrem, on long vowels in the antepenult, e. g. $diele\bar{o}$, and on long vowels in the penultimate, if the final syllable was long, e. g. rigetarrow general general

 $(r\acute{e}g\ddot{e}s, \text{ but } r\dot{e}gis)$, this difference in the forms of the syllabic accent is probably rightly regarded as a pedantic fiction of the grammarians.

Vowel shortening in Juppiter (Jupiter) etc. points, as we saw in § 612, to a clear cut accent. Nevertheless the reason for the separate treatment of the words in question, while other words apparently similarly formed have no shortening, is quite obscure.

§ 682. Besides the cases of enclisis, mentioned in § 679, there are others whose age we are not in a position to determine. Here may be mentioned especially combinations like invicem, dénuō from dé novō, ilicō from *in slocō, profectō from *pró factō originally something like 'as good as a fact' (cp. cōn-fectu-s § 680).

Compound words with an enclitic as second member were also subjected to this new law of accent (§ 681). *tántō-ne, *úter-que, *útrā-que, *útrōrum-que, *scéleris-que, *scélerum-que passed over into tantone, utérque, utráque, utrorúmque, scelerísque, scelerrúmque; here too the accent of the initial syllable was retained as secondary accent. On the analogy of such accentuation people also said tantane, utraque, where the new law had nothing to do with the giving up of the original accentuation, and scelerá-que, for which *scelérăque might have been expected. In the latter case moreover this analogy was not, as it seems, the only influence; the circumstance that the last syllable of polysyllabic words had originally a secondary accent (cp. § 680 p. 548) was also not without effect. In combinations like scelera-que which were always felt by the speaker as a combination of two elements, the tone movement of the uncompounded first member (scélerà) counteracted the accentuation of the antepenult (*sceléraque) which formed a sharp contrast to it. This seems to be specially supported by agité-dum which had no form so accentuated as sceler's-que alongside it.

The retention of the old accentuation by ita-que iti-que etc., is to be explained from the fact that they were no longer felt as

compounds. They might be adduced as additional proof that *itră-que, *tántă-ne was once the pronunciation.

§ 683. We saw that in prehistoric times Umbrian-Samn. dialects shared the initial accentuation of Latin. Hence the numerous instances of syncope in these dialects, as Umbr. osatu 'operato' Osc. úpsannam 'operandam' from *ópesā-, see § 633.

Whether this accentuation still prevailed at the date of our monuments or whether a new system of accentuation had already taken its place, is a subject for further investigation. The extensive rejection of vowels in final syllables, as in Umbr. pihaz 'piatus' Osc. túvtíks 'publicus' (§§ 633. 655, 5), leads us to conjecture that the initial accentuation was retained.

Old Irish.

§ 684. The effects of accent on the form of words, prove that in the primitive Keltic period the first syllable had come to bear the word-accent owing to the development of an expiratory secondary accent.

This mode of accentuation prevailed in noun forms whether the word was simple or compound. O.Ir. necht O.Corn. noit 'neptis': Skr. napti-š. O.Ir. cloth 'renowned' O.Bret. clot (f.?) 'renown': Skr. śrutá-. Gall. Tri-casses; the accentuation of this word is cleared up by its Romance form, French Troyes (from *Tricas). O.Ir. tūus 'leadership, superiority, beginning', Cymr. tywys 'guidance' from *tó-uessu-s = *to-ued+tu- (O.Ir. do-fédim 'I lead'), with its derivative in -āko- *tó-uessāko-s O.Ir. tóisech 'guide' Cymr. tywysog 'guide, leader, chief' O.Kelt. inscript. (Denbighshire) tovisaci; the great antiquity of the accentuation of this prefix follows from the t- instead of d- in the Gaelic and in the Britannic branch.

In the case of the verb there was a difference according as the verbal form was accompanied by a preposition or not. While the uncompounded verb had initial accentuation (e. g. O.Ir. caraim O.Cymr. caram 'I love'), the compound verb was not accented on the first syllable of the word, but on the first syllable of the second member of the combination; the imperative formed

an exception, for in it the preposition bore the word-accent. A peculiarity doubtless to be referred to the prim. Indg. verbal accentuation. The accentuation of the prim. Indg. subordinate sentence was retained and generalised; the imperative alone, which had no place in the subordinate sentence, still represents the accentuation of the principal sentence (cp. Skr. prá-bhara, Gr. $\pi \varphi \acute{o}$ - $\varphi \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \acute{e}$). Irish has best preserved these relations, e. g. do-mélim 'vescor', but imper. tó-mil 'vescere'; do-bérid 'fertis, datis', but imper. táibrith 'ferte, date'. The prefix form do-with d shows that the Britannic branch once shared in them (Thurneysen Revue Celt. VI 311).

The fact that, in the time of the prim. Keltic unitary period, when the initial syllables received the word-accent, combinations like O.Ir. do-mélim did not take the accent on the pre-position, proves that the latter was not yet fused with the verbal form into an indissoluble unity. The n of conetc. points also to this as will be seen below.

Thus far the relationships of verbal accentuation allow themselves to be without difficulty connected with those which we must presuppose for the Indg. primitive period. Now Irish still shows a rule of accentuation which also seems to date from prim. Keltic, viz. the same accentuation as in the imperative 1. after the negative particles nī ni 'non' (mani 'si non', coni 'ut non' etc.), $n\bar{a}$ $n\bar{a}d$ $n\bar{a}ch$ 'non'; 2. after the relative (s)a(n), if it was suffixed to a preposition, including the particle in- in which' and the conjunctions ar-an-'ut', di-an-'cum', co-n-'donec, ut': 3. after the interrogative particle ind- inn- in-. E. g. nī épur 'non dico' beside at-biur. That this accentuation goes back to prim. Keltic, is shown by the double form con- and cóm- of the preposition, corresponding to Lat. cum. Thus conécat 'possunt', but $n\bar{\imath}$ cúmcat 'non possunt'. The transition from m to n cannot be comprehended from the difference of accentuation by itself, but only from the prim. Keltic sound-law according to which final -m became -n (§§ 211. 657, 8); com thus became con at a time when as yet no closer connexion with immediately succeeding verbal forms had been introduced (cp. Goth. and-beita 'I scold', § 687). The retention of the m in $n\bar{\imath}$ cumcat will then have been due to the same cause as in the imperative, that is, the firm connexion of the preposition with the verb and the accentuation of cóm-, in $n\bar{\imath}$ cúmcat were prim. Keltic. It has not yet been satisfactorily explained how this method of accentuation in the case of preceding negative particles etc., was arrived at in prim. Keltic.

Enclisis, inherited from the prim. Indg. period, probably appears in O.Ir. $c\bar{a}$ -ch O.Cymr. pau-p 'everybody', O.Ir. ne-ch Cymr. ne-p 'quisquam, ullus' (scarcely to be connected directly with Gr. $-\tau\varepsilon$, since we should expect * $c\bar{a}ich$ *neich).

§ 685. The accentuation, characterised in the last paragraph as primitive Keltic, appears to have experienced no material alteration in the special Irish development down to the Old Irish period.

How the strongly expiratory word-accent occasioned in many cases sound-weakening and loss of syllables, has been remarked in §§ 66. 82. 90. 98. 106. 613. 623 rem. 1. 634. 657. Consequently the Indg. vowels retained their qualitative and quantitative differences with comparative purity only in syllables under the principal accent.

We have seen in § 634 that in a certain prehistoric period of Irish the syllable immediately following the accented syllable in trisyllabic and polysyllabic words had the weakest accent, ep. e. g. dat. *cáirt-ib* beside gen. sg. *cárat*, to nom. *cára* 'friend'.

The prim. Keltic accentuation suffered greater changes in Britannic. Cymric accents almost all polysyllables on the penult. Matters are more complicated in Bas Breton.

Germanic.

§ 686. Verner's law, treated in §§ 530. 581, shows that the prim. Indg. free accentuation had still long existed in the special primitive Germanic period. On the chronology of this sound-law ep. also § 541. The word-accent had at that

time, as has been remarked in § 670 p. 536, an expiratory character.

§ 687. During the prim. Germanic period a new expiratory accentuation came into being, which in all material points was similar to that of Keltic.

The first syllable became the bearer of the accent in nominal forms, both simple and compound. Goth. fádar OHG. fáter etc. 'father' from prim. Germ. *fáðer-, older *faðer-: Skr. pitár-. Goth. háidu-s OHG. héit 'manner': Skr. kētú-š. Goth. ánda-vaurdi OHG. ánt-wurti 'answer'.

The simple verb likewise took initial accentuation. Goth. vitum OHG. vizzum 'we know' from prim. Germ. 'yitumi. older 'yitumi: Skr. vidmá. Goth. sátja OHG. sézzu 'I set' from prim. Germ. *sátijō, older *satíjō: Skr. sādáyāmi.

On the other hand in the compound verb 1) the first syllable of the second member received the accent. Goth, fra-liusa OHG. far-liusu 'I lose'. OHG. fir-tuom 'I extirpate, destroy, execrate', cp. frá-tāt 'scelus'; ir-lóubōm 'I permit' cp. úr-loub 'permission'; ob-lázzu 'I forgive', cp. áb-lāz 'pardon'; zir-gángu 'deficio', cp. zin-anny 'defectio', also Ags. zea-twe pl. 'equipment' = Goth. * $q\acute{a}$ - $t\bar{e}v\bar{o}s$. The different forms, which the prefix assumes in Goth. and-beita 'I chide' and-hafja 'I answer' and andu-beit 'blame' and a-hafts 'answer' show that this accentuation of compounded verbs was due to the fact that the preposition did not form a word-unity with the following verb. The pre-Germanic form of the prefix was *antή (cp. Gr. ἀντα), and if the prim. Germ. *anda in and-beita etc. had lost its final -a, this loss can only be explained by the law of finals (§ 660, 1), thus anda must at that time have been still an independent word. Cp. O.Ir. con- in con-écat § 684 p. 551.

Rem. The tmesis in Gothic, as ga-u-láubjats 'do ye two believe?', ga-u-hva-sēhvi 'if he saw aught'; bi-u-gitái 'shall he find?', diz-uh-pan-sat 'and he then seized' (see Bernhardt on I. Corinth. XV, 15) seems, at first sight, yet more distinctly to point to this slack connexion of the pre-

¹⁾ Denominatives like OHG. án wurten 'to answer' from ántwurti, árchunden 'to testify' from úrchundi 'testimony' do not of course belong to this class.

position with the verb in the prim. Germ. period. But Kluge (Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVI 80) assumes with good reason that the prefix was not proclitic here, but had the full accent and that the verbal form was enclitic, just as in Skr. ápa ca tišthati etc. If this is right, this tmesis does not prove anything for cases like frazliusa.

In Germanic, so far as we can see, the imperative of compounds does not, as in Keltic § 684, form an exception. For Gothic cp. andbindib John XI, 44 'loose ye', andhafjib Mark XI, 30 'answer ye' not anda-. It had thus no doubt the accentuation of the subordinate sentence on the analogy of other verbal forms. An extension of this accentuation beyond its original sphere may be observed in the noun formations which attach themselves closely to the verb. That the passive participles had originally, in agreement with Skr. prá-bhrta-s etc., the nominal accentuation, is still shown by e. g. Goth. ánda-þāhts 'discreet' beside and-þágkjan 'to deliberate', OHG. úntar-tān Mod.HG. únter-than 'subject', dúruh-noht 'perfect' (to duruh-núgun), míssi-lungen 'miscarried' (to missi-lingun), Ags. $fr\acute{a}$ -cođ 'despised' = Goth. fra-kunps. But beside these already Goth. and-húlips and-bítans, OHG. fur-hólan etc. Cp. Kluge loc. cit., p. 68 ff.

Enclitics descended from pre-Germanic. -h in Goth. ni-h 'and not' = Lat. ne-que, $hv\bar{o}$ -h fem. 'each', cp. Lat. quae-que. -k in Goth. mi-k (OHG. mi-h) 'me', cp. Gr. $\xi u\dot{\varepsilon}$ - $\gamma \varepsilon$.

The new accentuation of Germanic had probably occasioned to some degree vowel absorption in the prim. unitary period. See § 635.

The question is, did the new accentuation arise through the development of an expiratory accent on the first syllable of the word, which struggled for a long time with the old word-accent and finally overpowered it, or is Verner right in saying (Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIII 129): "The transition to the fixed accentuation (root accentuation) is an analogical formation, completely carried out. The cases, in which the accent rested upon the root-syllable, were already under the old principle of accentuation in the majority, and this mode of accentuation then spread itself in the Germanic primitive language, since the word-forms,

which had the accent on the ending, gradually threw it back on to the root syllable". That in certain cases the analogy of forms, accented on the first syllable from of old, had influence, is not to be denied, but I do not think that we can rid ourselves of the assumption of a free development of the recessive accent. I do not see e. g. how the effect of analogy helps us in the case of the numerous polysyllabic adverbs and prepositions whose initial syllable, as has been established by Verner's law, had originally no accent.

§ 688. In Gothic there are a number of sound-weakenings which show that the new Germanic accentuation remained for a certain time in this dialect on the whole unchanged, e. g. $s\acute{a}tja$ 'I set' from * $s\acute{a}tij\bar{o}$, $s\acute{u}njus$ 'sons' from * $s\acute{u}niuiz$ (cp. with this $g\acute{u}us$ from * $g\acute{u}ua$ -z, § 179 p. 156), $ba\acute{u}ris$ 'bearest' from * $b\acute{u}rizi$. See § 635. 660.

It cannot be decided with certainty whether this system of accentuation existed still at the period of our monuments. The alterations in Gothic, which appear in the period after Ulfilas, do not prevent us from assuming that the traditional accentuation had remained, and so we may believe that it did remain.

§ 689. In West Germanic, especially in High German, the new accentuation has persisted in the main down to the present day.

The West Germanic law of syncope (§ 635) shows us the position of the word accent, and at the same time the relation of stress, which the accents of syllables without the principal accent, had to one another. If e. g. in OHG. hōrta (Goth. hāusida) the i was dropped, but remained in nērita (Goth. nāsida), the second syllable must have had a weaker accent in the first case than in the second; and hōrta shows further that the last syllable in the older form *hōrita had a secondary accent. According to Paul (Paul-Braune's Beitr. VI 136 ff.) the secondary accent in polysyllables did not merely follow mechanical routine, but was guided also by logical principles. The logical character of the secondary accents is shown by their varying with the flexion in the same word. Thus

e. g. the ending of the nom. and acc. sg. and probably also of the plural had a weaker grade of accent than the endings of the other cases, so that in the nom. and acc. of trisyllabic nouns the penult had stronger accent-stress than the last, while in the other cases the reverse held true. Paul conjectures that these functional differences of accent were already in existence in the primitive Germanic period.

In a number of cases vowel loss is to be explained from frequent enclitic or proclitic use of the word. Thus OHG. mo nan from imo inan, see p. 484; mih 'me' daz 'that' with final vowel loss in contrast with such forms as chumi, see p. 517. Cp. Paul loc. cit. pp. 125. 132. 144 and elsewhere.

Baltic-Slavonic.

§ 690. Lithuanian and a part of the Slavonic languages show freedom of accentuation, and there can be no doubt that this method of accentuation extends back to prim. Baltic and prim. Slavonic. But the two branches go in details only partially hand in hand, and neither of the two systems quite agrees with the system which must be presupposed for the prim. Indg. period.

There are here two possibilities — either new principles of accentuation came into being as in other Indg. languages, which caused a new word-accent but which are still concealed from us, or the innovations in accent were the affects of analogy only, the old inherited word-accent having been generalised differently in different categories of forms. The latter, so far as we can see at present, is the more probable hypothesis and two examples may be given to show how in this way deviations from the prim. Indg. word-accent could have arisen. The accent falls on the ending in the 1. and 2. sg. in Lithuanian, and in Slavonic (i. e. in the dialects which retained the original Slavonic accentuation) in all the persons of the pres. indic. corresponding to the Skr. variation (Indg. *ueghō): Lith. vežù vežì, Russ. vezù vezėš vezé etc., Bulg. vezů vezėš vezé etc.

Servian vèzēm vèzēš vèzē etc. (for older *vezém etc., see § 692). We may assume that in the period of the Balt.-Slav. prim. community, the accent was already at any rate on the 1. sg 1) pushed from the root syllable towards the end of the word (cp. Leskien Archiv. f. slav. Phil. V 509 f.). If we consider now that the final accentuation in present forms composing the Skr. 6. class (tudámi) comes from prim. Indg., that this accentuation therefore in such forms as Lith. sukù Russ. skú 'I turn', may be treated as original, it is not hard to conjecture that in prim. Baltic-Slavonic * uéžō was modified into * uežó after the analogy of *sukô. In Lith. and Slav. the masculine noun stems in o never have the accent on the final syllable in the nom. and acc. sg. If then e. g. Lith. dúma-s dúma and Russ. dym (O.Bulg. dymŭ) correspond to Skr. dhūmá-s dhūmá-m and Greek θυμό-c $\theta \bar{\nu} \mu \acute{o}$ -r, this may depend on a generalisation of the accentuation of such nouns as Lith. vilka-s vilka Russ. vólk (Skr. výka-s. vyka-m). Whether the whole of the deviation in Baltic-Slav. accent from the prim. Indg., may be thus explained, rests with more accurate investigation to show.

It seems to me to be highly probable that the Balt.-Slav. innovations, as regards the position of the word-accent, were brought about in this latter manner, owing to the fact that the twofold quality of the Lith. syllabic accent is historically connected with that of Greek (§ 671), although this duality of the syllabic accentuation has directly nothing to do with the contrast between syllables with and syllables without the high tone (see p. 530).

It may be further mentioned that Baltic-Slavonic, like all other branches of languages, possesses old inherited enclitics. Lith. $n\hat{e}$ -gi 'not surely' $k\hat{u}s$ -gi 'who surely? who then?', O.Bulg.

¹⁾ The prim. Slav. and O.Bulg. form vezq was not in any case, it is true, the Indg. form $*ue\hat{g}h\bar{o}$, but a conjunctive form $*ue\hat{g}h\bar{a}m = \text{Lat.}$ veham (see § 219), which suppressed the indic. form. But this conj. form too had the accent originally on the root syllable and we may assume that the accentuation of the indic. and conj. forms was identical at that time of the prim. Slavonic period when the two forms were used indifferently i. e. shortly before the suppression of the indic. form.

ne-go ne- $\check{z}e$, the strengthened comparative particle ne (cp. Skr. $n\acute{a}$ 'not' and 'even as'): Skr. gha in $n\acute{a}$ gha etc. O.Bulg. mi 'mihi' enclitic beside $m\check{v}n\check{e}$, like Skr. $m\bar{e}$ beside $m\acute{a}hyam$. Indefinite pronouns Lith. ka-s O.Bulg. stem ko- (nom. $k\check{u}$ -to), e. g. Lith. $n\bar{e}$ -kas O.Bulg. ni- $k\check{u}to$ (dat. $n\acute{r}$ -komu) 'nobody'.

§ 691. Baltic.

The accentuation of Prussian is not known.

Lettic always accents the first syllable, and it is clear that this accentuation is younger than the Lith. free accentuation. The syllabic accent is of a twofold character. We have to distinguish between broken ('gestossen') and lengthened ('gedehnt') tone; for further information see Bielenstein Die lett. Sprache I 32 ff.

Lithuanian accentuation seems on the whole to be the unchanged continuation of that of prim. Baltic.

It is essentially expiratory throughout, as it seems, the whole sphere of the language. The musical accent does not however play a subordinate part.

In certain Lithuanian districts the word-accent is thrown back on to the initial syllable. This phenomenon is apparently most widely spread in the Memel dialect, and in this case it might perhaps be due to the influence of the Lettic dialect (des kurischen Dialektes). In the other dialects, e. g. that of Godlewa (see Brugmann Lit. Volksl. u. Märch. p. 295), such influence cannot be assumed, except in case that the eastern, little known dialects similarly share in the change, and thus a geographical communication with the area of the Lettic language be established.

Frequent elaborate attempts have been made to explain the differences of syllabic accentuation, which is either broken ('gestossen') or slurred ('geschliffen' better 'schleifend'). But the descriptions of these differences differ materially from one another, so that it is not easy to form a correct picture from them. The chief points are as follow:

1. Short syllables have always the same single-pointed accent, e. g. $b\hat{u}$ -tas ('house'). Syllables, in which a tautosyllabic liquid or nasal follows the short vowel, form an exception to this

rule; these sound-combinations stand on the same level as the diphthongs (ai etc.).

2. In long syllables, that is, in such as contain a long vowel or short vowel +i, u, nasal, liquid, it makes a difference whether the moment of the greatest intensity of expiration falls on the first or last mora. In the former case we have the broken ('gestossen') accent, e. g. búlti ('to be'), láulkiu ('I abide), vár na ('crow'), in the latter the slurred ('geschliffen'), e. g. kū|das ('thin, lean'), tvā|nas ('an overflowing'), laŭ|kas ('field') vil|kas ('wolf'). No fluctuation seems to take place with broken accentuation, the voice-stress slackens steadily. On the other hand a secondary point seems to exist with slurred accentuation. Kurschat says of the latter accent, that a leap is made to a higher step, "so that such a vowel appears, as it were, compounded of two vowels of which the first is unaccented, the second accented", and that also a "falling" takes place between the first and the second step. The secondary point - which in any case is insignificant - is thus situated before the chief point. Sievers Phonet. 3 203. also indicates this accent as double pointed. Musically speaking the broken tone is a simple falling. the slurred either a simple rising (/) or a combination of a rising and a level tone (/-).

Rem. The quantity of syllables was taken too little into account in the older investigations on Lith. accentuation. Baranowski and H. Weber in the East Lith. texts p. XV sqq. throw light on the relation of this to the accent. That which is published here is unfortunately only the beginning of a systematic exposition of the Lith. accentuation, so that in a work like ours it is only possible to take account of it incidentally. The chief points are as follow:

In Lith. a distinction is to be made between short, medium long and long vowels and syllables (\smile , \smile , \smile \smile). Liquids and nasals, which follow a vowel, can form one or two morae. The varieties of accentuation are caused by these differences of quantity, not conversely.

If no tautosyllabic liquid¹) follows a middle long vowel, the accent always falls on the second mora of the vowel ($\smile \smile$), e. g. $tr\tilde{a}|nas$. Medium long syllables always have the slurred tone.

If a tautosyllabic short liquid follows a medium long vowel, the accent falls on the first mora of the syllable $(\smile \smile)$, e. g. $v\acute{a}v$ -na, as if $v\acute{a}av$ |na.

¹⁾ That which we have said he and in the following expostion of the liquids, also holds good of the nasals in all cases.

But if the vowel is short and the tautosyllabic liquid medium long, the second mora of it, that is, the third of the syllable, has the accent $(\smile \cup)$, e. g. $va\tilde{r}|das$ ('name'), as if $var\tilde{y}|das$. In the latter case a shifting of quantity took place in certain dialects, the vowel becoming medium long and the liquid short, $var\tilde{y}|das$ became $var\tilde{y}|das$.

Long vowels ($\smile \smile$) can be accented on the first ($\smile \smile \smile$) and on the last mora ($\smile \smile \smile$), e. g. bu|ti = buuu|ti, su|dus 'justice' = suuu|dus.

We saw in § 664, 2 that $s\tilde{e}[nas]$ 'old' $g\tilde{e}[ras]$ 'good' became $s\tilde{e}ns$ $g\tilde{e}rs$. The second syllable being abolished as such and in the former case the n, in the latter the r, adding a mora to the preceding medium long vowel $(\cup \cup)$, the result was first of all $\cup \cup \cup$. This accent position was not tolerated, there arose $\cup \cup \cup$, thus $s\tilde{e}ns$, $g\tilde{e}rs$. Has not also the dat. pl. $vilk\tilde{a}ms$ from $vilk\tilde{a}mus$ been brought about in the same manner (cp. § 664, 2)?

If a short vowel was dropped in the last syllable which had the word-tone (§ 664, 1.2), the syllable immediately preceding it received the word-accent in the shape of a slurred tone. This modification cannot have taken place in absolute finality. Loc. sg. tojè passed into tōj, instr. pl. tomès into tōms, instr. sg. akimè into akim, instr. pl. akimès into akims, pirmà into pirm. According to this the gen. sg. akmens dukters had arisen from *akmen-ès *dukter-ès.

It may be mentioned lastly that in nominal compounds, if the first member has the word-accent of the whole compound, the second member exhibits its own word-tone as secondary accent, e. g. vaīk-palaīkis 'a worthless young fellow' \(\partial zz-keksz\)inis 'bastard child', and that likewise verbal forms, if they had the prefix $p\acute{e}r$ - 'over, through', retain their own word-accent as secondary accent, e. g. $p\acute{e}r$ -lěkti 'to fly over' $p\acute{e}r$ -važiŭti 'to ride through'.

§ 692. Slavonic. Since the accentuation of Old Bulgarian is not recorded and the phonetic changes of the prim. Slav. and O.Bulg. periods offer insufficient help for the determination of the accentuation (cp. § 281 rem. 3 extr.), we are obliged to

have recourse in the first instance to the Modern Slavonic dialects.

Of these Polish, Sorabian and Czech have given up the free word-accent. They accent all words in the same position; Polish has the accent on the penult, Sorabian and Czech on the first syllable.

Among the West Slav. languages Polabian preserved the movable accent, the material is here however of a limited nature. The languages of special importance are the South Slavonic languages (Servian-Croatian-Slovenian and Bulgarian) and the Russian dialects. These represent on the whole the prim. Slavonic accentuation. But it must be noticed that in the greatest part of the Servian-Croatian dialects, in the so-called Stokavian dialect (i. e. in the dialect, in which the neut. interrogative pronoun is $\check{s}to$, in contrast to Čakavian, where this pronoun is $\check{c}a$), a shifting of the principal accent has taken place in such a manner that it was thrown back from its original position near a syllable from the beginning of the word, so that it only preserved its old position when it originally rested on the first syllable.

Thus e. g. on account of Russ. nesú Bulg. nesú Ser.-Štokav. nèsēm (from *nesém) Rezian. næsæ we can deduce a final syllabic accentuation for O.Bulg. nesą and further for prim. Slav. *nesa.

Rem. Many excellent treatises have been made on the accentuation of single Slav. languages and dialects. But a treatment on the whole Slavonic accentuation in general is still wanting, and since my knowledge of the modern Slavonic dialects is insufficient to undertake such a treatment and to be able to draw conclusions for the prim. Slav. period, I leave off with the above hints.

ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS.

- P. 10 l. 6 read Manx for Many.
- p. 15 l. 6 read in for im.
- p. 31 l. 8 read Germanic for Teutonic.
- p. 78 last line read droz for droz.
- p. 94 l. 18 and p. 406 l. 19 (ep. also p. 95 l. 22, p. 274 § 354, l. 8, p. 350 l. 7 from the bottom) occurs the root sqhait-, sqhaid-'scindere'. Since Av. scindageii, which has been assigned to this root, belongs rather to skenda-'blow' from *skanda- (on the i in the root-syllable scindep. § 94 p. 89), while Av. sid- in the 3. sing. opt. perf. hi-sid-yab 'might have torn, destroyed' corresponds to Skr. chid- (see Hübschmann Ztschr. d. deutsch. morgenl. Gesellsch. XXXVIII 424 f., Burg Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIX 358 ff.), the root must probably be put down with a palatal sound as skhait-, skhaid- (ef. § 400) and Lith. skedrà skaistàs be explained according to § 467 (ep. also § 414 rem.).
- p. 223 § 280 l. 2 read bordering for boarding.
- p. 224 last line but 4 read Low Sorab. for Low. Sorab.
- p. 288 l. 3 read *suckrú- for *suckrú-.
- p. 325 § 437 l. 1 read Ir. Brit. b initially and in the combination vag; and add O.Ir. imb 'butter' which by mistake has been put as an example to § 438b) at the end of a) in § 437.
- p. 326 ll. 10-11 omit On what I cannot say.

ABBREVIATIONS.

Ags. = Anglo-Saxon.

cpf. = common primitive form.

fr. = from.

MHG. = Middle High German.

OHG. = Old High German.

OS. = Old Saxon.

prim. f. = primitive form.